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CHAPTER 1

An Invitation to Sociology
If you saw this headline in your local paper, you might be tempted to think “Well, duh . . . .” After all, applying a little common sense to this topic would probably lead you to draw exactly the same conclusion. If you assumed, however, that lower church attendance causes delinquency, you would be making a common mistake. Research shows that delinquency increases as church attendance decreases because of a third factor—age. Older adolescents go to church less often and they are also more likely than younger teens to be delinquents. What may seem to be a relationship between church attendance and delinquency is actually caused by a third factor—age—that affects both of the other two factors.

Questioning and researching assumptions is an important aspect of sociology. By learning to question conventional wisdom (what most people believe to be true) you will be in a better position to make decisions or judgments. Your decisions will be based on reality rather than on socially accepted false beliefs. (This does not mean that all conventional wisdom is false, of course. But it is important to know that the facts are accurate when policies affecting people’s lives are being made.)

Sociological research is relatively new. In fact, sociology is the “infant of the social sciences.” You will see this as you become acquainted with the founders of sociology. Before turning to these pioneers, however, you need an introduction to the unique perspective of sociology.
The Nature of Sociology

A perspective is a particular point of view. Babies are usually brighter and better looking to their parents than they are to others. Newlyweds nearly always find their spouses much more attractive than do their friends. We all see what is happening around us through our own perspectives—our own points of view.

We normally do not realize how much of our attitudes and beliefs are determined by our perspectives. Sometimes, though, when our outlook is challenged, we may be jarred into realizing how much we take it for granted. As you will see, sociology has its own perspective. To understand it, you must have an idea of just what sociology is.

**What is sociology?** As a newcomer to the field, you may at first view sociology as the study of human social behavior. As you go along, however, you will acquire a more precise understanding of sociology as the scientific study of social structure. (Social structure is discussed later in this section.)

**What is unique about sociology?** Sociology, as stated earlier, has its own perspective. The sociological perspective never focuses on the individual. Psychologists may study the individual, but not sociologists. The view through the lens of sociology always remains at the social, or group, level.
# The Social Sciences

Social science is a branch of learning that deals with human society. It includes a number of disciplines, which we generally refer to as the social sciences. These disciplines differ, but they share enough in common to overlap. Descriptions of the major social sciences are presented in this table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Social Science</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sociology</td>
<td>Sociology investigates human social behavior from a group rather than an individual perspective. It concentrates on patterns of social relationships, primarily in modern societies.</td>
<td>Relationship between the employment of women and family size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthropology</td>
<td>Anthropology investigates culture, the customary beliefs and material traits of groups. It is the social science most closely related to sociology. Anthropologists, however, concentrate on the study of preliterate societies (societies that do not use writing). Sociologists focus on modern, industrial societies.</td>
<td>Nature of the family in preliterate societies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychology</td>
<td>Psychology investigates human mental and emotional processes. While sociologists concentrate on the group, psychologists also study the development and functioning of the individual.</td>
<td>Effects of birth order on emotional development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economics</td>
<td>Economics is the study of the production, distribution, and consumption of goods and services.</td>
<td>Annual income levels of American families</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Political science</td>
<td>Political science investigates the organization, administration, history, and theory of government. Political scientists are concerned, for example, with voting patterns and participation in political parties.</td>
<td>Relationship between a family’s social class and voting behavior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td>History examines past events in human societies. Historians generally rely on newspapers, historical documents, and oral histories as sources of information.</td>
<td>Nature of family life in colonial society</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sociologists do not focus on the behavior of individuals but on the patterns of behavior shared by members of a group or society. The person on the street might explain human behavior in individualistic or personal terms—a young man joins a gang to prove his toughness; a woman divorces her husband to develop her potential; a teen commits suicide to escape depression.

Sociologists attempt to explain these same events without relying on personal factors. They look for social rather than personal explanations when they examine delinquency, divorce, or suicide. Sociologists might explain the events in the following ways:

❖ Young men join gangs because they have been taught by their society to be “masculine.”
❖ More women divorce because of the social trend toward sexual equality.
❖ Teens commit suicide because of peer group expectations of performance, material possessions, and physical appearance.

Sociologists do not speak of a young man, a married woman, or a teenager. They concentrate on categories of people—young men, married women, and teenagers.
The Importance of Patterns

As you well know, high school students in a classroom behave in different ways. Some students listen to everything their teacher says. Some tune in and out, and others spend much of the time daydreaming. Yet, if you visit almost any high school, you will find patterned relationships. Teachers walk around the room, work with students, lecture, and give tests. Students follow the teacher’s lesson plan, make notes, and take tests. Although the personal characteristics of students and teachers may vary from school to school, students and teachers relate in similar patterned ways. It is the patterned interaction of people in social relationships—what sociologists call social structure—that captures the attention of sociologists.

How do group behavior and individual behavior differ? Sociologists assume that social relationships are not determined by the particular characteristics of the individuals involved. Emile Durkheim, a pioneering nineteenth-century sociologist, helped develop the sociological perspective. He argued, for example, that we do not attempt to explain bronze in terms of its separate parts (lead, copper, and tin). Instead, we consider bronze a totally new metal created by the combination of several other metals. We cannot even predict the characteristics of bronze from the traits of its parts. For example, bronze is hard, while lead, copper, and tin are soft and pliable. The mixing of the individual parts creates a new whole with new characteristics. Durkheim reasoned that a similar process happens with groups of people.

Indeed, people’s behavior within a group setting cannot be predicted from their personal characteristics. Something new is created when individuals
come together. For example, in 1999 the Denver Broncos won the Super Bowl championship. Following the game, a few otherwise law-abiding Bronco fans, as a group, disrupted the peace and challenged the police in ways they would not have done as individuals.

Tragedy, as well as joy, can change group behavior. The intense rivalry between the Texas A&M Aggies and the University of Texas Longhorns was banished the year twelve Aggie students died while preparing for the traditional football pregame bonfire. During the halftime, the Longhorn band played the song “Amazing Grace” and taps, and saluted the victims and their families by removing their hats. At a joint Aggie-Longhorn candlelight vigil two nights before the football game, the A&M student body president said that the communal sharing of the grief changed the relationship between the two schools forever.

Why do people conform? Groups range in size from a family to an entire society. Regardless of size, all groups encourage conformity. We will study conformity in more detail later. For now, you need to know only that members of a group think, feel, and behave in similar ways. For example, Americans, Russians, and Nigerians have eating habits, dress, religious beliefs, and attitudes toward family life that reflect their group.

Virginia colonists had offered to “properly educate” some young Indian boys at the College of William and Mary in Williamsburg. To the surprise of the colonists, the benefits of a white gentleman’s education were not highly valued by the tribal elders. Below is a Native American’s reply to the white men’s offer.

We know that you highly esteem the kind of learning taught in . . . [your] colleges. . . . But you, who are wise, must know that different nations have different conceptions of things; and you will not therefore take it amiss, if our ideas of this kind of education happen not to be the same with yours. We have had some experience of it; several of our young people were formerly brought up at the colleges of the northern provinces; they were instructed in all your sciences; but, when they came back to us, they were bad runners, ignorant of every means of living in the woods, unable to bear either cold or hunger, knew neither how to build a cabin, take a deer, nor kill an enemy, spoke our language imperfectly, were therefore neither fit for hunters, warriors, nor councillors; they were totally good for nothing.

We are however not the less obligated by your kind offer, though we decline accepting it; and, to show our grateful sense of it, if the gentlemen of Virginia will send us a dozen of their sons, we will take care of their education, instruct them in all we know, and make men of them.

Thinking It Over

1. Describe your reaction to this passage. What does it tell you about the importance of perspective in interpreting the social world?
2. Describe a social encounter where you personally experienced a “clash of perspectives” with someone from another culture.
3. Do you think your education is preparing you to succeed in the world outside school?
Conformity within a group occurs, in part, because members have been taught to value the group's ways. Members generally tend to conform even when their personal preferences are not the same as the group's. Some teens, for example, start smoking only to gain group acceptance.

Behavior within a group cannot be predicted simply from knowledge about its individual members. This could be because members truly value their group's ways or because they give in to social pressures. Like bronze, the group is more than the sum of its parts.

Acquiring the Sociological Imagination

The sociological perspective enables us to develop a sociological imagination. That is, knowing how social forces affect our lives can prevent us from being prisoners of those forces. C. Wright Mills (1959), an American sociologist, called this personal use of sociology the sociological imagination—the ability of individuals to see the relationship between events in their personal lives and events in their society.

What is gained by using our sociological imagination? People do not make decisions, big or small, in isolation. Historically, for example, American society has shown a strong bias against childless and one-child marriages. Couples without children have been considered selfish, and an only child has often been labeled “spoiled” (Benokraitis, 1999). These values date back to a time when large families were needed for survival. Most people lived on family farms, where children were needed to help with the work. Furthermore, many children died at birth or in infancy. People responded to society’s needs by having large families. Now, as the need for large families is disappearing, we are beginning to read about benefits of one-child families—to the child, to the family, and to society. This change in attitude is reflected in the decrease in family size.

The sociological imagination helps us understand the effects of events, such as the social pressures just discussed, on our daily lives. With this understanding, we are in a better position to make our own decisions rather than merely conform (Erikson, 1997; Game and Metcalfe, 1996).

This social awareness permits us to read the newspaper with a fuller understanding of the events. Instead of interpreting a letter opposing welfare as an expression of someone with no compassion, we might instead see the writer as a person who places great importance on independence and self-help. The sociological imagination questions common interpretations of human social behavior. It challenges conventional social wisdom—ideas people assume are true.
In general, all employers are interested in four types of skills regardless of what specific career path you choose. These skills are:

- the ability to work with others
- the ability to write and speak well
- the ability to solve problems
- the ability to analyze information

Because computers have revolutionized the office, for example, information analysis skills are becoming much more important to managers in all types of organizations. The increasing complexity of work demands greater critical thinking and problem-solving skills. Knowledge is of limited use if you can't convey what you know to others.

The study of sociology helps students to develop these general skills, so it is a solid base for many career paths. For sociology majors, the following list of possibilities is only the beginning—many other paths are open to you.

- **Social services**—in rehabilitation, case management, group work with youth or the elderly, recreation, or administration
- **Community work**—in fund-raising for social service organizations, nonprofits, child-care or community development agencies, or environmental groups
- **Corrections**—in probation, parole, or other criminal justice work
- **Business**—in advertising, marketing and consumer research, insurance, real estate, personnel work, training, or sales
- **College settings**—in admissions, alumni relations, or placement offices
- **Health services**—in family planning, substance abuse, rehabilitation counseling, health planning, hospital admissions, and insurance companies
- **Publishing, journalism, and public relations**—in writing, research, and editing
- **Government services**—in federal, state, and local government jobs in such areas as transportation, housing, agriculture, and labor
- **Teaching**—in elementary and secondary schools, in conjunction with appropriate teacher certification; also in universities, with research opportunities.

### Doing Sociology

1. Which of the above career paths is most interesting to you? What is it about this area that you find interesting?
2. Evaluate your current strengths and weaknesses in the four primary skill areas.
3. Look at the employment opportunities in the Sunday edition of your local paper. Clip out ads for jobs that you might qualify for with a sociology degree.

Illiteracy Rates

One of the assumptions of conventional wisdom is that nearly all American adults know how to read and write. Research has shown, however, that a large percentage of adults are illiterate. Literacy is defined as the ability to read at a fourth-grade level. This map shows, by state, the percentage of Americans over twenty years old who are illiterate.

Interpreting the Map

1. List the states with highest and lowest literacy rates.
2. How does your state rate on literacy?
3. What might be some reasons for adult illiteracy?


---

**Section 1 Assessment**

1. Define sociology.
2. Explain the significance of patterns for sociologists.
3. Give an example from your life that illustrates conformity within a group.
4. How does the sociological imagination help people to understand the effects of society on their personal lives?

**Critical Thinking**

5. **Making Comparisons** Examine the idea of perspectives by identifying an issue that you look at in one way and your parent(s) or other adults look at in a different way. Write about the issue from both perspectives.
Sociology is a relatively new science. It began in late nineteenth-century Europe during a time of great social upheaval. The social and economic effects of the Industrial Revolution and the French Revolution were touching all aspects of life. People were moving from farms to factory life, losing a sense of community. Some intellectuals were fascinated and troubled by the sudden changes. Auguste Comte, Harriet Martineau, and others began to grapple with ideas for bringing back a sense of community and for restoring order. These ideas led to the rise of the science of sociology. Examining the central ideas of the major pioneers of sociology will help you better understand what sociology is today.

What were Auguste Comte’s major ideas?

Auguste Comte (1798–1857), a Frenchman, is recognized as the father of sociology. As a child he was often ill, but he proved early to be an excellent student. He had difficulty balancing his genuine interest in school and his rebellious and stubborn nature. In fact, he was expelled for protesting against the examination procedures at the elite Ecole Polytechnique.

As an adult, Comte’s main concern was the improvement of society. If societies were to advance, Comte believed, social behavior had to be studied scientifically. Because no science of society existed, Comte attempted to create one himself. He coined the term sociology to describe this science.

Comte wanted to use scientific observation in the study of social behavior. He called this positivism. He meant that sociology should be a science based on knowledge of which we can be “positive,” or sure. Comte also distinguished between social statics, the study of social stability and order, and social dynamics, the study of social change. This distinction between social stability and social change remains at the center of modern sociology.
Comte published his theories in a book titled *Positive Philosophy*, but he died before people generally came to appreciate his work. His belief that sociology could use scientific procedures and promote social progress, however, was widely adopted by other European scholars.

**What were Harriet Martineau’s contributions?**

Harriet Martineau (1802–1876), an Englishwoman, is another important figure in the founding of sociology. She was born into a solidly middle-class home. Never in good health, Martineau had lost her sense of taste, smell, and hearing before reaching adulthood. Her writing career, which included fiction as well as sociological work, began in 1825 after the Martineau’s family textile mill was lost to a business depression. Without the family income, and following a broken engagement, Martineau was forced to seek a dependable source of income to support herself. She became a popular writer of celebrity status, whose work initially outsold Charles Dickens’s.

Martineau is best known today for her translation of Comte’s great book. Her English translation remains even today the most readable one. Despite being severely hearing impaired, she also made original contributions in the areas of research methods, political economy, and feminist theory.

In *Society in America*, Martineau established herself as a pioneering feminist theorist. Because she saw a link between slavery and the oppression of women, she was a strong and outspoken supporter of the emancipation of both women and slaves. Martineau believed women’s lack of economic power helped keep them dependent. By writing about the inferior position of women in society, she helped inspire future feminist theorists.

**Why did Herbert Spencer oppose social reform?**

Herbert Spencer (1820–1903), the sole survivor of nine children, was born to an English schoolteacher. Spencer was taught exclusively by his father and uncle, mostly in mathematics and the natural sciences. He did not enjoy scholarly work or the study of Latin, Greek, English, or history, and therefore he decided not to apply to Cambridge University, his uncle’s alma mater. As a result, his higher education was largely the result of his own reading. Spencer’s career became a mixture of engineering, drafting, inventing, journalism, and writing.

To explain social stability, Herbert Spencer compared society to the human body. He explained that, like a body, a society is composed of parts working together to promote its well-being and survival. People have brains, stomachs, nervous systems, limbs. Societies have economies, religions, governments, families. Just as the eyes and the heart make essential contributions to the functioning of the human body, religious and educational institutions are crucial for a society’s functioning.
Spencer also introduced a theory of social change called *Social Darwinism*, based on Charles Darwin’s theory of evolution. Spencer thought that evolutionary social change led to progress—provided people did not interfere. If left alone, natural social selection would ensure the survival of the fittest society. On these grounds, Spencer opposed social reform because it interfered with the selection process. The poor, he wrote, deserve to be poor and the rich to be rich. Society profits from allowing individuals to find their own social-class level without outside help or hindrance. To interfere with the existence of poverty—or the result of any other natural process—is harmful to society.

When Spencer visited America in 1882, he was warmly greeted, particularly by corporate leaders. After all, his ideas provided moral justification for their competitive actions. Later, public support for government intervention increased, and Spencer’s ideas began to slip out of fashion. He reportedly died with a sense of having failed. His contribution in sociology was a discussion of how societies should be structured.

**Who was Karl Marx?** Karl Marx (1818–1883), a German scholar, did not consider himself a sociologist, but his ideas have had a major effect on the field. Marx felt great concern for the poverty and inequality suffered by the working class of his day. His life was guided by the principle that social scientists should try to change the world rather than merely study it. Marx’s friend and coauthor Friedrich Engels helped put his ideas into writing.

Marx identified several social classes in nineteenth-century industrial society. Among them were farmers, servants, factory workers, craftspeople, owners of small businesses, and moneyminded capitalists. He predicted that at some point all industrial societies would contain only two social classes: the *bourgeoisie* and the *proletariat*. The *bourgeoisie* (burzh-wa-zee) are those who own the means for producing wealth in industrial society (for example, factories and equipment). The means for producing wealth are called *capital*. Thus, those who own them are also called *capitalists*. The *proletariat* work for the bourgeoisie and are paid just enough to stay alive.

For Marx, the key to the unfolding of history was *class conflict*—a clash between the bourgeoisie, who controlled the means for producing wealth, and the proletariat, who labored for them. Just as slaves overthrew slave owners, wage workers would overtake capitalists. Out of this conflict would come a classless (communistic) society—one in which there would be no powerless proletariat.

Planned revolution, Marx was convinced, could speed up the change from capitalism to communism. His political objective was to explain the workings of capitalism in order to hasten its fall through revolution. He believed, though, that capitalism would eventually self-destruct anyway.

**What were Emile Durkheim’s greatest contributions?** Emile Durkheim (1858–1917) was the son of a French rabbi. Durkheim was a brilliant student even during his early school years. In college, he was so intensely studious that his schoolmates nicknamed him “the metaphysician.”
According to Durkheim, society exists because of broad consensus, or agreement, among members of a society. In preindustrial times, societies were based on what sociologists call mechanical solidarity. With these societies, there was widespread consensus of values and beliefs, strong social pressures for conformity, and dependence on tradition and family. In contrast, industrial societies are based on organic solidarity—social interdependency based on a web of highly specialized roles. These specialized roles make members of a society dependent on one another for goods and services. For example, instead of being self-sufficient, people need bankers and bankers need customers.

Although early sociologists emphasized the need to make sociology scientific, they did not have the research tools that are available today. Later sociologists developed the methods to replace speculation with observation, to collect and classify data, and to use data for testing social theories.

Durkheim was the most prominent of these later sociologists. He first introduced the use of statistical techniques in his groundbreaking research on suicide, which we will discuss in Chapter 2. In that study, Durkheim demonstrated that suicide involves more than individuals acting alone and that suicide rates vary according to group characteristics. Durkheim showed that human social behavior must be explained by social factors rather than psychological ones.

Who was Max Weber? Max Weber (1864–1920) was the eldest son of a father who was a well-to-do German lawyer and politician. His mother, in stark contrast, was a strongly devout Calvinist who rejected the worldly lifestyle of her husband. Weber was affected psychologically by the conflicting values of his parents. Weber eventually suffered a complete mental breakdown from which he recovered to do some of his best work. As a university professor trained in law and economics, Weber wrote on a wide variety of topics, including the nature of power, the religions of the world, the nature of social classes, and the development and nature of bureaucracy. His most famous book is The Protestant Ethic and the Spirit of Capitalism, published in 1906.

Through the quality of his work and the diversity of his interests, Weber has had the single most important influence on the development of sociological theory. Human beings act on the basis of their own understanding of a situation, Weber said. Thus, sociologists must discover the personal meanings, values, beliefs, and attitudes underlying human social behavior. Weber believed that an understanding of the personal intentions of people in groups can be best accomplished through the method of verstehen—understanding the social behavior of others by putting yourself mentally in their places. Putting yourself in someone else’s “shoes” allows you to temporarily shed your values and see things from a different point of view.

Weber also identified rationalization as a key influence in the change from a preindustrial to an industrial society. Rationalization is the mind-set emphasizing knowledge, reason, and planning.
that emphasizes the use of knowledge, reason, and planning. It marked a change from the tradition, emotion, and superstition of preindustrial society. For example, agriculture became grounded in science rather than belief in luck, fate, or magic. In stressing rationality and objectivity, Weber pioneered research techniques that helped prevent personal biases from unduly affecting the results of sociological investigations.

**Sociology in America**

Although the early development of sociology occurred in Europe, the greatest development of sociology has taken place in the United States. Because sociology has become a science largely through the efforts of American sociologists, it is not surprising that the majority of all sociologists are from the United States. Sociological writings in English are used by sociologists throughout the world, reflecting the global influence of American sociologists.

In 1892, the first department of sociology was established at the University of Chicago. From its founding up to World War II, the sociology department at the University of Chicago stood at the forefront of American sociology. After World War II, sociology departments at eastern universities such as Harvard and Columbia, midwestern universities such as Wisconsin and Michigan, and western universities such as Stanford and the University of California at Berkeley emerged as leaders.

In later chapters we will be studying the works of major American sociologists. Two early contributors, however, who are often left out of the history of American sociology are Jane Addams and W.E.B. DuBois. Although
neither of these remarkable people were researchers or scientists, both were greatly concerned with social problems in America.

**Why should we remember Jane Addams?** The best known of the early women social reformers in the United States was Jane Addams (1860–1935). Although her mother died when she was two years old, Addams’s wealthy father provided a loving and comfortable home for her and her eight brothers and sisters. Addams was an excellent student. Her early education emphasized practical knowledge and the improvement of “the organizations of human society.” She attended the Women’s Medical College of Philadelphia but was compelled to drop out of the school because of illness.

When she was a child, Addams saw many examples of government corruption and business practices that harmed workers. She never forgot their suffering. While on one of her European trips, she saw the work being done to help the poor in London. With this example of social action, Addams began her life’s work seeking social justice. She co-founded Hull House in Chicago’s slums. Here, people who needed refuge—immigrants, the sick, the poor, the aged—could find help.

Addams focused on the problems caused by the imbalance of power among the social classes. She invited sociologists from the University of Chicago to Hull House to witness firsthand the effects of industrialism on the lower class. In addition to her work with the underclass, Addams was active in the woman suffrage and peace movements. As a result of her tireless work for social reform, Addams was awarded the Nobel Peace Prize in 1931—the only sociologist to receive this honor. The irony is that Addams herself suffered a sort of class discrimination. She was not considered a sociologist during her lifetime because she did not teach at a university. She was considered a social worker (then considered a less prestigious career) because she was a woman and because she worked directly with the poor.
Focus on Research

Secondary Analysis: The McDonaldization of Higher Education

Research is to sociology what lab experiments are to chemists. Through the research process sociologists gather information, or data, to help them understand how people behave in social settings. (In the next chapter, you will learn more about how sociologists do research.) The research project described below will give you some idea of how sociologists use already-collected data to study human social behavior.

In this study, George Ritzer investigated how Max Weber’s process of rationalization (see pages 17–18) is being used by a popular fast-food company. Like Weber, Ritzer was interested in the movement of organizations toward ever-increasing efficiency, predictability, calculability, and control. After explaining each of these characteristics, Ritzer applies rationalization to the field of education in what he calls the “McDonaldization” of higher education.

Efficiency refers to the relationship between effort and result. An organization is most efficient when the maximum results are achieved with minimum effort. For example, fast-food restaurants are efficient in part because they transfer work usually done by employees to customers. For example, self-service drink centers allow customers to get refills on drinks while disguising the fact they are waiting on themselves. Calculability involves estimation based on probabilities. High calculability exists when the output, cost, and effort associated with products can be predicted. A McDonald’s manager trains employees to make each Big Mac within a rigid time limit. Predictability pertains to consistency of results. Predictability exists when products turn out as planned. Big Macs are the same everywhere. Control is increased by re-
placing human activity with technology. McDonald’s drink machines stop after a cup has been filled to its prescribed limit.

Because Ritzer believes that McDonald’s restaurants reflect the rationalization process, he refers to the “McDonaldization” of society (1998). His sources of information include newspapers, books, magazines, and industry publications. Since many of you are now thinking about attending college, Ritzer’s findings on the “McUniversity” should be of interest.

Increasingly, students and parents view a college degree as a necessity to compete successfully in the job market. “Shopping” for the right college requires many of the consumer skills used in making any major purchase. This consumer orientation, Ritzer asserts, can be seen on most college campuses in the United States. For example, students want education to be conveniently located and they want it open as long as possible each day. They seek inexpensive parking, efficient service, and short waiting lines. Students want high-quality service at the lowest cost. A “best buy” label in national academic rankings catches the attention of parents and students.

Public colleges and universities, Ritzer contends, are responding to this consumer orientation. They are doing so in part because government funding for higher education is becoming more scarce. To meet reduced funding, colleges and universities are cutting costs and paying more attention to “customers.” For example, Ritzer points to student unions. Many of them are being transformed into mini-malls with fast-food restaurants, video games, and ATMs.

Ritzer predicts that a far-reaching, customer-oriented tactic will be to “McDonaldize” through new technology. The “McUniversity” will still have a central campus, but it will also have convenient satellite locations in community colleges, high schools, businesses, and malls. “Students will ‘drop by’ for a course or two. Parking lots will be adjacent to McUniversity’s satellites (as they are to fast-food restaurants) to make access easy” (Ritzer, 1998: 156).

McDonaldization, Ritzer contends, will dehumanize the process of education. Most instructors at satellites will be part-timers hired to teach one or more courses. They will come and go quickly, so students will not have the opportunity to form relationships as with more permanent faculty members. In order to make the courses alike from satellite to satellite, course content, requirements, and materials will be highly standardized, losing the flavor individual professors bring to their classes. Students will not be able to choose a particular instructor for a course because there will be only one per satellite. Often, there may be no teacher physically present at all. More courses will be delivered by professors televised from distant places.

In spite of these predictions, colleges and universities will not be a chain of fast-food restaurants or a shopping mall, Ritzer concludes. Institutions of higher education will retain many traditional aspects, but there will undoubtedly be a significant degree of McDonaldization.

---

**Working with the Research**

1. Do you think the benefits of the “McUniversity” outweigh the disadvantages? Why or why not?
2. What other industries or professions are being affected by McDonaldization? Give examples.
W.E.B. DuBois (1868–1963), an African American educator and social activist, also influenced the early development of sociology in the United States. DuBois attended an integrated high school in Great Barrington, Massachusetts, and was the first black to receive a diploma there. He earned a doctorate degree from Harvard University in 1895 and taught at a number of predominantly black universities during his career.

DuBois learned firsthand about racial discrimination and segregation when he attended Fisk University in Nashville, Tennessee, as an undergraduate student. Partly from this experience, and from teaching in rural, all-black schools around Nashville, DuBois decided to attack the “Negro problem.” This racist policy was based on the assumption that blacks were an inferior race. DuBois analyzed the sophisticated social structure of black communities, first in Philadelphia and later in many other places.

DuBois’s concern for his race did not stop at the borders of the United States—he was also active in the Pan African movement, which was concerned with the rights of all African descendants, no matter where they lived. While documenting the experience and contributions of African people throughout the world, DuBois died in the African country of Ghana, at the age of ninety-five.

---

**Section 2 Assessment**

1. Define the term *positivism*.
2. Name and explain the theory of social change proposed by Herbert Spencer.
3. Give an example to illustrate Emile Durkheim’s idea of organic solidarity.

**Critical Thinking**

4. **Evaluating Information** Max Weber introduced the concept of *verstehen*. How would you use this approach to social research if you wanted to investigate the importance of money to your peers? Explain.
The Role of Theoretical Perspectives

Perception is the way the brain interprets an image or event. Similarly, perspective is the way you interpret the meaning of an image or event. Your perspective is influenced by beliefs or values you hold. It draws your attention to some things and blinds you to others. This is demonstrated in two drawings psychologists often use to illustrate the concept of perception. (See Figure 1.1.) If you stare at the old woman long enough, she becomes a beautiful young woman with a feather boa around her neck. If you stare at Figure 1.1b, it alternates between two facing profiles and a vase. You cannot, however, see the old woman and the young woman or the faces and the vase at the same time.

Which image is real depends on your focus—your perspective influences what you see. One perspective emphasizes certain aspects of an event, while another perspective accents different aspects of the same event. When a perspective highlights certain parts of something, it must place other parts in the background.

What is a theoretical perspective? A theoretical perspective is a set of assumptions about an area of study—in this case, about the workings of sociology. Sociology includes three major theoretical perspectives. Functionalism views society as an integrated whole. Conflict theory looks at class, race, and gender struggles. Symbolic interactionism examines how group members use shared symbols as they interact.
of society. A theoretical perspective is viewed as true by its supporters and it helps them organize their research.

Competing, even conflicting, theories in science usually exist at the same time. Perhaps not enough evidence exists to determine which theory is accurate, or different theories may explain different aspects of the problem. This is even true in the so-called “hard” sciences like modern physics. Einstein’s theory of general relativity, for example, contradicts the widely accepted Big Bang theory of the origin of the physical universe. Einstein himself never accepted the quantum theory. Nonetheless, this theory has become the foundation of modern developments in such fields as chemistry and molecular biology (Hawking, 1998). Today theories are being put forth that hold promise for combining relativity and quantum theory. If theories still compete in physics, it should not be surprising that several major theoretical perspectives exist in sociology.
Sociology has three overarching theoretical perspectives: functionalism, conflict theory, and symbolic interactionism. Each of these perspectives provides a different slant on human social behavior. The exclusive use of any one of them prevents our seeing other aspects of social behavior, just as one cannot see the old woman and the young woman at the same time. All three perspectives together, however, allow us to see most of the important dimensions of human social behavior.

**Functionalism**

Functionalism emphasizes the contributions (functions) of each part of a society. For example, family, economy, and religion are “parts” of a society. The family contributes to society by providing for the reproduction and care of its new members. The economy contributes by dealing with production, distribution, and consumption of goods and services. Religion contributes by emphasizing beliefs and practices related to sacred things.

**How does functionalism explain social change?** Functionalists see the parts of a society as an integrated whole. A change in one part of a society leads to changes in other parts. A major change in the economy, for example, may change the family—which is precisely what happened as a result of the Industrial Revolution. Before the Industrial Revolution, when most people made their living by farming, a large farm labor force was needed. Families fulfilled this need by having many children. The need disappeared as industrialization proceeded, and smaller families became the norm.

Functionalism assumes that societies tend to return to a state of stability after some upheaval has occurred. A society may change over time, but functionalists believe that it will return to a stable state. It will do this by changing in such a way that society will be similar to what it was before. Student unrest and other protests during the late 1960s illustrate this. The activities of protesters helped bring about some changes:

❖ Many Americans became suspicious of the federal government’s foreign policy.
❖ Schools and universities became more responsive to students’ needs and goals.
❖ Environmental protection became an important political issue to many Americans.

These changes, however, have not revolutionized American society. They have been absorbed into it. As a result, our society is only somewhat different from the way it was before the student unrest. In fact, most of the student radicals are now part of the middle-class society they once rejected.

Because of social and economic changes, norms that dictate women’s roles have changed greatly over the years. Functionalists study how a change in one part of a society affects other parts.
Do all functions have a positive effect? Most aspects of a society exist to promote a society’s survival and welfare. It is for this reason that all complex societies have economies, families, governments, and religions. If these elements did not contribute to a society’s well-being and survival, they would disappear.

Recall that a function is a contribution made by some part of a society. According to Robert Merton (1996), there are two kinds of functions. Manifest functions are intended and recognized. Latent functions are unintended and unrecognized. One of the manifest functions of school, for example, is to teach math skills. A latent (and positive) function of schools is the development of close friendships.

Not all elements of a society make a positive contribution. Elements that have negative consequences result in dysfunction. Dysfunctions of bureaucracies, for example, include rigidity, inefficiency, and impersonality. When you go to the division of motor vehicles to register your car or get your driver’s license, the clerk may treat you like a “number” rather than as an individual. You don’t like his bureaucratic inflexibility and impersonality.

How does functionalism view values? Finally, according to functionalism, there is a consensus on values. Most Americans, for example, agree on the desirability of democracy, success, and equal opportunity. This consensus of values, say the functionalists, accounts for the high degree of cooperation found in any society.

---

**manifest functions**
intended and recognized consequences of an aspect of society

**latent functions**
unintended and unrecognized consequences of an aspect of society

**dysfunction**
negative consequence of an aspect of society
**Figure 1.2 Focus on Theoretical Perspectives**

**Assumptions of the Major Theoretical Perspectives.** This table compares the most important assumptions of the functionalist, conflict, and symbolic interactionist perspectives. Do you believe, as the functionalists do, that society is relatively well integrated? Or do you support the conflict theorists’ assumption that society experiences conflict on all levels?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functionalism</th>
<th>Conflict Perspective</th>
<th>Symbolic Interactionism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. A society is a relatively integrated whole.</td>
<td>1. A society experiences inconsistency and conflict everywhere.</td>
<td>1. People's interpretations of symbols are based on the meanings they learn from others.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. A society tends to seek relative stability.</td>
<td>2. A society is continually subjected to change.</td>
<td>2. People base their interaction on their interpretations of symbols.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Most aspects of a society contribute to the society's well-being and survival.</td>
<td>3. A society involves the constraint and coercion of some members by others.</td>
<td>3. Symbols permit people to have internal conversations. Thus, they can gear their interaction to the behavior that they think others expect of them and the behavior they expect of others.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. A society rests on the consensus of its members.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conflict Perspective**

The **conflict perspective** emphasizes conflict, competition, change, and constraint within a society (Giddens, 1987, 1997). Understanding the conflict perspective is easier when you understand functionalism, because the assumptions behind these two perspectives are the reverse of each other. This is shown in Figure 1.2 above.

**What is the role of conflict and constraint?** Functionals see a basic agreement on values within a society. This leads them to emphasize the ways people cooperate to reach common goals. The conflict perspective, in contrast, focuses on the disagreements among various groups in a society or between societies. Groups and societies compete as they attempt to preserve and promote their own special values and interests.

Supporters of the conflict perspective, then, see social living as a contest. Their central question is “Who gets what?” It is those with the most **power**—the ability to control the behavior of others—who get the largest share of...
The number of Americans paying for an on-line Internet service is skyrocketing. The Internet began as a way for military and scientific users to share information after a nuclear war. ARPAnet (the Internet’s forerunner) was formed in 1969 with only four connected computers. By 2000, an estimated 55 percent of Americans had access to the Internet. According to some estimates, there will be more than 500 million users worldwide by the year 2003.

Because of its rapid spread through American society, cyberspace technology is a timely example for showcasing the usefulness of the three theoretical perspectives. The viewpoints of functionalism, conflict theory, and symbolic interactionism contribute to an understanding of the social implications of this new technology in very different ways.

**Functionalism.** Functionalists see cyberspace technology as having both positive and negative consequences. On the one hand, computer links bring advantages. Parents can work at home and spend more time with their children. Individuals with disabilities can do jobs at home that would be denied them otherwise, thus becoming more fully integrated into society. On the other hand, there are dysfunctions. Young people may have easy access to pornographic material, which can distort their view of the opposite sex. Hate groups can be formed by strangers who live hundreds or thousands of miles apart. Their anonymity may encourage them to engage in antisocial or violent behavior that they would otherwise avoid.

**Conflict Theory.** The Internet is clearly changing American society. The Internet, conflict theorists point out, is contributing to the increasing speed of technological change. An advocate of conflict theory might investigate the social instability created by this rapid change. Workers may be let go by corporations in increasing numbers as more tasks are performed by computers.

Conflict theory could guide an investigation comparing the numbers of computers used in school districts of varying socioeconomic levels. Computer literacy is becoming an essential skill for obtaining a well-paying job. Thus, students who attend wealthy schools with whatever is considered valuable in a society. Those with the most power have the most wealth, prestige, and privileges. Because some groups have more power than others, they are able to constrain, or limit, the less powerful.

**How does the conflict perspective explain social change?** Many conflicting groups exist in a society. As the balance of power among these groups shifts, change occurs. For example, the women’s movement is attempting to change the balance of power between men and women. As this movement progresses, we see larger numbers of women in occupations once limited to men. More women are either making or influencing decisions in business, politics, medicine, and law. Gender relations are changing in other ways as well. More women are choosing to remain single, to marry later in life, to have fewer children, and to divide household tasks with their husbands. According to the conflict perspective, these changes are the result of increasing power among women.
access to computers have an advantage over students in poorer schools.

**Symbolic Interactionism.** Symbolic interactionists are interested in how the Internet can affect a child’s social development. The popularity of cartoon characters on television is reinforced by web pages that allow children to join fan clubs, interact with other fans, and view video clips of their favorite cartoon characters whenever they want. The popular cartoons *The Simpsons* and *South Park* feature children behaving in ways unacceptable in nearly all American homes. Television provides limited exposure to these characters, but the Internet allows them to become an important part of a child’s daily life. What children come to accept as desirable behavior is being based increasingly on their interpretations of the symbols and behaviors represented by these characters. Symbolic interactionists might conclude that to the extent this occurs, the Internet lessens adult influence on children.

**Analyzing the Trends**

1. Which perspective would you choose to conduct an in-depth study of the Internet’s effect on society? Explain why you chose this perspective.
2. Do you think that the Internet has some dysfunctions that Americans should consider? Consider the advantages and disadvantages of the Internet on society.

**Which perspective is better?** There is no “better” theoretical perspective. Each perspective highlights certain areas of social life. The advantages of one perspective are the disadvantages of the other. Functionalism explains much of the consensus, stability, and cooperation within a society. The conflict perspective explains much of the constraint, conflict, and change. Each chapter, throughout the text, will illustrate both perspectives, as well as the perspective discussed next—*symbolic interactionism.*

**Symbolic Interactionism**

Both functionalism and conflict theory deal with large social units, such as the economy, and broad social processes, such as conflict among social classes. At the close of the nineteenth century, some sociologists began to
change their approach to the study of society. Instead of concentrating on large social structures, they began to recognize the importance of the ways people interact. Two sociologists, Charles Horton Cooley and George Herbert Mead, developed the insight that groups exist only because their members influence each other's behavior. These early American sociologists, in short, created symbolic interactionism, a perspective that focuses on the actual interaction among people.

What is the significance of symbols in symbolic interactionism? To understand social interactionism, we need to talk first about symbols. A symbol is something chosen to represent something else. It may be an object, a word, a gesture, a facial expression, a sound. A symbol is something observable that often represents something not observable, something that is abstract. For example, your school’s team mascot is often used as a symbol of school loyalty. The American flag is used as a symbol of the United States.

The meaning of a symbol is not determined by its own physical characteristics. Those who create and use the symbols assign the meanings to them. If people in a group do not share the same meanings for a given symbol, confusion results. For example, if some people interpreted the red light of a traffic signal to mean go, while others interpreted it to mean stop, chaos would result.

The importance of shared symbols is reflected in the formal definition of symbolic interactionism. It is the theoretical perspective that focuses on interaction among people—interaction based on mutually understood symbols.

What are the basic assumptions of symbolic interactionism? Herbert Blumer (1969a, 1969b), who coined the term symbolic interactionism, outlined three assumptions central to this perspective. (Refer to Figure 1.2 on page 27.)

First, according to symbolic interactionism, we learn the meaning of a symbol from the way we see others reacting to it. For example, American musicians in Latin America soon learn that when audience members whistle at the end of a performance, they are expressing disapproval. In other words, their whistling is a symbol of disapproval, as booing is in the United States.
Second, once we learn the meanings of symbols, we base our behavior (interaction) on them. Now that the musicians have learned that whistling symbolizes a negative response, they will definitely avoid an encore if the crowd begins whistling. (They would likely have the opposite response in the United States, where the symbol of whistling has a very different meaning.)

Finally, we use the meanings of symbols to imagine how others will respond to our behavior. Through this capability, we can have “internal conversations” with ourselves. These conversations enable us to visualize how others will respond to us before we act. This is crucial because we guide our interactions with people according to the behavior we think others expect of us and we expect of others. Meanwhile, these others are also having internal conversations. The interaction (acting on each other) that follows is therefore symbolic interaction.

In an attempt to better understand human interaction, Erving Goffman introduced dramaturgy, which depicts human interaction as theatrical performance (Goffman, 1961a, 1963, 1974, 1979, 1983; Lemert and Branaman, 1997). Like actors on a stage, people present themselves through dress, gestures, tone of voice. Teenagers sometimes act in a particular way in order to attract the attention of someone they want to like them. Goffman calls this presentation of self or impression management.

Section 3 Assessment

1. What is a theoretical perspective?
2. Indicate whether the following statements represent functionalism (F), the conflict perspective (C), or symbolic interactionism (S).
   a. Societies are in relative balance.
   b. Power is one of the most important elements in social life.
   c. Religion helps hold a society together morally.
   d. Symbols are crucial to social life.
   e. Many elements of a society exist to benefit the powerful.
   f. Different segments of a society compete to achieve their own self-interest rather than cooperate to benefit others.
   g. Social life should be understood from the viewpoint of the individuals involved.
   h. Social change is constantly occurring.
   i. Conflict is harmful and disruptive to society.
3. Does dramaturgy explain human interaction in a way that is meaningful to you? Why or why not?

Critical Thinking

4. Analyzing Information Think of an aspect of human social behavior (for example, dating or team sports) that you would like to know more about. Which of the three theoretical perspectives would you use to help you understand this aspect of behavior? Explain your choice.

According to symbolic interactionism, social life can be likened to a theatrical performance. Don’t we convey as much about ourselves in the way we dress as do the actors above?

A person gets from a symbol the meaning he puts into it, and what is one man’s comfort and inspiration is another’s jest and scorn.

William Shakespeare
English playwright
Section 1: The Sociological Perspective

Main Idea: Sociology studies human social behavior. It assumes a group, rather than an individual perspective. Sociologists look for the patterns in social relationships. Individuals can benefit by using their sociological imaginations to look at events in their personal lives.

Section 2: The Origins of Sociology

Main Idea: Sociology is a young science. It started with the writings of European scholars like Auguste Comte, Harriet Martineau, Herbert Spencer, Karl Marx, Emile Durkheim, and Max Weber. Jane Addams and W.E.B. DuBois helped to focus America’s attention on social issues. After World War II, America took the lead in developing the field of sociology.

Section 3: Theoretical Perspectives

Main Idea: Sociology includes three major theoretical perspectives. Functionalism views society as an integrated whole. Conflict theory looks at class, race, and gender struggles. Symbolic interactionism examines how group members use shared symbols as they interact.

Reviewing Vocabulary

Complete each sentence using each term once.

a. mechanical solidarity
b. positivism
c. social structure
d. bourgeoisie
e. sociology
f. symbol
g. latent function
h. conflict perspective
i. presentation of self
j. theoretical perspective

1. ___________ is a set of assumptions accepted as true by supporters.
2. The perspective that emphasizes conflict is called ___________.
3. ___________ is an unintended and unrecognized consequence of some element of a society.
4. ___________ is the way that people attempt to make a favorable impression of themselves in the minds of others.
5. The patterned interaction of people in social relationships is called ___________.
6. ___________ is the study of social structure from a scientific perspective.
7. The use of observation, experimentation and other methods to study social life is known as ___________.
8. A ___________ is something that stands for or represents something else.
9. ___________ is social unity based on a consensus of values and norms, strong social pressure to conform and a dependence on family and tradition.
10. The ___________ are members of an industrial society who own the means for producing wealth.

Reviewing the Facts

1. According to C. Wright Mills, what is the sociological imagination?
2. Explain “sociology” as defined in this chapter.
3. What did Herbert Spencer believe about the relationship between people, progress and social change?

4. List and explain the three sociological perspectives.

5. What are manifest functions and latent functions? Provide an example of each.

6. Using the chart below, give a major idea expressed by each of the sociologists listed. Briefly explain each idea. The first one has been completed. Use this as your model and complete the chart.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sociologist</th>
<th>Major Idea</th>
<th>Brief Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Karl Marx</td>
<td>class conflict</td>
<td>Struggle between bourgeoisie class (owners) and the proletariat class (workers)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max Weber</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auguste Comte</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emile Durkheim</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. **Making Comparisons** Both a sociologist and a psychologist would be interested in the ACT (achievement) and SAT (assessment) test scores of high school students. Consider how the scientific interest of the sociologist would differ from that of the psychologist. Compare the similarities and contrast the differences.

6. **Categorizing Information** Merton’s theory of manifest and latent functions (see page 26) could be easily applied to high schools. Using your particular school as a model, identify three manifest functions of high schools and three latent functions of high schools.

7. **Evaluating Information** You must select one of the job opportunities in sociology listed on page 12 for your career. Which one would you choose? Suggest ways in which the job fits your personality, abilities, interests, and ambitions.

8. **Analyzing Information** Spitting in public is not an appropriate behavior, but people “spit” all the time. When we drink soda, we usually leave a little spit in the can. When we kiss someone, we are transmitting spit. We don’t think of it in these terms, because in some cases we call spit by a more scientific term—saliva. How would the sociologist perspective help to understand and explain why we flip back and forth between the two terms?

---

**Sociology Projects**

1. **Theoretical Perspectives** Based on what you read about the Internet from the functionalist, conflict, and symbolic interactionist perspectives, how is each perspective useful in understanding the popularity of the Internet? Write a brief statement describing how each perspective would approach this issue. You might see
positive or negative effects, depending upon your interpretation. (For instance, the conflict perspective may focus on the fact that the underprivileged classes would not have full access because of the cost of the hardware and therefore decreased power.)

2. **Developing a Commercial** Develop a commercial for sociology using a video camera. Think of the field of sociology as a product to sell. Market it as “a way to improve your understanding of the world around you.”

3. **Observations** Go to a public place (such as a mass, school cafeteria, or restaurant) and discreetly observe people there for 15 minutes. It is important that you do not appear to be spying on individuals, both because it may be interpreted as being rude and also because it would probably affect their normal behaviors. Write down your observations, noting such details as the type of dress, general interactions, and level of activity. Do not assume any value judgments about your subjects; just make factual observations.

When you return home, rewrite your observations applying the sociological concepts in this chapter. Consider and list the ways your second analysis is different from the first. Compare and contrast them. How does sociology help to describe what you observed? What might you want to study from your observation?

4. **Sociology and Careers** Research one of the career options for sociology majors that interest you. Look for such important information as the education requirements, income expectations, and management opportunities. Write a short report on the advantages and disadvantages of that particular career in sociology.

### Technology Activities

1. In this chapter, you learned about several of the founders of sociology and their contributions to the field. To learn more about these sociologists and others, go to the Dead Sociologists web page at [http://raven.jmu.edu/~ridenelr/DSS](http://raven.jmu.edu/~ridenelr/DSS). Select three sociologists named on the web site who were not included in the textbook and create a database including their year of birth, place of birth, and primary contributions they made to sociology.

2. Use the Internet to do further research on the pioneers of sociology. Design a poster representing the pioneers in sociology. Describe each one’s basic ideas, including their theories and information attained through research. You may want to start your research at the Dead Sociologists web page listed above.

3. Write or use the Internet to contact the American Sociological Association and request the booklet “Majoring in Sociology.” Using standard grammar, spelling, sentence structure, and punctuation, prepare a report for your class from the information. (The address is American Sociological Association, 1722 N. Street NW, Washington, DC 20036. For Internet access, the URL is [www.asanet.org](http://www.asanet.org).)
The sociologist . . . is a person intensively, endlessly, shamelessly interested in the doings of men. His natural habitat is all the human gathering places of the world, wherever men come together. The sociologist may be interested in many other things. But his consuming interest remains in the world of men, their institutions, their history, their passions. And since he is interested in men, nothing that men do can be altogether tedious for him. He will naturally be interested in the events that engage men's ultimate beliefs, their moments of tragedy and grandeur and ecstasy. But he will also be fascinated by the commonplace, the everyday. He will know reverence, but this reverence will not prevent him from wanting to see and to understand. He may sometimes feel revulsion or contempt. But this also will not deter him from wanting to have his questions answered. The sociologist, in his quest for understanding, moves through the world of men without respect for the usual lines of demarcation. Nobility and degradation, power and obscurity, intelligence and folly—these are equally interesting to him, however unequal they may be in his personal values or tastes. Thus his questions may lead him to all possible levels of society, the best and the least known places, the most respected and the most despised. And, if he is a good sociologist, he will find himself in all these places because his own questions have so taken possession of him that he has little choice but to seek for answers. . . .

The sociologist moves in the common world of men, close to what most of them would call real. As a result, there is a deceptive simplicity and obviousness about some sociological investigations. One reads them, nods at the familiar scene, remarks that one has heard all this before and concludes that people have better things to do than to waste their time on truisms—until one is suddenly brought up against an insight that radically questions everything one had previously assumed about this familiar scene. This is the point at which one begins to sense the excitement of sociology.

It can be said that the first wisdom of sociology is this—things are not what they seem. This . . . is a deceptively simple statement. It ceases to be simple after a while. Social reality turns out to have many layers of meaning. The discovery of each new layer changes the perception of the whole.

People who feel no temptation before closed doors, who have no curiosity about human beings, who are content to admire scenery without wondering about the people who live in those houses on the other side of that river, should probably . . . stay away from sociology. And people whose interest is mainly in their own conceptual constructions will do just as well to turn to the study of little white mice. Sociology will be satisfying, in the long run, only to those who can think of nothing more entrancing than to watch men and to understand things human.

CHAPTER 2

Sociologists Doing Research
Your Sociological Imagination

Two headlines appear on the front page of two different papers in the newsstand. The first reads “Cure for Alzheimer’s disease just around the corner.” The second, while more accurate, is less exciting. It reads “Scientists cautiously declare that a promising—but as yet unduplicated—test result may lead to some small progress in the long-term effort to prevent Alzheimer’s disease.”

Which paper do you think would sell more copies? Like savvy news editors, you probably know that both fear and hope are emotions that sell papers. For this reason, research results, especially on social and health studies, are often exaggerated by the media.

We routinely read that tomato sauce can prevent prostate cancer, that tea prevents heart disease, and that eating blueberries can reduce the effects of aging and improve short-term memory. On the other hand, milk, eggs, anger, too-strict parenting, too-lax parenting, and marrying before age thirty have all been blamed for various deadly diseases and social disorders. To further complicate matters, stories often contradict each other from week to week. Caffeine, fish, milk, and butter are only some of the products that can heal or harm, depending on the date.

People who know what questions to ask about research reports can better protect themselves from acting on inaccurate information. Chapter 2 will look at some of the basic research methods used by sociologists and explore the area of ethics in social research.

Sections

1. Research Methods
2. Causation in Science
3. Procedures and Ethics in Research

Learning Objectives

After reading this chapter, you will be able to
❖ describe the basic quantitative and qualitative research methods used by sociologists.
❖ discuss basic research concepts, including variables and correlations.
❖ list the standards for proving cause-and-effect relationships.
❖ explain the steps sociologists use to guide their research.
❖ discuss ethics in sociological research.

Chapter Overview
Visit the Sociology and You Web site at soc.glencoe.com and click on Chapter 2—Chapter Overviews to preview chapter information.
Like all scientists, sociologists gain their knowledge by doing research. The goal of sociological research is to test common sense assumptions and replace false ideas with facts and evidence. Part of the sociological perspective is to ask “why” and “how” questions and then to form hypotheses to arrive at accurate understandings.

Social scientists differ from other scientists, however, in how they conduct much of their research. Unlike chemists, biologists, or physicists, sociologists (and often psychologists) are very limited in their ability to set up laboratory experiments to replicate real-life conditions. Even if they reproduce conditions as they are in the outside world, the ethical issues involved in manipulating people and controlling events would prevent most sociologists from pursuing this kind of research. For sociologists, the world is their laboratory.

How then do sociologists do research? The methods that sociologists rely on are described below. These methods are classified as either quantitative or qualitative. Quantitative research uses numerical data, while qualitative research uses descriptive rather than numerical data. Field studies are best used when interaction needs to be observed in a natural setting, and when in-depth analysis is needed. The case study is the most popular approach to field research.

Survey Research

The survey, in which people are asked to answer a series of questions, is the most widely used research method among sociologists. It is ideal for studying large numbers of people.

Like all scientists, sociologists gain their knowledge by doing research. The goal of sociological research is to test common sense assumptions and replace false ideas with facts and evidence. Part of the sociological perspective is to ask “why” and “how” questions and then to form hypotheses to arrive at accurate understandings.

Social scientists differ from other scientists, however, in how they conduct much of their research. Unlike chemists, biologists, or physicists, sociologists (and often psychologists) are very limited in their ability to set up laboratory experiments to replicate real-life conditions. Even if they reproduce conditions as they are in the outside world, the ethical issues involved in manipulating people and controlling events would prevent most sociologists from pursuing this kind of research. For sociologists, the world is their laboratory.

How then do sociologists do research? The methods that sociologists rely on are described below. These methods are classified as either quantitative or qualitative. Quantitative research uses numerical data, while qualitative research uses descriptive rather than numerical data. Field studies are best used when interaction needs to be observed in a natural setting, and when in-depth analysis is needed. The case study is the most popular approach to field research.

Survey Research

The survey, in which people are asked to answer a series of questions, is the most widely used research method among sociologists. It is ideal for studying large numbers of people.

The survey is the most widely used research method for collecting data in sociology.
How are effective surveys conducted?  In survey research, care must be taken that surveys are sent to the right number and type of people (Black, 1998). Researchers describe the people surveyed in terms of populations and samples.

A population is all those people with the characteristics a researcher wants to study. A population could be all high school seniors in the United States, all retired postal workers living in Connecticut, or the number of freshmen who buy school yearbooks.

Sociologists would like to collect information on all members of a population, but most populations are too large. Surveys including the entire population would cost too much and take too long for most research projects. Instead, a sample is drawn. A sample is a limited number of cases drawn from the larger population. A sample must be selected carefully if it is to have the same basic characteristics as the general population—that is, if it is to be a representative sample. If a sample is not representative of the population from which it is drawn, the survey findings cannot be used to make generalizations about the entire population. For example, if you were to conduct a survey using ten students from an advanced biology class, this sample would not be representative of your school. On the other hand, if you randomly selected ten students who walked into the school cafeteria for your survey, these students would probably be more representative of the student body. The sample would probably be too small, however, to give accurate results. The United States Census Bureau regularly uses sample surveys in its highly accurate work. The Gallup Poll and Harris Poll are recognized all over the country as reliable indicators of national trends and public opinion because they use representative samples in their surveys.

How are representative samples selected?  The standard way of getting a representative sample is by random, or chance, selection. A random sample can be selected by assigning each member of the population a number and then drawing numbers from a container after they have been thoroughly scrambled. An easier and more practical method uses a table of random numbers. After each member of the population has been assigned a number, the researcher begins with any number in the table and goes down the list until enough subjects have been selected.
How is survey information gathered? In surveys, information is obtained through either a questionnaire or an interview. A questionnaire is a written set of questions that survey participants answer by themselves. In an interview, a trained interviewer asks questions and records the answers. Questionnaires and interviews may contain closed-ended or open-ended questions.

Closed-ended questions are those that a person answers by choosing from a limited, predetermined set of responses. Multiple choice questions are closed ended, for example. Because participants are limited to certain responses, closed-ended questions sometimes fail to uncover underlying attitudes and opinions. On the positive side, closed-ended questions make answers easier to tabulate and compare.

Figure 2.1 Closed-ended and Open-ended Questions

Examples of Closed-Ended Questions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Most schoolteachers really don't know what they are talking about.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. To get ahead in life, you have to get a good education.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. My parents encouraged me to get a good education.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. School is a lonely place.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Too much emphasis is put on education these days.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Most students cheat on tests.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Examples of Open-Ended Questions

1. In your own words, please describe your views on the education you have received so far.

2. Do you think school adequately prepares you for employment? Why or why not?
Open-ended questions ask the person to answer in his or her own words. Answers to open-ended questions can reveal many attitudes. However, these answers are not easy to quantify or compare. Another problem may arise if an interviewer changes the meaning of questions by rephrasing them. The same question phrased in different ways can place the emphasis on different issues and evoke different responses.

Secondary Analysis

Using precollected information—that is, information someone else has already gathered—is known as secondary analysis. It is a well-respected method of collecting data in sociology. In fact, the first sociologist to use statistics in a sociological study—Emile Durkheim—relied on precollected data. (See Focus on Research on page 56.)

What are some sources for secondary analysis? Types of precollected data include government reports, company records, voting lists, prison records, and reports of research done by other social scientists.

The United States Census Bureau is one of the most important sources of precollected data for American sociologists. The Census Bureau collects information on the total population every ten years and conducts countless specific surveys every year. The census contains detailed information on such topics as income, education, race, sex, age, marital status, occupation, and death and birth rates.

Other government agencies also collect information that is of great value to sociologists. The U.S. Department of Labor regularly collects information on the nation’s income and unemployment levels across a variety of jobs. The U.S. Department of Commerce issues monthly reports on various aspects of the economy.
Unit 1 Sociological Perspectives

Field Research

Qualitative research uses narrative or descriptive data rather than quantitative, numerical data. Some aspects of society can best be revealed by qualitative methods. Most of these methods fall under the heading of field research. Field research looks closely at aspects of social life that cannot be measured quantitatively and that are best understood within a natural setting. High school cliques and “jock” culture are examples of topics best studied by field research.

When do sociologists use case studies? The most often used approach to field research is the case study—a thorough investigation of a single group, incident, or community. This method assumes that the findings in one case can be generalized to similar situations. The conclusions of a study on drug use in Chicago, for example, should apply to other large cities as well. It is the researcher’s responsibility to point out the factors in the study that are unique and that would not apply to other situations.

When do case studies involve participant observation? In participant observation, a researcher becomes a member of the group being studied. A researcher may join a group with or without informing its members that he or she is a sociologist.

A compelling account of undercover participant observation appears in Black Like Me, a book written by John Howard Griffin (1961). Griffin, a white journalist, dyed his skin to study the life of African Americans in the South. Although he had previously visited the South as a white man, his experiences while posing as an African American were quite different.

Participant researchers sometimes do not keep their identities secret. Elliot Liebow studied disadvantaged African American males. Even though he was a white outsider, Liebow was allowed to participate in the daily activities of the men. He said, “The people I was observing knew that I was observing them, yet they allowed me to participate in their activities and take part in their lives to a degree that continues to surprise me” (Liebow, 1967:253).

Figure 2.3 Secondary Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>❖ Precollected data provide sociologists with inexpensive, high-quality information.</td>
<td>❖ The existing information may not exactly suit the researcher’s needs because it was gathered for a different reason.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>❖ Existing sources of information permit the study of a topic over a long period of time. (With census data, for example, we can trace the changes in the relative income levels of African Americans and whites.)</td>
<td>❖ Sometimes precollected data are outdated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>❖ The researcher cannot influence answers because the data have been collected by others.</td>
<td>❖ Little may be known about collection methods. The people who first collected the data or the collection methods may have been biased.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Theoretical Research Perspective Method Approach to the Research Question

A questionnaire on violence in high schools is sent to a national, random sample of principals. The survey examines a possible relationship between incidence of school violence and level of school funding.

A particular high school with low funding is studied with respect to a relationship between school violence and school funding. Researchers interview administrators, teachers, and students.

Concealing her identity, a researcher takes a temporary job at a high school with low funding. She attempts to observe covertly a possible link between school violence and school funding.

---

**Figure 2.4 Focus on Theoretical Perspectives**

**Investigating School Violence and School Funding.** This table illustrates the research method a sociologist of a particular theoretical persuasion would most likely choose to investigate school violence and school funding. Any of the three sociologists, of course, could use any of the three research methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theoretical Perspective</th>
<th>Research Method</th>
<th>Approach to the Research Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Functionalism</td>
<td>Survey</td>
<td>A questionnaire on violence in high schools is sent to a national, random sample of principals. The survey examines a possible relationship between incidence of school violence and level of school funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conflict Theory</td>
<td>Case Study</td>
<td>A particular high school with low funding is studied with respect to a relationship between school violence and school funding. Researchers interview administrators, teachers, and students.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbolic Interactionism</td>
<td>Participant Observation</td>
<td>Concealing her identity, a researcher takes a temporary job at a high school with low funding. She attempts to observe covertly a possible link between school violence and school funding.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sometimes field research requires going undercover, something that occurred in the movie Never Been Kissed (starring Drew Barrymore, pictured here with fans of the movie). When this happens in real life, however, sociologists have a responsibility to make sure they meet the standards of the Code of Ethics.

Section 1 Assessment

Match terms a–e with statements 1–5.

1. selected on the basis of chance, so that each member of a population has an equal opportunity of being selected
2. all those people with the characteristics the researcher wants to study within the context of a particular research question
3. a limited number of cases drawn from the larger population
4. a sample that has basically the same relevant characteristics as the population
5. the research method in which people are asked to answer a series of questions
6. Provide an example of using precollected data.
7. For what reasons would you use participant observation instead of a survey?

Critical Thinking

8. Analyzing Information Do you think that selecting a sample of three thousand individuals could yield an accurate picture of the eating habits of Americans? Why or why not?
9. Drawing Conclusions You are a sociologist who wants to see if receiving welfare benefits affects long-term job commitment. Describe the research method you would use. Why is the method you chose the best for this topic?
10. Synthesizing Information Suggest several areas in your own school or community where field research could be used for a research project.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Research Method</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quantitative Methods</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survey Research</td>
<td>People answer a series of questions, usually predetermined.</td>
<td>◆ Precision and comparability of answers</td>
<td>◆ Expensive due to large numbers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>◆ Use of statistical techniques</td>
<td>◆ Low response rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>◆ Information on large numbers of people</td>
<td>◆ Phrasing of questions introduces bias in favor of certain answers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>◆ Detailed analysis</td>
<td>◆ Researchers’ behavior can affect answers given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Analysis</td>
<td>Information gathered by one researcher is used by another researcher for a different purpose.</td>
<td>◆ Inexpensive</td>
<td>◆ Information collected for a different reason may not suit another researcher’s needs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>◆ Can study a topic over a long period of time</td>
<td>◆ Original researcher may have already introduced biases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>◆ Researcher’s influence on subjects avoided</td>
<td>◆ Information may be outdated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment</td>
<td>Research occurs in a laboratory setting with a minimum of contaminating influences (not often used in social research).</td>
<td>◆ Can be replicated with precision</td>
<td>◆ Laboratory environment is artificial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>◆ Variables can be manipulated</td>
<td>◆ Not suited to most sociological research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>◆ Can be relatively inexpensive</td>
<td>◆ Number of variables studied is limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>◆ Permits the establishment of causation (rather than just correlation)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Qualitative Methods</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case Study</td>
<td>Thorough investigation is done of a small group, incident, or community.</td>
<td>◆ Provides depth of understanding from group members’ viewpoint</td>
<td>◆ Difficult to generalize findings from one group to another group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>◆ Unexpected discoveries and new insights can be incorporated into the research</td>
<td>◆ Presence of researcher can influence results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>◆ Permits the study of social behavior not feasible with quantitative methods</td>
<td>◆ Hard to duplicate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>◆ Takes lots of time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>◆ Difficult to be accepted as a group member (in case of participant observation)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Using Basic Statistical Measures

Statistics are methods used for tabulating, analyzing, and presenting quantitative data. Sociologists, like all scientists, use statistical measures. You will encounter certain statistical measures in this textbook and in periodicals such as *Time, Newsweek,* or *The Economist.* Among the basic statistical measures are averages—including modes, means, and medians.

An *average* is a single number representing the distribution of several figures. For example, suppose the following figures are the salaries of the nine highest-paid major league baseball players:

- $3,300,000 (catcher)
- $4,200,000 (center field)
- $4,900,000 (starting pitcher)
- $3,600,000 (second base)
- $4,300,000 (shortstop)
- $5,300,000 (left field)
- $3,600,000 (third base)
- $4,500,000 (first base)
- $6,100,000 (right field)

There are three kinds of averages that will make these numerical values more meaningful. Each gives a slightly different picture.

❖ **The mode** is the number that occurs most frequently. In this case, it is $3,600,000, which occurs twice. The mode is appropriate only when the objective is to indicate the most popular number. Suppose a researcher investigating these major league baseball salaries reported the mode alone. Readers would be misled, because the mode would give them no hint of the wide range of salaries ($3,300,000 to $6,100,000).

❖ **The mean** is the measure closest to the everyday meaning of the term *average.* It lies somewhere in the middle of a range. The mean of the salary figures above—$4,422,222—is calculated by adding all of the salaries together ($39,800,000) and dividing by the number of salaries (9). The mean, unlike the mode, takes all of the figures into account. It is distorted, however, by the highest figure, $6,100,000. Although one player earns $6,100,000, most players make considerably less—the highest-paid player earns nearly twice as much as the lowest-paid...
player. The mean is distorted when there are extreme values at either the high or the low end of a scale. The mean is more accurate when the high and low values are not widely separated.

❖ The median is the number that divides a series of values in half. Half of the values lie above the median, half below. In this example, the median is $4,300,000. Half of the salaries are above $4,300,000, and half are below it. The advantage here is that the median is not distorted by extremes at either end. If the median falls between two numbers, the average of those two numbers becomes the median.

Working with Statistics

1. Cassie collected newspapers for a recycling plant at the rate of $2.30 per pound of paper. On consecutive days she turned in the following weights: 12 lbs., 13 lbs., 8 lbs., 22 lbs., 8.5 lbs., 13 lbs., and 19 lbs. What was her average pay per day? What was the median pay?

2. The grades on a student’s sociology quizzes for a six-week period were 99 percent, 99 percent, 68 percent, 99 percent, 75 percent, and 80 percent. Determine the mean score, the mode, and the median score for that student.

Evaluating Internet Resources

The Internet is one of the most exciting research tools developed in the last century. It can put a library of the most current information at your fingertips. Like every tool, however, it is only as good as its operator. Reading the “instruction manual” and following a few basic “safety rules” will ensure that you get the best results from your online research efforts.

To determine if the site is a valid one, consider the source material. The questions on the following page will help you evaluate the reliability of the information. They will also let you deselect those sources (or articles) that are not particularly relevant to your needs.

The World Champion New York Yankees celebrate after winning the World Series. This win might result in salary increases for next year.
WHO
❖ Who maintains the site? An established authority? An organization? A government?
❖ Who produced the information? Is he or she qualified, a noted authority? Are you sure?
❖ Has the site been reviewed, recommended, or given an award? By whom?
❖ Are there standards or selection criteria that must be met for information to be on this site?
❖ Can the webmaster be contacted by e-mail?

WHAT
❖ Is the information reliable?
❖ Is the information original?
❖ Is the information scholarly, professional, popular? Is there documentation?
❖ Is the site comprehensive? Are other sites more comprehensive?
❖ Does the site contain information, links to other sites, or both?

WHEN
❖ Is the information up-to-date? Are other sites more current?
❖ When was the site last updated? How often is the site updated?
❖ Do the links work?

WHERE
❖ From where was the information derived?
❖ Is the information based on personal opinion, experience, interviews, library research, questionnaires or laboratory experiments?
❖ How did you access the information on the Internet (for example, web, ftp, telnet, listserv, newsgroup, e-mail)?

HOW
❖ How is the information presented? Is it presented clearly, accurately, and objectively?
❖ Is there distortion or bias in meaning?
❖ Is more than one viewpoint represented?
❖ Is the information modified in any way?

WHY
❖ Why is the information being presented?
❖ What is the purpose/motive? Is it easy to determine the purpose?
❖ Who is the intended audience?
❖ Does a sponsoring organization influence what is published here? Is there an e-mail or “snail mail” address to contact the organization?
❖ Does the information suit your purpose?

Applying Skills
Select a sociology-related Internet site. Evaluate it based on the criteria above.

Reading Tables and Graphs

Tables and graphs present information concisely. Figures 2.6 and 2.7 on the following page show the same information in two different formats. Use these figures to complete these steps for decoding tables and graphs.

1. Begin by reading the title of the table or graph carefully. It will tell you what information is being presented. What information is being presented in Figure 2.6?

2. Find out the source of information. You will want to know whether the source is reliable and whether its techniques for gathering and presenting data are sound. What is the source of the information in these figures? Is it a reliable source?
3. Read any notes accompanying the table or graph. Not all tables and graphs have notes, but if notes are present, they offer further information about the data. The notes in Figure 2.6 and in Figure 2.7 explain that the data refer to the total money income of full-time and part-time workers, aged 18 and over, in a March 2000 survey. Why is the note in this table important?

4. Examine any footnotes (marked with a superscript a). Footnotes in Figure 2.6 and Figure 2.7 indicate that the data are categorized by the highest grade actually completed. What other interpretation could be made from the term years of schooling?

5. Look at the headings across the top and down the left-hand side of the table or graph. To observe any pattern in the data, it is usually necessary to keep both types of headings in mind. Figure 2.6 and Figure 2.7 show the median annual income of African American and white males and females for several levels of education.

6. Find out what units are being used. Data can be expressed in percentages, hundreds, thousands, millions, billions, means, and so forth. Figure 2.6 and Figure 2.7 use two different units. What are they? When making comparison, it is important that you compare like units.

7. Check for trends in the data. For tables, look down the columns (vertically) and across the rows (horizontally) for the highest figures, lowest figures, repeat numbers, irregularities, and sudden shifts. If you read Figure 2.6 vertically, you can see how income varies by race and sex within each level of education. If you read the table horizontally, you can see how income varies with educational attainment for white males, African American males, white females, and African American females. What is the advantage of presenting this information as a graph, as in Figure 2.7? What is the disadvantage of using a graph?

8. Draw conclusions from your own observations. Looking carefully at these figures, write a narrative paragraph that summarizes your conclusions based on the data presented in these figures.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census.
Causation in Science

**Key Terms**
- causation
- multiple causation
- variable
- quantitative variable
- qualitative variable
- independent variable
- dependent variable
- intervening variable
- correlation
- spurious correlation

**The Nature of Causation**

Scientists assume that an event occurs for a reason. According to the concept of **causation**, events occur in predictable, nonrandom ways. One event leads to another. Why does this book remain sitting on your desk rather than rising slowly, going past your eyes, and resting against the ceiling? Why does a ball thrown into the air return to the ground? Why do the planets stay in orbit around the sun? Today, the main goal of scientists is to discover the factors that cause events to happen. Social scientists look for the factors that cause social events to happen.

**Why do sociologists look for multiple causes?** Leo Rosten, a twentieth-century novelist, once wrote “If an explanation relies on a single cause, it is surely wrong.” Social events are generally too complex to be explained by any single factor. The concept of **multiple causation** states that an event occurs as a result of several factors working in combination. What, for example, causes crime? Cesare Lombroso, a nineteenth-century Italian criminologist, mistakenly believed that the tendency to commit crimes was inherited. Criminals, he thought, could be identified by certain physical traits such as large jaws or receding foreheads. Modern criminologists have shown that many factors contribute to crime, including peer pressure, the use of drugs, hopeless poverty, and poor parenting. Each of these single factors is called a **variable**.
Variables and Correlations

A variable is a characteristic—such as age, education, or occupation—that is subject to change. Variables can be quantitative or qualitative, independent or dependent.

How do variables differ? Some materials have greater density than others. Some people have higher incomes than others. The literacy rate is higher in developed countries than in developing countries. Each of these characteristics is a quantitative variable, a variable that can be measured and given a numerical value.

In contrast, a qualitative variable is identified by membership in a category. It is an “either/or” or a “yes/no” variable. Sex, marital status, and group membership are three qualitative variables often used by sociologists. People are either male or female; they are married or unmarried; they are band members, football players, sophomores—or they are not.

The Wired World

This world map shows the number of telephone mainlines per one hundred people for the year 2000. It illustrates the creation of a quantitative variable that could be used in social research.

Interpreting the Map

1. Explain why the data in this map constitutes a quantitative variable.
2. What would need to be done with the data to make it a qualitative variable?
3. If you were to use the number of telephone mainlines per 100 people as a research variable, to which sociological variable would you most likely relate it? Would it be a dependent or independent variable? Explain.

When they conduct studies, sociologists and other scientists identify the qualitative and quantitative variables to investigate. They then define these variables as either independent or dependent. The independent variable in a study is the variable that causes something to occur. The researcher changes, or looks for changes, in this variable. The dependent variable is what results from the change in the independent variable. For example, you might look at the time spent studying for a test as an independent variable that could cause a change in a grade—a dependent variable. The independent variable of poverty is one of several independent variables that can produce a change in the dependent variable of hunger. Whether a variable is dependent or independent can change depending on the situation. The extent of hunger may be a dependent variable in a study of poverty; it may be an independent variable in a study of crime.

An intervening variable influences the relationship between an independent and a dependent variable. The existence of a government support program, for example, may intervene between poverty and hunger. If a strong safety net exists, for instance, very poor parents and their children may experience no more hunger than those in the working class. Poverty is the cause of hunger but does not have to be if government intervention in the form of income and food exists. The poor without a safety net will experience more hunger. The poor with a safety net will not.

**What is a correlation?** A correlation is simply a measure of how things are related to one another. When a change in a trait, behavior, or an event (independent variable) is tied to a change in another trait, behavior, or event (dependent variable), a correlation exists. The correlation may be positive or negative.

A positive correlation exists if both the independent variable and the dependent variable change in the same direction. A positive correlation exists if we find that grades (dependent variable) improve as study time increases (independent variable). (See Figure 2.8.)

![Figure 2.8 Positive and Negative Correlations](image)

**Figure 2.8 Positive and Negative Correlations**

*In a positive correlation, increases in the independent variable are associated with increases in the dependent variable. Grades improve with time spent studying.*

*In a negative correlation, increases in the independent variable are associated with decreases in the dependent variable. Grades decrease as time spent watching television increases.*
In a negative correlation, the variables change in opposite directions. An increase in the independent variable is linked to a decrease in the dependent variable. A negative correlation exists if we find that grades (dependent variable) go down as time spent watching television (independent variable) increases.

It is very important to remember that the existence of a correlation does not necessarily mean a cause-and-effect relationship exists. People with long arms often have long legs. However, the length of a person’s arms does not cause the legs to grow longer. Both of these variables are controlled by other factors. It is much easier to show a correlation between two variables than it is to show causation.

**Standards for Showing Causation**

In a causal relationship, one variable actually causes the other to occur. Three standards are commonly used to determine causal relationships. Let’s look at the example of church attendance and juvenile delinquency discussed on page 5 to illustrate these standards.

- **Standard 1:** Two variables must be correlated. Some researchers found that juvenile delinquency increases as church attendance declines—a negative correlation. Does this negative correlation mean that not attending church causes higher delinquency? To answer this question, the second standard of causality must be met.

- **Standard 2:** All other possible factors must be taken into account. The fact that two events are correlated does not mean that one causes the other. The negative correlation between church attendance and delinquency occurs because age is related to both church attendance (older adolescents attend church less frequently) and delinquency (older adolescents are more likely to be delinquents). In fact, the correlation
between lower church attendance and delinquency is known as a **spurious correlation**—an apparent relationship between two variables that is actually caused by a third variable affecting both of the other variables. Thus, before we can predict that a causal relationship exists between church attendance and delinquency, we need to take other factors into consideration. In this instance, the age variable reveals that the relationship between church attendance and delinquency is not a causal one. Finding hidden causes and exposing spurious correlations is one of the greatest challenges in scientific research.

**Standard 3:** A change in the independent variable must occur before a change in the dependent variable can occur. This means that the cause must occur before the effect. Do people stop attending church before they become delinquents? Or does delinquent behavior occur before people stop attending church? Or do these variables appear at the same time? Even if age was not a factor in this correlation and no other factor could be found, causality between these two variables still could not be established since it cannot be determined which occurs first.

---

**Seventeenth-century Europe was an exciting place for those interested in using scientific methods in the search for truth. Copernicus was an astronomer who held that the sun was at the center of the solar system. Traditional belief at the time placed the earth at the center. Galileo sought to replace traditional myths with new knowledge based on reason and observation. This is one essential aspect of the scientific method.**

In 1609, . . . Galileo turned his recently constructed telescope to the heavens, and through his startling observations made available to astronomy the first quantitatively new evidence it had known since the ancients. And each of his observations—the craters and mountains on the surface of the Moon, the moving spots on the Sun, the four moons revolving around Jupiter, the phases of Venus, the “unbelievably” numerous individual stars of the Milky Way—was interpreted by Galileo as powerful evidence in favor of the Copernican heliocentric [sun-centered] theory.

. . . Many individuals not previously involved in scientific studies now took up the telescope and saw for themselves the nature of the new Copernican universe. Astronomy, by virtue of the telescope and Galileo’s compelling writings, became of vital interest to more than specialists. Successive generations of late Renaissance and post-Renaissance Europeans, increasingly willing to doubt the absolute authority of traditional doctrines both ancient and ecclesiastical, were finding the Copernican theory not only plausible but liberating. A new celestial world was opening up to the Western mind, just as a new terrestrial world was being opened by the global explorers.

**Thinking It Over**

1. Can you analyze two important effects that the scientific revolution fueled by Galileo has had on individual behavior in the West?
2. How did Galileo employ the sociological imagination in his work?
Section 2 Assessment

Match terms a–i with the numbered statements below:

1. something that occurs in varying degrees
2. the variable in which a change or effect is observed
3. a change in one variable associated with a change in another variable
4. the idea that an event occurs as a result of several factors operating in combination
5. a factor that causes something to happen
6. the idea that the occurrence of one event leads to the occurrence of another event
7. a factor consisting of categories
8. when a relationship between two variables is actually the result of a third variable
9. a variable measured in numerical units

Critical Thinking

10. Making Comparisons In your own words, explain the difference between correlations and causation. Illustrate each with an example not found in the text.
Emile Durkheim was the first person to be formally recognized as a sociologist. (See pp. 16–17 for more on this pioneer.) He was also the most scientific of the pioneers. Durkheim conducted a study that stands as a classic research model for sociologists today. His investigation of suicide was, in fact, the first sociological study to use statistics. In *Suicide* (1964, originally published in 1897), Durkheim argued that some aspects of human behavior—even something as personal as suicide—can be explained on the societal level, without reference to individuals.

To carry out his secondary analysis, Durkheim used precollected data from the government population reports of several countries. Much of it was from the French government statistical office. He collected data for approximately 26,000 suicides and classified them by age, sex, marital status, whether there were children in the family, religion, location, time of year, method of suicide, and other factors. (And all this before there were computers!) As he gathered his data, he continually refined and adjusted his hypotheses.

Durkheim wanted to see if suicide rates were related to how socially involved individuals felt. He identified three suicide types in his study: egoistic, altruistic, and anomic.

He hypothesized that *egoistic* suicide increases when individuals do not have sufficient social ties. For example, he proposed that adults who never married and were not heavily involved with family life were more likely to commit suicide than married adults.

### Map A—Suicide Rates for the Year 1997

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deaths per 100,000 Population</th>
<th>Above average: 14.4 or more</th>
<th>Average: 10.5 to 14.3</th>
<th>Below average: 10.4 or fewer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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Map B—People Per Square Mile


He also predicted that altruistic suicide was more likely to occur when social ties are too strong. The mass suicides of cult members is one example. Military personnel trained to lay down their lives for their countries (such as Japanese kamikaze pilots) is another illustration.

Durkheim also thought that anomie suicide increased when existing social ties were broken. For example, suicide rates spike during economic depressions. People suddenly without jobs are more prone to kill themselves. Suicide may also increase during periods of prosperity. People may loosen their social ties by taking new jobs, moving to new communities, or finding new mates.

Durkheim found strong support for his predictions. Suicide rates were higher among unmarried than married people and among military personnel than civilians. They were also higher among people involved in nationwide economic crises.

As a result of his study, Durkheim drew several important conclusions. First, social behavior can be explained by social rather than psychological factors. Second, suicide is affected by the closeness of social ties. Finally, society can be studied scientifically, and sociology is worthy of academic recognition (Ritzer, 1996).

Working with the Research

1. Emile Durkheim’s study of suicide suggested that one factor in the suicide rate is the degree to which the individual has group ties. One indication of social ties is population density. Based on Map B, where would you expect to find the highest suicide rates in the United States? Does Map A agree with your predictions?

2. Durkheim noted that “psychological explanations are insufficient when analyzing social behavior.” In your own words, tell what this statement means.

3. Which perspective do you think Durkheim followed in his study of suicide: the functionalist, the conflict, or the symbolic interactionist? Give reasons for your answer.

4. Using what you have learned from Durkheim’s research, formulate a hypothesis about mass suicide.
Section 3
Procedures and Ethics in Research

Key Terms
- scientific method
- hypothesis

Steps for Doing Research

Scientists use a research model known as the scientific method. It involves the pursuit of knowledge in a systematic way. As shown in Figure 2.10 on the following page, the steps in the scientific method include identifying a problem, reviewing the literature, formulating hypotheses, developing a research design, collecting data, analyzing data, and stating findings and conclusions.

1. **Identify the Problem.** Researchers begin by choosing an object or topic for study. Most topics are chosen because they interest the researcher, address a social problem, test a major theory, or respond to a government agency’s or organization's needs.

2. **Review the Literature.** Once the object or topic of study has been identified, the researcher must find out all he or she can about any earlier research. This process is called a literature search. For example, a sociologist investigating suicide will probably develop an approach related to the classic study of suicide by Emile Durkheim, as well as to the work of other sociologists who have since researched the topic.

3. **Formulate Hypotheses.** The next step is for a sociologist to develop a hypothesis based on what is known about the issue so far. A hypothesis is a testable statement of relationships among well-defined variables. One hypothesis might be “The longer couples are married, the less likely they are to divorce.” The independent variable is length of marriage, and the dependent variable is divorce.

4. **Develop a Research Design.** A research design states the procedures the researcher will follow for collecting and analyzing data. Will the study be a survey or a case study? If it is a survey, will data be collected from a cross-section of an entire population, such as the Harris and Gallup polls, or will a sample be selected from only one city? Will simple percentages or more sophisticated statistical methods be used? These and many other questions must be answered so the researcher will have a sound plan to follow.

5. **Collect Data.** There are three basic ways of gathering data in sociological research—asking people questions, observing behavior, and analyzing existing materials and records. Sociologists studying interracial marriages could question couples about ways they communicate. They could locate an organization with a large number of interracially married couples and observe couples’ behavior. Or they could compare the divorce rate among interracially married couples with the divorce rate of the population as a whole.
6. **Analyze Data.** Once the data have been collected and classified, they can be analyzed to determine whether the hypotheses are supported. It is not unlike putting together pieces of a jigsaw puzzle. This is not as easy or automatic as it sounds, because results are not always obvious. Because the same data can be interpreted in several ways, judgments have to be made. Guarding against personal preferences for particular outcomes is especially important in this phase of research.

7. **State Findings and Conclusions.** After analyzing the data, a researcher is ready to state the conclusions of the study. It is during this phase that the methods are described (for example, survey, case study) and hypotheses are formally accepted, rejected, or modified. By making the research procedures public, scientists make it possible for others to duplicate the research, conduct a slightly modified study, or go in a very different direction.

**Realistically, do sociologists follow these steps?** Some sociologists believe that this research model is too rigid to be used in studying human society. Even though most sociologists do follow the model, they do not necessarily follow it mechanically. They may conduct exploratory studies prior to stating hypotheses and developing research designs. Or they may change their hypotheses and research designs as their investigations proceed.

**Ethics in Social Research**

Research is a distinctly human activity. Although there are principles for conducting research, such as objectivity and verifiability, scientists sometimes fail to live up to these principles. At times, even the ethics of research is not honored by researchers.

Unfortunately, there is a long list of examples of ethical lapses in medical research. During the Nuremberg trials, 16 Nazi doctors were convicted of conducting sadistic experiments on concentration camp inmates. From 1932 to 1972, the Public Health Service of the U.S. government deliberately did not treat 399 syphilitic African American agricultural workers and day laborers so that biomedical researchers could study the full evolution of the disease (Jones, 1993). For twenty years, researchers at Germany’s University of Heidelberg used human corpses, those of adults and children, in high-speed automobile crash tests (Fedarko, 1993). Federal investigators in the United States have documented over ten years of fraud in some of the most important breast cancer research ever done (Crewdson, 1994).

Several social scientists, also, have been criticized for conducting research that many scientists consider unethical. In each case, subjects were placed in stressful situations without being informed of the true nature of the experiments (See pages 144 and 188 for a discussion of two of these studies).

More often, however, sociologists routinely protect the rights of research subjects and avoid deceiving or harming them. For example, Mario Brajuha, a graduate student at a major American university, kept detailed field notes while doing a participant observation study of restaurant work (Brajuha and Hallowell, 1986).
A recent episode of NBC’s Today show featured a segment about a Louisiana woman whose male neighbor had secretly installed video cameras in her bedroom and bathroom. Because of the cameras, the neighbor was able to secretly observe this woman in her most private moments. While researchers have been observing subjects for many years, it is alarming that this immoral and extremely illegal use of technology is now within the financial range and technical ability of many people.

Some sociologists and psychologists are concerned about the ethics of videotaping research subjects. One of the requirements of the Code of Ethics of the American Sociological Association found in the Appendix is to protect the privacy of research subjects. Imagine, then, that a sociologist came to your school to conduct research and asked permission to place video cameras in the hallways, classrooms, and cafeteria. Do you think that permission from school administrators would be enough to meet this requirement? How would you respond to this request? What if every student in the school gave permission for the cameras? How would you feel if you gave your permission, but then did something really silly or wrong in front of one of the cameras?

Lawsuits have been filed in some states by workers after discovering that their employers had installed hidden cameras in rest rooms or changing rooms to help reduce high levels of employee theft. Managers claim that dishonest employees often use these areas to hide company products in their purses or bags. Workers argue that they are entitled to expect a minimum level of privacy and that hidden cameras violate that expectation. But, objects management, if workers know the cameras are there, they won’t be caught. Similarly, if researchers begin videotaping with the consent of their subjects, will they get a true record of behavior? If they do not advise their subjects of the taping, what happens if a criminal act is recorded? Do the researchers have an obligation to release the tape to the authorities? Ethical issues will continue to arise as technology allows investigators to invade areas where custom and culture had prevented them from going.

Analyzing the Trends

Develop an argument for or against the use of video equipment in a sociological research project. Be sure to use logical arguments and evaluate the issues of maximizing benefits to society while minimizing the harms sociological work might create.
Because of suspected arson at a restaurant where he was employed as a waiter, his field notes became an object of interest to the police, the district attorney, the courts, and some suspects. For two years, Brajuha refused to reveal the contents of his field notes to the police. He did so in the face of a subpoena, the threat of imprisonment, and possible harm to himself, his wife, and his children. Brajuha was protecting the privacy rights of those individuals described in his notes.

**What is sociology’s code of ethics?** Conducting ethical research means showing objectivity; using superior research standards; reporting findings and methods truthfully; and protecting the rights, privacy, integrity, dignity, and freedom of research subjects. The American Sociological Association has published guidelines for conducting research. (This code has been reproduced in full in the Appendix of this text.) Briefly put, the Code of Ethics is concerned with getting the greatest possible benefit with the least possible harm.

**Can researchers act ethically and still get the information they need?** Sometimes acting ethically is difficult. The researcher must sometimes make hard decisions about morally questionable issues, such as the situation in which Mario Brajuha found himself. Moreover, the researcher must balance the interests of those being studied against the need for accurate, timely data. Balance is the key to the issue of ethics. At the least, the people involved in sociological research should be protected from social, financial, or psychological damage or legal prosecution.

### Section 3 Assessment

1. The steps below describe a research project on children without brothers or sisters (“only” children). Put steps a–g in order of how they would occur according to the steps in the research process.
   a. A researcher reads many articles about theory and research on the intelligence level of only children.
   b. From previous research and existing theory, a researcher states that only children appear to be more intelligent than children with siblings.
   c. A researcher collects data on only children from a high school in a large city.
   d. A researcher writes a report giving evidence that only children are more intelligent than children with brothers or sisters.
   e. A researcher decides to study the intelligence level of only children.
   f. A researcher classifies and processes the data collected in order to test a hypothesis.
   g. A researcher decides on the data needed to test a hypothesis, the methods for data collection, and the techniques for data analysis.

### Critical Thinking

2. **Drawing Conclusions** What issues in studying society might interfere with following the scientific method precisely?

3. **Analyzing Information** Can secret observation of people ever be considered ethical? Why or why not?

"The world has achieved brilliance without conscience. Ours is a world of nuclear giants and ethical infants."

Omar Bradley
American general
It is sometimes said that we are living in the “age of instant information.” One unfortunate side effect is the tendency for studies and research results to be reported in the media without background or explanation. There are, however, some easy steps you can follow that will make you a savvy consumer in the information marketplace.

**Be Skeptical.** Be suspicious of what you read. The media soundbite treatment tends to sensationalize and distort information. For example, the media may report that $500,000 was spent to find out that love keeps families together. In fact, this may have been only one small part of a larger research project. Moreover, chances are the media have oversimplified even this part of the researcher’s conclusions.

**Consider the Source of Information.** The credibility of a study may be affected by who paid for the results. For example, you should know whether a study on the relationship between cancer and tobacco has been sponsored by the tobacco industry or by the American Cancer Society. Suppose that representatives of tobacco companies denied the existence of any research linking throat and mouth cancer with snuff dipping. Further suppose that an independent medical researcher concluded that putting a “pinch between your cheek and gum” has, in the long run, led to cancer in humans. The self-interest of the tobacco companies taints their objectivity and requires further investigation on your part.

At the very least, you want to know the source of information before making a judgment about scientific conclusions. This caution is especially relevant to the Internet. Because this information varies widely in its accuracy and reliability, sources must be evaluated with particular care.

**Do Not Mistake Correlation for Causation.** Remember that a correlation between two variables does not mean that one caused the other. At one time, the percentage of Americans who smoked was increasing at the same time that life expectancy was increasing. Did this mean that smoking caused people to live longer? Actually, a third factor—improved health care—accounted for the increased life expectancy. Do not assume that two events are related causally just because they occur together.

**Doing Sociology**

Bring to class an article reporting on a study. These can be found in periodicals or weekly news magazines. Be prepared to share with your classmates how these three safeguards can be applied to the reported study.
Section 1: Research Methods

Main Idea: When sociologists do quantitative research, they generally use either surveys or pre-collected data. Each has its own advantages and disadvantages. Qualitative research uses descriptive rather than numerical data. Field studies are best used when interaction needs to be observed in a natural setting and when in-depth analysis is needed. The case study is the most popular approach to field research.

Section 2: Causation In Science

Main Idea: Causation in science is the idea that one event leads to another event. Scientists assume that all events have causes, or determinants. Sociologists work to discover these causes. Three standards must be met before causation can be proved.

Section 3: Procedures and Ethics In Research

Main Idea: The research process is made up of several distinct steps. These steps represent an ideal for scientific research. It is not always necessary or even possible that they always be strictly followed. Researchers have an ethical obligation to protect participants’ privacy and to avoid deceiving or harming them. Preserving the rights of subjects must sometimes be weighed against the value of the knowledge to be gained.

Reviewing Vocabulary

Complete each sentence using each term once.

a. causation
b. code of ethics
c. correlation
d. dependent variable
e. field research
f. independent variable
g. multiple causation
h. qualitative variable
i. quantitative variable
j. representative sample
k. scientific method
l. secondary analysis

1. A variable that can be measured and given a numerical value is called a ____________.
2. ____________ states that an event occurs as a result of several factors working in combination.
3. The use of existing information as a method of collecting data best describes ____________.
4. A random sample that accurately reflects the whole population is called ____________.
5. The ____________ is a research principle that is concerned with achieving the greatest possible benefit with the last possible harm.
6. The idea that events occur in predictable ways, with one event leading to another, is called ____________.
7. ____________ is a measure of how things are related to one another.
8. ____________ is a variable identified by membership in a category.
9. The steps that include identifying a problem, reviewing the literature, and collecting data are part of the ____________.
10. ____________ is used to investigate aspects of social life that cannot be measured quantitatively and are best understood in a natural setting.
11. ____________ is a variable in which change has occurred.
12. A variable that causes something to occur is called ____________.
Reviewing the Facts

1. If a sociologist wanted to study high school cliques, what would be the best method for collecting data? Support your choice by giving two benefits of using this method.

2. Examine Figures 2.6 and 2.7 on page 49 showing median annual income by sex, race and education. What is the scientific name given for this type of information?

3. Donna Gaines, a sociologist, studied teen suicides and reported her findings in a book, Teenage Wasteland: Suburbia’s Dead End Kids. She found several suicides that were committed by teens in a group. How might Emile Durkeim classify or describe this type of suicide?

4. Sociologist Elijah Anderson studied gangs in Philadelphia. In order to do that, he had to take off his shirt and tie and dress like the young men he was going to study. What is the name of the method of research that Anderson used here?

5. What are the seven steps in the scientific method?

6. Survey research is obtained through the use of questionnaires and interviews containing closed-ended questions and/or open-ended questions. Using a table like the one below, list the advantages and disadvantages of open-ended survey research.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Thinking Critically

1. **Applying Concepts** In this chapter you read about positive and negative correlations. Give two examples each of relationships that you suspect may be positive and negative correlations. For example, you may propose that an increase in income is positively correlated to increased dining out.

2. **Identifying Alternatives** Identify the methods of research you would use if you wanted to study the effects of alcoholism on the work force. Explain why you would use this method. Briefly describe how you might conduct the research.

3. **Drawing Conclusions** Figure 2.6 on page 49 indicates that males make more money on average than females. Some sociologists would tell you that pregnancy contributes to the variations in male’s vs. female’s income earnings. What variables might explain this conclusion?

4. **Synthesizing Information** Rock musician Kurt Cobain, of the band Nirvana, committed suicide in 1994. In the years that Cobain was growing up in the state of Washington, the suicide rate there was higher than that of many other states. (It has since decreased.) What variables would you look at to examine a state’s suicide rate?

5. **Drawing Conclusions** You have been assigned a research project in a high school. Using the American Sociological Association’s Code of Ethics (found at the back of the book), what considerations would first have to be made? Using a diagram similar to the one below, list and discuss the steps that you believe would be necessary to ensure the privacy of the student participants.

Sociology Projects

1. **Qualitative Research** In order to strengthen your skills in qualitative research, perform the following activity. Look around your classroom and select an object that you see in the room, such as a blackboard eraser. Imagine that you have never seen this object before and have no
idea what it is or what it is used for. Write a brief description of the object in terms of its physical nature, but do not try to determine its function. In this exercise, you are simply making an observation. Compare your description with those of your classmates.

2. **Conducting a Research Study** Write a proposal for a study that you would like to see conducted at your school. You must decide how you will conduct the research. Will it be a survey, interview, or observation? Follow the standards set out in the textbook on pages 58–59, indicating variables, research design, hypothesis, and a review of the literature if possible. Include information on how you will identify your sample population. Also include sample questions (open or closed). Be prepared to present this study to your class “ethics board” for approval.

3. **Quantitative Research** Try this quantitative research project at home. Over the next few days or nights, watch three television shows, each at least thirty minutes long. For the purpose of this activity, the programs you select should be prime-time dramas for mature audiences. Record the number of times a person or animal is subjected to physical violence. Remember that physical violence is everything from shoving to shooting. When you have finished collecting your data, create a graph that illustrates the number of violent acts for the shows that you watched. You have just done quantitative research and you will probably be amazed at the results.

4. **Observation** Find a place in your town or neighborhood that has a four-way stop sign. Find a place to observe that is not immediately noticeable from the street. Observe how many people come to a full stop, how long people stop, and how people yield for each other. You might want to see if women yield more for men than other women and if older people yield more than younger people. Record your observations and share it with classmates. See if you can determine any patterns from what may apparently be random behaviors.

5. **Analyzing Information** Collect newspaper articles that announce medical or health break-throughs or that publicize results of social studies. Analyze them by asking the following questions:
   a. What claims or promises were made in the article?
   b. What actual quotes by the researchers were included, if any?
   c. Was the article well documented? Did it provide source information?
   d. Were there any “disclaimers,” or warnings about the results not being proven, or more testing needing to be done? If so, where were these cautionary words placed in the article?
   e. What is your opinion about the actions of the reporter? Do you think he or she was journalistically responsible, or do you think the article was an attempt to grab headlines?

6. **Filtering** Some high schools are concerned about Internet use by high school students and are considering filtering, a process that blocks access to web sites that have certain words or phrases in their text. Some teachers are concerned that this imposed censorship will hamper student research, since the filtering process looks for words only and generally does not evaluate the context in which the word is used. Choose a partner to debate the issue of Internet filtering in high schools. Develop arguments that support your position of being in favor of or against high school Internet filtering. Support your arguments with research.

**Technology Activity**

1. Visit an Internet site on a current events topic that interests you. Using the criteria for determining a valid web resource found on pages 47–48, determine if your site qualifies. If not, keep searching for a related site until you find one that meets the criteria. Bring your recommended URL to class to create a database of great current events sites.
Collecting data on students’ experiences.

We used a variety of means to collect data on students’ experiences with peers in school. All four researchers observed lunchtime interaction at least twice weekly for periods of time ranging from five months to twelve months. We never took notes openly during the lunch period, but sometimes recorded brief notes in the bathroom or hallway between lunch sessions. These notes were expanded upon and all notes were recorded fully immediately after leaving the setting.

Donna Eder and Steve Parker also attended male and female extracurricular activities twice weekly for an entire academic year. Given the importance of athletic activities and cheerleading, we focused primarily on them, going to athletic games and practices, pep rallies, and cheerleading practices and tryouts. In addition, we observed choir and band practices and concerts, talent shows, and the one school play that was performed during the three-year period of the study. We were able to take some notes during these events, since our roles were more those of observers than participants. Afterward, we expanded on these notes and recorded them fully.

Once we had been in the setting for several months, we began doing informal interviews with individuals or groups of students on issues that arose from our observations. They included questions about the meaning of popularity, attitudes toward other students in the school, and views on male-female relationships. While some were so informal they were simply recorded as field notes, ten of the more extensive interviews were tape-recorded and transcribed in full.

Finally, we tape-recorded conversations in most of the lunch groups which we observed. Typically, we sat with the group members for three to seven months prior to taping them, so they were already used to our presence. We got written permission from both the students and their parents before we made a recording. On the permission forms we assured them that no one who knew them would be able to listen to or watch the tapes. We also told them that their real names would not be used in any written report. To further insure the participants’ privacy, we have also changed all names of identifying locations and modified discussions about particular people or events. Only one parent requested that her daughter not participate in the study. Since she could not be asked to separate from her group, we decided to omit the entire group from the study. . . .
Ethical issues. When we first began the study, we openly informed all of the students that we were from Indiana University and were doing a study of middle school students. We assured students of our concern with protecting their privacy by not using their actual names or revealing private information to others who might know them. The only concern expressed by a few students was that they not get in trouble for swearing. Since we were not aware of a no-swearing rule and had not been asked to enforce it, they soon lost this concern. Several students again expressed a similar concern when they were first tape-recorded, asking us who would be allowed to hear the tapes. We assured them that the tapes would not be seen or heard by anyone who could identify them and that we would not use their names in papers or books about the study.

We were prepared in advance for these particular ethical issues and had ready responses that relieved people’s concerns. Other ethical dilemmas arose during the course of the study for which we did not have clear solutions. [Two of the researchers] . . . witnessed several incidents of verbal harassment, and Steve witnessed one incident that included physical harassment. Since we had tried from the start to minimize our roles as authority figures in the school, neither of them intervened as adults to stop these incidents. Instead they relied on non-intrusive strategies such as not participating themselves, or drawing the attention of others away from the target of ridicule to some other activity.

These incidents raise challenging questions about the role of researchers as observers of naturally occurring behavior, as opposed to interventionists who try to change the behavior of others, especially if it appears to be cruel or abusive. Had we decided to intervene more directly, we would have been seen as authority figures, and it is likely that students would no longer have acted as naturally in our presence, thus limiting the extent to which we could gain information about peer interactions. On the other hand, it was deeply disturbing to the researchers to witness these events without intervening. We struggled with the question of whether nonintervention might convey an implicit message that such behavior is acceptable to adults.


**Read and React**

1. In the first paragraph, the author writes that the observers did not openly take notes. Wouldn’t it make more sense to take notes while the events were happening? Why would the observers wait to record their observations?

2. What do you think the author means by the term *field notes* in the third paragraph?

3. What steps did the research team take to ensure that the students’ privacy rights were not abused?

4. What ethical problems did the researchers face in the course of their observations? Would you have taken the same steps as the researchers? What other action could have been taken?
Chapter 3
Culture
The crowing rooster wakes Jabu very early. Her mother has already carried a bucket of water from the community tap and put it on the fire to heat. Bread wrapped in newspaper and lying on the ground is ready to cut and spread with jam. Jabu wraps her crying baby brother in a blanket and ties him on her back, soothing him with a melody as she begins her chores. The goats must be milked and the cattle need to be watered and let loose to graze.

After her chores, Jabu quickly washes up and dons her school uniform. Her friends are waiting for her on the dirt path. She gossips and laughs with the girls as they half-walk, half-run the two miles to school. Jabu stops to greet a village elder who inquires after her father who is working in the distant diamond mines. By now she is worried because the time is late. As she approaches the school, Jabu sees that the daily school assembly has already begun. Unluckily, the headmistress decides to set an example and calls Jabu up front to slap her hand with a ruler. After singing hymns and the national anthem, Jabu moves quickly to her first class under a large acacia tree in the courtyard.

At first glance, Jabu’s life appears very different from yours. If you use your sociological imagination to look beyond the surface differences, though, you will see that both you and Jabu attend school and church; obey authority figures; and have strong family bonds, supportive friends, parents who work, and ties to the larger community. When sociologists look at societies around the world they discover similar patterns in all cultures. This chapter will look at the common elements that make up culture.
The Basis Of Culture

**Key Terms**

- culture
- society
- reflexes
- drives
- instincts
- sociobiology

Culture and Society

Culture consists of the knowledge, language, values, customs, and physical objects that are passed from generation to generation among members of a group. On the *material* side, the culture of the United States includes such physical objects as skyscrapers, fast-food restaurants, cell phones, and cars. On the *nonmaterial* side, American culture includes beliefs, rules, customs, family systems, and a capitalist economy.

Culture helps to explain human social behavior. What people do and don't do, what they like and dislike, what they believe and don't believe, and what they value and discount are all based on culture. Culture provides the blueprint that people in a society use to guide their relationships with others. It is because of culture that teenage girls are encouraged to compete for a position on the women's basketball team. It is from culture that teenage boys come to believe that “pumping iron” is a gateway to masculinity.

Coming from a different culture than that of the other sunbathers doesn't prevent this Amish family from enjoying a day at the beach.
Culture and society are tightly interwoven. One cannot exist without the other, but they are not identical. A **society** is a group of people who live in a defined territory and participate in a common culture. Culture is that society's total way of life.

Human behavior, then, is based on culture. Since people are not born knowing their culture, human cultural behavior must be learned. In this section we will examine the relative importance of biology in influencing behavior.

### Culture and Heredity

**Instincts** are genetically inherited patterns of behavior. Nonhuman animals, especially insects, are highly dependent on instincts for survival. Human infants, in contrast, cannot go very far on instincts alone. Instincts are not enough to solve the problems that humans face.

**Why is culture more important than instinct in determining human behavior?** If humans were controlled by instincts alone, they would all behave in the same way with respect to those instincts. If, for example, women had an instinct for mothering, then all women would want children, and all women would love and protect their children. In fact, some women do not want to have children, and some women who give birth abuse or abandon their children.

Without instincts to dictate the type of shelter to build, the kind of food to eat, the time of year to have children, or when to mate, humans are forced to create and learn their own ways of thinking, feeling, and behaving. Even for meeting basic needs such as those involving reproduction, food, and survival, humans rely on the culture they have created.

**How does heredity affect behavior?** Of course, culture is not the only influence on human behavior. Genetic inheritance plays a role. For example, you may have heard people argue about how much of personality is a result of heredity and how much is the product of the environment. (This is sometimes called the “nature versus nurture” argument.) Using studies of identical twins, researchers have determined that about half of your personality traits are determined by your genetic makeup and about half by environmental factors (Tellegen et al., 1993).

In addition, humans have **reflexes**—simple, biologically inherited, automatic reactions to physical stimuli. A human baby, for example, cries when pinched; the pupils of the eyes contract in bright light. We also have biologically inherited **drives**, or impulses, to reduce discomfort. We want to eat, drink, sleep, and associate with others.

You should realize, however, that genetically inherited personality traits, reflexes, and drives do not control human social behavior. Culture **channels** the expression of these biological characteristics. Boys in some Native American cultures, for example, are taught not to cry in response to pain. This is very different from boys in Jewish and Italian cultures, who are taught to pay more attention to physical discomfort and express it more openly (Zborowski, 1952, 1969).
Sociobiology

Sociobiology is the study of the biological basis of human behavior. It combines Darwin’s theory of natural selection with modern genetics.

How do sociobiologists view human behavior? According to Darwin’s theory of evolution, organisms evolve through natural selection. The plants and animals best suited to an environment survive and reproduce, while the rest perish. Sociobiologists assume that the behaviors that best help people are biologically based and transmitted in the genetic code (Degler, 1991; Wright, 1996). Behaviors that would contribute to the survival of the human species include parental affection and care, friendship, sexual reproduction, and the education of children.

Sociobiologists do not draw a sharp line between human and nonhuman animals. They claim that nonhuman animals also act on knowledge—as when baboons use long sticks to pull ants from an anthill for a meal. Many nonhuman animals, claim sociobiologists, show intelligence of a kind formerly thought to be unique to humans, such as the ability to use language (Begley, 1993; Linden, 1993a).

What are some criticisms of sociobiology? The major criticism of sociobiology is that the importance placed on genetics could be used as a justification to label specific races as superior or inferior. Critics of sociobiology also point out that there is too much variation in societies around the world for human behavior to be explained on strictly biological grounds. They believe that the capacity for using language is uniquely human and that humans have created a social life that goes far beyond what heredity alone could accomplish.

Is there a middle ground? Some common ground has emerged in this debate. A growing body of sociologists believe that genes work with culture in a complex way to shape and limit human nature and social life. They would like this relationship to be further examined (Lopreato, 1990; Weingart, 1997; Konner, 1999).

A 1998 study found that women look for one set of characteristics in men they marry while men value different characteristics in women (Buss,
Chapter 3  Culture

Section 1 Assessment

1. How is society different from culture?
2. About what percentage of personality is determined by genetics?
3. What are two arguments against the theory of sociobiology?
4. Predict which of the following are drives (D), which are reflexes (R), which are instincts (I), and which are creations of culture (C).
   a. eye blinking in dust storm  
   b. need for sleep  
   c. reaction to a loud noise  
   d. socialism  
   e. reproduction  
   f. racial inequality

Critical Thinking

5. Synthesizing Information  Name three nonmaterial and three material elements that represent American culture to you.

6. Making Generalizations  Do you think human behavior is more a result of culture or of heredity? Give reasons to support your answer.

Malamuth, and Windstad, 1998). The researchers believe this behavior is programmed into the genetic code. Studies have also determined that step-fathers are more likely than biological fathers to abuse their children (Daly and Wilson, 1997). Is this because men are more protective of their own biological offspring? Because of the speed of discoveries in the field of biology, the relationships between heredity, culture, and behavior are of growing interest to sociobiologists.

DNA, the genetic material in all cells, is the molecular basis of heredity. Sociobiology focuses on the relationship between heredity and human behavior.

Men’s natures are alike; it is their habits that carry them apart.

Confucius  
Chinese philosopher
Although the Nacirema left a large number of documents, our linguists have been unable to decipher any more than a few scattered fragments of the Nacirema language. Eventually, with the complete translation of these documents, we will undoubtedly learn a great deal about the reasons for the sudden disappearance of what... must have been an explosive and expansive culture....

When we examine the area occupied by these people... it is immediately apparent that the Nacirema considered it of primary importance to completely remake the environment... Trees... were removed. Most of the land... was sowed each year with a limited variety of plants...

For a period of about 300 solar cycles... the Nacirema devoted a major part of their effort to the special environmental problem of changing... air and water. Until the last fifty solar cycles of the culture's existence, they seemed to have had only indifferent success. But during the short period before the fall of the culture, they mastered their art magnificently. They changed the color of the waters from the cool end of the spectrum (blues and greens) toward the warm ends (reds and browns)....

Early research has disclosed the importance of... the presence of the... Elibomotua [RAC] Cult, which sought to create an intense sense of individual involvement in the community effort to completely control the environment....

There seems to be little doubt that the Cult of the Elibomotua was so fervently embraced by the general population, and that the daily rituals of the RAC's care and use were so faithfully performed, that the minute quantities of [chemicals] thus distributed may have had a decisive effect on the chemical characteristics of the air. The elibomotua, therefore, may have contributed in a major way toward the prized objective of a totally man-made environment.

In summary, our evaluation of... the Nacirema's man-made environmental alterations... lead us to advance the hypothesis that they may have been responsible for their own extinction. The Nacirema culture may have been so successful in achieving its objectives that... its people were unable to cope with its manufactured environment.

If the Nacirema seem vaguely familiar, it's because Nacirema is American spelled backward. Neil Thompson's description strikes us as strange. This is because Americans are not used to looking at their culture as others from the outside might see it. Like fish in water, Americans are so close to their own customs and rituals that they are in a sense unaware of them. Looking at culture from the sociological perspective will heighten your awareness of your own culture as well as the cultures of others.


Thinking It Over

1. Describe how your feeling toward the Nacirema changed when you knew their true identity.
2. What other items in today’s American culture might be misinterpreted by future anthropologists?
If culture is to be transmitted, it must be learned anew by each generation. Both the creation and the transmission of culture depend heavily on the use of symbols. The most powerful symbols are those that make up language.

What are symbols? In Lewis Carroll’s *Through the Looking Glass*, Humpty Dumpty says to Alice, “When I use a word, it means just what I choose it to mean—neither more nor less.” So it is with symbols—things that stand for or represent something else.

Symbols range from physical objects to sounds, smells, and tastes. As you read in Chapter 1, the meaning of a symbol is not based on physical characteristics. For example, there is nothing naturally pleasing about the sound created by hands loudly clapping together. Applause warms the heart of an entertainer, a politician, or a high school athlete in the United States, but in Latin America the same sound means disapproval. The ball Mark McGwire hit for his 70th home run in 1998 is a symbol. The Confederate flag that represents oppression for many African Americans and a proud cultural heritage for many white Southerners is a symbol with different meanings attached.

How are language and culture related? Language frees humans from the limits of time and place. It allows us to create culture. The Wright brothers’ successful flight did not come just from their own personal efforts. They built their airplane according to principles of flight already existing in American culture. Through language they could read, discuss, and recombine existing ideas and technology.

Equipped with language, humans can pass their experiences, ideas, and knowledge to others. Although it may take time and repetition, children can be taught the dangers of fire and heights without being burned or toppling down stairs. This process of social learning, of course, applies to other cultural patterns as well, such as eating, showing patriotism, or staying awake in class.
How to Speak with Your Hands

The Sapir-Whorf Hypothesis

According to Edward Sapir (1929) and Benjamin Whorf (1956), language is our guide to reality. How we think about a thing relates to the number and complexity of words available to describe that thing. In effect, our perceptions of the world depend in part on the particular language we have learned. Since languages differ, perceptions differ as well. This theory is known as the Sapir-Whorf Hypothesis, or the hypothesis of linguistic relativity.

What can vocabulary tell you about a culture? When something is important to a society, its language will have many words to describe it. The importance of time in American culture is reflected in the many words that describe time intervals—nanosecond, millisecond, moment, minute, hour, era, interim, recurrent, century, light-year, afternoon, eternal, annual, meanwhile, and regularly, just to name a few. When something is unimportant to people, they may not have even one word for it. When Christian missionaries first went to Asia, they were dismayed because the Chinese language contained no word for sin. Other missionaries were no less distressed to learn that Africans and Polynesians had no word to express the idea of a single, all-powerful God. While English has only a few words that describe snow, the Inuit (Eskimo) language has over twenty.
Does the hypothesis of linguistic relativity mean we are prisoners of our language?

Even if our view of the world is shaped largely by language, we are not forever trapped by our own language. Exposure to another language or to new words can alter a person's perception of the world. (This is one reason why it is important to avoid using racist slurs and stereotypical labels.) People can begin to view the world differently as they learn a new language or vocabulary. However, most people do confine themselves to the language and vocabulary they learned from birth. They tend not to change their views of the world. You can either expand or limit your outlook, depending upon how you use language.

What other factors help to shape our perception of reality? How we perceive the world around us is influenced by more than vocabulary. Cultures may differ in many ways, and these differences influence how their members experience the world. The Japanese use paper walls as sound barriers and are not bothered by noise in adjacent rooms. Americans staying at hotels in Japan complain they are being bombarded with noise because Westerners have not been conditioned (mentally trained) to screen out sound.

Privacy is so important to most Germans that German executives generally have a “closed-door policy.” Problems arise, as you might imagine, in American firms located in Germany because American executives leave their doors open.

Section 2 Assessment

1. What are symbols?
2. How does language affect culture?

Critical Thinking

3. Understanding Cause and Effect Describe some specific ways you see language affecting social behavior among students in your school.

4. Drawing Conclusions Some experts believe that without language there is no thought. Do you agree? Why or why not?
Different behaviors, traditions, and expectations can often result in misunderstandings between people of different cultures. Learning to look at things from a point of view different from your own, and not making value judgments based on your beliefs and norms, is called cultural relativism. Having mutual respect and understanding for other cultures is sometimes more effective than modern technology and money in producing change and goodwill between nations.

Cultural relativism is illustrated in the true story of a young Peace Corps volunteer who was sent to a remote village to help build a well. The stream that was near the village was used for everything from watering goats to bathing to washing clothes to cooking and drinking. It was obvious that clean drinking water would benefit the village and improve health. Armed with plans, equipment, and budget and schedule, the hopeful volunteer arrived ready to begin.

At first, the village people were not very willing to help. After several weeks of lonely effort the volunteer met with the council to ask why nobody was helping her with this urgent project. “A well would be nice,” the people agreed, “but what we really need is a good soccer field where we can play without getting hurt on the stones and uneven ground.” So the volunteer agreed that some of the money and equipment could be used to build a soccer field first.

After several weeks of effort, the soccer field was complete and a village soccer team was formed. Now work was able to start on the well, but once again the villagers seemed reluctant to help. Another council meeting was held, and the volunteer was told, “Ah yes, the well would be nice, but what we really need is a bridge across the stream so other villages can easily come to play soccer on our field.” Since she couldn’t dig the well alone, the volunteer agreed that some more time and money would be used to build a bridge. Unfortunately, the bridge proved to be more difficult than expected, and by the time it was complete, the budget and schedule were both used up.

The volunteer went back to the capital, disappointed and resentful that she had not been able to improve the village. Some weeks later, she was invited back by the villagers for a festival to celebrate the success of the soccer tournament they had arranged. When she arrived she was astonished to find a new well in the very center of the village. She asked the village elders for an explanation.

“The soccer tournament is important to us,” she was told, “because it gives us pride and importance and gives us a reason to meet with the people of the other villages. We really never wanted a well.”

“Then why did you build it?” she asked.

“We didn’t build it because we wanted it,” was the answer. “We built it because YOU wanted it.”

**Doing Sociology**

1. What assumptions did the volunteer make about the needs of the villagers? What were the actual needs? Who was more right about what the villagers needed? Why?

2. Describe a time when you made assumptions that turned out to be culturally based.
Norms: The Rules We Live By

If you wanted to describe your culture, what would you look for? How could you begin to classify the elements of the American way of life? Sociologists begin with the defining components of a culture: its norms, its values and beliefs, and its use of material objects.

Norms are rules defining appropriate and inappropriate behavior. A Hindu peasant in India can be found lying dead of starvation beside perfectly healthy cattle. In order to strengthen bonds between clans, a young Basarwa girl in Africa might become engaged to a man she has not met. Roman emperors routinely exiled relatives to small isolated islands for “disgracing” the family. Each of these instances reflects cultural norms—ways of behaving in specific situations. Norms help to explain why people in a society or group behave similarly in similar circumstances.

William Graham Sumner (1906) was an early sociologist who wrote about norms. Anything, he stated, can be considered appropriate when norms approve of it. This is because once norms are learned, members of a society...
## Figure 3.2 Cultural Etiquette

It might prevent some embarrassing moments if you were aware of norms and customs before traveling to foreign places.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Custom</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>England, Scotland, and Wales</strong></td>
<td>Appointments are essential. You may be ten minutes late but not ten minutes early.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Greece</strong></td>
<td>Be careful not to praise a specific object too enthusiastically or the host may insist on giving it to you.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Libya</strong></td>
<td>If you are invited to a Libyan home for dinner, only men will be present. Take a gift for the host but not for his wife.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Senegal</strong></td>
<td>Never eat food with the left hand, as this is considered offensive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Zambia</strong></td>
<td>Avoid direct eye contact with members of the opposite sex—it may suggest romantic overtures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Saudi Arabia</strong></td>
<td>It is an insult to sit in such a way as to face your host with the soles of your shoes showing. Do not place your feet on a desk, table, or chair.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oman</strong></td>
<td>If an Arab businessman takes your hand and holds it as you walk, do not be alarmed. He means it only as a sign of friendship.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>China</strong></td>
<td>A visit to a Chinese home is rare—unless the government has given prior approval.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Japan</strong></td>
<td>If you are offered a gift, thank the person and wait for one or two more offers before accepting it. Receive the gift with both hands.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>South Korea</strong></td>
<td>Men go through doors first. Women help men with their coats.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

use them to guide their social behavior. Norms are so ingrained they guide behavior without our awareness. In fact, we may not be consciously aware of a norm until it has been broken. For instance, you may not think about standing in line for concert tickets as a norm until someone attempts to step in front of you. Then it immediately registers that waiting your turn in line is expected behavior. Cutting in front of someone violates that norm. Norms range from relatively minor rules, such as the idea that we should applaud after a performance, to extremely important ones, such as laws against stealing.

**Folkways, Mores, and Laws**

Sumner identified three basic types of norms: *folkways*, *mores*, and *laws*. These three types of norms vary in their importance within a society. Accordingly, their violation is tolerated to different degrees.

**What are folkways?** Rules that cover customary ways of thinking, feeling, and behaving but lack moral overtones are called **folkways**. For example, sleeping in a bed versus sleeping on the floor is not a moral issue; it qualifies as a folkway. Folkways in the United States include supporting school activities, speaking to other students in the hall, and, if you are male, removing your hat in church.

Because folkways are not considered vital to group welfare, disapproval of those who break them is not very great. Those who consistently violate folkways—say, by talking loudly in quiet places, wearing shorts with a suit coat and tie, or wearing a different-colored sock on each foot—may appear odd. We may avoid these people, but we do not consider them wicked or immoral.

Some folkways are more important than others, and the social reaction to their violation is more intense. Failure to offer a woman a seat on a crowded bus draws little notice today. In contrast, obnoxious behavior at a party after excessive drinking may bring a strong negative reaction from others.

---

Norms help define a culture’s perception of beauty for both males and females. What are some norms that shape the American ideal of beauty?

— Margaret Mead
U.S. anthropologist

A knowledge of one other culture should sharpen our ability to scrutinize more steadily, to appreciate more lovingly, our own.
What are mores? The term mores (pronounced “MOR-ays”) is based on the word moral. Morality deals with conduct related to right and wrong. Mores are norms of great moral significance. They are vital to the well-being of a society. Conformity to mores draws strong social approval; violation of this type of norm brings strong disapproval. For example, Americans believe that able-bodied men should work for a living. Able-bodied men who do not work are scorned.

Although following folkways is generally a matter of personal choice, conformity to mores is a social requirement. Still, some mores are more vital to a society than others. Failure to stand at attention while the national anthem is being played is not as serious a violation of American mores as using loud profanity during a religious service.

The most serious mores are taboos. A taboo is a norm so strong that its violation demands punishment by the group (or, some people think even the supernatural). In India, followers of Hinduism have a taboo forbidding the killing of cows. Other taboos are related to sexual behaviors. Although definitions of incest vary from society to society, the incest taboo (forbidding sexual contact with close relatives) is generally regarded as the only taboo that is present in all societies. The “mother-in-law” taboo existing in some societies prohibits or severely restricts social contact between a husband and his wife’s mother.
The third type of norm is law. Laws are norms that are formally defined and enforced by officials. Folkways and mores emerge slowly and are often unconsciously created, while laws are consciously created and enforced.

Mores are an important source for laws. At one time, the norm against murder was not written down. But as civilization advanced, the norm against murder became formally defined and enforced by public officials.

Folkways can become mores or laws. Smoking, for example, was an acceptable behavior to most Americans until the late 1970s, when mounting health concerns convinced many that smoking should be limited or banned in public places. Today, many states have laws against smoking in airports, government buildings, restaurants, and other places open to the general public.

Not all mores become laws. For example, it is not against the law to cheat on an exam (although you may be suspended or punished by the teacher). Furthermore, not all laws started out as mores. Fines for overtime parking and laws against littering have never been mores.
There are many laws throughout the country whose purposes and existence have long been forgotten. At the time, they may have been perfectly logical. As society changed, the need for them disappeared.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Law</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>It is illegal for a driver to be blindfolded while operating a vehicle.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>Hunting camels is prohibited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>If an elephant is left tied to a parking meter, the fee has to be paid just as it would be for a vehicle.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>You must contact the police before entering the city in an automobile.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>Kisses may last for as much as, but no more than, five minutes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>You must not step out of a plane in flight.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>No gorilla is allowed in the back seat of any car.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>A person may not cross state lines with a duck atop his or her head.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td>Whistling underwater is illegal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>It is illegal to pretend that one’s parents are rich.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Laws often remain on the books for a long time after the mores of a society have changed. It is illegal in Minnesota to hang male and female undergarments on the same clothesline. New York prohibits card playing on trains; elephants in Natchez, Mississippi, cannot legally drink beer; and it is against the law to wear roller skates in public bathrooms in Portland, Oregon. (For additional laws that seem strange to us today, see Figure 3.3.)

Enforcing the Rules

People do not automatically conform to norms. Norms must be learned and accepted. Groups teach norms, in part, through the use of sanctions. **Sanctions** are rewards and punishments used to encourage conformity to norms. They can be formal or informal.

**What are formal sanctions?** Formal sanctions are sanctions that may be applied only by officially designated persons, such as judges and teachers. Formal sanctions can take the form of positive as well as negative rewards. A soldier earns a Congressional Medal of Honor as a positive sanction for heroism. Teachers reward outstanding students with A’s. Of course, formal sanctions can also take the form of punishments.

Formal punishments range widely in their severity. From the Middle Ages to the Protestant Reformation, it was an unpardonable sin for lenders to charge interest on money. (This practice was called usury and was condemned in the Bible.) This crime was punishable on the third offense by public humiliation and social and economic ruin. More recently, a few courts across the United States have handed down sentences involving public shaming. For example, some courts have required child molesters to display, in front of their homes, signs describing their crimes (El Nasser, 1996). In
1997, Latrell Sprewell, star basketball player for the Golden State Warriors, physically attacked his coach, P. J. Carlesimo. The NBA revoked his $32 million, four-year contract and suspended him for one year before he joined the New York Knicks.

**What are informal sanctions?** Informal sanctions are sanctions that can be applied by most members of a group. They, too, can be positive or negative. Informal sanctions include thanking someone for pushing a car out of a snowbank (positive) or staring at someone who is talking loudly during a movie (negative).

Sanctions are not used randomly or without reason. Specific sanctions are associated with specific norms. A high school student who violates his parents’ curfew is not supposed to be locked in a closet, for example.

After we reach a certain age, most of us conform without the threat of sanctions. We may conform to norms because we believe that the behavior expected of us is appropriate, because we wish to avoid guilt feelings, or because we fear social disapproval. In other words, we sanction ourselves mentally.
Values—The Basis for Norms

Norms and sanctions are relatively specific. The next major component of culture—values—is much more general.

**What are values?** Values are broad ideas about what most people in a society consider to be desirable. Values are so general that they do not dictate precise ways of thinking, feeling, and behaving. Thus, different societies or different groups within the same society can have quite different norms based on the same value.

For instance, consider the norms used to express the value of freedom in America and in the former Soviet Union. Soviet leaders said their people were free because the leaders claimed to provide full employment, medical care, and education. Americans have different norms based on the value of freedom. These norms include the right to free speech and assembly, the right to engage in private enterprise, and the right to a representative government. Identical values do not result in identical norms.

**Why are values important?** Values have a tremendous influence on human social behavior because they form the basis for norms. A society that values democracy will have norms ensuring personal freedom. A society that values human welfare will have norms providing for its most unfortunate members. A society that values hard work will have norms against laziness.

Values are also important because they are so general that they are involved in most aspects of daily life. In America, for example, the influence of the value of freedom goes beyond political life. The value of freedom affects how family relationships are conducted, how people are treated within the legal system, how organizations are run, and how people worship.

Figure 3.4 The Norm Kite. *If a society is to fly, it must have these basic elements of social structure. Sanctions (rewards and punishments) are needed to enforce norms (folkways, mores, laws). Guiding the Norm Kite are a society’s values, the basis for norms.*

After winning the World Cup, members of the U.S. women’s soccer team became role models for many girls. What strong cultural values do these young women demonstrate?
Basic Values in the United States

The United States is home to many different groups. No single set of values is likely to hold across the entire country. Despite this problem, sociologist Robin Williams (1970) identified important values that guide the daily lives of most people in the U.S. A partial list includes:

❖ **Achievement and success.** People emphasize achievement, especially in the world of work. Success is supposed to be based on effort and competition and is viewed as a reward for performance. Wealth is viewed as a symbol of success and personal worth.

❖ **Activity and work.** People tend to prefer action over inaction in almost every case. For most Americans, continuous and regular work is a goal in itself. Promotion should be for merit rather than favoritism. Finally, all citizens should have the opportunity to perform at their best.

❖ **Efficiency and practicality.** People pride themselves on getting things done by the most rational means. We search for better (faster) ways of doing things, praise good workmanship, and judge performance by the results. We love to rely on science and technology.

❖ **Equality.** From the very beginning of our history as a nation, we have declared a belief in equality for all citizens. As minority groups and women achieved citizenship, our concept of equality grew. We tend to treat one another as equals, defend everyone’s legal rights, and favor equal opportunity—if not equal results—for everyone.

❖ **Democracy.** People emphasize that all citizens are entitled to equal rights and equal opportunity under the law. In a democracy, the people elect their government officials. Power is not in the hands of an elite few.

❖ **Group superiority.** Despite their concern for equality of opportunity, people in the U.S. tend to place a greater value on people of their own race, ethnic group, social class, or religious group.

These values are clearly interrelated. Achievement and success affect and are affected by efficiency and practicality, for example. But we can also see conflicts among some values. For instance, people in the U.S. value group superiority while at the same time stressing equality and democracy.

**Do these values still prevail in the United States today?** Williams identified these major values approximately thirty years ago—about the time many of your parents were teenagers. Although these values have remained remarkably stable over the years, some have changed. Today there is less emphasis on group superiority in America than in the past. This can be seen in the decline of openly racist attitudes and behaviors (Farley, 1996; Rochen, 1998). In reality, however, it is usually norms and behavior rather than underlying values that change radically. It is probably because of the passage of civil rights laws that many Americans are now less likely to make overt racist statements. Racism (group superiority) remains part of the fabric of American culture.

The norms related to hard work and activity have also changed in recent years. Many Americans now work as hard at their leisure activities (for example, long-distance running and mountain climbing) as they do at their jobs.
Although Williams’s analysis of major American values remains basically sound today, some sociologists believe that his list is incomplete. They would add, for example, optimism, honesty, and friendliness to the list of major values in the United States.

Section 3 Assessment

1. Indicate whether these statements best reflect a folkway (F), a more (M), a law (L), or a value (V).
   a. norm against cursing aloud in church
   b. norm encouraging eating three meals daily
   c. idea of progress
   d. norm against burning a national flag
   e. norm encouraging sleeping in a bed
   f. norm prohibiting murder
   g. norm against overtime parking
   h. idea of freedom

2. Sociologists make a distinction between norms and values. How are these concepts different? Support your answer with examples.

Critical Thinking

3. Analyzing Information  Review the partial list of values identified by Robin Williams on the previous page. Is there a value not listed that you think should be included? What is it? Why would you include it?
Beliefs and Physical Objects

The **nonmaterial culture** involves beliefs, ideas, and knowledge. The **material culture** is about how we relate to physical objects. Values, norms, knowledge, ideas (nonmaterial), and physical objects (material) make up a culture.

**Why do beliefs matter?** Beliefs are ideas about the nature of reality. Beliefs can be true or false. The Romans believed Caesar Augustus to be a god; the Tanala, a hill tribe of Madagascar, believed that the souls of their kings passed into snakes; and many Germans believed that pictures of Hitler on their walls would prevent the walls from crumbling during bombing raids. We would certainly consider these beliefs to be false. In contrast, other beliefs—such as the belief that the human eye can distinguish over seven million colors and the belief that no intelligent life exists on Mars—are supported by factual evidence. We consider these to be true. Beliefs are important because people base their behavior on what they believe, regardless of how true or false the beliefs are.

**What is material culture?** Material culture consists of the concrete, tangible objects within a culture—automobiles, basketballs, chairs, highways, art. These physical objects have no meaning or use apart from the meanings people give them.

*Acres of discarded cars in a junkyard plainly show that the automobile is one of the most common objects of America’s material culture.*
Consider newspaper and pepper as physical objects. Each has some meaning for you, but can you think of a use for them in combination? Some Americans have used pepper and newspaper in a process known as “nettling.” An elderly medical doctor tells the story of his first encounter with nettling:

The ink of my medical license was hardly dry, and as I was soon to find out, my ears would not be dry for some time. I had never delivered a baby on my own and faced my maiden voyage with some fear.

Upon entering Mrs. Williamson’s house, I found a local midwife and several neighbors busily at work preparing for the delivery. My fear caused me to move rather slowly and my happiness over my reprieve prompted me to tell the women that they were doing just fine and to proceed without my services.

Having gotten myself off the book, I watched the ladies with a fascination that soon turned to horror.

At the height of Mrs. Williamson’s labor pains, one of the neighbors rolled a piece of newspaper into a funnel shape. Holding the bottom end of the cone she poured a liberal amount of pepper into it. Her next move was to insert the sharp end of the cone into Mrs. Williamson’s nose. With the cone in its “proper” place, the neighbor inhaled deeply and blew the pepper from the cone into the inner recesses of Mrs. Williamson’s nose—if not her mind.

Suddenly alert, Mrs. Williamson’s eyes widened as her senses rebelled against the pepper. With a mighty sneeze, I was introduced to nettling. The violence of that sneeze reverberated through her body to force the baby from her womb in a skittering flight across the bed. An appropriately positioned assistant fielded the baby in midflight and only minor details of Orville’s rite of birth remained.

Before this doctor was introduced to nettling, this particular combination of newspaper and pepper had no meaning for him. And until nettling was devised, the combination was without meaning for anyone, even though the separate physical objects existed as part of the culture.

**How is material culture related to nonmaterial culture?** The uses and meanings of physical objects can vary among societies. Although it is conventional to use a 747 jet for traveling, it is possible that a 747 downed in a remote jungle region of the world could be used as a place of worship, a storage bin, or a home. In the United States, out-of-service buses, trains, and trolley cars have been converted to restaurants.

Clearly, the cultural meaning of physical objects is not determined by the physical characteristics of the objects. The meanings of physical objects are based on the beliefs, norms, and values people hold with regard to them. This is obvious when new meanings of a physical object are considered. At one time, only pianos and organs were used in church services. Guitars, drums, and trumpets were not “holy” enough to accompany a choir. Yet many churches today use these “worldly” instruments regularly in their worship activities. The instruments have not changed, but the cultural meanings placed on them have.
Ideal and Real Culture

A gap sometimes exists between cultural guidelines and actual behavior. This gap is captured in the concepts of ideal and real culture. **Ideal culture** refers to cultural guidelines publicly embraced by members of a society. **Real culture** refers to actual behavior patterns, which often conflict with these guidelines.

One value of America’s ideal culture is honesty. Yet in real culture, honesty is not always practiced. Some taxpayers annually violate both the letter and spirit of existing tax laws. Some businesspeople engage in dishonest business practices. Some students cheat on exams. Some college athletes do the “high $500” handshake, during which a team booster leaves illegal money in their palms. These are not isolated instances. They are real cultural patterns passed on from generation to generation.

It is important to remember that we are not referring here to individuals whose violations of norms include murder, rape, and robbery. These types of antisocial behavior violate even real culture.

Does the fact that we sometimes ignore cultural guidelines make ideal culture meaningless? Absolutely not. In an imperfect world, ideal culture provides high standards. These ideals are targets that most people attempt to reach most of the time. Ideal culture also permits the detection of deviant behavior. Individuals who deviate too far from the ideal pattern are sanctioned. This helps to preserve the ideal culture.

### Section 4 Assessment

1. How is the material culture influenced by the nonmaterial culture?
2. How is real culture different from ideal culture?

### Critical Thinking

3. **Drawing Conclusions** Think of an example of real and ideal culture in your school. Should the aspect of ideal culture be abandoned? Why or why not?
Cultural Change

So far we have talked about culture as if it did not change. Actually the processes that govern cultural change are so important they are discussed in Chapter 17 on social movements and collective behavior. Briefly, however, you should realize that all cultures experience change. Norms, values, and beliefs are relatively stable, but they do change over time. For example, many of your grandparents never went to college; as teenagers, your parents never e-mailed friends or made last-minute dates on their cell phones. It was not that long ago that middle-class women with young children were discouraged from working outside the home. Interracial dating, while still relatively uncommon, is becoming more acceptable in the United States. These are aspects of culture that are changing in response to certain processes.

Why does culture change? Culture changes for three reasons. One cause is discovery, the process of finding something that already exists. The United States is currently discovering the generally unrecognized athletic abilities of females. This is changing the perception of women and the relationship between males and females.

Culture is also changed through invention, the creation of something new. Science has led to inventions that have changed the world since the fifteenth century, from the creation of the steam engine to the cellular phone. Such inventions have greatly altered our way of life.

A third cause of cultural change is diffusion, the borrowing of aspects of culture from other cultures. One aspect of culture that diffuses rapidly is food. Tacos, pizza, and hamburgers can be found on menus all over the world. Christmas trees and piñatas are part of celebrations in many countries. Ideas are also diffused. Japanese society has been fundamentally transformed as a result of the adoption of democracy and capitalism after World War II. As stated earlier, these three processes will be examined more closely in a later chapter.

The Scottish kilt is an essential part of this South African traditional dance that tells the story of a historic battle with the British in the 1800s.
Adolescence is often marked with drama and difficulty. Jacquelynne Eccles (1993) investigated the experience of American teenagers entering a midwestern junior high school and discovered that some teenage troubles are more than hormonal—they are cultural as well.

Eccles studied 1,500 early adolescents moving from sixth-grade elementary schools to seventh-grade junior high schools. The junior high schools were located in twelve school districts in middle-class Michigan communities. Students filled out questionnaires at school for two consecutive years—the sixth and seventh grades. This procedure permitted Eccles to document changes the teenagers experienced after the first year of their transition.

The findings were not encouraging. The relationships between students and teachers tended to worsen over the year. At the very time when the young adolescents especially needed supportive relationships outside of their homes, personal and positive relationships with teachers were strained by cultural and organizational changes in junior high school.

There was more grouping based on academic achievement and more comparing of students with one another. This increased emphasis on student ranking comes just when young adolescents are most insecure about their status relative to their peers. In addition, in the junior high culture, the students experienced less opportunity to participate in classroom decision making.

As a result, student motivation and self-confidence declined. Eccles concluded that junior high school culture denies adolescents the emotionally supportive environment they need for proper social development.

Junior high students who are in supportive environments are more likely to have higher motivation and self-esteem than students in less supportive schools and families.
Eccles’s news was no better on the home front. Changes in family paralleled those of the school system. Parental control over teenagers went up during the year, often to excessive levels. At the same time, school motivation and self-esteem of the junior high students went down.

As a check on these general findings, Eccles compared students in more supportive schools and families with those in less supportive ones. In both the school and the family settings, she found more positive results in supportive environments. Students who were able to participate in school and family decision making showed higher levels of academic motivation and self-esteem than their peers with less opportunity to participate.

The solution to this problem, Eccles concludes, lies in a change in the norms and values of the schools and families. Schools and families need to develop balanced cultural expectations of young adolescents based on their developmental needs. Adolescents, Eccles points out, have a growing need for independence that is rarely encouraged in the culture of the public school system. Neither cracking down on them nor giving up control strikes the proper balance. The task is for the family and school to provide “an environment that changes in the right way and at the right pace” (Eccles, 1993:99).

**Working with the Research**

1. Do you recall your junior high experience? Was your situation similar to the one described by Eccles? Did you feel the same pressures?

2. Which of the three theoretical perspectives do you think is most helpful in understanding the social relationships Eccles describes? Apply this perspective to explain her findings.
Cultural Diversity

Cultural diversity exists in all societies. Some diversity is a result of social categories—groups that share a social characteristic such as age, gender, or religion. Certain behaviors are associated with particular ages, genders, or religions. For example, devout Catholics are expected to attend Mass regularly.

What are subcultures and countercultures? Cultural diversity also comes from groups that differ in particular ways from the larger culture. These groups participate in the larger culture. They may speak the language, work regular jobs, eat and dress like most others, and attend recognized houses of worship. But despite sharing in the broader culture, these groups have some ways of thinking, feeling, and behaving that set them apart. Such groups—known as subcultures and countercultures—are usually found in large, complex societies.

Subculture is part of the dominant culture but differs from it in some important respects. The subculture of San Francisco's Chinatown is a good example. Early Chinese immigrants brought much of their native culture with them to America and have attempted to retain it by passing it from generation to generation. Although Chinese residents of Chinatown have been greatly affected by American culture, they have kept many cultural patterns of their own, such as language, diet, and family structure. Other examples of subcultures are those formed by circus people, musicians, and mental patients (Fine, 1996; Redhead, 1997; Kephart and Zellner, 1998).

Counterculture is a subculture deliberately and consciously opposed to certain central beliefs or attitudes of the dominant culture. A counterculture can be understood only within the context of this opposition.

Examples of primarily teenage countercultures include the “goth” and the “punk” scenes. Goth is a shortening of the term gothic, meaning dark, strangely mysterious, and remote. Punk is a philosophy of rebellion and sexual revolution popularized by the lyrics and music of punk-rock bands.

Prison counterculture surfaced at the trial of John King, a man convicted of the gruesome truck-dragging murder of James Byrd, Jr. During an earlier prison stretch, King had become a member of a white supremacist gang that promoted many forms of violence. The gang’s motto was “blood in, blood out,” meaning that entry into the gang demanded a violent act, and leaving the gang would result in violence as well (Galloway, 1999).

Delinquent gangs, motorcycle gangs, certain types of drug groups, and revolutionary or religious groups may also form countercultures (Zellner, 1999).

Ethnocentrism

Once people learn their culture, they tend to become strongly committed to it. In fact, they often cannot imagine any other way of life. They may judge others in terms of their own cultural standards—a practice referred to as ethnocentrism.
When Star Wars first appeared in theaters in the late 1970s, director George Lucas probably did not realize that he had almost single-handedly created a full-fledged cultural phenomenon. Virtually everyone in the United States now recognizes Luke Skywalker, Darth Vader, and Yoda. Most Americans know what “May the Force be with you” means.

The movies in the Star Wars series have certainly been extremely popular in their own right, but the Internet has also been important in their penetration into popular culture. In 1999, Star Wars fans kept in touch over the Internet as they eagerly awaited The Phantom Menace, the first new Star Wars film in sixteen years. Anticipation of the first “prequel” was incredibly intense, and pirated footage spread to more than sixty web sites within hours of first being posted. In response, Lucasfilm’s official web site posted the film’s trailer and was promptly overwhelmed with 340 “hits” per second. The impact of the Internet on this bit of American culture is undeniable.

“Everyone said this was the most top-secret movie ever made, that it was tighter than Fort Knox, no leaks whatsoever,” says Scott Chitwood, aged twenty-five, who’s the emperor of TheForce.net. “Well, most web site operators knew the plot a year ago. That’s all because of the Internet.”

Of course, the cultural effects of Star Wars are not limited to the box office. Star Wars is much more than a movie. It is a mini-culture, or subculture, unto itself. It has its own icons, symbols, and language. And elements of this subculture have entered the larger culture. Merchandise related to the first three Star Wars movies totaled over $4.5 billion in sales between 1977 and 1999. That alone amounts to more than four times the revenues generated from the films themselves. These items include toys, soundtracks, costumes, and licensing fees. With the increased popularity of e-commerce, the Internet has become a cultural force to be reckoned with.

Analyzing the Trends

1. What other recent events are now part of popular culture in the United States? Tell what aspects of these events have made their way into our thinking, feeling, and behaving.

2. Predict ways in which the increasing popularity of the Internet may alter our understanding of culture.
What are some examples of ethnocentrism? Examples of ethnocentrism are plentiful. The Olympic Games are much more than an arena of competition for young men and women. In addition to competition, the games are an expression of ethnocentrism. Political and nationalistic undercurrents run through the Olympics. A country’s final ranking in this athletic competition for gold, silver, and bronze medals is frequently taken as a reflection of the country’s worth and status on the world stage.

Ethnocentrism also exists within societies. Regional rivalries in the United States are a source of many humorous stories, but these jokes reflect an underlying ethnocentrism. Boston is said by some (mostly Bostonians) to be the hub of the universe. Texans often claim to have the biggest and best of everything. New Yorkers bemoan the lack of culture in Los Angeles. Finally, members of churches, schools, and country clubs all over America feel that their particular ways of living should be adopted by others.

Does ethnocentrism help or hurt society? Ethnocentrism has two faces—it offers both advantages and disadvantages. People feel good about themselves and about others in their group when they believe that what they are doing is right and superior to what other groups do. Stability is promoted because traditions and behaviors are highly valued. If a society is too rigid, however, it becomes inflexible. Extreme ethnocentrism can prevent change for the better. Societies whose members are firmly convinced of their superiority tend not to create anything new. The ancient Chinese built a wall to keep both invaders and new ideas out. The civil rights movement was born to combat racial ethnocentrism. Hitler’s Final Solution was ethnocentrism at its worst. Today many states are passing laws that increase the penalties against people who commit violent acts against others based on their race, origin, or religion. (Civil rights and hate crimes are discussed in more detail in Chapter 9.)

Cultural Universals

Although it may seem that different cultures have little in common, researchers have identified more than seventy common cultural traits. These cultural universals are traits that exist in all cultures. They include such things as sports, cooking, courtship, division of labor, education, etiquette, funeral rites, family, government, hospitality, housing, inheritance rules, joking, language, medicine, marriage, mourning, music, property rights, religious rituals, sexual restrictions, status differences, and tool making (Murdock, 1945). Because all societies have these cultural universals, they are more similar than you think. (See Figure 3.5 on page 102 for a more detailed list of cultural universals.)

How are cultural universals expressed? Cultural universals are not always carried out in the same way. In fact, different cultures have developed quite different ways to express universals. These are called cultural particulars. One cultural universal is caring for children. In the United States, women have traditionally worked within the home caring for children, and men have worked outside the home. (Although this is changing, women in this country are still largely responsible for child care.) Among the
Manus of New Guinea, in contrast, the man is completely in charge of child rearing. Among the Mbuti pygmies, the Lovedu of Africa, and the Navajo and Iroquois Indians, men and women share equally in domestic and economic tasks (Little, 1975).

**Why do cultural universals exist?** The biological similarity shared by all human beings helps to account for many cultural universals. If a society is to survive, children must be born and cared for, and some type of family structure must exist. (Groups that deliberately eliminate the family—such as the Shakers religious sect of New England—disappear.) Because people become ill, there must be some sort of medical care. Because people die, there must be funeral rites, mourning, and inheritance rules. Because food is necessary, cooking must be done.

The physical environment provides another reason why cultural universals exist. Because humans cannot survive without protection from the environment, some form of shelter must be created. Armies were formed to settle disputes over boundaries and important waterways.

Finally, cultural universals exist because societies face many of the same social problems. If a society is to survive, new members must be taught the
culture. Goods and services must be produced and distributed. Tasks must be assigned, and work must be accomplished. Cultures develop similar methods of solving these problems.

Section 5 Assessment

1. Identify each of the following as a social category (SC), subculture (S), or counterculture (C).
   a. Chinatown in New York City
   b. motorcycle gang
   c. Catholics
   d. females
   e. revolutionary political group
   f. the super rich

2. Define ethnocentrism.

3. What are cultural universals? Why do they exist?

Critical Thinking

4. Analyzing Information Are you and your friends members of a subculture? If so, describe some specific elements of that subculture.

5. Making Comparisons From the chart above, choose a cultural universal. Compare or contrast how this cultural universal is addressed by two different cultures. For example, how do the United States and Mexico differ in recreational activities?
Summary

Section 1: The Basis Of Culture
Main Idea: Culture defines how people in a society behave in relation to others and to physical objects. Although most behavior among animals is instinctual, human behavior is learned. Even reflexes and drives do not completely determine how humans will behave, because people are heavily influenced by culture.

Section 2: Language and Culture
Main Idea: Humans can create and transmit culture. The symbols of language play a role in determining people's view of reality.

Section 3: Norms and Values
Main Idea: Two essential components of culture are norms and values. There are several types of norms—folkways, mores, and laws. Sanctions are used to encourage conformity to norms. Values, the broadest cultural ideas, form the basis for norms.

Section 4: Beliefs and Material Culture
Main Idea: Besides norms and values, beliefs and physical objects make up culture. Ideal culture includes the guidelines we claim to accept, while real culture describes how we actually behave.

Section 5: Cultural Diversity and Similarity
Main Idea: Cultures change according to three major processes. Cultures contain groups within them called subcultures and countercultures.

Reviewing Vocabulary

Complete each sentence using each term once.

1. _________ are the ideas about the nature of reality.
2. A group that belongs to the larger culture but differs from it in some significant way is called _________.
3. _________ is the study of the biological basis of human behavior.
4. Formally defined norms enforced by officials are called _________.
5. _________ are rewards and punishments that can be applied by most members of a group.
6. Actual behavior patterns of the members of a group are called _________.
7. _________ are rewards and punishments used to encourage desired behaviors.
8. Norms with moral dimensions are called _________.
9. A specific territory composed of people who share a common culture are called _________.
10. Judging others in terms of one's own cultural standards is called _________.

Reviewing the Facts

1. According to sociobiology, how is human behavior influenced?
2. What are the differences between reflexes and drives?
3. What are folkways? Give three examples of folkways in the United States.

4. Explain the Sapir-Whorf hypothesis.

5. What are the three basic types of norms?

6. Define formal and informal sanctions.

7. Describe the relationship between norms and sanctions.

8. How does a social category differ from a subculture?

9. Ethnocentrism offers both advantages and disadvantages. Give an example of a positive role that ethnocentrism can play in a society. When is ethnocentrism a negative force in a society?

10. What are cultural universals?

**Thinking Critically**

1. **Making Inferences** More than any other symbol of our country, the American flag provokes emotional responses. Some people are willing to give their lives for it, while others have burned it in protest. In groups, discuss why this symbol is so powerful.

2. **Applying Concepts** All societies have cultural universals, as discussed in this chapter. Why, then, are so many groups in conflict? Think of examples of groups in this country that seem to be in conflict (such as animal rights activists and fur shop owners), and examine the reasons for these conflicts.

3. **Making Comparisons** Discuss how you think a functionalist would look at the topic of culture. How do you think a conflict theorist would view it?

4. **Evaluating Information** Some Amish parents have gone to jail rather than enroll their children in public schools. Even though you might wish that your parents had taken this stand on your behalf, what does it say about Amish cultural values?

5. **Categorizing Information** We have created a whole new language as a result of computers. A mouse is no longer necessarily an animal; another definition would be a device for navigating through electronic files. Make a list of the words in your school that are unique to your community (or school group) and that would take an “outsider” a while to learn.

6. **Understanding Cause and Effect**

   Use the diagram below to illustrate three causes of cultural change.

   ![Diagram of Cultural Change]

   CULTURAL CHANGE

**Sociology Projects**

1. **Cultural Universals** Using the cultural universals diagram in your text (Figure 3.5 on page 102), create your own culture. Your culture must include all the components of the second level: an economy, institutions, arts, language, environment, recreation, and beliefs. Make sure that elements of the third level on the cultural universal diagram are part of your culture. For example, how will your culture entertain itself? What types of music will you listen to? How old are the members of your culture? You must also name this culture and locate it on a world map. Present your culture to the class with a detailed poster.

2. **Culture** You are an archaeologist and you have just uncovered a civilization called “America.” Find at least one item from each of these aspects of culture: economy, religion, sports, science/technology, education, families, and politics/government. For example, you might uncover a checkbook, a small cross, a baseball card, a mouse (not the animal), a piece of chalk, pictures, and campaign buttons. As you find these items around your house or school, try to imagine what they might mean to this American culture by answering the following questions.
a. Is this item culturally universal? Can it be found in other cultures?
b. What uses might someone from another culture find for this item? Be creative.
c. What does this item tell us about this culture?

3. **Popular Culture**

T-shirts are a great example of popular culture. Everyone wears them, and they are very symbolic; they say a lot about our culture and about the people that wear them. Find a public place where you can discreetly observe people. Look for individuals wearing T-shirts, and jot down your observations of those shirts. Do the shirts make a statement about the people wearing them? Do they carry messages related to any different aspects of culture such as family, politics, or religion? Do they reflect social values? Are any of them inappropriate? If so, what does it say about the wearer’s values compared to yours? Did you see similarities in T-shirts, such as a lot of black T-shirts or sports T-shirts? Use standard grammar, spelling, sentence structure and punctuation to write a brief report on your observations.

4. **Handshakes in U.S. Culture**

Handshakes are also symbolic representations of cultures. List some situations in which people shake hands in U.S. culture. For example, do boyfriends and girlfriends shake hands in the hallway when they meet? Do some students use special handshakes when they greet other students? As a class, determine all the ways in which handshakes are used in U.S. culture, and explain how the social situation can change the meaning of a handshake.

5. **American Values**

Based on the section on American values in your text (see pages 89–91), find ads in several magazines that reflect aspects of American values. For example, many ads for fast-food restaurants emphasize efficiency. These businesses pride themselves on their ability to get your meal out fast. The value of efficiency is seen as very American. Look for ads that reflect each one of the American values listed in your text. Put the ads together in a booklet with a title page and conclusions drawn from what you discovered.

6. **Cultural Lag**

Material tools of a culture, such as computers, change faster than nonmaterial tools, such as norms and values. This difference creates what has been called *cultural lag*. (You will learn more about this topic in Chapter 17.) Computers have been around for some time. Still, many Americans lag behind in their proficiency with the technology. Interview people you know of varying ages: someone under age twelve, some fellow teens, some young adults, and some elderly adults. Ask them how computer literate they are. Do they know how to use Windows? The Internet? Does cultural lag exist in your sample? If so, try to find reasons or explanations for the lag. Does everyone have equal access to computers? Do certain populations tend to avoid computers? Is fear of technology or change involved?

7. **Cultural Norms**

Create a chart comparing cultural norms among U.S. subculture groups such as ethnic, socioeconomic strata, and gender groups.

**Technology Activities**

1. Compare the use of language between two social categories within your culture (e.g., teenagers and parents). Make a list of ten examples of words or phrases that differ in meaning between the members of each social category. Using the Internet and your school or local library, find the original derivation of the word or phrase. Record your information in a database.
Every social crisis generates its share of easy explanations, but adolescent crime wins the contest for pat answers. Not only is everyone an expert, but out-of-control children are often already the focus of uneasiness about social change, general anxiety, and just plain undisguised dislike. The tragic shootings at Columbine High School in Littleton, Colorado, have generated more than the usual number of theories. Few of these are original, and, in fact, many of them repeat a formula tried out almost 45 years ago, during the national panic over juvenile delinquency. True, the supposed cultural influences have changed, with blame pointed now at the dark lyrics of Marilyn Manson or virtual-reality, murder-and-mayhem computer games, but the ultimate message is pretty much the same: our children’s behavior is out of control because our culture is out of control. The only solution is to find a form of censorship that can block adolescents’ access to the violent images that impel them to behave violently.

One problem with the cultural explanation for teen violence is that, notwithstanding numerous scientific attempts to do so, it is impossible to prove—there are simply too many other possible causes to factor into the equation. Not that this should necessarily deter critics of our current teen culture. But it is one thing to regard what young people listen to, play, or consume as strange or vulgar or even mildly threatening, and another to argue that it incites specific behavior. Teenagers might be persuaded by advertising to buy a Big Mac or smoke a Camel, but that doesn’t mean that song lyrics can make them commit mass murder.

Another problem with the cultural explanation is that we have been there before and ought to recognize from our experience some of the outcomes and implications of the argument. In the mid-’50s, especially between 1954 and 1956, Americans worried as deeply about juvenile delinquency as they did about the cold war, atomic annihilation, unemployment, and other social ills. The reason for this is not hard to figure out. Government commissions, the FBI . . . , and a number of leading psychologists and social critics were all warning of a terrible scourge of juvenile crime. Cities and towns rushed to pass new ordinances . . . . The favorites of these were local curfews, naming the hour when children under 18 had to be home. Quite naturally, this led to some increased incidence of lawbreaking by youths. But, overall, during the ’50s juvenile crime was no higher than the decade that preceded it. Yet fears of juvenile delinquency continued to soar.

While there were many explanations offered for delinquency, the one most printed in the pages of popular magazines and voiced during congressional hearings convened to examine the problem was the malevolent influence of crime.
and horror comic books. No one could accuse “Howdy Doody” or “I Love Lucy” of inciting teen violence, although there were cop-and-gangster TV shows and scores of films that might have been blamed. . . . Comic books, on the other hand, particularly violent and horror comics, . . . became the focus of a concerted effort to censor youth culture. The effort was led by liberal Senator Estes Kefauver of Tennessee and was founded on the psychological theories of Fredric Wertham, whose 1954 best-seller, Seduction of the Innocent, inspired a vast outcry against the comics. Wertham’s theory was based on asking teenage criminals if they read comic books—not much different from the logic behind today’s blaming of computer games or music. Kefauver and Wertham’s movement ultimately persuaded the publishing industry to impose self-censorship. Juvenile crime didn’t fall, but the comics changed; and some of the most violent ones disappeared altogether.

If the anti–comic-book agitation did nothing much to end juvenile crime . . . what explains this panic? Clearly, something was happening in the ’50s, just as it appears to be happening in our own time. The postwar era was a revolutionary time, the first generation in American history wherein children had substantial amounts of spending money. The result was the explosion of a youth culture designed to appeal specifically and exclusively to young people. The teenage market expanded rapidly, from clothing to automobiles to movies and fast food. . . . Children were growing up faster; they acted more like adults or at least demanded adult privileges. All of this looked immensely threatening to parents and parenting experts in the ’50s. Parents and parenting experts in our age are also confronting a major new development. In this case, it’s the advent of the Internet—which has exponentially increased the amount and scope of influences to which American kids are exposed.

What does it Mean?

annihilation
total destruction
concerted
organized; mutually arranged
malevolent
vicious or harmful
scourge
a cause of widespread distress

So what can we learn from the experience of the ’50s . . . ? First, we should be wary of the attempt to link behavior directly and precisely to culture. There is no clear evidence to support this, and, besides, we can probably never develop a form of acceptable censorship any-

way. It is also important to separate things that we don’t like (or understand) from those social problems that might, in fact, cause teenage alienation and criminal behavior. Banning Marilyn Manson, hip-hop clothes, and rap music will certainly have an effect, but not the desired one. And, finally, we need to remind ourselves that youth culture is something that modern society has invented and celebrated. By extending affluence to children, by giving them computers and spending money, by making them consumers and therefore members of the marketplace, we have given them access to an adult world and an adult culture. We will have to learn to live with the consequences of that.


Read and React

1. What common assumption about juvenile crime is the author questioning?
2. Why does Gilbert think it is not possible to scientifically prove how culture affects a particular behavior?
3. What does Gilbert say about the power of advertising to affect teenage behavior?
4. What modern day invention does Gilbert compare to the influence of comic books in the 1950s?
5. In two or three sentences, state the main point that the author makes in this article. Do you agree or disagree with his assessment? Why or why not?
CHAPTER 4
Socialization
All of us have feelings of love. We assume that such an emotion is innate, that we are born with it. Actually, we learn our feelings from those close to us, our parents and others who take care of us.

One story that illustrates that we need to learn how to express love is the story of Genie. Genie had been kept isolated in a locked room by her father from the time she was nearly two. When she was found at the age of thirteen, much of her behavior was subhuman. Because Genie’s father severely punished her for making any sounds whatever, she was completely silent. She never sobbed when she cried or spoke when angry. Never having been given solid food, she could not chew. Because she had spent her entire life strapped in a potty chair, Genie could not stand erect, straighten her arms or legs, or run. Her social behavior was primitive. She blew her nose on whatever was handy or into the air when nothing was available. Without asking, she would take from people things that attracted her attention.

Attempts to socialize Genie were not successful. At the end of the four-year period, she could not read, could speak only in short phrases, and had just begun to control some of her feelings and behavior. Genie paid a high price—her full development as a human being—for the isolation, abuse, and lack of human warmth she experienced.

As you will see in this chapter on socialization, infants denied close and continuous human care have no chance to learn all the feelings we mistakenly assume to be inborn.

**Sections**

1. The Importance of Socialization
2. Socialization and Self
3. Agents of Socialization
4. Processes of Socialization

**Learning Objectives**

After reading this chapter, you will be able to

- define the term *socialization*.
- discuss the role socialization plays in human development.
- describe the effects of extreme isolation on children.
- explain key concepts of socialization from the symbolic interactionist perspective.
- analyze the role of the family, school, peer group, and media in socializing young people.
- discuss processes for socialization in adulthood.

**Chapter Overview**

Visit the Sociology and You Web site at [soc.glencoe.com](http://soc.glencoe.com) and click on Chapter 4—Chapter Overviews to preview chapter information.
Nearly all the human social behavior we consider natural and normal is learned. It is natural to us in the United States for husbands and wives to walk along side-by-side. In many places in India, however, it seems natural for wives to walk slightly behind their husbands. In fact, nearly all aspects of social life (including walking patterns) are not natural but learned through the process of socialization. Human beings at birth are helpless and without knowledge of their society’s ways of thinking, feeling, and behaving. If a human infant is to participate in cultural life, much learning has to take place. Socialization is the cultural process of learning to participate in group life.

Socialization begins at birth and continues throughout life. Successful socialization enables people to fit into all kinds of social groups. Socialization must occur if high school freshmen are to adjust to their new situation, if graduating seniors are to look for employment, and if presidents of the United States are to govern successfully.
The most important learning occurs early in life. Psychological case studies reveal that without prolonged and intensive social contact, children do not learn such basics as walking, talking, and loving. Without socialization, a human infant cannot develop the set of attitudes, beliefs, values, and behaviors associated with being an individual.

**How do we know socialization is important?** Suppose you wanted to design an experiment to see how socialization affects infants. You would have to set up an experiment that compared a group of normally socialized infants (the *control* group) with a group of isolated infants—infants with little or no human contact (the *experimental* group). For obvious reasons, such experiments are not conducted with human infants. We do, however, have some nonexperimental evidence from studies of socially isolated children. Experiments have been done with monkeys.

**How do monkeys react to social isolation?** A psychologist, Harry Harlow, devised a famous experiment that showed the negative effects of social isolation on rhesus monkeys (Harlow and Zimmerman, 1959; Harlow and Harlow, 1962; Harlow, 1967). In one experiment, infant monkeys, separated from their mothers at birth, were exposed to two artificial mothers—wire dummies of the same approximate size and shape as real adult monkeys. One of the substitute mothers had an exposed wire body. The other was covered with soft terry cloth. Free to choose between them, the infant monkeys consistently spent more time with the soft, warm mother. Even when the exposed wire dummy became the only source of food, the terry cloth mother remained the favorite. Apparently, closeness and comfort were more important to these monkeys than food. When frightened by a mechanical toy bear or a rubber snake, these infant monkeys consistently ran to their cloth mothers for security and protection.

Harlow showed that infant monkeys need intimacy, warmth, physical contact, and comfort. Infant monkeys raised in isolation became distressed, apathetic, withdrawn, hostile adult animals. They never exhibited normal sexual patterns. As mothers, they either rejected or ignored their babies. Sometimes, they even physically abused them.
You will read in this section about the effects of extreme social isolation in Anna, Isabelle, and Genie. Although no one expects the results to be nearly as harmful, many sociologists today are concerned about how the increased use of computers and the Internet might affect young people. They wonder if this will be the first generation of children to grow up lacking adequate social skills.

Traditional games—sandlot ball games, for example—are socially oriented. These games require interaction and negotiation with other people, encourage sensitivity to others’ viewpoints, help establish mutual understanding, and increase cooperative behavior (Casbergue and Kieff, 1998). These social skills are not developed by children who spend a great deal of time in isolated computer activities.

One researcher, Sherry Turkle, claims that the social isolation brought about by heavy use of the Internet leads to the destruction of meaningful social contact (Katz and Aspden, 1997). Similarly, Cliff Stoll (1995) says that excessive Internet activity lowers people’s commitment to real friendships.

Perhaps you have read stories in the news about children who arranged to meet adults through the Internet. These stories often suggest that it was possible to lure these children to these meetings because they did not have the social skills and experience needed to make sound judgments about their actions.

According to an important nationwide study, the Internet is promoting social isolation (Nie and Erbring, 2000). As people spend more time on the Internet (55 percent of Americans have access), they experience less meaningful social contact. Impersonal electronic relationships are replacing face-to-face interaction with family and friends. According to the author of this study, political scientist Norman Nie, “When you spend time on the Internet, you don’t hear a human voice and you never get a hug.”

Another concern is that extensive video game use will shorten the natural attention span of children. This could cause them to grow up requiring a continuous flow of outside stimulation which interferes with normal social interaction (“Lego: Fighting the Video Monsters,” 1999).

Defenders of computers and the Internet point to a survey (based on 2,500 Americans) that showed Internet users were just as likely as non–Internet users to join religious, leisure, or community groups (Katz and Aspden, 1997). The survey results, according to these observers, indicate that Internet users are just as socially active as other people.

Critics of this survey point out that the researchers failed to ask some important questions. They did not distinguish between heavy users of the Internet and more moderate users. Also, those surveyed were adults who had already gone through the early years of socialization. There will have to be more research before we understand the effects of new technologies on children’s social growth.

**Analyzing the Trends**

What is your position in the debate about whether heavy Internet use stunts social skills? Give reasons for your answers.
Can we generalize from monkeys to humans? It is risky to assume that knowledge gained about nonhumans also applies to humans. Nevertheless, many experts on human development believe that for human infants—as for Harlow’s monkeys—emotional needs for affection, intimacy, and warmth are as important as physiological needs for food, water, and protection. Human babies denied close contact usually have difficulty forming emotional ties with others. Touching, holding, stroking, and communicating appear to be essential to normal human development. According to a classic study by Lawrence Casler (1965), the developmental growth rate of institutionalized children—who receive less physical contact than normal—can be improved with only twenty minutes of extra touching a day.

Case Studies on Isolated Children: Anna and Isabelle

To understand more about how socialization affects development, we will look at the case histories of two children—Anna and Isabelle—who were socially and emotionally abused. You already know the story of Genie from the Sociological Imagination on page 109. Anna and Isabelle also had traumatic childhoods. Although these three children were born many years ago, similar situations still occur today, unfortunately.

Who was Anna? Anna was the second child born to her unmarried mother. At first, Anna’s strict grandfather had forced her mother to take Anna and leave home, but desperation drove them back again. Anna’s mother so feared that the sight of the child would anger her father that she kept Anna confined to a small room on the second floor of their farmhouse. For five years, Anna received only milk to drink. When finally found, she was barely alive. Her legs were skeleton-like and her stomach bloated from malnutrition. Apparently, Anna had seldom been moved from one position to another, and her clothes and bedding were filthy. She did not know what it was like to be held or comforted. At the time of her discovery, Anna could not walk or talk and showed few signs of intelligence.

During the first year and a half after being found, Anna lived in a county home for children. Here, she learned to walk, to understand simple commands, and to feed herself. She could recall people she had seen. But her speech was that of a one-year-old.

Anna was then transferred to a school for learning disabled children, where she made some further progress. Still, at the age of seven, her mental age was only nineteen months, and her social maturity was that of a two-year-old. A year later, she could bounce and catch a ball, participate as a follower in group activities, eat normally (although with a spoon only), attend
to her toilet needs, and dress herself (except for handling buttons and snaps). At this point, she had acquired the speech level of a two-year-old. By the time of her death at age ten, she had made some additional progress. She could carry out instructions, identify a few colors, build with blocks, wash her hands, brush her teeth, and try to help other children. Her developing capacity for emotional attachment was reflected in the love she had developed for a doll.

Who was Isabelle? Nine months after Anna was found, Isabelle was discovered. She, too, had been hidden away because her mother was unmarried. Isabelle’s mother had been deaf since the age of two and did not speak. She stayed with her child in a dark room, secluded from the rest of the family. When found at the age of six and a half, Isabelle was physically ill from an inadequate diet and lack of sunshine. Her legs were so bowed that when she stood the soles of her shoes rested against each other, and her walk was a skittering movement. Some of her actions were like those of a six-month-old infant. Unable to talk except for a strange croaking sound, Isabelle communicated with her mother by means of gestures. Like an animal in the wild, she reacted with fear and hostility to strangers, especially men.

At first, Isabelle was thought to be severely learning disabled. (Her initial IQ score was near the zero point.) Nevertheless, an intensive program of rehabilitation was begun. After a slow start, Isabelle progressed through the usual stages of learning and development at a faster pace than normal. It took her only two years to acquire the skills mastered by a normal six-year-old. By the time she was eight and a half, Isabelle was on an educational par with children her age. By outward appearances, she was an intelligent, happy, energetic child. At age fourteen, she participated in all the school activities normal for other children in her grade.

To Isabelle’s good fortune, she, unlike Anna, benefited from intensive instruction at the hands of trained professionals. Her ability to progress may also have been because she was confined with her mother for company and comforting.

What can we learn from these case studies? The implication of the cases of Anna, Isabelle, and Genie is unmistakable. The personal and social development associated with being human is acquired through intensive and prolonged social contact with others.

Section 1 Assessment

1. Define the term socialization.
2. What did Harlow’s research on rhesus monkeys reveal?
3. Did the case studies on Anna, Isabelle and Genie support Harlow’s conclusions? Why or why not?

Critical Thinking

4. Analyzing Information Do you think sociologists have overemphasized the importance of social contact in learning? What are some legal and moral implications for the government in this kind of child abuse? Should the state protect children from their parents?
The Functionalist and Conflict Perspectives on Socialization

Each of the three major theoretical perspectives provides insights into socialization. However, the symbolic interactionist perspective allows a more complete understanding than the other two.

How does the functionalist perspective explain socialization? Functionalism stresses the ways in which groups work together to create a stable society. Schools and families, for example, socialize children by teaching the same basic norms, beliefs, and values. If it were otherwise, society could not exist as a whole. It would be fragmented and chaotic.

How does the conflict perspective explain socialization? The conflict perspective views socialization as a way of perpetuating the status quo. When people are socialized to accept their family’s social class, for example,
they help preserve the current class system. People learn to accept their social status before they have enough self-awareness to realize what is happening. Because they do not challenge their position in life, they do not upset the existing class structure. Consequently, socialization maintains the social, political, and economic advantages of the higher social classes.

**Symbolic Interactionism and Socialization**

In the early part of the twentieth century, Charles Horton Cooley and George Herbert Mead developed the symbolic interactionist perspective. They challenged the once widely held belief that human nature is biologically determined (that you are a certain way because you were born that way). For them, human nature is a product of society.

**How does symbolic interactionism help us understand socialization?** Symbolic interactionism uses a number of key concepts to explain socialization. These concepts include

- the self-concept
- the looking-glass self
- significant others
- role taking (the imitation stage, the play stage, the game stage)
- the generalized other.

**Where does the self-concept come from?** Charles Horton Cooley developed the idea of the self-concept from watching his own children at play. Your self-concept is your image of yourself as having an identity separate from other people.

Cooley (1902) realized that children interpreted how others reacted to them in many ways. For example, young children learn quickly that causing some disturbance when adult visitors are present turns attention from the guests to themselves. From such insights, children learn to judge themselves in terms of how they imagine others will react to them. Thus, other people serve as mirrors for the development of the self. Cooley called this way of learning the looking-glass self—a self-concept based on our idea of others’ judgments of us.

**How does the looking-glass process work?** According to Cooley, we use other people as mirrors to reflect back what we imagine they think of us. In this view, the looking-glass self is the product of a three-stage process that is constantly taking place.

1. First, we imagine how we appear to others. (What is our perception of how others see us?)
2. Next, we imagine the reaction of others to our (imagined) appearance.
3. Finally, we evaluate ourselves according to how we imagine others have judged us.

This is not a conscious process, and the three stages can occur in very rapid succession. The result of the process is a positive or negative self-evaluation. Consider this example of the looking-glass process. Suppose you have a new teacher you want to impress. You prepare hard for the next day’s class.
In class, as you are making a comment on the assignment, you have an image of your performance (stage 1). After finishing your comments, you think your teacher is disappointed (stage 2). Because you wanted your teacher to be impressed, you feel bad about yourself (stage 3).

Can the looking glass be distorted? Because the looking glass we use comes from our imaginations, it may be distorted. The mirror may not accurately reflect others’ opinions of us. The teacher in the above example may not have been disappointed at all.

Unfortunately, the looking-glass process works even if we are mistaken about others’ perceptions of us. If we incorrectly believe that a teacher, or a date, or our parents dislike us, the consequences to us are just as real as if it were true.

Do we use some people as mirrors more than others? George Herbert Mead pointed out that some people are more important to us than others (Mead, 1934). The people whose judgments are most important to our self-concepts are called significant others. For a child, significant others are likely to include mother, father, grandparent, teachers, and playmates. Teenagers place heavy reliance on their peers. The variety of significant others is greater for adults, ranging from spouses, parents, and friends to ministers and employers.
What is role taking? As humans, we carry on silent conversations. That is, we think something to ourselves and respond internally to it. All of us do this when we predict the behavior of others. Through internal conversation, we can imagine the thoughts, emotions, and behavior of others in any social situation. **Role taking** allows us to see ourselves through the eyes of someone else. It allows us to take the viewpoint of another person and then respond to ourselves from that imagined viewpoint.

With role taking, we can play out scenes in our minds and anticipate what others will say or do. For example, you might want to ask your employer for a raise. If you could not mentally put yourself in your boss’s place, you would have no idea of the objections that she might raise. But by role-playing her reaction mentally, you can prepare for those objections and be ready to justify your raise.

How does the ability for role taking develop? According to Mead, the ability for role taking is the product of a three-stage process. He called these the imitation stage, the play stage, and the game stage.

In the **imitation stage**, which begins at around one and a half to two years, the child imitates (without understanding) the physical and verbal behavior of a significant other. This is the first step in developing the capacity for role taking.

At the age of three or four, a young child can be seen playing at being mother, father, police officer, teacher, or astronaut. This play involves acting and thinking as a child imagines another person would. This is what Mead called the **play stage**—the stage during which children take on roles of others one at a time.

The third phase in the development of role taking Mead labeled the **game stage**. In this stage, children learn to engage in more sophisticated role taking as they become able to consider the roles of several people simultaneously. Games they play involve several participants, and there are specific rules designed to ensure that the behaviors of the participants fit together. All participants in a game must know what they are supposed to do and what is expected of others in the game. Imagine the confusion in a baseball game if young first-base players have not yet mastered the idea that the ball hit to a teammate will usually be thrown to them. In the second stage of role taking (the play stage) a child may pretend to be a first-base player one moment and pretend to be a base runner the next. In the game stage, however, first-base players who drop their gloves and run to second base when the other team hits the ball will not remain in the game for very long. It is during the game stage that children learn to gear their behavior to the norms of the group.

When do we start acting out of principle? During the game stage, a child’s self-concept, attitudes, beliefs, and values gradually come to depend less on individuals and more on general concepts. For example, being an honest person is no longer merely a matter of pleasing significant others such
as one’s mother, father, or minister. Rather, it begins to seem wrong in principle to be dishonest. As this change takes place, a **generalized other**—an integrated conception of the norms, values, and beliefs of one’s community or society—emerges.

**What is the self?**
According to Mead, we can think of the self as being composed of two parts: the “me” and the “I.” The “me” is the part of the self created through socialization. The “me” accounts for predictability and conformity. Yet much human behavior is spontaneous and unpredictable. An angry child may, for example, unexpectedly yell hurtful words at the parent whom he loves. To account for this spontaneous, unpredictable, often creative part of the self, Mead proposed the “I.”

The “I” does not operate only in extreme situations of rage or excitement. It interacts constantly with the “me” as we conduct ourselves in social situations. According to Mead, the first reaction of the self comes from the “I.” Before we act, however, this reaction is directed into socially acceptable channels by the socialized “me.” When the “I” wants a piece of a friend’s candy bar, the “me” reflects on the consequences of taking the candy without permission. Thus, the “I” normally takes the “me” into account before acting. However, the unpredictability of much human behavior demonstrates that the “me” is not always in control!

**Section 2 Assessment**

1. What is the looking-glass self?
2. What are the consequences of having a distorted looking glass?
3. Which “self” is the first to react to a situation, the “me” or the “I”?

**Critical Thinking**

4. **Applying Concepts** Describe an experience you have had with the looking-glass process. How did this experience touch or change your self-concept?

---

*Man can be defined as the animal that can say I, that can be aware of himself as a separate entity.*

**Erich Fromm**
American psychiatrist
When a prisoner had reached the final stage of adjustment to the camp situation, he had changed his personality so as to accept various values of the SS [Hitler's elite troops] as his own. A few examples may illustrate how this acceptance expressed itself.

Slowly prisoners accepted, as the expression of their verbal aggressions, terms which definitely did not originate in their previous vocabularies, but were taken over from the very different vocabulary of the SS. From copying the verbal aggressions of the SS to copying its form of bodily aggressions was one more step, but it took several years to make this step. It was not unusual to find old prisoners, when in charge of others, behaving worse than the SS.

Old prisoners who identified themselves with the SS did so not only in respect to aggressive behavior. They would try to acquire old pieces of SS uniforms. If that was not possible, they tried to sew and mend their uniforms so that they would resemble those of the guards. The efforts seemed unbelievable, particularly since the SS punished them for their efforts to copy SS uniforms. When asked why they did it, the old prisoners admitted that they loved to look like the guards.

The old prisoners' identification with the SS did not stop with the copying of their outer appearance and behavior. Old prisoners accepted Nazi goals and values, too, even when these seemed opposed to their own interests. It was appalling to see how far even politically well-educated prisoners would go with this identification. At one time American and English newspapers were full of stories about the cruelties committed in these camps. The SS punished prisoners for the appearance of these stories, true to its policy of punishing the group for whatever a member or a former member did, since the stories must have originated in reports from former prisoners. In discussions of this event, old prisoners would insist that it was not the business of foreign correspondents or newspapers to bother with German institutions, expressing their hatred of the journalists who tried to help them.

After so much has been said about the old prisoners' tendency to conform and to identify with the SS, it ought to be stressed that this was only part of the picture. The author has tried to concentrate on interesting psychological mechanisms in group behavior rather than on reporting types of behavior which are either well known or could reasonably be expected. These same old prisoners who identified with the SS defied it at other moments, demonstrating extraordinary courage in doing so.

Source: From Surviving and Other Essays, by Bruno Bettelheim. © 1979 by Bruno Bettelheim and Trude Bettelheim as Trustees.

Thinking It Over

1. Describe an experience you have had in which you or someone you know, as a new member of a group, imitated the ways of the group.

2. How does gang affiliation (such as wearing gang colors or using their slogans) demonstrate the tendency to conform?
The child’s first exposure to the world occurs within the family. Some essential developments occur through close interaction with a small number of people—none of whom the child has selected. Within the family the child learns to

❖ think and speak
❖ internalize norms, beliefs, and values
❖ form some basic attitudes
❖ develop a capacity for intimate and personal relationships
❖ acquire a self-image (Handel, 1990).

The impact of the family reaches far beyond its direct effects on the child. Our family’s social class shapes what we think of ourselves and how others treat us, even far into adulthood. Author Jean Evans offers an illustration of this in the case of Johnny Rocco, a twenty-year-old living in a city slum.

Johnny hadn’t been running the streets long when the knowledge was borne in on him that being a Rocco made him “something special”; the reputation of the notorious Roccos, known to neighbors, schools, police, and welfare agencies as “chiseler, thieves, and trouble-makers” preceded him. The cop on the beat, Johnny says, always had some cynical smart crack to make. . . . Certain children were not permitted to play with him. Wherever he went—on the streets, in the neighborhood, settlement house, at the welfare agency’s penny milk station, at school, where other Roccos had been before him—he recognized himself by a gesture, an oblique remark, a wrong laugh. (Evans, 1954:11)
Socialization in Schools

In school, children are under the care and supervision of adults who are not relatives. For the first time, many of the child’s relationships with other people are impersonal. Rewards and punishments are based on performance rather than affection. Although a mother may cherish any picture that her child creates, a teacher evaluates her students by more objective standards. Slowly, children are taught to be less dependent emotionally on their parents. The school also creates feelings of loyalty and allegiance to something beyond the family.

How do schools socialize students? The socialization process in school involves more than reading, writing, and arithmetic. Underlying the formal goals of the school is the hidden curriculum—the informal and unofficial aspects of culture that children are taught in preparation for life. The hidden curriculum teaches children discipline, order, cooperation, and conformity—characteristics required for success in the adult world of work. (You will learn more about the hidden curriculum in Chapter 12.)

School also teaches children the reality of how we experience time in the real world. According to education critic John Holt (1967), life in schools is run by the clock, as it is in the working world. A bell signals when children must move to the next scheduled event, whether or not they understand what they have been working on and whether or not they are ready to switch to a different subject. Getting through a preset number of activities within a given time period often becomes more important than learning.
Schools have rules and regulations to cover almost all activities—how to dress, how to wear one’s hair, which side of the hall to walk on, when to speak in class. Teachers reward children with praise and acceptance when they recite the “right” answers, behave “properly,” or exhibit “desirable” attitudes.

Children are isolated from the working adult society by being set apart in school for most of their preadult lives. Because they are separated from the adult world for such a long time, young people must depend on one another for much of their social life.

**Peer Group Socialization**

The family and the school are both agencies of socialization organized and operated by adults. The child’s peer group—composed of individuals of roughly the same age and interests—is the only agency of socialization that is not controlled primarily by adults. Children usually belong to several peer groups. A child may belong to a play group in the neighborhood, a clique at school, an after-school club or sports team.

**How do peer groups contribute to socialization?** In the family and at school, children are subordinated to adults. In the peer group, young people have an opportunity to engage in give-and-take relationships. Children experience conflict, competition, and cooperation in such groups. The peer group also gives children experience in self-direction. They can begin to make their own decisions; experiment with new ways of thinking, feeling, and behaving; and engage in activities that involve self-expression.
Independence from adults is also promoted by the peer group, because often the norms of the peer group conflict with those of the adult world. Children learn to be different from their parents in ways that help to develop self-sufficiency.

The peer group also provides an opportunity for children to develop close ties with friends outside the family, including members of the opposite sex. At the same time, they are learning to get along with large numbers of people, many of whom are quite different from themselves. This helps develop the social flexibility needed in a mobile, rapidly changing society.

Do friends or family have more influence on young people? The majority of Americans now live in either urban or suburban areas. In both two-income families and single-parent families, parents may commute many miles to work and spend much of their time away from home. Consequently, once children reach the upper levels of grade school, they may spend more time with their peers than they do with their parents.

According to psychologist Judith Harris (1998), peers are more important than parents in socializing children. Even though most sociologists do not agree with this extreme conclusion, many do believe that the peer group is having a growing effect on social development.

The Mass Media and Socialization

Mass media are means of communication designed to reach the general population. They include such things as television, radio, newspapers, magazines, movies, books, the Internet, tapes, and discs. Many popular images presented in the mass media are highly distorted. For example, detective and police work are not as exciting and glamorous as depicted in books, in movies, and on television. Nevertheless, it is often through the mass media that children are first introduced to numerous aspects of their culture (Fishman and Cavender, 1998).

What role do the mass media play in socialization? The mass media display role models for children to imitate. Learning these role models helps to integrate the young into society.

The mass media, by their content alone, teach many of the ways of the society. This is evident in the behavior we take for granted—the duties of the detective, waitress, or sheriff; the functions of the hospital, advertising agency, and police court; behavior in hotel, airplane, or cruise ship; the language of the prison, army, or courtroom; the relationship between nurses and doctors or secretaries and their bosses. Such settings and relationships are portrayed time and again in films, television
**World View**

**Availability of Television**

The mass media play a key role in the socialization process. Since nearly every U.S. home has at least one television (the majority have more), this medium is one of the most influential in the United States. This map shows that ownership of televisions varies widely around the world.

**Interpreting the Map**

1. What geographical factor(s) might contribute to the density of TV households in South America?
2. Do you think the attitudes of members of societies with more televisions are influenced more by government advertising than members of societies with fewer televisions? Why or why not?


*shows, and comic strips; and all “teach”—however misleadingly— norms, status positions, and institutional functions (Elkin and Handel, 1991:189).*

The mass media also offer children ideas about the values in their society. They provide children with images of achievement and success, activity and work, equality and democracy.

**What about violence in the mass media?** On the negative side, consider the relationship between violence on television and real-life violence. By age sixteen, the average American child will have seen twenty thousand homicides on television (Leonard, 1998). Social scientists have been reluctant in the past to recognize a causal connection between television violence and real-life violence. However, based on hundreds of studies involving over ten thousand children, most now conclude that watching aggressive behavior on television significantly increases aggression (Hepburn, 1993; Strasburger, 1995; Dudley, 1999).
Consider a few examples. A two-year-old girl died when her older brother, age five, set the house on fire with matches while imitating behavior he had seen on the cartoon program *Beavis and Butt-Head*. Just on the basis of televised reports of violence, a rash of would-be copycat crimes followed the shooting massacre of thirteen students and one teacher at Columbine High School by two students who then shot themselves. Television’s effects, of course, are usually more hidden, subtle, and long term: ...[N]ot every child who watched a lot of violence or plays a lot of violent games will grow up to be violent. Other forces must converge, as they did [at Columbine]. ... But just as every cigarette increases the chance that someday you will get lung cancer, every exposure to violence increases the chances that some day a child will behave more violently than they would otherwise (To Establish Justice, 1999:vi).

Adolescents do not get good press. They are often portrayed by the media as awkward, unreasonable, strong-willed, and overconfident. Some parents, taking their cue from comedian Bill Cosby, jokingly attribute teen behavior to temporary “brain damage.” Researcher David Elkind (1981) offers another explanation for much troublesome adolescent behavior. Teens’ problem, he concludes, is not brain damage. They are simply struggling through the emotional and physiological changes of the teen years as best they can.

Teenagers may appear to behave irrationally (by adult standards) because of new thinking capabilities not yet under their control. Contrary to the long-accepted belief that the human brain is fully developed by the age of 8 or 12, startling new research reveals that the brain remains a construction site even into the 20s (Begley, 2000). And the part of the brain that undergoes the greatest change between puberty and young adulthood is responsible for such activities as judgment, emotional control, and organization and planning.

Whereas adults are accustomed to looking at situations from several different viewpoints, teens are not. Confusion can result when inexperienced young people attempt to move from making simple, one-factor decisions to consideration of several factors simultaneously. For example, a teen who wants to join friends in a ride from a night football game may consider that the driver has a license, but may fail to consider the driver’s experience, driving habits, or drinking behavior.

Teens assume that other people have as much interest in them as they have in themselves. Consequently, they surround themselves with an
Section 3 Assessment

1. Why does the family have such strong influence on a child’s socialization?
2. What aspect of socialization does the child first encounter in school that he or she does not meet in the family?
3. What is the hidden curriculum?
4. Besides family and school, identify two other socializing agents.

Critical Thinking

5. Evaluating Information Some psychologists believe that peer groups have more influence on later socialization than the family group. Give reasons why you agree or disagree with that premise.

“imaginary audience.” Since teenagers believe that everyone is watching and evaluating them, they are extremely self-conscious. In groups, adolescents often play to this imaginary audience by engaging in loud and provocative behavior. Yet they fail to understand why adults become annoyed with them. Gradually, they begin to realize that others have their own preoccupations, and the imaginary-audience behavior lessens.

Teenagers frequently have the feeling of invulnerability. For example, they may think that drug addiction, cancer from smoking, pregnancy, and death happen only to others. Their reckless behavior must be seen within this context.

Young people tend to assume that fairly common adolescent experiences are unique. Common complaints include “Mom, you just don’t know how much it hurt for Carlos to take out Maria,” and “Dad, you don’t know what it’s like not to have my own bike.” At the other extreme, adolescents may feel that their own perceptions are shared by everyone. A young boy, for example, may believe that others find him unattractive because of what he thinks is a large nose. No amount of talking can convince him that he is exaggerating the size of his nose or that others pay little attention to it. This self-centered view of reality begins to decrease as teens discover that others are having similar feelings and experiences.

Doing Sociology

Identify three ways in which adults and adolescents could use this developmental awareness to ease the struggle of the teen years.
Whenever change occurs over the course of your life, you will learn new behaviors and skills. This learning is important to socialization. Symbolic interactionism describes four processes associated with socialization after childhood: desocialization, resocialization, anticipatory socialization, and reference groups. How does desocialization prepare people for new learning? Mental hospitals, cults, and prisons are total institutions—places where residents are separated from the rest of society. These residents are not free to manage their own lives, but are controlled and manipulated by those in charge. The end purpose of this control and manipulation is to permanently change the residents. The first step is desocialization—the process by which people give up old norms, values, attitudes, and behaviors. For those in total institutions, desocialization often means the destruction of old self-concepts of personal identity.

Desocialization in institutions is accomplished in many ways. Replacing personal possessions with standard-issue items promotes sameness among the residents. It deprives them of the personal items (long hair, hair brushes, ball caps, T-shirts) they have used to present themselves as unique individuals. The use of serial numbers to identify people and the loss of privacy also contribute to the breakdown of past identity. Cult members, for example, may even be denied use of their given names.

How does resocialization begin? Once the self-concept has been broken down, resocialization—the process in which people adopt new norms, values, attitudes, and behaviors—can begin. Those in control of total institutions, using an elaborate system of rewards and punishments, attempt to give residents new self-
The U.S. has one of the highest rates of imprisonment in the industrialized world—over four times that of any Western European country. Justice officials worry that some prisons function as “schools for crime.” If prisons do first desocialize and then resocialize inmates toward a criminal identity, then the U.S. prison system is unintentionally increasing the criminal portion of the population. This map shows the number of prisoners with sentences of more than one year per 100,000 U.S. residents.

**Interpreting the Map**

1. Where does your state rank in terms of imprisonment rate? Can you relate the extent of imprisonment in your state to the nature of the socialization that occurs in your state?
2. Do the states adjoining your state have imprisonment rates that are similar or dissimilar to your state?

The concepts of desocialization and resocialization were developed to analyze social processes in extreme situations. They still apply to other social settings, including basic training in the U.S. Marine Corps and plebe (freshman) year at the United States Military Academy. In much less extreme form, these concepts illuminate changes in our normal life course. Desocialization and resocialization occur as a child becomes a teenager, when young adults begin careers, and as the elderly move into retirement or widowhood.

**Anticipatory Socialization**

*Anticipatory socialization* is the process of preparing (in advance) for new norms, values, attitudes, and behaviors. It does not generally occur in prisons or mental hospitals because it involves voluntary change.
Case Study: High School Reunions

Socialization occurs throughout life. Even high school reunions play a part. If you asked most Americans to talk about their experiences at a recent high school reunion, what would they say?

“It was great seeing old friends.”

“I was curious about how things turned out for people I loved and hated as a teenager.”

“I plan to get together with some old friends in the near future.”

High school reunions are generally thought to be a time to recapture fond memories of youth.

One researcher wished to investigate the meaning of high school reunions. Keiko Ikeda (1998) studied eight reunions in the American Midwest. He observed these reunions armed with a camera, a tape recorder, and a notebook. After each reunion, he also conducted in-depth, life-story interviews with samples of participants.

Ikeda’s results are too complex and varied to easily summarize. (This is typical of in-depth observational studies.) One aspect of the study, however, reveals the socializing aspect of high school reunions. Ikeda compared several reunions of one high school—tenth, fifteenth, twentieth, thirtieth, fortieth, and fiftieth. He focused on the relative emphasis on the past and the present. As you can see from the passage below, the past becomes more important as age increases.

In the earlier reunions (the tenth and fifteenth years), a concern with relative status and a sense of competitiveness is expressed, often blatantly, through award-giving ceremonies. . . . The hall was decorated in the school colors, and images of the high school mascot were present, but beyond this no high school memorabilia were displayed. The music, too, was current, and not the rock ‘n’ roll of the late sixties and early seventies.

The twentieth-year reunion of the Class of ’62 is typical of a transitional phase in which elements from the past begin to assume an important role. The past is expressed in high school memorabilia . . . in . . . films and slides taken during high school, and in . . . high school anecdotes that are playfully interwoven throughout the ceremonial events.

It is thus with most of us; we are what other people say we are. We are ourselves chiefly by hearsay.

Eric Hoffer
American author
In the thirtieth-year reunion of the Class of ’52, the past firmly occupied center stage. A carefully crafted, chronological narrative of the senior year, entitled “The Way We Were,” was read, in which major class activities were recalled month by month.

In the fiftieth-year reunion, we find a dramatic disappearance of all ritual activities. According to the president of the Class of ’32, his class had held reunions every ten years since graduation, and in earlier ceremonies they had given awards, but this time, “none of the folks in the reunion committee felt like doing that kind of thing.” It seemed that attendees at the fiftieth-year reunion, for the most part, had risen above concerns of past and present and were content to celebrate together the simple fact that they all still had the vigor to attend a reunion.


Working with the Research

1. Ask an adult to describe the activities at one or more high school reunions that he or she has attended. Compare the description with Ikeda’s findings.

2. Suppose you had a class assignment to study an upcoming reunion at your school. Select a research question you would want to ask. Identify the research methods you would use.
Anticipatory socialization may occur in people who are moving from one stage in their lives to another. Consider teenagers, for example. Because they want to resemble those their own age, they may willingly abandon many of the norms, values, attitudes, and behaviors learned previously. This process generally begins in the preteen years. Preteens begin early to observe the ways of teenagers. Teens become their new reference group—the group they use to evaluate themselves and from which they acquire attitudes, values, beliefs, and norms. In this situation, the new reference group is a tool for anticipatory socialization.

Seniors in college, normally seen on campus only in jeans and oversized sweatshirts, suddenly, as graduation nears, are wearing tailored suits and much more serious expressions. In preparing for entry into the business world, they are talking with friends who have graduated as well as company recruiters. In effect, they are preparing themselves for the resocialization they know awaits them (Atchley, 1999).

**Section 4 Assessment**

1. Identify the following actions as desocialization (D), resocialization (R), or anticipatory socialization (A).
   a. First-year students acquire a new identity during their freshman year at a military academy.
   b. Prison personnel deliberately attempt to destroy the self-concepts of inmates.
   c. High school students identify with college students.
2. Which of the following is **not** an example of a reference group?
   a. Rock-star subculture  
   c. Terrorists
   b. United States Military Academy  
   d. Mass media

**Critical Thinking**

3. **Applying Concepts** Which group do you feel is the most influential in the present stage of your socialization—family, peers, school, or the media? Why?
Summary

Section 1: The Importance of Socialization
Main Idea: Socialization is the cultural process of learning to participate in group life. Without it, we would not develop many of the characteristics we associate with being human. Studies have shown that animals and human infants who are deprived of intensive and prolonged social contact with others are stunted in their emotional and social growth.

Section 2: Socialization and the Self
Main Idea: All three theoretical perspectives agree that socialization is needed if cultural and societal values are to be learned. Symbolic interactionism offers the most fully developed perspective for studying socialization. In this approach, the self-concept is developed by using other people as mirrors for learning about ourselves.

Section 3: Agents of Socialization
Main Idea: During childhood and adolescence, the major agents of socialization are the family, school, peer group, and mass media. The family’s role is critical in forming basic values. Schools introduce children to life beyond the family. In peer groups, young people learn to relate as equals. The mass media provide role models for full integration into society.

Section 4: Processes of Socialization
Main Idea: Symbolic interactionism views socialization as a lifelong process. Desocialization is the process of having to give up old norms. Resocialization begins as people adopt new norms and values. Anticipatory socialization and reference groups are concerned with voluntary change as when moving from one life stage to another.

Reviewing Vocabulary

Complete each sentence using each term once.

1. ____________ is the attitudes, beliefs, values, and behaviors associated with an individual.
2. The cultural process of learning to participate in group life is called ____________.
3. ____________ allows us to assume the viewpoint of another person and use that viewpoint to shape our self-concept.
4. ____________ are places in which people are separated from the rest of society and controlled by officials in charge.
5. The process of adopting new norms, values, attitudes, and behaviors is known as ____________.
6. An image of yourself based on what you believe others think of you is called ____________.
7. ____________ is the voluntary process of preparing to accept new norms, values, attitudes, and behaviors.
8. The integrated conception of the norms, values, and beliefs of one’s society is called the ____________.

Reviewing the Facts

1. What does the study involving rhesus monkeys suggest about the choices that human infants would make in the same situation?
2. What is socialization from the viewpoint of symbolic interactionism?
3. What are the three major theoretical perspectives of sociology?
4. What concept discussed in this chapter relates to the song lyric: “Walk a Mile in My Shoes”?

Self-Check Quiz
Visit the Sociology and You Web site at soc.glenoe.com and click on Chapter 4—Self-Check Quizzes to prepare for the chapter test.
Chapter 4 Assessment

5. What are the four major agents of socialization? Use a ladder as your diagram and list the agents on the steps of the ladder.

1. 
2. 
3. 
4. 

6. What is a distinguishing characteristic of total institutions?

7. How does resocialization differ from anticipatory socialization?

Thinking Critically

1. **Making Predictions** You read in this chapter about the concern that extensive computer use stunts social development. Another growing concern is that some people (and groups of people) are being "left behind" because they don’t have equal access to technology. How might this become a problem for your generation?

2. **Evaluating Information** This chapter discusses the socializing influences of mass media. Our perceptions of the ideal body types seem to be largely a product of media socialization. In a later chapter, you will have an opportunity to look at how the media idealizes body types. Girls feel the need to be thin and boys tend to measure how muscular they are. Discuss how television, magazines, CDs, and video games reinforce these images. Give examples from your experience of how the media has socialized Americans to admire certain figure and body types.

3. **Analyzing Information** Your daily life includes many social networks, or groups that regularly contribute to your socialization. They include family, friends, teachers, people at work, teammates, and so forth. Identify one of these groups, and imagine your day if you suddenly lost contact with those people. What support would you be missing? What key elements are provided by this particular social network?

4. **Interpreting Information** Sociologists claim the average American watches television seven hours a day, yet some students say they never watch TV. How could you account for this fact? Remember to refer to what you learned from the chapter in discussing this question.

5. **Making Generalizations** Total institutions, such as prisons, presume that desocialization and resocialization occur, since one of their goals is to make prisoners law abiding. Yet nearly half of the inmates released in the United States return to prison. If desocialization and resocialization really do take place, why is the recidivism rate (the number of prisoners who return to prison) so high? Propose a theory for what might be happening, using the concept of resocialization.

Sociology Projects

1. **Socialization** As you read in the chapter, children are socialized in many ways. Some books that you read when you were a child probably had a lasting impact on you. Your task is to analyze children’s books armed with your newfound sociological knowledge. Read three children’s books or re-read three of your favorites. Use the following questions to help you in your analysis.

   a. What was the socializing message of the book? (In other words, what lesson did it teach?)

   b. How are females/males portrayed in the book?

   c. Are any values dealt with? Do you agree or disagree with those values?

   d. What ethnic groups are portrayed in the book? How are they portrayed?

   e. Are any other concepts from the chapter presented in the books (resocialization, anticipatory socialization, looking-glass self, and so forth)?
2. **Socialization and Music** Create the “Song of Your Life.” From several different songs, select the lyrics that best describe your life. Try to create a flow, as your life represents a continuous flow of events and circumstances. Prepare a written summary of each song’s significance to you, using the socialization concepts presented in the text. Do you think music is a socializing agent?

3. **TV and Real Life** The text mentioned the impact of TV on our daily lives. This activity asks you to assess how “real” TV is compared with what we see and do every day. You are to watch two hours of TV. Watch shows that fictionally portray real life (sporting events, the news, and documentaries are not appropriate for this activity). Take detailed notes on the characters, commenting on their clothing, body types, occupations, social class, race, ethnic group, age, and so forth. Then venture out into the real world, to a public place such as a park, laundromat, mall, bus terminal, or airport, and observe for two hours. (It might be easier to do this one hour at a time.) Concentrate on several people, and note the same features that you did for the TV characters. You might want to focus on shows that portray teens or the elderly and then observe members of that group. (Remember the ethics of doing research, and do not invade a subject’s privacy without permission.) Write a paragraph comparing the characters on television with those you observed in real life.

4. **Violence on TV and in Film** Select a classmate to debate the issue of violence on TV and in film. Take the position that violence on TV and in film promotes real-life violence and propose a solution to this problem. Your classmate should try to persuade the audience that violence on TV does not encourage people to become more violent in real-life. Base your arguments on research.

5. **Major Agents of Socialization** Some children without parents or close family find themselves being moved from one foster home to another for the greater part of their childhood. Write an essay of at least one page in length, using standard grammar, proper spelling, and good sentence structure, in which you examine the role of each major agent of socialization in the development of an individual growing up in this environment.

**HINT:** Family is a major agent of socialization. Family exists in the traditional sense and in variations of all kinds.

**Technology Activities**

1. As indicated in this chapter, the process of socialization occurs throughout a person’s life. The Internet has assumed a significant role in the socialization of Americans. It actually aids television in the process.

   1. What are the most popular television shows among your friends?
   2. Use a search engine to see if these shows have a web site on the Internet.
   3. Describe the kinds of information available on the web sites.
   4. What benefits do the web sites provide to the viewers? To the television show?

2. Using the Internet and your school or local library, research the role the following technological inventions of their time played in the socialization of Americans: the popularity of the radio during 1940–1950; the growing popularity of color television from 1960 to the present; and the popularity of the Internet over the last five years. Consider the positive and negative effects, analyzing how norms and behaviors were changed by the available programming and/or advertising.
Key Findings

Today, violence is not only seen on the streets but also in the schools. During the last five years of the twentieth century, there were over 120 people shot in schools. We now hear stories in the news about young people participating in violent shootings on school grounds and killing innocent bystanders. In a Michigan school in 2000, one six-year-old shot and killed a classmate at school. These violent acts raise questions: Why is there an increase in violence, especially among today’s youth? Does television have a negative effect on individuals? Does television encourage violent behaviors?

In 1994, the National Television Violence Study initiated the first part of its three-year project to assess violence on television. This study, which is the largest study of media content ever undertaken, was funded by the National Cable Television Association. The project examined approximately 2,500 hours of television programming that included 2,693 programs.

The first of the three studies analyzes violent content in television programming. The second study examines children’s reactions to ratings and viewer advisories. The final study analyzes the content of antiviolence public service announcements (PSAs).

Following is a summary of the first study conducted in 1994–1995. Collectively, these findings establish the norms that exist in the overall television environment. Many of the patterns observed cause some concern.

Overall Conclusions about Violence on Television

❖ Violence predominates on television, often including large numbers of violent interactions per program.

The majority (57 percent) of programs on television contain violence, and roughly one third of violent programs contain nine or more violent interactions. The frequency of violence on television can contribute to desensitization and fear, as well as provide ample opportunities to learn violent attitudes and behaviors.

This man is holding up the V-chip used to control television viewing by children.
In the majority of the episodes of violence, the perpetrator engages in repeated violent acts.
The perpetrator engages in repeated acts of violence in more than half (58 percent) of all violent interactions. This increases the amount of violence to which viewers are exposed.

In one-quarter of the violent interactions, a gun is used.
Certain visual cues, such as weapons, tend to activate aggressive thoughts in viewers. Later, these thoughts cause individuals to interpret neutral events as possibly threatening or aggressive.

In about three-quarters of all violent scenes, perpetrators go unpunished.
The portrayal of rewards and punishments is probably the most important of all contextual factors for viewers as they interpret the meaning of what they see on television. Viewers who would otherwise think of a class of behaviors such as violence as bad may eventually learn that those behaviors are good (useful, successful, or desirable) if they are repeatedly and consistently portrayed as rewarded or unpunished. Across all channel types, this study discovered a common pattern that the majority of violent scenes lack any form of punishment for the perpetrators.

In a high proportion of violent episodes, the consequences are not realistically portrayed.
Less than half of violent interactions show the victims experiencing any signs of pain. Furthermore, only about one in six programs depict any long-term negative consequences, such as physical suffering or financial or emotional harm. All of these patterns increase the risk that viewers will believe that violence is not a particularly painful or harmful behavior.

Violence is often presented as humorous.
More than one third of all violent scenes involve a humorous context. Humor tends to trivialize or undermine the seriousness with which violence is regarded. Humorous violence can serve to desensitize viewers to the serious or harmful effects of violence.

Violent programs rarely employ a strong antiviolence theme.
Only 4 percent of all television programs emphasize a strong anti-violence theme. Touched by an Angel, Little House on the Prairie, and Mr. Rogers are among the exceptions.


What Does it Mean?
- contextual meaning that is derived from the setting or the environment; not stated, but implied
- desensitization the process of preventing an emotional response; make less sensitive
- perpetrator someone who carries out or brings about an action; in law, one who commits a crime
- predominate to exert control over; to hold an advantage in numbers
- trivialize to make something less important or serious than it is

Read and React
1. What was the stated purpose of the first study?
2. Why does the report state that the contextual factors for viewing violence are the most important?
3. Do you think the report reaches its stated purpose (see Question #1)? Why or why not?
Chapter 5
Social Structure and Society
Because we are deeply involved in our own social world, we forget that our ability to participate in daily life is based on years of socialization. In the play, As You Like It, William Shakespeare wrote a line reminding us of the place of social learning in our lives: “All the world’s a stage. And all the men and women merely players; They have their exits and their entrances; And one man in his time plays many parts.”

All members of a group (including you) have parts they are expected to play. Students are expected to attend class, listen to the instructor, and participate in class activities. Teachers are expected to be in the classroom when students arrive, hold class, teach and guide the class, and make assignments. In any American high school, you will find similar relationships between students and staff. Interactions are orderly and predictable. In most cases, the teacher knows what the student expects of her and the student knows what the teacher expects of him.

If, however, you suddenly found yourself in a class where the teacher raised his hand to talk and brought his dog to class; where students played frisbee and took naps on the floor, you might wonder what planet you had beamed down to. Missing the order and predictability you expected, you would wonder how you should act in this unfamiliar setting. To fit in, what you would need is some awareness of the underlying social structure. This chapter will discuss concepts that underlie social structure.

Chapter Overview
Visit the Sociology and You Web site at soc.glencoe.com and click on Chapter 5—Chapter Overviews to preview chapter information.
You learned in Chapter 4 that culture shapes human social behavior. In the absence of biological pre-programming, culture guides us in our thinking, feeling, and behaving. Without culture, humans would have no blueprint for social living. This chapter helps explain the relationship between culture and social structure.

So, what is social structure? The chapter opening described a situation in which unexpected classroom behavior resulted in confusion for a newcomer. We are usually spared such confusion when entering a new group because we bring some knowledge of how people will normally relate to one another. In our minds, we carry a “social map” for various group situations. We have mental images of the new group with its patterns of social relationships. This underlying pattern is called **social structure**.

**Everyone Has Status**

We are not born with mental maps of social structure; we must learn them from others. In the process, we learn about **statuses** and **roles**—major elements of social structure.

**What do sociologists mean by status?** People may refer to themselves as students, doctors, welders, secretaries, mothers, or sons. Each of these labels refers to a **status**—a position a person occupies within a social structure. Status helps us define who and what we are in relation to others within the same social structure. Some social statuses are acquired at birth. For example, a newborn female instantly becomes a child and a daughter. From then on, she assumes an increasingly larger number and variety of statuses.

Sociologists are interested in the relationships among social statuses. A sociologist investigating delinquency, for example, may focus on the status of social worker in relation to the statuses of the police officer, judge, and teacher. Figure 5.1 illustrates the status of a high...
school athlete related to various other statuses. There are two basic types of social statuses—*ascribed* and *achieved*.

**What is an ascribed status?** An *ascribed status* is neither earned nor chosen; it is assigned to us. At birth, an infant is either a male or a female. We do not choose our gender. Age is another example of an ascribed social status. In some societies, religion and social class are ascribed by the family of birth. If you were born into a lower-class home in India, for example, you would not be permitted to rise to a higher social class.

**How is status achieved?** An *achieved status* is earned or chosen. Achieving statuses is possible where people have some degree of control and choice. In most modern societies, for

**Figure 5.1 The Interrelationships of Social Statuses.** Social statuses do not exist in isolation. All statuses are interrelated with other statuses.

---

*Pictured is an African Masai man in traditional clothes. Do you think that his clothing reflects an ascribed or an achieved status?*
example, an individual can decide to become a spouse or a parent. Occupations are also achieved statuses in modern societies where people have freedom to choose their work. Plumber, electrician, sales representative, nurse, executive, lawyer, and doctor are examples of achieved statuses.

**What is a status set?** A person who is a social worker does not occupy only one status. This person holds various other statuses that may be totally unrelated to that of social worker. A *status set* is all of the statuses that a person occupies at any particular time. One social worker may be a wife, mother, author, and church choir director. Another may be a single parent, service club leader, and jazz musician. Another status set might be that of a student, a brother, a tennis player, a tutor, and a store clerk. Each of these statuses is part of another network of statuses. Assume, for example, that in addition to being a social worker, an individual is also a part-time jazz musician. In this status, she might interact with the statuses of nightclub owner, dancer, and fellow musician, among others.

**Are all of a person’s statuses equal?** Among the statuses held by an individual, some are more important than others. *Master statuses* are important because they influence most other aspects of the person’s life. Master statuses may be achieved or ascribed. In industrial societies, occupations—achieved statuses for the most part—are master statuses. Your occupation strongly influences such matters as where you live, how well you live, and how long you live. “Criminal” is an achieved master status, since it affects the rest of your life.

“I hunt and she gathers—otherwise, we couldn’t make ends meet.”

*Expected behavior is often based on master statuses such as gender.*
Age, gender, race, and ethnicity are examples of ascribed master statuses. These statuses are master statuses because they significantly affect the likelihood of achieving other social statuses. When will the United States have a female president? Would you let a nineteen-year-old or a ninety-year-old handle your case in court? Or remove your appendix?

**Section 1 Assessment**

1. Briefly define the term *social structure*.

*Match the definition with the type of status (a–d) it best describes.*

2. wife, mother, author, church choir director

3. electrician, spouse

4. the presidency of the United States, professional athlete

5. sex, gender, race

6. daughter, son

7. quarterback, coach, fan, trainer

**Critical Thinking**

8. **Categorizing Information** On a separate piece of paper, make a diagram of your life—the statuses you possess and the responsibilities or role expectations for each. Examples of statuses include son/daughter, student, band member, etc.

9. **Applying Concepts** What is the most important master status you have held? Has the master status helped or hindered you? What master status would you like to achieve? Why?

---

*Our individual lives cannot generally be works of art unless the social order is also.*

Charles Horton Cooley
American sociologist
Experiment: Adopting Statuses in a Simulated Prison

Social psychologist Philip Zimbardo and his colleagues designed an experiment to observe the behavior of people without criminal records in a mock “prison.” They were amazed at the rapidity with which statuses were adopted and roles fulfilled by the college students playing “prisoners” and “guards.” This experiment reveals the ease with which people can be socialized to statuses and roles. Zimbardo’s own words describe the design and results of this experiment.

In an attempt to understand just what it means . . . to be a prisoner or a prison guard, Craig Haney, Curt Banks, Dave Jaffe and I created our own prison. We carefully screened over 70 volunteers who answered an ad in a Palo Alto city newspaper and ended up with about two dozen young men who were selected to be part of this study. They were mature, emotionally stable, normal, intelligent college students from middle-class homes. . . . They appeared to represent the cream of the crop of this generation. None had any criminal record. . . .

Half were arbitrarily designated as prisoners by a flip of a coin, the others as guards. These were the roles they were to play in our simulated prison. The guards . . . made up their own formal rules for maintaining law, order and respect, and were generally free to improvise new ones during their eight-hour, three-man shifts. The prisoners were unexpectedly picked up at their homes by a city policeman in a squad car, searched, handcuffed, fingerprinted, booked at the Palo Alto station house and taken

Students on the right in Zimbardo’s mock prison experiment held very low statuses.
blindfolded to our jail. There they were stripped, deloused, put into a uniform, given a number and put into a cell with two other prisoners where they expected to live for the next two weeks. . . .

At the end of only six days we had to close down our mock prison because what we saw was frightening. It was no longer apparent to most of the subjects (or to us) where reality ended and their roles began. The majority had indeed become prisoners or guards, no longer able to clearly differentiate between role playing and self. There were dramatic changes in virtually every aspect of their behavior, thinking and feeling. . . . We were horrified because we saw some boys (guards) treat others as if they were despicable animals, taking pleasure in cruelty, while other boys (prisoners) became servile, dehumanized robots who thought only of escape, of their own individual survival and of their mounting hatred for the guards. We had to release three prisoners in the first four days because they had such acute situational traumatic reactions as hysterical crying, confusion in thinking, and severe depression. Others begged to be paroled, and all but three were willing to forfeit all the money they had earned [$15 per day] if they could be paroled. By then (the fifth day) they had been so programmed to think of themselves as prisoners that when their request for parole was denied they returned docilely to their cells. . . .

About a third of the guards became tyrannical in their arbitrary use of power, in enjoying their control over other people. They were corrupted by the power of their roles and became quite inventive in their techniques of breaking the spirit of the prisoners and making them feel they were worthless. . . . By the end of the week the experiment had become a reality. . . .

Excerpted with permission of Transaction, Inc., from Society, Vol. 9, No. 6. Copyright © 1972 by Transaction, Inc.

**Working with the Research**

1. If you were asked to discuss Zimbardo’s experiment in light of one of the three major theoretical perspectives, which would you choose? Why?

2. One of Zimbardo’s conclusions, not stated in the above account, is that the brutal behavior found in real-life prisons is not due to the antisocial characteristics or personality defects of guards and prisoners. Can you argue, sociologically, that he is right in this conclusion? How?

3. There was some controversy over the ethics of this experiment. Do you think this experiment could be carried out today under the ASA Code of Ethics? Why or why not?
People interact according to prescribed roles. These roles carry certain rights and obligations. Sometimes conflict or strain occurs when an individual has too many roles to play.

Rights and Obligations

An expected behavior associated with a particular status is a role. Any status carries with it a variety of roles. The roles of a modern doctor, for example, include keeping informed about new medical developments, scheduling office appointments, diagnosing illnesses, and prescribing treatments.

Roles can be thought of as statuses “in action.” Whereas statuses describe positions, roles describe behaviors. These behaviors are based on the rights and obligations attached to various statuses. Rights are behaviors that individuals expect from others. Obligations are behaviors that individuals are expected to perform toward others. The rights of one status correspond to the obligations of another. Doctors, for example, are obligated to diagnose Hoskins is being forced to follow roles whether he wants to or not. Are such cues ever sent your way?
their patients’ illnesses. Correspondingly, patients have the right to expect their doctors to diagnose to the best of their ability. Teachers have an obligation to be prepared to teach the daily lesson. Students have a right to expect that teachers will be adequately prepared to explain the material. Correspondingly, teachers have a right to expect that students will make the attempt to learn. Students have the obligation to make that effort.

Recall that this chapter began with a quotation from Shakespeare’s play As You Like It. In terms of a play, roles are the part of the script that tells the actors (status holders) what beliefs, feelings, and actions are expected of them. A playwright or screenwriter specifies the content of a performer’s part. In the same way, culture underlies the parts played in real life. Mothers, for instance, have different maternal “scripts” in different cultures. Most American mothers emphasize independence more than most Iranian mothers.

Role Performance and Social Interaction

Statuses and roles provide the basis for group life. It is primarily when people interact with each other socially that they “perform” in the roles attached to their statuses.

**Role performance** is the actual conduct, or behavior, involved in carrying out (or performing) a role. Role performance can occur without an audience (as when a student studies alone for a test). Most role performance, though, involves social interaction.

**Social interaction** is the process of influencing each other as people relate. For example, before two boys begin to fight, they have probably gone through a process of insulting and challenging each other. Fortunately, most social interaction is not as negative and violent, but the same process of influence and reaction to others is involved.

Think again of the analogy of the play. If statuses are like the parts in a play and roles are like the script, then social interaction represents the way actors respond to cues given by other actors. Role performance is the performance itself.
How does play-acting differ from social interactions? The play analogy is a valid one, but it is dangerous to take it too far. For one thing, “delivery of the lines” in real life is not the conscious process used by actors. Unlike stage performances, most real-life role performance occurs without planning.

Second, although actors may sometimes ad-lib, change lines to suit themselves, and so forth, overall they stick pretty closely to the script. Departures are fairly easy to detect and control. This is not the case with differences between a role and a role performance.

Third, on the stage, there is a programmed and predictable relationship between cues and responses. One performer’s line is a cue for a specific response from another actor. In life, we can choose our own cues and responses. A student may decide to tell a teacher that her tests are the worst he has ever encountered. On hearing this, the teacher may tell the student that it is not his place to judge, or the teacher may ask for further explanation so that improvement may be made. In effect, the teacher can choose from several roles to play at that time. Likewise, the student can choose from a variety of responses to the teacher’s behavior. If the teacher tells the student he is out of line, the student may report the matter to a counselor, or he may decide to forget it altogether. The process of choosing the role and then acting it out occurs in nearly all instances of social interaction.

Keep in mind, however, that the range of responses is not limitless. Only certain responses are culturally acceptable. It is not an appropriate response for the teacher to bodily eject the student from her classroom, and the student would be very foolish to pound the teacher’s desk in protest.

Figure 5.2 outlines the connection between culture and social structure. As you can see at the top of the figure, the first link between culture and social structure is the concept of role (behavior associated with a status). Roles are in turn attached to statuses (a position a person occupies within a group). Yet people do not always follow roles exactly. The manner in which roles are actually carried out is role performance, the third link in the conceptual chain. Role performance occurs through social interaction. This is the fourth link between culture and social structure. Social interaction based on roles is observable as patterned relationships, which make up social structure. In turn, existing social structure affects the creation of and changes in culture.
Role Conflict and Role Strain

The existence of statuses and roles permits social life to be predictable and orderly. At the same time, each status involves many roles, and each individual holds many statuses. This diversity invites conflict and strain.

What are role conflict and role strain? Role conflict exists when the performance of a role in one status clashes with the performance of a role in another. Many teenagers, for example, hold the statuses of student and employee. Those who do often find it difficult to balance study and work demands.
Role strain occurs when a person has trouble meeting the many roles connected with a single status. College basketball coaches, for example, have to recruit for next year’s season while trying to win games in the current season. Besides preparing daily lessons, high school teachers often are required to sponsor social clubs. Each of these roles (coach and recruiter or teacher and advisor) is time consuming, and the fulfillment of one role may interfere with the performance of the others. If your expectations as a high school student require you to perform well academically, join a social organization, pursue a sport, date, and participate in other school activities, you will probably experience some degree of role strain as a result of these expectations.

**How do we manage role conflict and strain?** Role conflict and strain may lead to discomfort and confusion. To feel better and to have smoother relationships with others, we often solve role dilemmas by setting priorities. When roles clash, we decide which role is most important to us and act accordingly. For example, a student who frequently misses school-related activities because of work demands will have to assess her priorities. She can eliminate the role conflict completely by quitting work and putting a priority on school activities. If she remains in both statuses, she can reduce work hours or cut down on extracurricular school activities.

We also segregate roles. That is, we separate our behavior in one role from our behavior in another. This is especially effective for reducing the negative
effects of conflicting roles. A college coach experiencing the role strain associated with coaching and recruiting simultaneously can decide to give priority to one over the other. He may, for example, let his assistant coach do most of the recruiting until the season ends. Ranking incompatible roles in terms of their importance is a good way to reduce role conflict and strain. An organized-crime member may reduce role conflict by segregating his criminal activities from his role as a loving father.

Because of role conflict and role strain, meeting the goals and expectations of all our roles is impossible. This poses no problem as long as role performance occurs within accepted limits. Professors at research-oriented universities may be permitted to emphasize teaching over research. Coaches may accent fair play, character building, and scholarship rather than a winning record. Professors at research universities who do too little publishing or coaches who win too few games, however, usually will not be rewarded for very long. At some point they will be judged as failing to meet expected role performance. (For more on handling role conflict, see Sociology Today on the next page.)

Section 2 Assessment

Match each situation below with the key term (a–e) it illustrates.

1. A husband and wife discuss the disciplining of one of their children.  
   a. role

2. A mother is expected to take care of her children.  
   b. role conflict

3. A businessman has no time for his children.  
   c. role performance

4. A school principal hands out diplomas at a graduation ceremony.  
   d. role strain

5. A corporate chief executive officer is economically forced to terminate employees who are his friends.  
   e. social interaction

6. Which of the following is not one of the differences between a play and social life?
   a. There is considerably more difference between roles and role performance in social life than between a script and a stage performance.
   b. Unlike the stage, there are no cues and responses in real life.
   c. Role performance in real life is not the conscious process that actors go through on the stage.
   d. In social life, the cues and responses are not as programmed and predictable as on the stage.

Critical Thinking

7. Applying Concepts Are you presently experiencing role conflict or role strain? If you are, analyze the source. If not, explain why at this time you are free from role conflict and role strain, making clear the meaning of the concepts.
Families with two working adults have special strains. While in 1960, less than 20 percent of married women with young children worked outside of the home, by 2000, the figure was about 65 percent (U.S. Bureau of the Census). This increase has resulted in added role conflict for women. In a two-career family, the woman is more likely to suffer from conflict because she is still generally expected to balance her traditional homemaker roles with her career roles. The women are not the only ones who suffer, however. The effects of this conflict are felt by husbands and children, as well. Since you will likely be faced with the stress associated with dual-career families, you would be wise to learn now some techniques for reducing role conflict.

1. Focus on the Positive

Conflict can be reduced when couples define their situation positively. If both partners are working from choice rather than necessity, it can be helpful to remember some of the reasons why they first made the choice for both to work. These reasons might include additional income or personal satisfaction.

2. Put Family Needs First

Role conflict can be most effectively managed when family roles are placed ahead of working roles. When a baby-sitter fails to show up, when a child is sick, or when a parent-teacher conference is called, one of the parents can place these demands above work-related demands. Placing a higher priority on family needs will help keep the family support structure intact.

3. Assume One Role at a Time

Conflict can be reduced if a person focuses on only one role at a time. Leaving job-related problems at work and family issues at home is often difficult but is very effective in reducing role conflict.

4. Find the Compromise Balance

Although many men take active roles in child care today in order to meet family obligations, women still make the most compromises in their careers. With the increasing number of women in better-paying professional careers, we should expect more equality in career compromises between husbands and wives.

Doing Sociology

Identify three ways that you believe would help reduce role conflict in dual-career families. Provide specific examples not given in the text.
Types of Society

The culture and social structure of a society are greatly affected by the way the society provides for basic needs. A society, as you may remember from Chapter 3, is composed of people living within defined territorial borders who share a common culture. Societies meet their members' basic needs, such as the needs for food and shelter, in different ways. These differences form the basis of a system anthropologists often use to classify societies. In this system, societies are classified as preindustrial, industrial, or postindustrial. We will look at preindustrial societies in this section and examine industrial and postindustrial societies in the following sections.

In theory, a society is independent of outsiders. It contains enough smaller social structures—family, economy, and so forth—to meet the needs of its members. As you will see, preindustrial societies actually could be independent and self-sufficient. Modern societies, although capable of caring for most members' needs, must have political, military, economic, cultural, and technological ties with other societies. In fact, modern societies are rapidly moving toward the creation of a global society.

In the next few pages, several basic types of societies will be distinguished. Each type of society is unique in important ways. All societies, however, are comprised of social structures. Members in each type of society know what is expected of them and what they can expect from others. Members of a particular type of society engage in the same basic social patterns time after time because they share patterned and predictable social relationships that are passed from generation to generation.
Hunting and Gathering Societies

The hunting and gathering society survives by hunting animals and gathering edible foods such as wild fruits and vegetables. This is the oldest solution to the problem of providing for the basic need for food, or subsistence. In fact, it was only about nine thousand years ago that other methods of solving the subsistence problem emerged.

Hunting and gathering societies are usually nomadic—they move from place to place as the food supply and seasons change. Because nomads must carry all their possessions with them, they have few material goods. Hunting and gathering societies also tend to be very small—usually fewer than fifty people—with members scattered over a wide area. Because the family is the only institution in hunting and gathering societies, it tends to all the needs of its members. Most members are related by blood or marriage, although marriage is usually limited to those outside the family or band.

Economic relationships within hunting and gathering societies are based on cooperation—members share what they have with other members. Members of hunting and gathering societies seem simply to give things to one another without worrying about how “payment” will be made. In fact, the more scarce something is, the more freely it is shared. Generosity and hospitality are valued. Thrift is considered a reflection of selfishness. Because the obligation to share goods is one of the most binding aspects of their culture, members of hunting and gathering societies have little or no conception of private property or ownership.

Without a sense of private ownership and with few possessions for anyone to own, hunting and gathering societies have no social classes, no rich or poor. These societies lack status differences based on political authority because they have no political institutions; there is no one to organize and control activities. When the traditional Inuit in Canada and Alaska, for example, want to settle disputes, they use dueling songs. The people involved in the dispute prepare and sing songs to express their sides of the issue. Their families, as choruses, accompany them. Those listening to the duel applaud their choice for the victor (Hoebel, 1983).
The division of labor in hunting and gathering societies is limited to the sex and age distinctions found in most families, since the family is the only institution. Men and women are assigned separate tasks, and certain tasks are given to the old, the young, and young adults. There is more leisure time in hunting and gathering societies than in any other. Today, few true hunting and gathering societies remain other than the Khoi-San (Bushmen) in Southern Africa, the Kaska Indians in Canada, and the Yanomamö of Brazil. (See Another Place on page 158.)

Horticultural Societies

A horticultural society solves the subsistence problem primarily through the growing of plants. This type of society came into being about ten to twelve thousand years ago, when people learned they could grow and harvest certain plants instead of simply gathering them. The gradual change from hunting and gathering to horticultural societies occurred over several centuries (Nolan and Lenski, 1999).

The shift from hunting and gathering to horticulture, or gardening, led to more permanent settlements. People no longer needed to move frequently to find food. Even without plows and animals to pull them, they could work a piece of land for extended periods of time before moving on to more fertile soil. This relative stability permitted the growth of multicommunity societies averaging one thousand to two thousand people each.

The family is even more basic to social life in horticultural societies than in hunting and gathering societies. In hunting and gathering societies, the survival of the group usually has top priority. In horticultural societies, primary emphasis is on providing for household members. This is because producing food in horticultural societies can be handled through the labor of family members. With the labor necessary for survival, households depend more on themselves and less on others outside the family unit for their subsistence.
Pastoral Societies

Most horticultural societies keep domesticated animals such as pigs and chickens. They do not, however, depend economically on the products of these animals the way pastoralists, or herders, do. In pastoral societies, food is obtained primarily by raising and taking care of animals. For the most part, these are herd animals such as cattle, camels, goats, and sheep, all of which provide both milk and meat. Since grains are needed to feed the animals, pastoralists must also either farm or trade with people who do (Nanda and Warms, 1998; Peoples and Bailey, 2000).

There is more migration in pastoral societies than in those based more fully on cultivation of land. However, permanent (or at least long-term) villages can be maintained if, as seasons change, herd animals are simply moved to different pastures within a given area. In such societies, the women remain at home while the men take the herds to different pastures. With men being responsible for providing food, the status of women in pastoral societies is low. These societies are male dominated.

Because both horticultural and pastoral societies can produce a surplus of food, they usher in important social changes unknown in hunting and gathering societies. With a surplus food supply, some members of the community are free to create a more complex division of labor. People can become political and religious leaders or make goods such as pottery, spears, and clothing. Because nonedible goods are produced, an incentive to trade with other peoples emerges.

The creation of a surplus also permits the development of social inequality (class or caste), although it is limited. Even a relatively small surplus, however, means that some families, villages, or clans have more wealth than others.

Agricultural Societies

An agricultural society, like a horticultural society, subsists by growing food. The difference is that agricultural societies use plows and animals. In fact, the transition from horticultural to agricultural society was made possible largely through the invention of the plow (Nolan and Lenski, 1999).

The plow not only allows the farmer to control weeds but also turns the weeds into fertilizer by burying them under the soil. By digging more deeply into the ground than was possible with sticks, hoes, and spades, the plow is able to reach nutrient-rich dirt that had sunk below root level. The result is more productivity—more food per unit of land.

This Bali farmer lives in an agricultural society. How does his society differ technologically from a horticultural society?
Using animals also increases productivity, because larger areas can be cultivated with fewer people. As a result, more people are free to engage in noneconomic activities such as formal education, concerts, and political rallies. Cities can be built, and occupations appear that are not directly tied to farming, such as politician, blacksmith, and hat maker. New political, economic, and religious institutions emerge. Although family ties remain important, government replaces the family group as the guiding force for agricultural societies.

In the past, agricultural societies were headed by a king or an emperor. Distinct social classes appeared for the first time. Wealth and power were based on land ownership, which was controlled by the governing upper class. These elites enjoyed the benefits of the work done by the peasants. Urban merchants were better off than peasants, but they, too, worked hard for their livings. An economy based on trade began to emerge as an identifiable institution during this time. Monetary systems, which use money rather than goods for payment, began to be used as well. Increasingly, religion and government became separate as institutions. Rulers were believed to be divinely chosen, but few of them were also religious leaders.

Section 3 Assessment

1. Briefly restate the chief traits of each type of society: hunting and gathering, horticultural, pastoral, and agricultural.
2. In which type of society did a marked class system first appear? Explain why.

Critical Thinking

3. Synthesizing Information Using information from this section, develop a theory that would explain why conflict increases as society becomes more complex.

Money is the most egalitarian force in society. It confers power on whoever holds it.

—Roger Starr
American economist
A description of the “chest-pounding” ritual that takes place among the Yanomamö tribe in Southern Venezuela was recorded by anthropologist Napoleon Chagnon. It provides a good example of social structure in a preindustrial society. All of the participants in this activity—even those merely observing—know exactly what is expected of them and what to expect of the others. This is what sociologists mean by social structure.

... There were about sixty adult men on each side in the fight divided into two arenas, each comprised of hosts and guests. Two men, one from each side, would step into the center of the milling, belligerent crowd of weapon-wielding partisans, urged on by their comrades. One would step up, spread his legs apart, bare his chest, and hold his arms behind his back, daring the other to hit him. The opponent would size him up, adjust the man’s chest or arms so as to give himself the greatest advantage when he struck and then step back to deliver his close-fisted blow. The striker would painstakingly adjust his own distance from his victim by measuring his arm length to the man’s chest, taking several dry runs before delivering his blow. He would then wind up like a baseball pitcher, but keeping both feet on the ground, and deliver a tremendous wallop with his fist to the man’s left pectoral muscle, putting all of his weight into the blow. The victim’s knees would often buckle and he would stagger around a few moments, shaking his head to clear the stars, but remain silent. The blow invariably raised a “frog” on the recipient’s pectoral muscle where the striker’s knuckles bit into his flesh. After each blow, the comrades of the deliverer would cheer and bounce up and down from the knees, waving and clacking their weapons over their heads. The victim’s supporters, meanwhile, would urge their champion on frantically, insisting that he take another blow. If the delivery were made with sufficient force to knock the recipient to the ground, the man who delivered it would throw his arms above his head, roll his eyes back, and prance victoriously in a circle around his victim, growling and screaming, his feet almost a blur from his excited dance. The recipient would stand poised and take as many as four blows before demanding to hit his adversary. He would be permitted to strike his opponent as many times as the latter struck him, provided that the opponent could take it. If not, he would be forced to retire, much to the dismay of his comrades and the delirious joy of their opponents. No fighter could retire after delivering a blow. If he attempted to do so, his adversary would plunge into the crowd and roughly haul him back out, sometimes being aided by the man’s own supporters. Only after having received his just dues could he retire. If he had delivered three blows, he had to receive three or else be proven a poor fighter. He could retire with less than three only if he were injured. Then, one of his comrades would replace him and demand to hit the victorious opponent. The injured man’s two remaining blows would be canceled and the man who delivered the victorious blow would have to receive more blows than he delivered. Thus, good fighters are at a disadvantage, since they receive disproportionately more punishment than they deliver. Their only reward is ... [prestige]: they earn the reputation of being fierce.


Thinking It Over

Describe an activity in your culture that illustrates patterned social relationships. Explain the statuses and roles involved.
Basic Features of Industrial Societies

The Industrial Revolution created a society that is dependent upon science and technology to produce its basic goods and services. Sociologists call this an industrial society.

What happens when agricultural societies become industrial societies? Neil Smelser (1976) has identified some basic structural changes that occur in societies shifting from an agricultural to an industrial base. Industrialism brings with it a change—away from simple, traditional technology (plows, hammers, harnesses) toward the application of scientific knowledge to create more complex technological devices. Early examples of
industrial technology include the steam engine and the use of electrical power in manufacturing. More recent technological developments include nuclear energy, aerospace-related inventions, and the computer.

In industrial societies, intensive animal and human labor is replaced by power-driven machines, a process known as **mechanization**. These machines are operated by wage earners who produce goods for sale on the market. With the help of machinery, farmers are able to produce enough food to support themselves and many others. This surplus allows people to move away from farms and villages, adding to the growing population in large cities. **Urbanization**, then, is also a basic feature of industrial societies.

**How does the role of the family change?** With industrialization, family functions change in many ways. Economic activities, once carried out in the home, move to the factory. Similarly, the education of the young, which in agricultural societies centered on teaching farming, moves from the home to the formal school. An industrial society requires a more broadly educated and trained labor force, so young people can no longer be prepared for the work force by their families. Blood relationships decline in importance as families begin to separate socially and physically due to urbanization and the necessity of taking jobs in distant locations where factories have been built. Personal choice and love replace arranged marriages. Women, through their entrance into the work force, become less subordinate to their husbands. Individual mobility increases dramatically, and social class is based more on occupational achievement than the social class of one’s parents. Because the United States has been an industrial society for so long, its characteristics are taken as a given. The effects of industrialization are easier to observe in societies currently moving from an agricultural to an industrial economic base. For example, Vietnam and Malaysia are experiencing mechanization and urbanization at the beginning of the twenty-first century (Singh, 1998; Phu, 1998).

**A Conversation with Two Sociologists**

Ferdinand Tönnies and Emile Durkheim were two early sociologists who wrote about preindustrial and industrial societies. Sociologists today still study their writings.

**What did Tönnies write?** Ferdinand Tönnies (1957, originally published in 1887), was an early German sociologist. In his writing, he distinguished between **gemeinschaft** (ga MINE shoft) and **gesellschaft** (ga ZELL shoft). **Gemeinschaft** is German for “community.” It describes a society based on tradition, kinship, and close social ties. These are the types of communities found in preindustrial societies. **Gesellschaft** is the German word for “society.” This concept represents industrial society and is characterized by weak family ties, competition, and impersonal social relationships.

**What were Durkheim’s views?** Shortly after Tönnies published his theory, Emile Durkheim (1964a, originally published in 1893) made a similar observation. He distinguished the two types of societies by the nature of their social **solidarity**. **Social solidarity** is the degree to which a society is unified or can hold itself together in the face of obstacles.
Social solidarity, Durkheim contended, is a result of society’s division of labor. In societies in which the division of labor is simple—in which most people are doing the same type of work—**mechanical solidarity** is the foundation for social unity. A society based on mechanical solidarity achieves social unity through a consensus of beliefs, values, and norms; strong social pressures for conformity; and dependence on tradition and family. In this type of society, which is best observed in small, nonliterate societies, people tend to behave, think, and feel in much the same ways, to place the group above the individual, and to emphasize tradition and family.

In contrast, in an industrial society, members depend on a variety of people to fulfill their needs—barbers, bakers, manufacturers, and other suppliers of services. This modern industrial society is based on **organic solidarity**. It achieves social unity through a complex of specialized statuses that make members of the society interdependent.

**World View**

As societies move from the preindustrial to the postindustrial stage, fewer people are required to raise food to feed the population. This map shows the percentage of each country’s population involved in the production of agricultural products.

**Interpreting the Map**

1. After examining this map, what generalizations about types of societies around the world would you make? Explain.
2. Which countries do you think could be ready to move from one type of society to another? Be specific about countries and types of societies.
3. What parts of the world are least likely to change in the near future? Explain your answer.

The term *organic solidarity* is based on an analogy with biological organisms. If a biological organism composed of highly specialized parts is to survive, its parts must work together. Similarly, the parts of a society based on organic solidarity must cooperate if the society is to survive.

**Major Features of Postindustrial Society**

Some societies, such as the United States, have passed beyond industrial society into *postindustrial society*. In this type of society, the economic emphasis is on providing services and information rather than on producing goods through basic manufacturing.

Sociologist Daniel Bell (1999) identifies five major features of a postindustrial society, a society based on a service economy.

1. *For the first time, the majority of the labor force are employed in services rather than in agriculture or manufacturing.* These industries emphasize services (banking, medical care, fast food, entertainment) rather than producing tangible goods, such as oil or steel. They include organizations in the areas of trade, finance, transportation, health, recreation, research, and government. In 2000, about 75 percent of all employed workers in the United States were in service jobs.

2. *White-collar employment replaces much blue-collar work.* White-collar workers outnumbered blue-collar workers in the United States for the first time in 1956, and the gap is still increasing. The most rapid growth has been in professional and technical employment.

3. *Technical knowledge is the key organizing feature in postindustrial society.* Knowledge is used for the creation of innovations as well as for making government policy. As technical knowledge becomes more important, so do educational and research institutions.

4. *Technological change is planned and assessed.* In an industrial society, the effects of a technology are not assessed before its introduction. When the automobile engine was invented, no one asked whether it would have an effect on the environment. In postindustrial societies, the effects—good and bad—of an innovation can be considered before it is introduced.

5. *Reliance on computer modeling in all areas.* With modern computers, it is possible to consider a large number of interacting variables simultaneously. This "intellectual technology" allows us to manage complex organizations—including government at national, state, and local levels.

**Social Instability in Postindustrial Society**

Historian Francis Fukuyama (1990) believes that the transition to a service economy has increased social instability in nations undergoing this change. He writes the following about deteriorating social conditions that began in the mid-1960s.

*Crime and social disorder began to rise, making inner-city areas of the wealthiest societies on earth almost uninhabitable. The decline of kinship...*
as a social institution, which has been going on for more than 200 years, accelerated sharply in the second half of the twentieth century. Marriages and births declined and divorce soared; and one out of every three children in the United States and more than half of all children in Scandinavia were born out of wedlock. Finally, trust and confidence in institutions went into a forty-year decline (Fukuyama, 1999:55).

**Will social instability continue?** According to Fukuyama, this social instability is now lessening. He sees current indications of a return to social stability. The establishment of new social norms, he believes, is reflected in the slowing down of increases in divorce, crime, distrust, and illegitimacy. In the 1990s, Fukuyama notes, many societies have even seen a reversal of these rates—crime, divorce, illegitimacy, and distrust have actually declined.

This is particularly true in the United States, where levels of crime are down a good 15 percent from their peaks in the early 1990s. Divorce rates peaked in the early 1980s, and births to single mothers appear to have stopped increasing. Welfare rolls have diminished almost as dramatically as crime rates, in response both to the 1996 welfare-reform measures and to opportunities provided by a nearly full-employment economy in the 1990s. Levels of trust in both institutions and individuals have also recovered significantly since the early 1990s (Fukuyama, 1999:80).

**What has caused the return to social stability?** Fukuyama believes that humans find it difficult to live without values and norms:

*The situation of normlessness . . . is intensely uncomfortable for us, and we will seek to create new rules to replace the old ones that have been undercut (Fukuyama, 1999:76).*

Because culture can be changed, it can be used to create new social structures better adapted to changing social and economic circumstances.

**Section 4 Assessment**

1. Explain why blood relationships are less important in an industrial society than in a preindustrial society.
2. State whether each of the following is or is not a major feature of a postindustrial society.
   a. emphasis on technical knowledge
   b. employment of the majority of the labor force in service industries
   c. reliance on advanced technology
   d. increased dependence on skilled blue-collar workers
   e. shift toward the employment of white-collar workers

**Critical Thinking**

3. **Analyzing Information** Explain from your own observation why family relationships would probably weaken in an industrial society.
4. **Making Predictions** As the United States becomes a more complete information society, how may life for you change?

*We live in a moment of history where change is so speeded up that we begin to see the present only when it is disappearing.*

R.D. Laing
Scottish psychiatrist
According to a business visionary, one of the most important changes that will occur in the postindustrial workplace is the “virtual organization.” The virtual organization is a workplace of digital technologies, wireless transfer of information, computer networks, and telecommuting. In this picture, the worker has more freedom, independence, and job satisfaction than ever before (Barner, 1996).

If this optimistic view of new technology is correct, workers in high-tech jobs should be much happier than employees doing low-tech work. However, in a survey of 1,509 workers in California’s Silicon Valley (an area where high-tech industry is concentrated), researchers found no differences in job satisfaction between employees in high-tech companies and those in more traditional manufacturing firms. They also found that there are still large social class differences within the workplace. These findings challenge the belief that work in high-tech society will be more satisfying and economically fair (Gamst and Otten, 1992).

Other researchers found that job security decreases in high-tech positions. Employees are forced to learn new skills and upgrade present skills throughout their careers; lifelong learning is the key to economic survival. Management positions are also at risk. Functions that managers have been performing for centuries, such as decision making and training, will be done by technology rather than humans.

Another feature of the high-tech workplace is the use of technology to monitor employee performance. This practice can make employees feel helpless, manipulated, and exploited. Many workers feel that their managers are spying on them, constantly looking over their shoulders (Barner, 1996). In fact, there has been a dramatic rise in employer workplace surveillance. Over two-thirds of U.S. companies now engage in electronic cybersnooping of employees: reviewing e-mail, examining computer files, documenting web sites visited each day (Naughton, 1999). How would you feel if all of your actions at work were being monitored by a computer, creating a record of your behavior that can be replayed and reexamined? (Remember the discussion in Chapter 2 about the ethics of researchers’ use of video cameras.)

Digitally based technology offers many benefits. It has boosted productivity and created many new employment opportunities. Like any technology that has wide-ranging effects on society, there are some undesirable consequences. Postindustrial societies are just beginning to deal with the dark side of a very bright technology.

Analyzing the Trends

1. Which theoretical perspective do you think underlies this research and speculation? Indicate specific features of the research to support your conclusions.

2. Does the use of technology to monitor employees clash with any values in American society? Explain.
Summary

Section 1: Social Structure and Status
Main Idea: The underlying pattern of social relationships in a group is called social structure. Status is one very important element of social structure. Ascribed statuses are assigned at birth; achieved statuses are earned or chosen.

Section 2: Social Structures and Roles
Main Idea: People interact according to prescribed roles. These roles carry certain rights and obligations. Sometimes conflict or strain occurs when an individual has too many roles to play.

Section 3: Preindustrial Societies
Main Idea: The way a society provides for basic needs greatly affects its cultural and social structure. Preindustrial, industrial, and postindustrial societies meet basic needs in different ways. Preindustrial societies include hunting and gathering, horticultural, pastoral, and agricultural societies.

Section 4: Industrial and Postindustrial Societies
Main Idea: The Industrial Revolution created a new type of society, called industrial society. Characteristics that distinguish this society from all earlier ones included the growth of large cities and a widespread dependence on machines and technology. Postindustrial society has a predominantly white-collar labor force that is concentrated in service industries. Social instability has been linked to the transition from an industrial to a postindustrial society.

Reviewing Vocabulary

Complete each sentence using each term once.

1. The underlying pattern of social relationships is called ____________.
2. ____________ is the social unity achieved through interdependence based on specialized functions.
3. ____________ is status that is assigned.
4. ____________ is a society that solves the subsistence problem by learning to grow and harvest plants.
5. ____________ is a nomadic society characterized by economic cooperation.
6. Status that can be earned is called ____________.
7. People living within defined territorial borders and sharing a common culture are called a ____________.
8. Culturally defined rights and obligations attached to statuses are known as ____________.
9. The society that releases some people from the land to engage in noneconomic activities is called ____________.
10. ____________ occurs when the roles of a single status are inconsistent or conflicting.
11. Social unity accomplished through a consensus of values, beliefs, and norms is known as ____________.
12. ____________ is a society characterized by the replacement of human labor with mechanical labor.

Self-Check Quiz
Visit the Sociology and You Web site at soc.glencoe.com and click on Chapter 5—Self-Check Quizzes to prepare for the chapter test.
Reviewing the Facts

1. What is the sociological term for the dilemma of women who have careers and who also must run households?
2. What do sociologists mean by social structure?
3. What is the difference between role strain and role conflict?
4. In what ways do workers in the “virtual organization” differ from low-tech workers? In what ways are the workers the same?
5. As people move away from agricultural societies to industrial societies, they also move from the personal to the impersonal. What sociological terminology did Emil Durkeim give to such a shift? Use the diagram below to illustrate the cause-and-effect relationship of the shift from personal to impersonal.

Thinking Critically

1. Making Inferences The chapter suggests that society functions because social patterns are usually predictable. History records that John D. Rockefeller, the founder of Standard Oil Company, was so rich he would walk down the street and pass out dimes to children. Allegedly, he gave out three million dollars’ worth of dimes before he died. Let’s say you decided to go to the mall and hand out a dollar to all the children who passed by. How do you think people would react? Would they be suspicious? Do you think mall security would be concerned by your behavior? What has changed since the days of Rockefeller that would make your behavior suspect?

2. Applying Concepts What are some of the roles and statuses that you fill in the course of your day? List them, and describe the basic rights connected to each status.

3. Analyzing Information In hunting and gathering societies, resources are distributed equally. If one person eats, everyone eats. Is that the case in industrial and postindustrial societies? Should Americans be concerned whether everyone eats every day? Why might they not be concerned?

4. Drawing Conclusions Modern societies have been given the role of providing an education for all of their members. This education is conducted in schools. Some people, however, are returning to the ways of older societies and teaching their children at home rather than sending them to school. What are some of the role conflicts and strains that might exist for those who choose to home-school their children? What do you think some of the advantages and disadvantages of home schooling might be?

Sociology Projects

1. Role Performance George Herbert Mead said that humans are social beings because they can “take on the role of another person.” Your task here is to create a one-minute improvisational skit in which you react to a basic statement through the “persona” of another individual. This person might be the school principal, a favorite teacher, a school liaison police officer, or a parent. If you are not confident that you can improvise, take the time to write out the lines of the statement you are reacting to: Teenagers today need to assume more responsibility.

2. Social Cues You have probably watched so much television over the course of your lifetime that you can watch it without really paying attention. Here’s a twist on TV watching. Watch television tonight for ten minutes without turning the set on—that’s right, sit in front of it without turning it on. Concentrate on everything that is happening as you focus on the TV. Next, actually turn on the TV, but turn the vol-
ume all the way down. Try to figure out what is going on by reading faces and nonverbal expressions. Try this for ten minutes. This activity might give you an idea of how good human beings are at grasping certain ideas without words. Next, try watching the news for ten minutes without the sound on. Then spend another ten minutes watching the news with the sound on but concentrating on the technical aspects of the program: camera changes, graphics, sound, music, voices, changes in color, and so forth. Identify and describe in a brief paragraph two or three cues or expressions that allowed you to correctly interpret a situation.

3. **Individuals as Players On a Stage** Create a collage entitled “Society” using pictures from magazines or old photographs. In this collage, depict yourself in various statuses. For example, if you are in a club at school or in a band, include that. If you are a sister or daughter, that is another status you hold. Then show how your statuses are related to society, family, education, religion, the economy (your job), and so forth. This collage should help you understand how individuals are players on a stage.

4. **Observation** As you learned in Chapter 2, observation is one method that sociologists use to accumulate data. In this activity, you will observe the structure and interactions of three groups (without drawing attention to your project!). Look for general patterns in the group that you observe, such as style of dress, language, status positions, values, routines, and social boundaries. You might want to try observing your family or a group at the mall, in the school cafeteria, or any other place that groups meet. Write down your observations, concentrating on patterns of behavior.

5. **Status Symbols** Roles are behaviors associated with certain statuses. Status symbols are products or items that represent a status, or position. For example, a luxury automobile or a vacation home are status symbols for wealth. High school status symbols might involve a letter sweater, a trendy article of clothing, or a video game player. Search newspaper and magazine advertisements for examples of products that you believe are status symbols for a particular status or occupation. (It is not only wealthy and powerful people who possess status symbols.) Make a montage of these images.

6. **Status** Use newspapers and magazines to find pictures that can be used to make a visual explanation of the following terms: status, ascribed status, status set, master statuses, and social structure. Create a pictorial chart using the terms and pictures.

**Technology Activities**

1. This chapter describes rights as the behaviors individuals can expect from others and obligations as the behaviors others expect from them. Different societies place emphasis on different rights and obligations, but there is a common understanding of some basic human rights. One organization that provides a list of these basic rights is the European Commission of Human Rights. Visit its web site at http://194.250.50.201/. From its home page, select the section entitled “organization, procedure and activities.” Then go to the document called “Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms.” Scroll down to Section I Article 2 of this document.
   a. What are the basic human rights listed there?
   b. Are any of these rights built into some of the roles you are expected to perform? Explain.
   c. Do you believe that these rights should be a part of the role prescriptions in any society? Why or why not?
Chapter 5

Enrichment Reading

Social Functions of Malls

by Wayne S. Wooden

In *The Mall: An Attempted Escape from Everyday Life*, Jerry Jacobs presents an ethnographic account of a midsize, enclosed suburban shopping center. Karen Lansky’s article, “Mall Rats,” for *Los Angeles* magazine discusses what it means for teenagers when they “just hang out” at these “indoor shopping palaces.”

In recent years several excellent books and magazine articles have been published on the social phenomenon of teenagers and suburban shopping malls.

The modern mall, Jacobs argues, provides three things for its participants. First, it offers people entertainment or just plain diversion. Second, it provides the public with convenient shopping. And, third, the mall offers public, social space—a place to meet and interact with others. In other words, the modern shopping center has become an “indoor street corner society.”

Karen Lansky contends that kids spend so much time in the mall partly because parents encourage it, assuming it is safe and that there is adult supervision. The structured and controlling environment of the mall is ideal for them. According to Lansky,

*True mall rats lack structure in their home lives, and adolescents about to make the big leap into growing up crave more structure than our modern society cares to acknowledge.*

Lansky also believes that the mall has become the focus of these young people’s lives.

*Malls are easy. Food, drink, bathrooms, shops, movie theaters—every part of the life-support system a modern kid needs is in the mall. Instant gratification for body and senses—and all of it close at hand, since malls are designed to make life more comfortable by eliminating parking problems, long walks, heavy doors, hot sun, depressing clouds. It is ironic, in fact, that the mall is becoming all that many kids know of the outside world, since the mall is a placeless space whose primary virtue is that it’s all inside. Kids come in from the cold (or heat) for a variety of reasons, of course. But the main reason kids seek the mall, especially in the summer when school’s out, seems to be because they can’t think of anything better to do.*

Lansky sees mall rats as kids with nowhere else to go.

*Their parents may drink or take drugs, be violent or just gone. Whatever, the mall becomes the home they don’t have. For them, the mall is a rich, stimulating, warm, clean, organized, comfortable [social] structure—the only [social] structure in some of their lives.*

In gathering research for her article, Lansky interviewed several adolescents. Although teenagers in several high schools would be approached as well, the vast majority of the interviews and surveys gathered for the Youth Survey portion of this study were completed by over four hundred youths contacted in Southern California malls. The initial focus of this study, therefore, began with
my meeting and talking with these so-called teenage mall rats.

One male expressed the belief that the mall “belongs to the mall rats.” Arguing that the mall is his property, his mission in life, he said, is to become “top mall rat,” adding, “Without the mall, we’d be street people.”

Another female mall habitué interviewed by Lansky complained that the only place in the mall that is “theirs” is the arcade. She and her friends get kicked out of the other places. Security warns them to keep moving if they are not buying anything. It is these kids, according to Lansky, that the mall owners do not like. The managers resent having to set limits for these kids—limits that should be the responsibility of the community or the family. The owners discourage these kids because they often do not have much money to spend, yet drain the resources of the mall.

One of the first young men so contacted was Bob Bogan, or “Skidd Marx,” as he preferred to be called, who allowed me to spend several afternoons with him as he wandered through the Brea Mall. Seventeen and 5’10” tall, Skidd struck a mean pose. With his black hair spiked all over with three separate 1-foot tails in back, Skidd also sported eye makeup, a leather jacket studded with spikes, a white T-shirt with a punk band logo on it, black Levis rolled up high, and black Converse high tops. Skidd also sported four hanging earrings in each ear and a loop pierced into his right nostril. Skidd, decked out in full punk regalia, cut the swaggering image of the “young man about the mall.”

Skidd, like all of the teenagers studied in this book, resided in suburbia. He came from a middle-class background. Both of his parents worked. He defined himself as “a suburban punk bordering on the punk funk.” Skidd, in true mall-rat fashion, spent much of his free time and social life in the Brea Mall.

Q: When did you first define yourself as being into punk or punk funk? How did the process occur?

A: It was in my third year of high school. I really wasn’t feeling that good about myself at the time. I felt very self-conscious at school. I always kind of dressed differently. Being tall, people usually looked at me physically, and I used to be very insecure about that. So I kind of had the attitude, if I do something a little bit different, then that would be the reason why they’re staring at me. I can’t do anything about the fact that I’m tall.

Q: So it gave you a rationalization?

A: Right. The punk thing is when I just didn’t care what I looked like. My parents were always saying, “You’re such a nice looking young man. Why do you want to do that?” That really used to bother me.


What Does it Mean?

- **ethnographic**
  - the descriptive study of human cultures
- **habitué**
  - one who regularly visits a place
- **instant gratification**
  - the immediate satisfaction of wishes or wants
- **social phenomenon**
  - a fact or event of social interest subject to scientific interpretation or explanation

Read and React

1. According to this reading, what effect do malls have upon teenage values?

2. Given what you have learned in this chapter, what does it mean to say that people have no social structure in their lives except in the malls? Could this really be true? Explain.

3. Do you agree with the claims in this writing? Why or why not?
Most people assume that conflict should be avoided because it is disruptive and interferes with group effectiveness. While this can be true, there are also social benefits associated with conflict and disagreement. Willingness to tolerate (and even encourage) disagreement can prevent what sociologists call groupthink.

The Challenger space shuttle disaster is an excellent example of a group making a catastrophic decision because it conformed to the larger group commitment. The Challenger was launched from Kennedy Space Center on January 28, 1986. Just over a minute after the launch, the Challenger exploded, taking the lives of all seven astronauts on board.

Like the teams of all space missions, the Challenger team was composed of a number of specialists. Its engineers had earlier recommended against takeoff because crucial parts had never been tested at a temperature as low as the temperature was on the morning of the takeoff. As victims of groupthink do, NASA leaders screened out this opposition by discounting the engineers' ability to make the “right” decision. Except for the engineers, the decision “to go” was unanimous. By avoiding consideration of a dissenting view, the majority lost the shuttle passengers and harmed NASA’s long-term objectives.

This chapter will look closely at behaviors of groups and organizations.

**Sections**

1. Primary and Secondary Groups
2. Other Groups and Networks
3. Types of Social Interaction
4. Formal Organizations

**Learning Objectives**

After reading this chapter, you will be able to

- define the concepts of group, social category, and social aggregate.
- list the major characteristics of primary and secondary groups.
- describe five types of social interaction.
- discuss the advantages and disadvantages of bureaucracy.
- distinguish between formal and informal organizations.
- discuss the use of power within an organization and demonstrate its importance with examples.

**Chapter Overview**

Visit the Sociology and You Web site at [soc.glencoe.com](http://soc.glencoe.com) and click on Chapter 6—Chapter Overviews to preview chapter information.
Groups, Categories, and Aggregates

A group is composed of people who share several features, including the following.

❖ They are in regular contact with one another.
❖ They share some ways of thinking, feeling, and behaving.
❖ They take one another’s behavior into account.
❖ They have one or more interests or goals in common.

Groups play important roles in the lives of their members, as well as influence society around them. Groups range from the small and informal to the large and formal. They tend to draw lines around themselves, creating insiders and outsiders. Some groups have tighter, more definite boundaries than others. Boundaries between African Americans and whites in the South of the early 1960s were rigid. Members of the minority were unable to drink from the same water fountains, use the same rest rooms, or eat at the same restaurants as whites. Group boundaries may change over time, however. Since the 1960s, boundaries between African Americans and whites in the United States are much looser.

Groups are classified by how they develop and function. Primary groups meet emotional and support needs, while secondary groups are task focused.

**Key Terms**
- group
- social category
- social aggregate
- primary group
- primary relationships
- secondary group
- secondary relationships

**Section Preview**

Groups are classified by how they develop and function. Primary groups meet emotional and support needs, while secondary groups are task focused.

**group**

at least two people who have one or more goals in common and share common ways of thinking and behaving

Compare these Korean choir members with the Denver concert goers. Explain why one is a group and the other is not.
A group is not the same as a **social category**—people who share a social characteristic. High school seniors are a social category, for example. Women belong to another social category. A group is also sometimes confused with a **social aggregate**—people who happen to be in the same place at the same time, such as students waiting in line for concert tickets.

Although neither categories nor aggregates are groups, some of their members may form groups. Witnesses of a disaster (an aggregate) may work together to cope with an emergency. Citizens of a state (a social category) may band together in an organized tax revolt. These people may form a group if they begin to interact regularly; share ways of thinking, feeling, and behaving; take one another’s behavior into account; and have some common goals.

### Primary Groups

Two principal types of groups are **primary** and **secondary**. At the extremes, the characteristics of these two types of groups—and the relationships that occur within them—are opposites. But most groups sit at different points along a continuum from primary to secondary.

**What is a primary group?** Charles Horton Cooley, one of the founders of symbolic interactionism, was the first to use the term **primary group**. A **primary group** is composed of people who are emotionally close, know one another well, and seek one another's company. The members of a primary group have a “we” feeling and enjoy being together. These groups are characterized by **primary relationships** that are intimate, personal, caring, and fulfilling.

Primary groups are the most important setting for socialization. Family and childhood play groups are the first primary groups a child experiences. People, of course, participate in primary groups throughout life. Close friends in high school and college, neighbors who keep an eye on one another’s children, and friends who meet weekly for golf are all examples of primary groups.

**How do primary groups develop?** A number of conditions favor the development of primary groups and primary relationships.

- **Small size.** It is hard for members of large groups to develop close emotional ties. The chances of knowing everyone fairly well are far greater in small groups. The boys or girls who play for the school basketball team are more likely to develop primary relationships than the multitude of student spectators who cheer them on.

- **Face-to-face contact.** Primary relationships occur more easily when interaction is face to face. People who can see each other and who can experience nonverbal communication such as facial expressions, tone of voice, and touch are much more likely to develop close ties.

- **Continuous contact.** Closeness rarely develops in a short period of time. In spite of reported love at first sight, most of us require repeated social contact for the development of a primary relationship.

- **Proper social environment.** Just seeing someone every day in a close setting is not enough to form a primary relationship. You may visit your local video store every day and never form a relationship with the video...
clerk. The social setting does not encourage personal relationships, and the statuses are unequal. This is why primary relationships do not usually develop between students and teachers, bosses and employees, or judges and lawyers.

**What are the functions of primary groups?** Primary groups provide three important functions in society.

- **Emotional support.** At the end of World War II, the German army refused to crumble despite years of being outnumbered, undersupplied, and outfought. These conditions should have led to desertion and surrender, but they did not. Strong emotional support ties within German combat units kept them fighting against overwhelming odds.

- **Socialization.** For children, the family is the primary group that teaches them how to participate in social life. In like manner, primary groups promote adult socialization—as adults enter college, take new jobs, change social classes, marry, and retire.

- **Encourage conformity.** Primary groups not only teach new members the appropriate norms and values, these groups provide pressure to conform. William F. Whyte’s (1993) study of an Italian slum gang illustrates encouragement to conform within primary groups. Whyte reported that bowling scores corresponded with status in the gang—the higher the rank, the higher the score. If a lower-ranked member began to bowl better than those above him, verbal remarks—“You’re bowling over your head” or “How lucky can you get?”—were used to remind him that he was stepping out of line.

**Secondary Groups**

Unlike a primary group, a **secondary group** is impersonal and goal oriented. It involves only a segment of its members’ lives. Secondary groups exist to accomplish a specific purpose. Work groups, volunteers during disasters, and environmentalist organizations are examples of secondary groups. Members of secondary groups interact impersonally, in ways involving only limited parts of their personalities. These interactions are called **secondary relationships.** Interactions between clerks and customers, employers and workers, and dentists and patients are secondary relationships.

**What are secondary relationships like?** Members of secondary groups may be friends and identify with one another, but the purpose of the group is to accomplish a task, not to enrich friendships. In fact, if friendship becomes more important than the task, a secondary group may become ineffective. If the members of a basketball team become more interested in the emotional relationships among themselves or with their coach than in playing their best basketball, their play on the court could suffer.

**Do secondary groups ever include primary relationships?** Although primary relationships are more likely to occur in primary groups and secondary relationships in secondary groups, there are a number of exceptions. Many secondary groups include some primary relationships. Members of work groups may relate in personal terms, demonstrate genuine concern for one another, and have
relationships that are fulfilling in themselves. Similarly, members of a primary group sometimes engage in secondary interaction. One family member may, for example, lend money to another member of the family with a set interest rate and repayment schedule.

Section 1 Assessment

1. Listed below are some examples of primary and secondary relationships. Indicate which examples are most likely to be primary relationships (P) and which are most likely to be secondary relationships (S).
   a. a marine recruit and his drill instructor at boot camp
   b. a married couple
   c. a coach and her soccer team
   d. a teacher and his students
   e. a car salesperson and her potential customer

2. Which of the following is not a condition that promotes the development of primary groups?
   a. small group size
   b. face-to-face contact
   c. continuous contact
   d. interaction on the basis of status or role

3. What are the three main functions of primary groups?

Critical Thinking

4. Making Comparisons Identify a primary group and a secondary group to which you belong. Describe three functions of each of these groups based on your personal experiences. Then compare and contrast your relationships in each group. (Note: It may help if you create a diagram.)
Generally, Americans separate their work and nonwork life. When they don’t, we say that they are “married” to their jobs. This means that most American work relationships are secondary relationships. They are impersonal and goal oriented. In China, however, work relationships are mostly primary because they are intimate, personal, caring, and fulfilling in themselves. This excerpt from Streetlife China describes a typical work situation in present-day China.

Everyone exists in China in terms of a work unit. When meeting for the first time, they will usually ask each other what work unit they are from. When ringing someone, the first question likely to be asked is, “What is your work unit?”, which usually precedes the question of one’s own name. When registering in a hotel, the registrar will list the guest in terms of “guest from such and such a work unit”. . .

The contemporary Chinese work unit, then, is really quite extraordinary. Apart from functioning as a department or organization, the work unit is also in charge of the management of the household register, the staple and non-staple food supply, all medical services, and all housing. It is also in charge of ideological remolding, political study, policing and security matters, marriages and divorce, entry into the Chinese Communist Youth League and into the Party, awarding merit and carrying out disciplinary action. If one wants to run for election as a deputy for either the National People’s Congress or the Chinese People’s Consultative Congress, one must firstly get the permission of one’s work unit. When administrative sanctions are deployed to detain somebody, or they are to be sent for labor reform, then the authorities must consult with the work unit. “I am a person working in a work unit” is worn as a badge of pride in China; conversely, the expression “I don’t have a work unit” basically identifies the speaker as little short of a swindler . . .

Chinese have a love-hate relationship with the work unit. On the one hand, they cannot stand it, but on the other, they are unable to live without it. The work unit is like their family: they must love their commune as they love their family, love their factory as they love their home, and love their shop as they would their kin. In work units with a very rigid system, one’s rank within the unit is a symbol of one’s status; the individual’s worth is realized in the rank attributed to them. Whether one’s name is first or last, the order of arrival at the unit, their address, their living conditions, what transport is available to them, their access to documents of varying levels of classification are all things of great concern and are fought over at great length.


Thinking It Over

Can you analyze the effects of the work unit in China in terms of its relationship to other groups to which workers belong?
Reference Groups

We use certain groups to evaluate ourselves and to acquire attitudes, values, beliefs, and norms. Groups used in this way are called reference groups.

Reference groups may include our families, teachers, classmates, student government leaders, social organizations, rock groups, or professional football teams. We may consider a group to be a reference group without being a member; we may only aspire to be a member. For example, junior high school girls may imitate high school girls' leadership style or athletic interests. Junior high school boys may copy high school boys' taste in clothing and music. Similarly, you need not be a member of a rock band to view musicians as a reference group. You need only evaluate yourself in terms of their standards and subscribe to their beliefs, values, and norms.

Reference groups do not have to be positive. Observing the behavior of some group you dislike may reinforce a preference for other ways of acting, feeling, and behaving. For example, a violent gang should provide a blueprint of behavior for people to avoid.
In-Groups and Out-Groups

In-groups and out-groups are like two sides of a coin—you can’t have one without the other. An **in-group** requires extreme loyalty from its members. Its norms compel members to exclude others. The in-group competes with and is opposed to the **out-group**. An out-group is a group toward which in-group members feel opposition, antagonism, or competition. Based on membership in these groups, people divide into “we” and “they.”

**Where are these groups found?** In-groups and out-groups may form around schools, athletic teams, cheerleading squads, racially or ethnically divided neighborhoods, or countries at war. High school students can easily identify the many “in” and “out” groups in their schools. Jocks, cheerleaders, geeks, and nerds are in-groups for some and out-groups for others.

**What are group boundaries?** In-groups must have ways of telling who is and is not “in.” If nothing distinguishes “us” from “them,” then there can be no “ins” and “outs.” A boundary is often a symbol (badges, clothes, or a particular slang); it may be an action (handshake, high five); or it may be an actual place. New in-group members are often taught the boundaries at initiation ceremonies. To outsiders, group boundaries form an entrance barrier.

**How are group boundaries maintained?** Maintaining group boundaries requires intense loyalty and commitment from the group members. Unfortunately, this may involve clashes with outsiders. Urban gang members may injure or kill an enemy gang member who has entered their “territory.”

Social Networks

As individuals and as members of primary and secondary groups, we interact with many people. All of a person’s social relationships make up his or her **social network**—the web of social relationships that join a person to other people and groups. This social network includes family members, work colleagues, classmates, church members, close friends, car mechanics, and store clerks. Social networks tie us to hundreds of people within our communities, throughout the country, and even around the world (Doreian and Stokman, 1997).

Your broader social network can be thought of as containing smaller webs within the larger web of social relationships, depending on how finely you wish to break it down. All of your friends are only one part of your total social network. Another part might be composed of all the people at your school with whom you have social relationships of various kinds.

The Internet is expanding the amount of interaction and the flow of information within networks. Before the Internet, for example, environmental
activists across the United States had to depend on slower, more cumbersome means of communication, such as the print media, the telephone, and letter writing. With the Internet, members of environmental organizations can supply almost unlimited information to as many people as they can reach. Volunteers, for example, can recruit others to write to political leaders protesting the Chesapeake Bay environmental problems. Protests in various regions of the country can be organized very quickly. Feedback among network members can be instantaneous.

This increased ease, speed, and frequency of social contact can promote a sense of membership in a particular network. Whereas in the past, opponents to gun control were largely unaware of each other, they may now feel part of a nationwide social network.

Are social networks groups? Although a person’s social network includes groups, it is not a group itself. A social network lacks the boundaries of a group and it does not involve close or continuous interaction among all members. Thus, all members of a social network do not necessarily experience a feeling of membership because many of the relationships are too temporary for a sense of belonging to develop.

How strong are the ties in a social network? Social networks include both primary and secondary groups. Thus, the social relationships within a network involve both strong and weak ties (Granovetter, 1973; Freeman, 1992). Strong ties exist in primary relationships. Weak ties are most often found in secondary relationships.

What are the functions of social networks? Social networks can serve several important functions. They can provide a sense of belonging and purpose. They can furnish support in the form of help and advice. Finally, networks can be a useful tool for those entering the labor market. Getting to know people who can help you in your career is very important.

Section 2 Assessment

1. Provide an example, not given in the text, of each of the following:
   a. out-group
   b. in-group
   c. social aggregate
   d. social category
   e. reference group

2. How are social networks different from social aggregates?

Critical Thinking

3. Making Comparisons Your high school has in-groups and out-groups. Concentrate on two of these groups and analyze sociologically the differences in the roles of group membership in one in-group and one out-group.

Organization has been made by man; it can be changed by man.

William H. Whyte
American sociologist
The 1999 murders of twelve students and one teacher at Columbine High in Littleton, Colorado, captured the attention of the American public. There had been many earlier incidents of violence in schools, but the Columbine tragedy forced Americans to finally recognize that violence was not confined to troubled inner-city schools.

Explanations for the attack, which was carried out by two students, came rapidly. Some blamed the lack of gun control. Others looked to violent video games and movies. For others, it was the parents’ fault. A fourth reason, suggested by many sociologists, involved failure of the community’s social networks.

Social networks reduce violence in at least three ways.

1. Strong social bonds reinforce acceptable and unacceptable behavior.
2. Social networks allow community or neighborhood members to share information about other members.
3. Social networks provide help and social support.

According to Laub and Lauritsen (1998), when many people are involved in their community networks, “social capital” is amassed. Social capital is the degree to which a person can depend on others in the community for help and support. For young people, community support can help compensate for a lack of closeness in families.

The social capital of the community can to a considerable extent offset its absence in particular families in the community. For example, children from single-parent families are more like their two-parent counterparts in both achievement and in continuation in school when the schools are in communities with extensive social capital (Coleman, 1987:10).

In the Littleton case, social capital seemed in short supply. The parents of Eric Harris, one of the students who carried out the attack, were out of touch with the bomb-making activity occurring in their own home. More important, the rest of the community seemed unaware of any problem with these students. The only exception was a family that alerted authorities to threats Harris had posted on the Internet. But upon receiving this information, the sheriff’s office apparently did not seek additional information from other members of the community (Gegax and Bai, 1999).

School violence can be diminished through the building of community networks. Both parents and young people must be willing to build social ties with other people in their neighborhoods and communities.

**Doing Sociology**

Examine some of the social networks in your neighborhood and community. How strong are they? What could be done to strengthen them?
Five Types of Group Social Interaction

Social interaction is crucial to groups. In group settings, people take on roles and adopt appropriate norms and behaviors. These may be very different from the norms and behaviors that the individual holds in other settings. For example, you may behave one way as a member of a choir or a basketball team, but act quite differently when you are at home or out with your friends. In this section, we will look closely at those processes or forces that determine how individuals behave in a group setting. Robert Nisbet (1988) describes five types of social interaction basic to group life: cooperation, conflict, social exchange, coercion, and conformity. Some of these interactions keep the group stable and ongoing, while others encourage change.

Cooperation

Cooperation is a form of interaction in which individuals or groups combine their efforts to reach some goal. Cooperation usually occurs when reaching a goal demands the best use of limited resources and efforts. The survivors of a plane crash in a snow-covered mountain range must cooperate to survive. Victims of floods, mudslides, tornadoes, droughts, or famines must help one another to get through their crisis.

Cooperation exists outside of emergencies as well: Children agree to a set of rules for a game, couples agree to share household duties, and students organize to march in support of a community project. Indeed, without some degree of cooperation, social life could not exist.

Conflict

Groups or individuals that work together to obtain certain benefits are cooperating. Groups or individuals that work against one another for a larger share of the rewards are in conflict. In conflict, defeating the opponent is considered essential. In fact, defeating the opponent may become more important than achieving the goal and may bring more satisfaction than winning the prize.

What are the societal benefits of conflict? As you read in Using Your Sociological Imagination on page 171, conflict is usually considered a
A group of demonstrators, their hands painted in white to symbolize their opposition to violence, march through downtown Genoa, Italy, to protest against the 2001 Group of Eight summit. What was one possible societal benefit of this demonstration?

A disruptive form of interaction. A cooperative, peaceful society is assumed to be better than one in conflict. Conflict can be socially beneficial, however.

According to sociologist Georg Simmel (1858–1918), one of the major benefits of conflict is the promotion of cooperation and unity within opposing groups. The Revolutionary War drew many American colonists together, even though it brought them into conflict with the British. Similarly, a labor union often becomes more united during the process of collective bargaining. A neighborhood bully can unite (at least temporarily) even the most argumentative of brothers.

Another positive effect of conflict is the attention it draws to social inequities. Norms and values are reexamined when crises and conflicts erupt. Civil rights activists in the early 1960s, for instance, jarred the American Congress into passing laws that ensure basic rights and freedoms to all people.

Conflict may also be beneficial when it changes norms, beliefs, and values. Student protests in the late 1960s and early ‘70s (many of which were violent) resulted in changes to previously accepted norms and behaviors within universities. University administrations became more sensitive to diverse student needs, and more emphasis was placed on teaching.
Social Exchange

All men, or most men, wish what is noble but choose what is profitable; and while it is noble to render a service not with an eye to receiving one in return, it is profitable to receive one. One ought, therefore, if one can, to return the equivalent of services received, and to do so willingly.

In this passage from *The Nicomachean Ethics*, Aristotle touches on **social exchange**, a type of social interaction in which one person voluntarily does something for another, expecting a reward in return. If you help a friend wash her car, expecting that she will help you study for a test, the relationship is one of exchange.

In an exchange relationship, it is the benefit to be earned rather than the relationship itself that is key. When you do something for someone else, he or she becomes obligated to return the favor. Thus, the basis of an exchange relationship is **reciprocity**, the idea that you should do for others as they have done for you.

**What is the difference between cooperation and social exchange?**

While both cooperation and social exchange involve working together, there is a significant difference between these two types of interaction. In cooperation, individuals or groups work together to achieve a shared goal. Reaching this goal, however, may or may not benefit those who are cooperating. And although individuals or groups may profit from cooperating, that is not their main objective.

For example, group members may work to build and maintain an adequate supply of blood for a local blood bank without thought of benefit to themselves. This is an example of cooperation. Suppose, though, that the group is working to ensure availability of blood for its own members. In this case, it has an exchange relationship with the blood bank. In cooperation, the question is, “How can we reach our goal?” In exchange relationships, the implied question is, “What is in it for me?”
Coercion

Coercion is social interaction in which individuals or groups are forced to give in to the will of other individuals or groups. Prisoners of war can be forced to reveal information to enemies, governments can enforce laws through legalized punishment, and parents can control the behavior of young children by threatening to withdraw privileges.

Coercion is the opposite of social exchange. Whereas social exchange involves voluntary conformity for mutual benefit, coercion is a one-way street. The central element in coercion, then, is domination. This domination may occur through physical force, such as imprisonment, torture, or death. More often, however, coercion is expressed more subtly through social pressure—ridicule, rejection, withdrawal of affection, or denial of recognition.

Conflict theory best describes this type of social interaction. When parents coerce children with a curfew, guards coerce prisoners with force, and governments coerce drivers with fines, obvious power differentials are at work.

Conformity

Conformity is behavior that matches group expectations. When we conform, we adapt our behavior to fit the behavior of those around us. Social life—with all its uniformity, predictability, and orderliness—simply could not exist without this type of social interaction. Without conformity, there could be no churches, families, universities, or governments. Without conformity, there could be no culture or social structure.

Do most people conform to group pressures? The tendency to conform to group pressure has been dramatically illustrated in a classic experiment by Solomon Asch (1955). In this experiment, many participants publicly denied their own senses because they wanted to avoid disagreeing with majority opinion.

Asch asked groups of male college students to compare lines printed on two cards. (See Figure 6.1.) The students were asked to identify the line on the second card that matched, in length, one of the lines on the first card. In each group, all but one of the subjects had been instructed by Asch to choose a line that obviously did not match. The naive subject—the only member of each group unaware of the real nature of the experiment—was forced either to select the line he actually thought matched the standard line or to yield to the unanimous opinion of the group.

In earlier tests of individuals in isolation, Asch had found that the error rate in matching the lines was only 1 percent. Under group pressure, however, the naive subjects went along with the majority’s wrong opinion over one-third of the time. If this large a proportion of naive subjects yielded to group pressure in a group of strangers, it is not difficult to imagine the conformity rate in groups where people are emotionally committed to the welfare of the group (Myers, 1999).
What is groupthink? Because of the difficulty of going against decisions made by the group, Irving Janis (1982) has argued that many decisions are likely to be the product of groupthink. Groupthink exists when thinking in a group is self-deceptive, based on conformity to group beliefs, and created by group pressure. In groupthink, pressures toward uniformity discourage members from expressing their concerns about group decisions.

During the administration of President John F. Kennedy in the early 1960s, for example, the president and his advisers decided to launch an invasion of Cuba at the Bay of Pigs. The invasion failed. Analysis by Janis revealed that during the decision process, because of group pressure, several top advisers failed to admit that they thought the plan would probably not succeed.

Research indicates that groupthink can be avoided when leaders or group members make a conscious effort to see that all group members participate actively in a multisided discussion. In addition, members must know that points of disagreement and conflict will be tolerated (Moorhead, Neck, and West, 1998; Myers, 1999).
Section 3 Assessment

Match terms a–e with the appropriate numbered example.
1. Blood donors expect payment. a. cooperation
2. Students read what a teacher assigns. b. conflict
3. Saddam Hussein invades Kuwait. c. social exchange
4. Flood victims help each other. d. coercion
5. Employees are forced to work overtime or be fired. e. conformity
6. Solomon Asch’s experiment demonstrates the positive consequences of group pressure. *T* or *F*?
7. Why is conformity essential for the development of social structures?

Critical Thinking
8. **Analyzing Information** Describe an example of groupthink in your school. Analyze this situation in terms of its positive or negative consequences.
Vanishing are the traditional offices [in formal organizations] that occupy a common, fixed space, and employ a totally permanent workforce. Numerous companies are now utilizing what have come to be called “virtual offices.” For the most part, these offices are staffed by at-home employees who telecommute, use Internet resources, and are frequently temporary employees.

Virtual offices offer many benefits in today’s climate of global competition. For those workers who previously found it difficult to work outside the home (the elderly, disabled, or parents with child-care responsibilities), telecommuting can be a vehicle into the workforce. What’s more, corporate executives and managers enjoy advantages of the Internet: It provides rich resources of both people and information; it improves operations; it markets products. In fact, telecommuting has been shown to result in productivity gains of between 15–20%. Finally, virtual offices afford companies dramatic savings in the costs of employees and facilities.

But what of the problems associated with telecommuting? Notable is the telecommuters’ sense of alienation. They may feel isolated from fellow workers and the larger organization. This alienation can be minimized by bringing telecommuters together for periodic meetings. Ostensibly established to allow telecommuters to report to their supervisors, such get-togethers serve to reinforce the telecommuters’ membership in, and loyalty to, the organization for which they work.

Two other difficulties confront the telecommuter. The first is low wages. In most instances, wages paid for work done by home telecommuters lag noticeably behind wages paid to office workers. This is unlikely to change given the difficulties that trade unions face in unionizing such workers. The second difficulty is the family tension stemming from the home/office merger. Until traditional views about appropriate work locations become more enlightened, home telecommuters are likely to be perceived by other family members as “not really working.”


**Analyzing the Trends**

Do you think the trend toward the virtual office is a good thing? Defend your answer from a functionalist viewpoint.
Experiment: Group Pressure and Obedience

Can a group cause a person to physically punish a victim with increasing severity despite the victim’s pleas for mercy? Researcher Stanley Milgram (1963, 1974) has shown that this could happen.

As noted in the text, Solomon Asch demonstrated that group pressure can influence people to make false claims about what they see. Specifically, experimental subjects can be pressured to claim that two lines (drawn on a card) match in length even though they originally perceived these same two lines as different in length. Milgram wanted to know if group pressure can have the same effect on behavior. Can group pressure cause people to treat others in ways they otherwise would not?

To test this question, Milgram could have chosen a desired behavior relatively easy to induce, such as sharing food with a stranger or damaging someone else’s property. Choosing a much harder case, Milgram asked research participants to administer increasingly stronger electric shocks to people who appeared to be in pain. And these research participants were people just like you and me!

Milgram placed eighty males in an experimental situation in which a team of three individuals (two “confederates” who knew the nature of the experiment and one “subject” who did not) tested a fourth person (who was also in on the experiment) on a learning task. Each mistake by the fourth party brought an apparent electric shock from the subject. The two confederates (participants cooperating with Milgram) on each team suggested increasingly higher shock levels for successive mistakes made by the “learner” (the third confederate in the electric chair). The researcher recorded the degree to which the “operator” (the subject of the experiment) resisted or went along with group pressure to increase the voltage levels.

After explaining to the subject that the purpose of the experiment was to determine the effects of punishment (electric shocks) on memory, the learner was strapped into an electric-chair apparatus in full view of the other three team members. These three were seated in front of a large shock generator whose switches were labeled from 15 to 450 volts in 15-volt increments. The lowest-level group of switches was labeled “Slight Shock”; the highest-level group of switches read “Danger: Severe Shock.”

The “operator” controlled the maximum shock that could be administered. He could keep the shock level at 15 volts throughout or
increase the shock level in line with the group’s recommendation. It was his decision. (Remember—he was the only one not in on the real purpose.)

The learner was primed to not only answer questions incorrectly. As the shocks become stronger, he grunted, protested, and finally demanded that the experiment stop. Each of these complaints was actually prerecorded and played in coordination with a given shock level. No discomfort was indicated until a 75-volt shock was administered. At 120 volts, the learner shouted that the shocks were becoming painful. Groans followed a 135-volt shock, and at 150 volts the learner cried out to be released from the experiment, complaining of a heart condition. At 180 volts, the learner cried out, “I can’t stand the pain,” and 285 volts was followed by an agonized scream. From 300 to 450 volts, the learner continuously shouted desperately that he would no longer answer questions.

Milgram first needed to know how far the operator would go in administering shocks without group influence. To accomplish this, an identical experiment was run minus the two confederates in the punishing group. Response to group pressure was measured by the difference in the operator’s behavior in the two situations.

Group pressure heavily affected the level of shock administered by the operator. That is, the average shock level in the three-person situation was significantly higher than in the one-person situation. Perhaps most interesting are the results on maximum shock levels. When alone, only two operators went beyond the point where the learner first vehemently protested. Under group pressure, twenty-seven of the operators went beyond this point. Nineteen of the operators went above 255 volts (“Intense Shock”), and ten went into the group of voltages labeled “Danger: Severe Shock.” Seven even reached 450 volts (the highest shock level possible).

The research by Milgrim and Asch reveal the power of group pressure to create conformity in thought and behavior. Clearly, conformity must occur for social structure and society to exist. What worries many scholars is the extent to which social pressure can determine how humans think and act.

**Working with the Research**

1. Discuss the ethical implications of Milgram’s experiment. (You may want to refer to Chapter 2, pp. 59–61, for a discussion about ethics in social research.)

2. If the researcher had not been present as an authority figure during the experiment to approve the use of all shock levels, do you think group pressure would have been as effective? Explain.

3. Discuss some implications of this experiment for democratic government. Can you relate it to George Orwell’s novel *1984*?

4. Do you think society would be possible without this tendency to conform? Explain your position.
A formal organization is created to achieve some goal. Most are bureaucratic. The existence of primary groups and primary relationships within formal organizations can either help or hinder the achievement of goals.

**Formal Organizations**

**Key Terms**

- formal organization
- bureaucracy
- power
- authority
- rationalization
- informal organization
- iron law of oligarchy

**The Nature of Formal Organizations**

Until the 1920s, the majority of Americans lived on farms or in small towns and villages. Nearly all of their daily lives were spent in primary groups such as families, neighborhoods, and churches. As industrialization and urbanization have advanced, however, Americans have become more involved in secondary groups. Born in hospitals, educated in large schools, employed by huge corporations, regulated by government agencies, cared for in nursing homes, and buried by funeral establishments, Americans, like members of other industrialized societies, now often find themselves within formal organizations (Pfeffer, 1997).

How are formal organizations and bureaucracies related? A formal organization is deliberately created to achieve one or more long-term goals. Examples of formal organizations are high schools, colleges, corporations, government agencies, and hospitals.

Most formal organizations today are also bureaucracies—formal organizations based on rationality and efficiency. Although bureaucracies are popularly thought of as “monuments to inefficiency,” they have proven to be effective in industrial societies.
Major Characteristics of Bureaucracies

All bureaucracies possess certain characteristics. The most important of these are listed below.

❖ **A division of labor based on the principle of specialization.** Each person in a bureaucracy is responsible for certain functions or tasks. (See Figure 6.3 for an organizational chart outlining the division of labor in a public school district.) This specialization allows an individual to become an expert in a limited area.

❖ **A hierarchy of authority.** Before discussing authority, it is necessary to define power. **Power** refers to the ability to control the behavior of others, even against their will. **Authority** is the exercise of legitimate power—power that derives from a recognized or approved source.

---

**Figure 6.3 Public School District Organization Chart.** Each organizational position and department has certain tasks associated with it. The connecting lines indicate who reports to whom and who has organizational authority. Can you identify the type of leadership assumed to motivate members of any bureaucratic organization?
People submit to authority because they believe it is the right thing to do. With respect to authority, bureaucratic organizations are like pyramids. The greatest amount of authority is concentrated in a few positions at the top, with decreasing amounts of authority in a larger number of lower positions. This is what is meant by “hierarchy of authority.”

❖ A system of rules and procedures. Rules and procedures direct how work is to be done and provide a framework for decision making. They stabilize the organization because they coordinate activities and provide guidelines to follow in most situations.

❖ Written records of work and activities. Written records of work and activities are made and then kept in files. This organizational “memory” is essential to smooth functioning, stability, and continuity.

❖ Promotion on the basis of merit and qualifications. Jobs are filled on the basis of technical and professional qualifications. Promotions are given on the basis of merit, not favoritism. The norm in a bureaucracy is equal treatment for all.

### Max Weber and Bureaucracy

Max Weber was the first to analyze the nature of bureaucracy. Although he recognized there were problems with this type of organization, overall he believed that bureaucracies were very efficient in dealing with the needs of industrial societies.

**What are the advantages of bureaucracy?** In Chapter 1, you read how Weber feared the dehumanizing effects of bureaucracies. As the values of preindustrial societies began to weaken, however, Weber also saw advantages to bureaucracy. On these advantages, he wrote the following:

*The decisive reason for the advance of bureaucratic organization has always been its purely technical superiority over any other form of or-*
ganization. The fully developed bureaucratic mechanism compares with other organizations exactly as does the machine with the nonmechanical modes of production (Gerth and Mills, 1958:214).

Earlier kinds of organizations, where the decision makers were chosen on the basis of family or wealth, were just not capable of dealing with an industrial economy. The fast-moving industrial economy required steadiness, precision, continuity, speed, efficiency, and minimum cost—advantages bureaucracy could offer. **Rationalization**—the mindset emphasizing knowledge, reason, and planning rather than tradition and superstition—was on the rise. (See pages 17–18 for a review of this concept.)

**Membership in Fraternal Orders**

You may not realize it, but fraternal orders, such as Moose, Elk, Eagles, and Shriners, are bureaucracies. They have a division of labor, hierarchy of authority, system of rules and procedures, written records, and promotion based on merit within the organization. This map shows fraternal organization membership by region in relation to the national average.

**Interpreting the Map**

Look back at the map of population densities in the United States on page 57. Do you see any patterns common to that map and this one? Explain.

**World View**

**Military Might**

In preindustrial societies, military groups are loosely organized and informal in nature. They are composed of group members who live nonmilitary lives except during defense emergencies. In industrial societies, bureaucratic principles are applied to military organizations.

**Interpreting the Map**

Does this map show a relationship between the type of political leadership and the extent of citizen participation in the military? If so, describe this relationship.


---

**Do bureaucracies undervalue people?**  As strange as it might sound, bureaucracy is designed to protect individuals. People often complain about the rules, procedures, and impersonal treatment that characterize bureaucracy. Without them, though, decision making would be arbitrary and without reason. It might sound great, for example, to abolish final exams, but then grading would not be objective. For example, a teacher might give higher grades to males. This is not to say that favoritism never occurs in bureaucratic organizations. Nevertheless, the presence of rules guarantees at least a measure of equal treatment.

**Informal Structure within Organizations**

Bureaucracies are designed to act as secondary groups. As anyone who has worked in a bureaucratic organization knows, though, there are primary relationships as well. Primary relationships emerge as part of the **informal organization**—groups within a formal organization in which personal rela-
relationships are guided by norms, rituals, and sentiments that are not part of the formal organization. Based on common interests and personal relationships, informal groups are usually formed spontaneously.

**When were informal organizations first studied?** The existence of informal organizations within bureaucracies was first documented in the mid-1920s, when a group of Harvard researchers was studying the Hawthorne plant of the Western Electric Company in Chicago. In a study of fourteen male machine operators in the Bank Wiring Observation Room, F. J. Roethlisberger and William Dickson (1964, originally published in 1939) observed that work activities and job relationships were based on norms and social sanctions of that particular group of male operators. Group norms prohibited “rate busting” (doing too much work), “chiseling” (doing too little work), and “squealing” (telling group secrets to supervisors). Conformity to these norms was maintained through ridicule, sarcasm, criticism, and hostility.

**Why do informal organizations develop?** Informal groups exist to meet needs ignored by the formal organization. Modern organizations tend to be impersonal, and informal groups offer personal affection, support, humor, and protection. The study mentioned above pointed out that informal organizations encourage conformity, but the resulting solidarity protects group members from mistreatment by those outside the group.

*Despite working in a bureaucratic organization, these construction workers seem to be on very personal terms. How do sociologists explain this?*
Iron Law of Oligarchy

If an organization’s goals are to be achieved, power must be exercised. Sometimes this power may be grabbed by individuals for their own purposes. This process is described by the *iron law of oligarchy* (Michels, 1949; originally published in 1911).

**What is the iron law of oligarchy?** According to the *iron law of oligarchy*, formulated by German sociologist Robert Michels, power increasingly tends to become more and more concentrated in the hands of fewer members of any organization. Michels observed that, even in organizations intended to be democratic, a few leaders eventually gain control, and other members become virtually powerless. He concluded that this increased concentration of power occurs because those in power want to remain in power.

The government in communist China is a prime example of Michels’s principle. Not subject to popular election, the aging individuals at the top have been able to consolidate, or strengthen, their power over a long period of time. Each of the leaders is able to build a loyal staff, control money, offer jobs, and give favors.

**Why does organization lead to oligarchy?** According to Michels, three organizational factors encourage oligarchy. First, organizations need a hierarchy of authority to delegate decision making. Second, the advantages held by those at the top allow them to consolidate their powers. They can create a staff that is loyal to them, control the channels of communication, and use organizational resources to increase their power. Finally, other members of the organization tend to defer to leaders—to give in to those who take charge.

### Section 4 Assessment

1. Define the term *formal organization*.
2. List the major characteristics of bureaucracy, according to Max Weber.
3. Identify whether the following are advantages (A) or disadvantages (D) of a bureaucracy:
   a. its use of appropriate criteria in hiring employees
   b. its use of rules to provide definite guidelines for behavior within the organization
   c. its ability to hide the true nature of authority relationships
   d. its encouragement of administrative competence in managers
4. Can you describe the form of leadership most suited to the operation of the iron law of oligarchy? Explain your answer.

### Critical Thinking

5. **Synthesizing Information** Analyze your school as a bureaucracy. Give an example of the following characteristics of bureaucracy: (1) system of rules and procedures; (2) impersonality and impartiality (lack of favoritism). Discuss a positive and negative consequence of each characteristic.
Section 1: Primary and Secondary Groups
Main Idea: Groups are classified by how they develop and function. Primary groups meet emotional and support needs, while secondary groups are task focused.

Section 2: Other Groups and Networks
Main Idea: Reference groups help us evaluate ourselves and form identities. In-groups and out-groups divide people into “we” and “they.” Social networks extend our contacts and let us form links to many other people.

Section 3: Types of Social Interaction
Main Idea: Five types of social interaction are basic to group life: cooperation, conflict, social exchange, coercion, and conformity.

Section 4: Formal Organizations
Main Idea: A formal organization is created to achieve some goal. Most are bureaucratic. The existence of primary groups and primary relationships within formal organizations can either help or hinder the achievement of goals.

Reviewing Vocabulary
Complete each sentence using each term once.

1. A ____________ is an impersonal and goal-oriented group that involves only a segment of one’s life.
2. A group of people who are in the same place at the same time is called ____________.
3. A ____________ is a web of social relationships that join a person to other people and groups.
4. A ____________ is composed of people who are emotionally close, know one another well, and seek one another’s company.
5. A situation in which pressures toward uniformity discourage members from expressing their reservations about group decisions is called ____________.
6. A type of social interaction in which one person voluntarily does something for another, expecting a reward in return, is called ____________.
7. ____________ is behavior that goes according to group expectations.
8. A ____________ is a group used for self-evaluation.
9. ____________ are deliberately created to achieve one or more long-term goals.
10. A ____________ is a formal organization based on efficiency and rationality.
11. The solution of problems on the basis of logic, data, and planning is called ____________.
12. People who share a social characteristic are called ____________.

Self-Check Quiz
Visit the Sociology and You Web site at soc.glencoe.com and click on Chapter 6—Self-Check Quizzes to prepare for the chapter test.
Chapter 6 Assessment

Reviewing the Facts

1. Use the diagram below to list the basic societal functions of primary groups.

2. List the major characteristics of primary and secondary groups.

3. What is the difference between a reference group and a social network?

4. What is the main difference between cooperation and social exchange?

5. Explain the relationship between in-groups and out-groups.

Thinking Critically

1. Applying Concepts Your high school is probably made up of many diverse in-groups. Identify some of these groups with their own labels, and then list common links joining all of the groups. Look for characteristics that the groups share, not for what separates them. For example, all members of the various groups might need to take two math classes in order to graduate. See how many items you can list that all the different groups share.

2. Making Generalizations Social networks are an important component of group interactions. Are there any people in your sociology class you would consider part of your social network? Are there any classmates who are part of your family, work, church, team, or neighborhood groups? Are the people that you sit next to closely related to your social network? Do these people have strong or weak ties to you? Are any of them among your best friends?

3. Evaluating Information Some high school administrators and educators have expressed concern that school violence is an indication that many high school groups are in conflict. Do you believe conflicts exist between the in-

4. Analyzing Information You read about social exchange, the type of interaction in which someone does something for another person and expects a reward in return. This might also be described as the “I’ll scratch your back if you’ll scratch mine” expectation. Do you think that this expectation is always present? Is it possible to perform truly random acts of kindness? If you have ever done volunteer work, haven’t you done something with no reward expected?

5. Making Inferences The text discusses the issue of groupthink in the Kennedy administration. Have you ever been in a situation in which you disagreed with the majority opinion or felt that something that was about to happen was wrong? Did you speak up? If not, did the power of the group influence you? When might failing to speak up lead to harm?

6. Making Comparisons You are a member of a variety of informal groups—church, school clubs, work, sports, band, and so forth. Compare and contrast the roles of group membership in two of these groups.

Sociology Projects

1. Formal and Informal Groups Places such as teen centers, homeless shelters, food pantries, and crisis centers are all formal organizations established to help people. Sometimes these organizations are less bureaucratic than more official government aid agencies. Informal groups are often more apparent. Create a brochure that describes such social agencies in your neighborhood, city, or town. Identify as many agencies as you can, and list an address, phone number, and contact person for each. Then select one agency to call. Ask if you can interview someone who works there to get an idea of what the agency does. Ask him or her to describe the organization in terms of formality or informality. Ask about regulations, rules, and procedures. Does he or she think the procedures are gener-
ally helpful or a barrier to providing service? Create a special brochure on this organization alone. Share the results of your work with the social agency.

2. **Social Categories** In this activity, you will look at generations as social categories. Write down some of the things that you believe define your generation—for example, skateboarding, extreme sports, rap music, Gap clothes. Then find adults in their forties or early fifties and ask them to define their own generation. What were the things that identified their generation? What are the things that define them now? Each list should include about ten cultural items of that generation. Share your findings with the class. If possible, bring in some items that represent the two generations.

3. **Promotions According to Merit** The text discusses the major characteristics of a bureaucracy. One of these involves the principle of promoting people according to merit. Another principle, however, is that people are treated equally and not given special consideration or shown favoritism. In many organizations, merit is sometimes synonymous with seniority so that the length of time on the job becomes just as important or more important than the skill exercised in the job. Do an informal interview of six people who work for relatively large corporations or businesses to determine what role they think seniority should play in promotion decisions. Should a mediocre—but satisfactory—employee who has been with a company for many years be skipped over for a position in favor of an employee with much less time on the job, but who has demonstrated superior skill? Summarize the results of your interviews and be prepared to share your feelings with the class.

4. **Sexual Harassment in Schools** As you know, individual actions are linked to group and organizational norms. One of the emerging norms in all grades of school involves behaviors that could be interpreted as sexual harassment. Even very young children are being cautioned about comments and actions that could be interpreted as being sexist or being intimidating to one gender. Check with your school administration or guidance office to find out about the formal policy about sexual harassment in schools. What constitutes harassing behavior? Do you think your school has an effective policy to help prevent sexual harassment? Or do you think that sometimes the bureaucracy misinterprets behavior and assigns motivations that may not be intended?

5. **Reference Groups** Reread the section on reference groups. Then take a quick survey of ten or fifteen of your schoolmates. Ask them to identify their three most important reference groups. Compare the lists to see what groups show up most frequently. What are the norms and objectives of these most commonly cited groups?

6. **Groupthink** Using articles from the newspaper and magazines, find an article that is an example of groupthink. Using the article as a starting point, write a brief report that describes a model of group system in which the interactive roles of the individuals would have brought about a better outcome.

### Technology Activities

1. **Dilbert** is a popular cartoon strip that makes fun of the bureaucratic structures in American corporations. Go to the Dilbert web site at [http://www.unitedmedia.com/comics/dilbert](http://www.unitedmedia.com/comics/dilbert) and read several of the comic strips.

   1. Find a few cartoons that illustrate some important ideas presented in this chapter. Explain the cartoons in terms of knowledge gained in this chapter.

   2. Discuss some of the strips with an adult who works in a corporation. What does that person think about the accuracy of the situations portrayed in Dilbert?

   3. Prepare a brief report describing what you learned about formal organizations and bureaucracies from your review of Dilbert.
Why has the McDonald’s model proven so irresistible? Four alluring dimensions lie at the heart of the success of this model and, more generally, of McDonaldization. In short, McDonald’s has succeeded because it offers consumers, workers, and managers efficiency, calculability, predictability, and control.

**Efficiency** First, McDonald’s offers efficiency, or the optimum method for getting from one point to another. For consumers, this means that McDonald’s offers the best available way to get from being hungry to being satisfied. . . . Other institutions, fashioned on the McDonald’s model, offer similar efficiency in losing weight, lubricating cars, getting new glasses or contacts, or completing income-tax forms. In a society where both parents are likely to work, or where there may be only a single parent, efficiently satisfying the hunger and many other needs of people is very attractive. In a society where people rush, usually by car, from one spot to another, the efficiency of a fast-food meal, perhaps even without leaving their cars by wending their way along the drive-through lane, often proves impossible to resist. The fast-food model offers people, or at least appears to offer them, an efficient method for satisfying many needs.

**Calculability** Second, McDonald’s offers calculability, or an emphasis on the quantitative aspects of products sold (portion size, cost) and service offered (the time it takes to get the product). Quantity has become equivalent to quality; a lot of something, or the quick delivery of it, means it must be good. As two observers of contemporary American culture put it, “As a culture, we tend to believe deeply that in general ‘bigger is better.’”

**Predictability** Third, McDonald’s offers predictability, the assurance that their products and services will be the same over time and in all locales. The Egg McMuffin in New York will be, for all intents and purposes, identical to those in Chicago and Los Angeles. Also, those eaten next week or next year will be identical to those eaten today. There is great comfort in knowing that McDonald’s offers no surprises. People know that the next Egg McMuffin they eat will taste about the same as the others they have eaten; it will not be awful, but it will not be exceptionally delicious, either. The success of the McDonald’s
model suggests that many people have come to prefer a world in which there are few surprises.

**Control** Fourth, control, especially through the *substitution of nonhuman for human technology*, is exerted over the people who enter the world of McDonald’s. A *human technology* (a screwdriver, for example) is controlled by people; a *nonhuman technology* (the assembly line, for instance) controls people. The people who eat in fast-food restaurants are controlled, *albeit* (usually) subtly. Lines, limited menus, few options, and uncomfortable seats all lead diners to do what management wishes them to do—eat quickly and leave. Further, the drive-through (in some cases walk-through) window leads diners to leave before they eat.


---

**What Does it Mean?**

- **albeit**
  - even though; although
- **alluring**
  - attractive or fascinating
- **calculability**
  - bring about by deliberate intent by controlling quantities
- **wending**
  - traveling; proceeding on your way

*Explain why you would expect service at this McDonald’s restaurant in Guang Zhou, China, except for language, to be the same as the one in your neighborhood. Use sociological terms in your response.*

---

**Read and React**

2. Since Ritzer contends that McDonaldization is spreading throughout modern society, he thinks you are affected by it. Describe a part of your social life, aside from eating at fast-food restaurants, that has been McDonaldized.
3. Describe your feelings about the McDonaldization you are experiencing.
4. Do you think McDonaldization is a rational or an irrational process? That is, does McDonaldization produce results that work for or against an organization’s goal? Defend your answer.
What would a Martian, after watching an evening of prime-time television, think about American culture? If the impression of our culture were formed solely from these programs, the Martian likely would conclude that the inhabitants of Earth are an exceptionally violent people. If the Martian then began to display violent behavior, could we conclude that he or she had been watching too much television?

Before answering this question, think for a moment about these statistics: Children aged two to eleven spend an average of twenty-eight hours per week watching television (compared to thirty hours in school). Fifty-seven percent of television programming contains violence. In one-quarter of the violent interactions, a gun is used. Finally, in about three-quarters of all violent scenes, the persons committing the violent acts go unpunished (National Television Violence Study, 1998).

In the past sociologists have hesitated to link violent behavior with exposure to television violence. But after hundreds of studies, researchers now confirm a link between televised aggression and personal aggressiveness. This link between imagined and actual violence is an example of culturally transmitted social behavior.

As humans learn the culture around them, they adopt certain patterns of behavior. In this chapter we will examine the learned behavior called deviance.

After reading this chapter, you will be able to
❖ define deviance.
❖ define social control and identify the major types of social control.
❖ discuss the positive and negative consequences of deviance.
❖ differentiate the major functional theories of deviance.
❖ discuss the conflict theory view of deviance.
❖ describe four approaches to crime control.
Deviance refers to behavior that departs from societal or group norms. It can range from criminal behavior (recognized by almost all members of a society as deviant) to wearing heavy makeup (considered deviant by some religious groups). Some people violate norms by robbing banks or committing assault or murder. Incidents of deviance sometimes receive a great deal of attention because they involve prominent figures whose behavior is captured on national television. Former heavyweight boxing champion Mike Tyson, in a bout with the current champion, Evander Holyfield, actually bit off the tip of Holyfield’s right ear and spat it onto the ring mat. Figure 7.1 illustrates the frequency of two types of juvenile deviance.

These examples appear clear-cut, but deviance is not always so easy to identify. Because deviance is a matter of social definition, it can vary from group to group and society to society. In a diverse society like that of the United States, it is often difficult to agree on what is or is not deviant behavior. In a groundbreaking study, Simmons (1969) polled people on this issue:

The sheer range of responses to the question “What constitutes deviant behavior?” predictably included homosexuals, prostitutes, drug addicts, radicals, and criminals. But it also included liars, career women, Democrats, reckless drivers, atheists, Christians, suburbanites, the retired, young folks, card players, bearded men, artists, pacifists, priests, prudes, hippies, straights, girls who wear makeup, the President of the United States, conservatives, integrationists, executives, divorcees, perverts, motorcycle gangs, smart-alec students, know-it-all professors, modern people, and Americans.

To this list, one researcher would add obese people. For a week, she wore a “fat suit,” adding 150 pounds to her normal body weight, in order to experience firsthand what it feels like to be
Deviance may be either positive or negative. **Negative deviance** involves behavior that fails to meet accepted norms. People expressing negative deviance either reject the norms, misinterpret the norms, or are unaware of the norms. This is the kind of behavior popularly associated with the idea of deviance. There is, however, another type of deviance. **Positive deviance** involves overconformity to norms—leading to imbalance and extremes of perfectionism. Positive deviants idealize group norms. In its own way, positive deviance can be as disruptive and hard to manage as negative deviance. Think about the norms related to personal appearance in American society. The mass media are constantly telling young people that “lean is mean.” Negative deviants will miss the mark on the obese side. Positive deviants may push themselves to the point of anorexia. Most young people will weigh somewhere between these two extremes.

Minor instances of behavior that some might consider deviant occur frequently in modern societies. For that reason, sociologists generally reserve the term *deviance* for violations of significant social norms. Significant norms are those that are highly important either to most members of a society or to the members with the most power. For a sociologist, a **deviant** is a person who has violated one or more of society’s most highly valued norms. Reactions to deviants are usually negative and involve attempts to change or control the deviant behavior.
Social Control

Although experiencing a recent decline, the U.S. has one of the highest violent crime rates of the major industrialized countries. In fact, the U.S. has the highest murder, rape, and robbery rates, and keeps pace in burglaries and auto thefts. This map indicates the number of violent crimes by state per 100,000 residents.

**Interpreting the Map**

1. Create a graph showing how the violent crime rate in your state compares with the rates in other states.
2. Pose a question which relates to the relative ranking of your state with other states.
3. What sociological conclusion can you draw from this map?


**What is internal social control?** Internal social control lies within the individual. It is developed during the socialization process. You are practicing internal social control when you do something because you know it is the right thing to do or when you don’t do something because you know it would be wrong. For example, most people most of the time do not steal. They act this way not just because they fear arrest or lack the opportunity to steal but because they consider theft to be wrong. The norm against stealing has become a part of them. This is known as the *internalization* of social norms.
What is external social control? Unfortunately for society, the process of socialization does not ensure that all people will conform all of the time. For this reason, external social control must also be present. External social control is based on social sanctions—rewards and punishments designed to encourage desired behavior. Positive sanctions, such as awards, increases in allowances, promotions, and smiles of approval, are used to encourage conformity. Negative sanctions, such as criticism, fines, and imprisonment, are intended to stop socially unacceptable behavior.

Sanctions may be formal or informal. Ridicule, gossip and smiles are examples of informal sanctions. Imprisonment, low grades, and official awards are formal sanctions.

Section 1 Assessment

1. What is the term sociologists use for behavior that significantly violates societal or group norms?
2. State a major problem sociologists have in defining deviance.
3. What is the purpose of a social sanction?

Critical Thinking

4. Applying Concepts At some point in growing up, nearly everyone displays some minor deviant behaviors, such as cutting class or telling a lie. Getting “caught” in such behaviors generally results in attempts at social control. Recall such an instance for yourself. How successful were these controls in changing your behavior? (Be specific as to the types of social control and their precise application to you.)

No crime is rational.

Livy
Roman historian
Historically, the Cheyenne believed that when a member of the tribe committed murder, the whole tribe suffered the consequences. The punishment for this terrible crime was banishment from the tribe. The Cheyenne way of dealing with murders illustrates both deviance and social control.

[The Cheyenne have] specific concepts related to the killing of a fellow tribesman and specific mechanisms for dealing with homicide when it does occur.

The first of these is purely mystical and relates to the major tribal fetish, the Four Sacred Arrows. A murderer becomes personally polluted, and specks of blood contaminate the feathers of the Arrows. The very word for murder is *he’joxones*, “putrid.” A Cheyenne who kills a fellow Cheyenne rots internally. His body gives off a fetid odor, a symbolic stigma of personal disintegration, which contrition may stay, but for which there is no cure. The smell is offensive to other Cheyennes, who will never again take food from a bowl used by the killer. Nor will they smoke a pipe that has touched his lips. They fear personal contamination with his “leprous” affliction. This means that the person who has become so un-Cheyenne as to fly in the face of the greatest of Cheyenne injunctions is cut off from participation in the symbolic acts of mutuality—eating from a common bowl and smoking the ritual pipe. With this alienation goes the loss of many civil privileges and the cooperative assistance of one’s fellows outside of one’s own family. The basic penalty for murder is therefore a lifetime of partial social ostracism [forced isolation from society].

On the legal level, the ostracism takes the form of immediate exile imposed by the Tribal Council sitting as a judicial body. The sentence of exile is enforced, if need be, by the military societies. The rationalization of the banishment is that the murderer’s stink is noisome to the buffalo. As long as an unatoned murderer is with the tribe, “game shuns the territory; it makes the tribe lonesome.” Therefore, the murderer must leave.

Banishment is not in itself enough, however. His act has disrupted the fabric of tribal life. Symbolically, this is expressed in the soiling of the Arrows, the allegorical identity of the tribe itself. As long as the Arrows remain polluted, bad luck is believed to dog the tribe. Not only does the specter of starvation threaten, but there can be no success in war or any other enterprise. The earth is disjointed and the tribe out of harmony with it. The Arrow Renewal is the means of righting the situation. The oneness of the tribe is reasserted in the required presence at the ceremony of every family—save those of murderers. The renewed earth, effected by the rites in the Lone Tipi, is fresh and unsullied, once again free of the stain of killing.


**Thinking It Over**

Many societies, both in the past and today, placed responsibility for the behavior of an individual on the family or tribe. Would you favor similar laws in the U.S., such as those making parents accountable for their children’s actions? Why or why not?
Costs and Benefits of Deviance

As you probably remember from earlier chapters, the functionalist perspective emphasizes social stability and the way the different parts of society contribute to the whole. It may surprise you to know that functionalists believe that some deviance can contribute to the smooth operation of society. Deviance, therefore, has both positive and negative consequences for society.

What are some of the negative effects of deviance? Deviance erodes trust. If bus drivers do not follow planned routes, if television stations constantly change their schedules, if parents are not consistent in their discipline, trust will be undermined. A society with widespread suspicion and distrust cannot function smoothly.

If not punished or corrected, deviance can also cause nonconforming behavior in others. If bus drivers regularly pass students waiting for the bus, the students may begin to throw rocks at the bus. If television stations offer random programming, customers may picket the stations in protest. If parents neglect their children, more teenagers may turn to delinquency. Deviance stimulates more deviance in others.

Deviant behavior is also expensive. It diverts resources, both human and monetary. Police may have to spend their time dealing with wayward bus drivers and angry students rather than performing more serious duties.

How does deviance benefit society? Society can sometimes benefit from deviance in spite of its negative effects. Emile Durkheim observed that deviance clarifies norms by exercising social control to defend its values; society defines, adjusts, and reaffirms norms. When parents are taken to court or lose their children because of neglect, for example, society shows other parents and children how it expects parents to act.

Deviance can be a temporary safety valve. Teens listen to music, watch television programs, and wear clothes that adults may view as deviating from expected behavior. This relatively minor deviance may act to relieve some of the pressure teens feel from the many authority figures in their lives, including parents, relatives, teachers, and clergy.

Deviance increases unity within a society or group. When deviance reminds people of something they value, it strengthens their commitment to that value. Consider

How did the Reverend King’s use of nonviolent deviance benefit American society?
spies who sell government secrets to an enemy, for example. When they are discovered, citizens who read or hear about them experience stronger feelings of patriotism.

Deviance promotes needed social change. Suffragettes who took to the streets in the early 1900s scandalized the nation but helped bring women the right to vote. Prison riots in the past have led to the reform of inhuman conditions.

Strain Theory

According to Emile Durkheim, anomie (AN-uh-me) is a social condition in which norms are weak, conflicting, or absent. Without shared norms, individuals are uncertain about how they should think and act. Societies become disorganized. In 1968, sociologist Robert Merton adapted Durkheim’s concept of anomie to deviant behavior and called his hypothesis the strain theory. Deviance, said Merton, is most likely to occur when there is a gap between culturally desirable goals, such as money and prestige, and a legitimate way of obtaining them. Every society establishes some goals and socially approved ways of reaching them. In the United States, an important goal is success and the material possessions that go with it. Education and hard work are two of the approved means for being successful. This is when people accept the goal and the means to achieve it; Merton calls this conformity. Wealthy people conform, but so do poor people who continue to work hard in low-paying jobs in the hope of improving life for themselves or their children.

How do people respond to strain? By definition, conformity is not deviant behavior. Each of the remaining four responses to strain are considered deviant, however. (See Figure 7.2.)

❖ In innovation, the individual accepts the goal of success but uses illegal means to achieve it. People engaging in this response may use robbery, drug dealing, or other lucrative criminal behavior to be successful. Innovation is the most widespread and obvious type of deviant response.

❖ In ritualism, the individual rejects the goal (success) but continues to use the legitimate means. Here people go through the motions without really believing in the process. An example is the teacher who goes about the daily routines of work without any concern for students or the quality of his or her teaching.

❖ Retreatism is a deviant response in which both the legitimate means and the approved goals are rejected. Skid-row alcoholics, drug addicts, and bag ladies are retreatists; they have dropped out. They are not successful by either legitimate or illegitimate means and they do not seek success.

❖ In rebellion, people reject both success and the approved means for achieving it. At the same time, they substitute a new set of goals and means. Some militia group members in the United States illustrate this response. They may live in near isolation as they pursue the goal of changing society through deviant means: creating their own currency, deliberately violating gun laws, and threatening (or engaging in) violent behavior against law enforcement officers.
Control Theory

Travis Hirschi’s control theory (1972) is also based on Durkheim’s views. According to control theory, conformity to social norms depends on the presence of strong bonds between individuals and society. If those bonds are weak—if anomic is present—deviance occurs.

In this theory, social bonds control the behavior of people, thus preventing deviant acts. People conform because they don’t want to “lose face” with family members, friends, or classmates.

---

**Figure 7.2 Merton’s Strain Theory**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Culturally Approved Goal: Success</th>
<th>Socially Accepted Way to Succeed: Hard Work</th>
<th>Conformity Response</th>
<th>Deviant Responses</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accepts goal of success</td>
<td>Accepts hard work as the appropriate way to succeed</td>
<td>Conformity—works hard to succeed</td>
<td></td>
<td>Business executive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accepts goal of success</td>
<td>Rejects hard work as the appropriate way to succeed</td>
<td></td>
<td>Innovation—finds illegal ways to succeed</td>
<td>Criminal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rejects goal of success</td>
<td>Accepts hard work as the appropriate way to succeed</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ritualism—acts as if he wants to succeed but does not exert much effort</td>
<td>Unmotivated teacher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rejects goal of success</td>
<td>Rejects hard work as the appropriate way to succeed</td>
<td></td>
<td>Retreatism—drops out of the race for success</td>
<td>Skid row alcoholic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rejects goal of success</td>
<td>Rejects hard work as the appropriate way to succeed</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rebellion—substitutes new way to achieve new goal</td>
<td>Militia group member</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What are the basic elements of social bonds? According to Hirschi, the social bond has four basic components:

1. **Attachment.** The stronger your attachment to groups or individuals, the more likely you are to conform. In other words, the likelihood of conformity varies with the strength of ties with parents, friends, and institutions such as schools and churches.

2. **Commitment.** The greater your commitment to social goals, the more likely you are to conform. The commitment of individuals who believe their hard work will be rewarded is greater than the commitment of people who do not believe they can compete within the system.

3. **Involvement.** Participation in approved social activities increases the probability of conformity. Besides positively focusing your time and energy, participation puts you in contact with people whose opinions you value.

4. **Belief.** Belief in the norms and values of society promotes conformity. A belief in the appropriateness of the rules of social life strengthens people's resolve not to deviate from those norms.

In short, when social bonds are weak, the chances for deviance increase. Individuals who lack attachment, commitment, involvement, and belief have little incentive to follow the rules of society.

**Section 2 Assessment**

1. Which of the following is **NOT** one of the benefits of deviance for society?
   a. It decreases suspicion and mistrust among members of a society.
   b. It promotes social change.
   c. It increases social unity.
   d. It provides a safety valve.
   e. It promotes clarification of norms.

2. Briefly describe the main idea of Merton’s strain theory.

3. A high school teacher who simply goes through the motions of teaching classes without any thought of success is an example of which response in strain theory?

4. What are the four basic elements needed to create strong social bonds?

**Critical Thinking**

5. **Applying Concepts** Describe someone you know (anonymously, of course) who falls into one of the four deviant response categories identified by strain theory. Use specific characteristics of this person to show the influence of different aspirations on economic decisions.
Sociologist Philip Hilts believes that tobacco companies target young people in their advertisements and that the strategy has a sociological basis.

Children are just beginning to shape their image of themselves, elbowing out a niche in the world, and must somehow differentiate themselves from parents and other adults, and get out from under what the authorities in life want from them. They dress differently, sometimes shockingly. They listen to different, sometimes shocking, music. In this quest, the children are worried, insecure, seeking to make choices and have them supported by their friends or others they respect. Most obviously, their choices are supported by each other. They have learned to lean on each other for aid and assent. Sometimes older siblings lend support. But because the insecurity is great, as many supports as possible are needed (Hilts, 1997:33).

Cigarette advertising, claims Hilts, portrays smoking as another ally in teenagers’ attempts to find their own identities. Smoking is portrayed as a pleasurable, cool way for them to declare their successful transition into adulthood. In other words, tobacco corporations assume correctly that teenagers are at a time in their lives when deviant behavior can serve a developmental need. To teens, smoking (like their choice of clothing, music, and slang) begins as simply a form of deviance.

Doing Sociology

Do you agree with Hilts’s analysis? State your arguments for or against it. Search magazines and newspapers for examples of advertising that emphasizes “young adult smokers” moving into adult activities. Or, see if you can find any advertisements that picture middle-aged or older people smoking. Why do you think these ads are virtually unknown?
Symbolic Interactionism and Deviance

**Key Terms**
- differential association theory
- primary deviance
- secondary deviance
- labeling theory
- stigma

Differential Association Theory

According to symbolic interactionism, deviance is transmitted through socialization in the same way that nondeviant behavior is learned. For example, an early study revealed that delinquent behavior can be transmitted through play groups and gangs. Even when new ethnic groups enter neighborhoods, they learn delinquent behavior from the current residents. **Differential association** and **labeling theory** are both based on symbolic interactionism.

**How is deviance learned?** Differential association theory emphasizes the role of primary groups in transmitting deviance. Just as we learn preferences in religion and politics from others we associate with closely, people can learn deviance by association, as well. The more that individuals are exposed to people who break the law, the more apt they are to become criminals. Three characteristics affect differential association:

- the ratio of deviant to nondeviant individuals. A person who knows mostly deviants is more likely to learn deviant behavior.
- whether the deviant behavior is practiced by significant others. A person is more likely to copy deviant behavior from a significant other than from people less important to him or her.
- the age of exposure. Younger children learn deviant behavior more quickly than older children.

Labeling Theory

Strain theory, control theory, and differential association theory help us understand why deviance occurs. **Labeling theory** explains why deviance is relative—that is, sometimes of two people breaking the norm only one may be labeled a deviant.

**Is deviance defined by the act or by the individual?** According to labeling theory, deviant behaviors are always a matter of social definition. In this view, deviance exists when some members of a group or society label others as deviants. Howard Becker, a pioneer of labeling theory, writes:
Social groups create deviance by making the rules whose infraction constitutes deviance, and by applying these rules to particular people and labeling them as outsiders. From this point of view, deviance is not a quality of the act the person commits, but rather a consequence of the application by others of rules and sanctions to an “offender.” The deviant is one to whom that label has successfully been applied; deviant behavior is behavior that people so label (Becker, 1991:9).

Labeling theory allows us to understand the relativity of deviance. It explains, for example, why unmarried pregnant teenage girls are more negatively sanctioned than the teenage biological fathers. An unsanctioned pregnancy requires two people, but usually only one of the pair is labeled deviant. Traditionally, society expects females to set the boundaries—to be the ones to say “no.” When females become pregnant outside of marriage, they have violated this norm and are considered deviant. Even today, males are not considered as deviant, not because they do not literally bear the child, but because our ideas about their sexual responsibility are still different than for females. And, of course, it is easier to stigmatize women because advanced pregnancy is so visible. Labeling theory also explains why a middle-class youth who steals a car may go unpunished for “borrowing” the vehicle whereas a lower-class youth goes to court for stealing. Too often, lower-class youths are “expected” to be criminals while middle-class youths are not.

Are there degrees of deviance? Edwin Lemert’s (1972) distinction between primary and secondary deviance helps clarify the labeling process. In cases of primary deviance, a person engages only in isolated acts of deviance. For example, when college students are asked to respond to a checklist of unlawful activities, most admit to having violated one or more norms. Yet the vast majority of college students have never been arrested, convicted, or labeled as criminals. Certainly, those who break the law for the first time do not consider themselves criminals. If their deviance stops at this point, they have engaged in primary deviance; deviance is not a part of their lifestyles or self-concept.
self-concepts. Juveniles, likewise, may commit a few delinquent acts without becoming committed to a delinquent career or regarding themselves as delinquents.

**Secondary deviance**, on the other hand, refers to deviance as a lifestyle and as a personal identity. A secondary deviant is a person whose life and identity are organized around deviance. In this case, the deviant status overshadows all other statuses. Individuals identify themselves primarily as deviants and organize their behavior largely in terms of deviant roles. Other people label them as deviant as well and respond to them accordingly. When this occurs, these individuals usually begin to spend most of their time committing acts of deviance. Deviance becomes a way of life, a career (Kelly, 1996).

Secondary deviance is reflected in the words of Carolyn Hamilton-Ballard—known as “Bubbles” to her fellow gang members in Los Angeles:

> Because of my size, I was automatically labeled a bully-type person. . . . I mean, people saw that Bloods jacket and since everybody thought I was crazy, I started acting crazy. At first it was an act, but then it became me. After being the target for drive-bys and going through different things, that became my life-style. I started retaliating back and I got more involved (Johnson, 1994:209).

**John Dillinger was at one time the FBI’s “public enemy number 1.” Explain why Dillinger is considered a secondary deviant.**

**What are the consequences of labeling?** Labeling people as deviants can cause them pain and suffering, as well as determine the direction of their lives. Erving Goffman examined some of the negative effects of labeling when he wrote about **stigma**—an undesirable characteristic or label used by others to deny the deviant full social acceptance. For example, an ex-convict is not accepted by many members of society. Why? Because a stigmatic label—jailbird—spoils the individual’s entire social identity. One stigma, a prison record, is used to discredit the individual’s entire worth. The same
may be true for a person with a disability or an unemployed person.

The words of a forty-three-year-old bricklayer, who was unemployed during the Depression, illustrate this point.

*How hard and humiliating it is to bear the name of an unemployed man. When I go out, I cast down my eyes because I feel myself wholly inferior. When I go along the street, it seems to me that I can’t be compared with an average citizen, that everybody is pointing at me with his finger. I instinctively avoid meeting anyone. Former acquaintances and friends of better times are no longer so cordial. They greet me indifferently when we meet. They no longer offer me a cigarette and their eyes seem to say, “You are not worth it, you don’t work.”*

**Section 3 Assessment**

1. Which of the following describes what is meant by differential association?
   a. Crime is more likely to occur among individuals who have been treated differently.
   b. People may become criminals through close association with criminals.
   c. Crime is not transmitted culturally.
   d. Crime comes from conflict between two cultures.

2. Name the sociological theory that takes into account the relativity of deviance.

3. What is secondary deviance?

4. What are the social consequences of labeling?

**Critical Thinking**

5. **Analyzing Information** Think of someone you know or know of who has been labeled as deviant by some members of society. Analyze the consequences of this labeling for the person identified as a deviant.

6. **Drawing Conclusions** What actions could be taken against students who are viewed as secondary deviants?
Deviance in Industrial Society

From the conflict perspective, deviance in an industrial society is behavior that those in control see as threatening to their interests. Consequently, the rich and powerful use their positions to determine which acts are deviant and how deviants should be punished.

Sociologist Steven Spitzer (1980) proposed some basic ways in which the culture of an industrial society defends itself against deviants.

1. Critics of industrial society are considered deviants because their beliefs challenge its economic, political, and social basis.
2. Because industrial society requires a willing workforce, those who will not work are considered deviants.
3. Those who threaten private property, especially that belonging to the rich, are prime targets for punishment.
4. Because of society’s need for respect of authority, people who show a lack of respect for authority—agitators on the job, people who stage nonviolent demonstrations against established practices—are treated as deviants.
5. Certain activities are encouraged depending on how well they fit within industrial society. For example, violent behavior in sports is accepted because it fosters competition, achievement, teamwork, and winning (Eder, 1995; Adler and Adler, 1999).

Race, Ethnicity, and Crime

The relationship between minorities and the judicial system is another way to view deviance from the conflict perspective.

What is the relationship between race, ethnicity, and crime? Supporters of the conflict perspective believe that minorities receive unequal treatment in the American criminal justice system. They cite statistics showing that African Americans and Latinos are dealt with more harshly than whites. This is true throughout the criminal justice process—from arrest
through indictment, conviction, sentencing, and parole (Shaeffer, 1993; Sknolnick, 1998).

Even when the criminal offense is the same, African Americans and Latinos are more likely than whites to be convicted, and they serve more time in prison than whites. Although African Americans account for only 12 percent of the total population in the United States, more than 43 percent of inmates under the death penalty are African American. In interracial murders, an African American is thirteen times as likely to be sentenced to death for the murder of a white person as a white person is for murdering an African American.

About one-half of all homicide victims in the United States are African American (U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1998a). Nevertheless, the overwhelming majority of prisoners on death row are there for murdering whites. Prosecutors are less likely to seek the death penalty when an African American has been killed, and juries and judges are less likely to impose the death penalty in cases involving African American victims.

**Why are minorities and whites treated so differently?** The conflict theory suggests several reasons for differences in the way minorities and whites are treated in the criminal justice system. For one thing, conflict theorists point to the fact that minorities generally do not have the economic resources to buy good legal services. Thus, the outcomes of their trials are not likely to be as favorable to them.

Another source of difference involves the fact that crimes against whites tend to be punished more severely than crimes against minorities. Sociologists who follow the conflict perspective believe that this happens because society sees minority interests as less important than the interests of whites. **Victim discounting** reduces the seriousness of crimes directed at members of lower social classes (Gibbons, 1985). According to the logic behind victim discounting, if the victim is less valuable, the crime is less serious, and the penalty is less severe.
White-collar crime is yet another way to view deviance. According to Edwin Sutherland (1940, 1983), *white-collar crime* is any crime committed by respectable and high-status people in the course of their occupations. As one researcher put it, lower-status people commit crimes of the streets; higher-status people engage in “crimes of the suites.” Officially, the term *white-collar crime* is used for economic crimes such as price fixing, insider trading, illegal rebates, embezzlement, bribery of a corporate customer, manufacture of hazardous products, toxic pollution, and tax evasion.

**What are the costs of white-collar crime?** According to the U.S. Department of Justice, the costs of white-collar crime are eighteen times higher than the costs of street crime. Illegal working environments (for example, factories that expose workers to toxic chemicals) account for about one-third of all work-related deaths in the United States. Five times more Americans are killed each year from illegal job conditions than are murdered on the streets.
What kinds of punishment do the majority of white-collar criminals receive? Despite the fact that white-collar crime costs taxpayers hundreds of billions of dollars every year, the people that commit these crimes are treated more leniently than other criminals. In federal court, where most white-collar cases are tried, probation is granted to 40 percent of antitrust-law violators, 61 percent of fraud defendants, and 70 percent of embezzlers. In general, convicted white-collar criminals are less likely to be imprisoned. If they are imprisoned, they receive shorter average sentences and are more likely to be placed in prisons with extra amenities, such as tennis courts or private rooms. Both Charles Colson and G. Gordon Liddy, convicted conspirators in the Watergate cover-up in the early 1970s, served their sentences in minimum-security federal facilities.

Section 4 Assessment

1. Which of the following IS NOT one of the basic ways in which the culture of an industrial society defends itself in the face of deviance?
   a. People whose beliefs clash with those of industrial society are labeled deviants.
   b. Industrial society requires a willing workforce.
   c. Innovation is rewarded.
   d. People who fail to show respect for authority are likely to be considered deviant.

2. What is the term that describes reducing the seriousness of crimes against victims from lower social classes?

3. What is white-collar crime?

Critical Thinking

4. Evaluating Information How could the conflict theory be misused to rationalize deviant behavior?

5. Summarizing Information Using the concept of victim discounting, explain why lower-class criminals are usually punished more severely than white-collar criminals for the same crime.
Case Study: Saints and Roughnecks

In this classic study, William Chambliss (1973) observed the behavior of two white teenage gangs at “Hanibal High School” over a two-year period. In addition to gang activity, Chambliss documented the responses of parents, teachers, and police to the delinquent behavior.

The Saints  On weekends the automobile was even more critical than during the week, for on weekends the Saints [a delinquent high school gang] went to Big Town—a large city with a population of over a million. . . . Every Friday and Saturday night most of the Saints would meet between 8:00 and 8:30 and would go into Big Town. Big Town activities included drinking heavily in taverns or nightclubs, driving drunkenly through the streets, and committing acts of vandalism and playing pranks. . . .

Searching for “fair game” for a prank was the boys’ principal activity after they left the tavern. The boys would drive alongside a foot patrolman and ask directions to some street. If the policeman leaned on the car in the course of answering the question, the driver would speed away, causing him to lose his balance. The Saints were careful to play this prank only in an area where they were not going to spend much time and where they could quickly disappear around a corner to avoid having their license plate number taken.

Construction sites and road repair areas were the special province of the Saints' mischief. A soon-to-be-repaired hole in the road inevitably invited the Saints to remove lanterns and wooden barricades and put them in the car, leaving the hole unprotected. The boys would find a safe vantage point and wait for an unsuspecting motorist to drive into the hole. Often, though not always, the boys would go up to the motorist and commiserate [sympathize] with him about the dreadful way the city protected its citizenry.

Leaving the scene of the open hole and the motorist, the boys would then go searching for an appropriate place to erect the stolen barricade. An “appropriate place” was often a spot on a highway near a curve in the road where the barricade would not be seen by an oncoming motorist. The boys would wait to watch an unsuspecting motorist attempt to stop and (usually) crash into the wooden barricade.

A stolen lantern might well find its way onto the back of a police car or hang from a street lamp. Once a lantern served as a prop for a reenactment of the “midnight ride of Paul Revere” until the “play,” which was taking place at 2:00 A.M. in the center of a main street of Big Town, was interrupted by a police car several blocks away. The boys ran, leaving the lanterns on the street . . . .
The Roughnecks  [T]ownspeople never perceived the Saints’ . . . delinquency. The Saints were good boys who just went in for an occasional prank. After all, they were well dressed, well mannered and had nice cars. The Roughnecks [a delinquent gang at the same high school] were a different story. Although the two gangs of boys were the same age, and both groups engaged in an equal amount of wild-oat sowing, everyone agreed that the not-so-well-dressed, not-so-well-mannered, not-so-rich boys were heading for trouble. . . .

From the community’s viewpoint, the real indication that these kids were in for trouble was that they were constantly involved with the police. Some of them had been picked up for stealing, mostly small stuff, of course, “but still it’s stealing small stuff that leads to big time crimes.” “Too bad,” people said. “Too bad that these boys couldn’t behave like the other kids in town; stay out of trouble, be polite to adults, and look to their future.” . . .

The fighting activities of the group were fairly readily and accurately perceived by almost everyone. At least once a month, the boys would get into some sort of fight, although most fights were scraps between members of the group or involved only one member of the group and some peripheral hanger-on. Only three times in the period of observation did the group fight together: once against a gang from across town, once against two blacks and once against a group of boys from another school. For the first two fights the group went out “looking for trouble”—and they found it both times. The third fight followed a football game and began spontaneously with an argument on the football field between one of the Roughnecks and a member of the opposition’s football team.

More serious than fighting, had the community been aware of it, was theft. Although almost everyone was aware that the boys occasionally stole things, they did not realize the extent of the activity. Petty stealing was a frequent event for the Roughnecks. Sometimes they stole as a group and coordinated their efforts; other times they stole in pairs. Rarely did they steal alone. . . . Types of thievery varied with the whim of the gang. Some forms of thievery were more profitable than others, but all thefts were for profit, not for thrills.

Roughnecks siphoned gasoline from cars as often as they had access to an automobile, which was not very often. Unlike the Saints, who owned their own cars, the Roughnecks would have to borrow their parents’ cars, an event which occurred only eight or nine times a year. The boys claimed to have stolen cars for joy rides from time to time.


Working with the Research

1. From your understanding of Chambliss’s study, is deviance socially created? Explain.
2. Which of the three major theoretical perspectives best explains Chambliss’s findings? Support your choice.
Crime and Punishment

Key Terms

- crime
- criminal justice system
- deterrence
- retribution
- incarceration
- rehabilitation
- recidivism

Measurement of Crime

Most Americans think of crime—acts in violation of statute law—as including a narrow range of behavior. On the contrary, more than 2,800 acts are classified as federal crimes. Many more acts violate state and local statutes.

How much crime is there in the United States today? Crime increased sharply between the 1960s and the 1990s. For example, the FBI Index of violent crime has increased from a big city offense rate per 100,000 of 860 in 1969 to 1207 in 1999. Violent crime rates are considerably higher in the U.S. than in most other industrialized countries.

Today the rate of homicide death for a young man is 23 times higher in the U.S. than in England. In 1995, handguns were used to kill 2 people in New Zealand, 15 in Japan, 30 in Great Britain, 106 in Canada, 213 in Germany, and 9,390 in the United States (To Establish Justice, 1999:iv).

The job of this forensic scientist is to examine evidence—fingerprints, DNA, handwriting, firearms—for indications that a crime has occurred.
How are crime statistics collected?  The major source of American crime statistics is the Federal Bureau of Investigation’s *Uniform Crime Reports* (UCR). These official statistics are gathered from police departments across the country. Reports are submitted voluntarily by law enforcement agencies.

What do UCR statistics cover?  Nine types of crimes (called crime index offenses) are tracked: murder, forcible rape, robbery, aggravated assault, burglary, larceny-theft, motor vehicle theft, arson, and hate crimes.

Figure 7.4 shows UCR statistics on the frequency of seven of these crimes in the United States in 1999. Figure 7.5 presents another view of the 1998 statistics. Crimes known to the police totaled 11,635,900 (total violent crime plus total property crime). As the table shows, both violent crime and property crime have declined since 1990. Since murder receives the most publicity, it can be used to highlight this general, across-the-board reduction in crime.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of crime</th>
<th>Number of crimes</th>
<th>Crime rate per 100,000 residents</th>
<th>Percent change in crime rate</th>
<th>Percent change in number of crimes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Violent crime</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murder</td>
<td>1,430,690</td>
<td>524.7</td>
<td>-28.3</td>
<td>-21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15,530</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>-39.4</td>
<td>-33.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89,110</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>-20.6</td>
<td>-13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>409,670</td>
<td>150.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>-41.6</td>
<td>-35.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>916,380</td>
<td>336.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>-20.7</td>
<td>-13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggravated assault</td>
<td>1,430,690</td>
<td>524.7</td>
<td>-28.3</td>
<td>-21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property crime</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burglary</td>
<td>10,284,500</td>
<td>3,742.1</td>
<td>-26.5</td>
<td>-19.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,099,700</td>
<td>770.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>-37.7</td>
<td>-31.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,957,400</td>
<td>2,551.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>-20.1</td>
<td>-12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,147,300</td>
<td>420.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>-36.0</td>
<td>-29.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larceny-theft</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor vehicle theft</td>
<td>1,430,690</td>
<td>524.7</td>
<td>-28.3</td>
<td>-21.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

crime. The murder rate in the United States has declined more than 39 percent since the late 1980s. This decline has gained momentum since the mid-1990s. One major reason for this new downward crime trend is a recent reduction in juvenile crime.

Figure 7.6 indicates that violent crime—murder, forcible rape, aggravated assault, and robbery—made up 12.3 percent of the known crimes. Property crime—burglary, larceny-theft, motor vehicle theft—accounted for 87.7 percent.

How reliable are UCR statistics? The UCR statistics provide considerable information about crime. A major strength of this reporting system lies in the fact that experienced police officers decide if an incident should be reported as a crime. The UCR statistics also have serious limitations, however:

❖ The UCR tends to overrepresent the lower classes and undercount the middle and upper classes.
❖ Some crimes (amateur thefts, minor assaults) are not as likely to be reported to the police as murder and auto thefts.
❖ Prostitutes and intoxicated persons are subject to arrest in public places, but are fairly safe in private settings where the police cannot enter without a warrant.
❖ About two-thirds of U.S. crimes are not reported at all.
❖ Crime reporting varies from place to place and crime to crime, and white-collar offenders are seldom included.

Are any other crime statistics available? In response to these criticisms, the National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS) was launched in the early 1970s. This survey is conducted semiannually for the Bureau of Justice Statistics by the U.S. Census Bureau.

The NCVS has two advantages. First, it helps make up for the underreporting of crime. Second, its surveys are more scientifically sound than methods used in the UCR. At the very least, the NCVS is an increasingly important supplement to the FBI’s official statistics. Together they provide a more complete account of the extent and nature of crime in the United States (Wright, 1987; U.S. Department of Justice, 1999).

Juvenile Crime

Juvenile crime refers to legal violations among those under 18 years of age. Juvenile offenders are the third largest category of criminals in the United States. Teenage criminal activity includes theft, murder, rape, robbery, assault, and the sale of illegal substances. Juvenile delinquent behavior includes deviance that only the young can commit, such as failing to attend school, fighting in school, and underage drinking and smoking.

What is the trend in juvenile crime? Violent juvenile crime reached its lowest level in a decade in 1999, a fall of 36 percent since 1994 (Office of Justice Programs, 2000). During the 1990s

❖ the juvenile murder arrest rate dropped by 68 percent.
❖ juvenile arrests for weapons violations declined by a third.
❖ the juvenile rape arrest rate went down by 31 percent.
There were also fewer juvenile victims of murder—down from almost 3,000 to about 2,000. Juvenile crime, in short, returned to the rates typical of the years prior to the crack epidemic of the late 1980s.

**Why has juvenile crime gone down?** Several factors are said to account for this decline in juvenile crime. For one, there has been a decline in the demand for crack cocaine. Remaining crack gangs that provided guns to juveniles have reached truces. Repeat violent juvenile offenders have been given stiffer sentences. Finally, police are cracking down on illegal guns on the street.

## Approaches to Crime Control

The **criminal justice system** is made up of the institutions and processes responsible for enforcing criminal statutes. It includes the police, courts, and correctional system. A criminal justice system may draw on four approaches to control and punish lawbreakers—*deterrence, retribution, incarceration,* and *rehabilitation.*

**Does punishment discourage crime?** The **deterrence** approach uses the threat of punishment to discourage criminal actions. A basic idea of this approach is that punishment of convicted criminals will serve as an example to keep other people from committing crimes. There is considerable debate on the effectiveness of deterrence (DiIulio and Piehl, 1991). Research indicates that the threat of punishment does deter crime if potential lawbreakers know two things: that they are likely to get caught and that the punishment will be severe. In the U.S., however, the punishment for crime is usually not certain, swift, or severe. Consequently, punishment does not have the deterrent effect that it could have (Pontell, 1984).

Capital punishment (the death penalty) is a special case. Over four thousand people have been executed in the United States since 1930, the year the federal government began gathering statistics on capital punishment. Unless
One of the newest forms of deviance is “identity theft.” An identity thief “steals” credit information belonging to another person, then commits fraud with it. The results for victims can be devastating.

In testimony before the Maryland legislature, one couple reported that a thief used their credit cards to purchase five automobiles. Graciela has been a victim of identity theft for more than ten years. A thief gained access to her Social Security number, birth certificate, and driver’s license. With this information, the imposter has obtained credit cards, purchased furniture, bought cars, and obtained welfare. (All of these examples, and more, are available through the Privacy Rights Clearinghouse, http://www.privacyrights.org, a nonprofit group for consumers’ privacy rights.)

Beth Givens of the Privacy Rights Clearinghouse explains that identity theft can occur in many ways. A thief can steal a wallet or purse, get copies of credit card slips from trash, or steal someone’s mail. There are also high-tech methods of identity theft. The most common method is to illegally gain access to credit rating company computers. These companies maintain credit reports that provide valuable information about a consumer—Social Security number, birth date, credit card numbers, and address. Although credit rating companies try to prevent high-tech identity theft, the very nature of their service makes this information accessible through computer terminals. This access is an open invitation to criminals.

The victims of identity theft obviously suffer great damage. Unless the thief is caught in the act, there seems to be little the police can do to stop this kind of crime. Many victims also have to deal with abusive collection agencies. It has taken some people ten years or more to clean up the mess the thieves have created. Victims are often scarred emotionally and report feelings of violation, hopelessness, and great anger.

The goal of today’s identity thieves is to get items at no cost, not to take over the victims’ identities. But what if identity theft also involved losing one’s identity? What would happen if a person’s identity were actually “stolen”? This was the topic of a film called The Net. In this movie, a woman’s entire identity is erased. The villains in the movie steal the documents that would prove her identity and destroy all of her existing computer records. Using her photograph and Social Security number, they create a whole new identity for her, including a new name, a bad credit report, and a criminal record. As the woman in the movie says, “They knew everything about me. It was all on the Internet.”

Analyzing the Trends

Which theoretical perspective would be most useful in analyzing identity theft? Explain your choice, and apply that perspective to the issue of identity theft.
it is premeditated, a murder is an extremely emotional and irrational act. Under such circumstances, you would not expect the threat of capital punishment to be a deterrent, and research shows that it is not. If the death penalty were a deterrent to murder, a decline in its use should be followed by an increase in the murder rate. Research indicates, however, that the murder rate remains constant, or even drops, following a decline in the use of the death penalty (Sellin, 1991; Lester, 1998; Sarat, 1998).

**Do Americans believe capital punishment deters criminals?** Despite those findings, about three-fourths of Americans believe that the death penalty acts as a deterrent to murder. Actually, attitudes regarding the ability of the death penalty to prevent crime do not seem to affect attitudes toward the death penalty itself. Of those Americans who favor the death penalty, over three-fourths indicate they would continue to favor it even if confronted with conclusive evidence that the death penalty does not act as a deterrent to murder and that it does not lower the murder rate. Feelings of revenge and a desire for retribution, then, appear to contribute more to the support of capital punishment than do its deterrent effects. When asked to choose, a significantly higher proportion of the American population support the death penalty for murder (66 percent) than oppose it (26 percent; Gallup, 2001).

**Why does the attitude toward the death penalty vary?** Attitudes toward the death penalty in the United States vary according to race and ethnicity. Over three-fourths of whites favor the death penalty compared with 40 percent of African Americans and 52 percent of Latinos. This racial and ethnic variation in attitude toward the death penalty is not surprising. The less favorable African American and Latino attitude is due, in part, to the fact that, when convicted, they are more likely than whites to receive the death penalty (Spohn, 1995). While African Americans comprise only about 13 percent of the U.S. population, they make up 43 percent of death row inmates. Racial minorities constitute half of all inmates in U.S. prisons.

**What is retribution?** Retribution is a type of punishment intended to make criminals pay compensation for their acts. It comes from the idea of “an eye for an eye and a tooth for a tooth.” The law allows designated officials to exact retribution. However, it does not allow individuals to take personal vengeance. If a mother “takes the law into her own hands” by shooting her son’s killer, she must also answer to society for her action.

**Why does society keep criminals in prisons?** The basic idea behind incarceration—keeping criminals in prisons—is that criminals who are not on the street cannot commit crimes. Recently, the United States has taken a tougher stance in favor of the incarceration approach with such bills as the three strikes law. As a result, the number of local, state, and federal prisoners increased by almost 700,000 between 1990 and 2000, and is expected to exceed 2 million very shortly. In more repressive societies, such as the former Soviet Union and present-day Nationalist China, people may spend their entire lives in prison camps for crimes ranging from political opposition to murder.
Death Penalty Policy

Countries vary in their approach to the control of crime. The most extreme form of social control, the death penalty, is utilized in many countries, while some countries have abolished capital punishment completely. This map shows variations in national policy regarding the death penalty.

Interpreting the Map

1. Do you notice any pattern in the use of the death penalty? Describe it.
2. What additional information would you need to determine if capital punishment is an effective deterrent to crime? Explain.

Source: Amnesty International Online

Do prisons rehabilitate criminals? Rehabilitation is an approach to crime control that attempts to resocialize criminals. Most prisons have programs aimed at giving prisoners both social and work skills that will help them adjust to normal society after their release. Unfortunately, 30 to 60 percent of those released from penal institutions are sent back to prison in two
to five years. This return to criminal behavior is called **recidivism**. The relatively high rate of recidivism makes it seem unlikely that prison rehabilitation programs are working (Elikann, 1996; Zamble and Quinsey, 1997). Reasons for the high rate of recidivism include

- the basic nature of the offenders
- influences of more hardened criminals
- the stigma of being an ex-convict.

It is difficult to change attitudes and behavior within the prison subculture. Conformity with the “inmate code” stresses loyalty among inmates as well as opposition to correctional authorities. Also, a released prisoner is likely to bring the toughness reinforced in prison life to the workplace. This transfer of prison norms does not work because most jobs in the service economy require interpersonal skills (Hagan, 1994b).

**What are some alternatives to prisons?** If prisons do not rehabilitate, what are some alternatives? Several are being considered.

1. **A combination of prison and probation.** A mixed or split sentence, known as **shock probation**, is designed to shock offenders into recognizing the realities of prison life. Prisoners serve part of their sentences in an institution and the rest on probation.

2. **Community-based programs.** These programs are designed to reintroduce criminals into society. By getting convicts out of prison for at least part of the day, community-based programs help break the inmate code. At the same time, prisoners have a chance to become part of society—participating in the community but under professional guidance and supervision.

3. **Diversion strategy.** Diversion is aimed at preventing, or greatly reducing, the offender’s involvement in the criminal justice system. Diversion involves a referral to a community-based treatment program rather than a prison or a probationary program. Because offenders are handled outside the formal system of criminal law, authorities believe the offenders will not acquire stigmatizing labels and other liabilities (Morris and Tonry, 1990; Lanier and Henry, 1997).

**Will any of these alternatives work?** Most of the alternative programs have not been sufficiently evaluated to determine how well they work. Continued use of these alternatives will depend on what American voters believe are the appropriate functions of prisons. These programs can exist only so long as rehabilitation has a high priority. Recently, Americans have taken a harsher view toward criminals, so support for alternatives may be eroding.
Figure 7.8 Top Ten Countries in Number of Prisoners. What can you conclude from this table about a possible relationship between level of economic development and crime?

Source: British Home Office Online Research and Development Statistics.

Section 5 Assessment

1. Indicate whether the approaches to punishment listed below are rehabilitation (R), deterrence (D), retribution (Rb), or incarceration (I).
   a. imprisonment without parole
   b. longer prison sentences
   c. extremely harsh prison conditions
   d. psychological counseling in prison
   e. swift justice

2. According to the FBI’s Uniform Crime Reports, has crime in the United States increased or decreased since 1989?

3. Do you believe that the cultural values of American society affect the policies of government regarding approaches to crime control? Why or why not?

4. Has research supported the position that the death penalty deters crime?

Critical Thinking

5. Synthesizing Information The text outlines several distinct approaches to crime control. Choose one approach, and explain why you believe it is or is not successful. Use functionalism, conflict theory, or symbolic interactionism as a reference point.


*Approximate figures for latest year available.
Summary

Section 1: Deviance and Social Control
Main Idea: Deviance is the violation of social norms. It is difficult to define because not everyone agrees on what should be considered deviant behavior.

Section 2: Functionalism and Deviance
Main Idea: According to functionalists, deviance has both negative and positive consequences for society. Functionalism also forms the basis for two important theories of deviance: strain theory and control theory.

Section 3: Symbolic Interactionism and Deviance
Main Idea: The symbolic interactionist perspective yields two theories of deviance. We read in Chapter 3 that culture is learned. Sociologists believe that deviance is a learned behavior that is culturally transmitted. Labeling theory holds that an act is deviant only if other people name it so.

Section 4: Conflict Theory and Deviance
Main Idea: The conflict perspective looks at deviance in terms of social inequality and power. The most powerful members of a society determine who will be regarded as deviant. Conflict theorists point to some disproportional statistical relationships between minorities and crime.

Section 5: Crime and Punishment
Main Idea: Crime statistics in the U.S. come from two major sources: the FBI and the Census Bureau.

Reviewing Vocabulary

Complete each sentence using each term once.

1. The tactic that uses intimidation to prevent crime is called ____________.
2. ____________ is the approach to crime control that attempts to resocialize criminals.
3. ____________ is an undesirable characteristic or label used to deny the deviant acceptance.
4. A violation of social norms is called ____________.
5. ____________ are crimes committed by high-status people in the course of their occupation.
6. ____________ is a theory that states that people are defined by those in power as deviant.
7. The theory that states that deviance exists when there is a gap between culturally desirable goals and means is called ____________.
8. The theory that conformity to social norms depends on a strong bond between individuals and society is known as the ____________.
9. ____________ are rewards or punishments designed to encourage desired behavior.
10. ____________ is a theory that states that deviance is learned in proportion to exposure to deviant acts.
11. When past offenders return to prison, such an occurrence is called ____________.
12. ____________ are ways for promoting conformity to norms.

Self-Check Quiz
Visit the Sociology and You Web site at soc.gLENCOE.com and click on Chapter 7—Self-Check Quizzes to prepare for the chapter test.
13. __________ is the social condition in which norms are weak, conflicting or absent.
14. The major source of American statistics on crime gathered from police departments is known as __________.
15. __________ is the practice in which criminals pay compensation equal to their offenses.

**Reviewing the Facts**

1. In a famous study known as the Minneapolis Domestic Violence Study, sociologists discovered that arresting someone for hitting his wife did not necessarily stop him from hitting her again. What is the name of the theory upon which they based their hypothesis that arrest would stop the behavior?
2. A group of lower-class youths are accused of a crime for behavior that higher-status teens have engaged in without punishment. What sociological term describes this process?
3. When a high school student admits to cheating on a test, this behavior is labeled as primary deviance. Explain why.
4. Give two reasons why the crime statistics reported by the Uniform Crime Reports differ from those statistics reported by the National Crime Victimization Survey. Which report would you consider more reliable and why?
5. What is the strain theory?
6. Robert Merton’s strain theory of deviance is based on four types of responses. Using the chart below, list each response. Then, from the perspective of means and goals explain each response and give an example.

**MERTON’S DEVIANT RESPONSES TO STRAIN**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Innovation</td>
<td>Individual accepts success as a goal but uses illegal means to achieve it.</td>
<td>Shoplifter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Thinking Critically**

1. **Interpreting Information** Use the information in this chapter to explain the following statement: “Deviance, like beauty, is in the eye of the beholder.”

2. **Applying Concepts** There is a chain of restaurants in this country known for the outrageous behavior of its servers. At these restaurants, servers might purposely spill drinks and food on the patrons. Despite this apparently deviant behavior, patrons seem to love the restaurants and recommend them to friends. How do these restaurants, which clearly violate concepts of social control, continue to attract customers?

3. **Making Inferences** If a person is rarely deviant, people come to expect that behavior. If a person is often deviant, people expect that behavior. What do you think happens when people are deviant occasionally? How might unpredictability of behavior be more alarming or disturbing?

4. **Drawing Conclusions** Some states are considering life imprisonment with no chance of parole as an alternative to the death penalty. The states argue that the capital punishment process is more costly than imprisonment over time. Proponents also claim that offenders given lifetime sentences are more likely to develop remorse for their crimes. Do you think this argument has merit? Why or why not?

5. **Evaluating Information** The conflict perspective says that the capitalistic society of the United States—with its emphasis on gaining wealth—is really responsible for crime. Find examples to support or to refute the hypothesis that crime is the result of society’s materialistic values.

6. **Analyzing Information** The chapter case study “Saints and Roughnecks” describes how social class contributed to people’s perceptions of the level of deviance of two groups of boys. Some students complain that there are special groups in their schools (athletes, honor students, and so forth) that never seem to be held responsible for their actions. Is this true of your school? If so, why? If not, what do you credit for the even-handed discipline?
Sociology Projects

1. Random Acts of Kindness and Positive Deviance

Go out of your way to help a stranger (not a friend or family member). You might give someone directions, help someone to carry parcels, or even smile and say a friendly hello. (Important note: Remember to keep safety and sensitivity to others’ feelings in mind when you approach people you don’t know.) Write answers to the following questions to help you evaluate the stranger’s reactions to your act.

a. How do you think the traits of the individual you helped (race, age, gender) affected the situation?

b. Why did you choose your particular act of kindness?

c. How did you feel while performing the random act of kindness?

d. What surprised or impressed you the most about the individual’s reaction?

2. Categorizing Deviance

As you read in the quotation on page 204, in a diverse society such as that of the United States, many groups of people may be categorized as deviant by someone. List the groups named in the quotation on a piece of paper. For each group, assign a number from 1 to 7, with 1 being the most deviant and 7 the least deviant. Afterward, compare your list with those of two or three of your classmates to see if there was any agreement. Discuss possible reasons for major differences.

3. Deviant Crimes

What crimes today do people consider the most severe? Working individually, make a list of the five crimes you consider the most deviant, with the first item on the list the most deviant, the second item the next most deviant, and so forth. Next, assign a punishment for each crime. Does the crime warrant the death penalty? Life imprisonment? After you have completed your list, work with two or three classmates until you agree on a new list. You must reach consensus on the crimes included on the list, their rankings, and the punishment assigned to each. Finally, compare your group’s results with the results of other groups in your class. What have you learned about the difficulty of reaching agreement on this sensitive topic?

4. The Role of the Media

The text discussed how race is an important factor in understanding deviance. Another factor you might wish to consider is the role of the media in shaping our perceptions of crime and criminals. Your task is to collect one crime-related newspaper article per day for one week. Analyze the article for information such as the race, age, gender, and status, of the accused. Also consider the geographic location of the crime. How does the newspaper describe the area where the crime took place? Do you detect any bias in the type of words used to report these incidents?

5. Preparing a News Broadcast

As an extension of the project above (i.e., number 4), imagine yourself as a news anchor on the local news. Choose one of the stories that you have collected. Limiting yourself to one paragraph, prepare your news broadcast using the facts as reported in the newspaper. Now, evaluate your broadcast and write another version that is neutral (i.e., gives no indication of race, gender or age). Which version do you feel the program producer would choose to put on the air? Why?

Technology Activity

1. Using the Internet, your school or local library, find a murder case from the year 1900. Find a similar type of murder case from the year 2000. Consider how each murder was reported and punished (i.e., the type of approach to crime control that was used). Design a database to illustrate similarities and differences between the two deviant acts. What can you conclude about society’s view of deviance at the time the crime was committed?
The Police and the Black Male

by Elijah Anderson

The police, in the Village-Northton neighborhood as elsewhere, represent society's formal, legitimate means of social control. Their role includes protecting law-abiding citizens from those who are not law-abiding by preventing crime and by apprehending likely criminals. Precisely how the police fulfill the public's expectations is strongly related to how they view the neighborhood and the people who live there. On the streets, color-coding often works to confuse race, age, class, gender, incivility, and criminality, and it expresses itself most concretely in the person of the anonymous black male. In doing their job, the police often become willing parties to this general color-coding of the public environment, and related distinctions, particularly those of skin color and gender, come to convey definite meanings. Although such coding may make the work of the police more manageable, it may also fit well with their own presuppositions regarding race and class relations, thus shaping officers' perceptions of crime “in the city.” Moreover, the anonymous black male is usually an ambiguous figure who arouses the utmost caution and is generally considered dangerous until he proves he is not. . . .

To be white is to be seen by the police—at least superficially—as an ally, eligible for consideration and for much more deferential treatment than that accorded to blacks in general. This attitude may be grounded in the backgrounds of the police themselves. Many have grown up in . . . “ethnic” neighborhoods. They may serve what they perceive as their own class and neighborhood interests, which often translates as keeping blacks “in their place”—away from neighborhoods that are socially defined as “white.” In trying to do their job, the police appear to engage in an informal policy of monitoring young black men as a means of controlling crime, and often they seem to go beyond the bounds of duty. . . .

On the streets late at night, the average young black man is suspicious of others he encounters, and he is particularly wary of the police. If he is dressed in the uniform of the “gangster,” such as a black leather jacket, sneakers, and a “gangster cap,” if he is carrying a radio or a suspicious bag (which may be confiscated), or if he is moving too fast or too slow, the police may stop him. As part of the routine, they search him and make him sit in the police car while they run a check to see whether there is a “detainer” on him. If there is nothing, he is allowed to go on his way. After this ordeal the youth is often left afraid, sometimes shaking, and uncertain about the area he had previously taken for granted. He is upset in part because he is painfully aware of how close he has come to being in “big trouble.” He knows of other youths who have gotten into a “world of trouble” simply by being on the streets at the wrong time or when the police were pursuing a criminal. In these circumstances, particularly at night, it is relatively easy for one black man to be mistaken for another. Over the years, while walking through the neighborhood I have on occasion been stopped and questioned by police chasing a mugger, but after explaining myself I was released.
Many youths, however, have reason to fear such mistaken identity or harassment, since they might be jailed, if only for a short time, and would have to post bail money and pay legal fees to extricate themselves from the mess. . . . When law-abiding blacks are ensnared by the criminal justice system, the scenario may proceed as follows. A young man is arbitrarily stopped by the police and questioned. If he cannot effectively negotiate with the officer(s), he may be accused of a crime and arrested. To resolve this situation he needs financial resources, which for him are in short supply. If he does not have money for any attorney, which often happens, he is left to a public defender who may be more interested in going along with the court system than in fighting for a poor black person. Without legal support, he may well wind up “doing time” even if he is innocent of the charges brought against him. The next time he is stopped for questioning he will have a record, which will make detention all the more likely.

Because the young black man is aware of many cases when an “innocent” black person was wrongly accused and detained, he develops an “attitude” toward the police. The street word for police is “the man,” signifying a certain machismo, power, and authority. He becomes concerned when he notices “the man” in the community or when the police focus on him because he is outside his own neighborhood. The youth knows, or soon finds out, that he exists in a legally precarious state. Hence he is motivated to avoid the police, and his public life becomes severely circumscribed. . . .

To avoid encounters with the man, some streetwise young men camouflage themselves, giving up the urban uniform and emblems that identify them as “legitimate” objects of police attention. They may adopt a more conventional presentation of self, wearing chinos, sweat suits, and generally more conservative dress. Some youths have been known to “ditch” a favorite jacket if they see others wearing one like it, because wearing it increases their chances of being mistaken for someone else who may have committed a crime.

But such strategies do not always work over the long run and must be constantly modified. For instance, because so many young ghetto blacks have begun to wear Fila and Adidas sweat suits as status symbols, such dress has become incorporated into the public image generally associated with young black males. These athletic suits, particularly the more expensive and colorful ones, along with high-priced sneakers, have become the leisure dress of successful drug dealers. . . .

Ed. note: This article is based on the author’s field research on two city neighborhoods he calls Village-Northton.


**Read and React**

1. According to the article, what are some consequences to black youth of being arrested, innocent or not?
2. What presuppositions regarding race and class exist in your neighborhood?
3. Do you think color-coding exists in your town or city? Why or why not?
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CHAPTER 8
Social Stratification
Your Sociological Imagination

Jane Smith, aged forty and reeling from a bitter divorce, was discouraged. A serious back injury meant she could no longer work at her nursing aide job. Without a high school diploma, she found that no one was willing to hire her. Reluctantly, she applied for welfare and was enrolled in a program designed to develop job skills. She completed an eighteen-month course and was hired by an engineering firm. After two years, Jane has moved up in the company and now thinks of herself as an intelligent, capable person.

A different type of welfare story involves Mary, the “welfare queen.” Many politicians have used her as a typical example of how the social welfare system is abused. Mary managed to register for government aid under dozens of assumed names and collected thousands of dollars from food stamps and other federally subsidized programs. With this money, she supported her drug and alcohol habits while her children were left cold and underfed.

Which welfare case do you believe is typical? Your answer depends a lot on your social class and such characteristics as age, education, politics, and income. Sociologists know that most Americans seriously overestimate both the amount of welfare fraud and the amount of money spent on welfare. At the same time, negative attitudes about welfare recipients have become part of the American culture. This chapter will look at attitudes and behaviors of different social classes.

Sections

1. Dimensions of Stratification
2. Explanations of Stratification
3. Social Classes in America
4. Poverty in America
5. Social Mobility

Learning Objectives

After reading this chapter, you will be able to

❖ explain the relationship between stratification and social class.
❖ compare and contrast the three dimensions of stratification.
❖ state the differences among the three major perspectives on social stratification.
❖ identify the distinguishing characteristics of the major social classes in America.
❖ describe the measurement and extent of poverty in the United States.
❖ discuss social mobility in the United States.

Chapter Overview

Visit the Sociology and You Web site at soc.glencoe.com and click on Chapter 8—Chapter Overviews to preview chapter information.
Dimensions of Stratification

**Key Terms**
- social stratification
- social class
- bourgeoisie
- proletariat
- income
- wealth
- power
- prestige

**Section Preview**
Stratification is the division of society into classes that have unequal amounts of wealth, power, and prestige. Karl Marx and Max Weber studied these dimensions of stratification in great detail.

**Social Stratification and Social Class**

In one of his best-known children's books, Dr. Seuss writes of the Sneetches, birds whose rank depends on whether or not they have a large star on their stomachs. Star-bellied Sneetches have high status, and plain-bellied Sneetches have low status. In the classic novel *Animal Farm*, George Orwell creates a barnyard society where the pigs ultimately take over the previously classless animal society. The animals' motto changes from “All animals are equal” to “All animals are equal—but some animals are more equal than others.” Both books mock the tendency of humans to form ranks. **Social stratification** is the creation of layers (or strata) of people who possess unequal shares of scarce resources. The most important of these resources are income, wealth, power, and prestige (Levine, 1998).

**How is social stratification related to social class?** Each of the layers in a stratification system is a **social class**—a segment of a population whose members hold similar amounts of scarce resources and share values, norms, and an identifiable lifestyle. The number of social classes in a society varies. Technologically developed countries generally have three broad classes—upper, middle, and lower—subdivided into smaller categories. In some developing countries, there might only be an upper class and a lower class.

Karl Marx and Max Weber made the most significant early contributions to the study of social stratification. (See Chapter 1, pages 16–18 for an introduction to these two pioneers of sociology.) Marx explained the importance of the economic foundations of social classes, while Weber emphasized the prestige and power aspects of stratification.
The Economic Dimension

Marx identified several social classes in nineteenth-century industrial society, including laborers, servants, factory workers, craftspersons, proprietors of small businesses, and moneyed capitalists. He predicted, however, that capitalist societies would ultimately be reduced to two social classes. He thought that those who owned the means of production—the bourgeoisie—would be the rulers. Those who worked for wages—the proletariat—would be the ruled. Marx predicted that because the capitalists owned the means of production (factories, land, and so forth), they would both rule and exploit the working class. The working class would have nothing to sell but its labor.

Poverty and Death

Receiving basic nutrition and medical care is critical to survival in the early years of human life. Because wealth and income have a significant impact on a family’s ability to provide these necessities of life, extreme poverty matters a great deal. This map shows the number of deaths of children less than five years old per 1,000 live births in each country.

Interpreting the Map

1. Do you see a pattern in the death rates for children under five years old? Explain.
2. Why do you think the U.S. ranks higher than some countries in Europe?
3. Imagine you have the job of reducing the world’s death rate among children under age five. What programs would you introduce?

Adapted from The State of the World Atlas, 5th ed.
Marx believed that control of the economy gave the capitalists control over the legal, educational, and government systems as well. For Karl Marx, the economy determined the nature of society.

**Are there extremes of income and poverty in the United States?** In his writings, Marx emphasized the unequal distribution of economic resources. How unequally are these resources distributed in the United States? When discussing this issue, economists often make a distinction between income and wealth. **Income** is the amount of money received within a given time period by an individual or group. **Wealth** refers to all the economic resources possessed by an individual or group. In brief, your income is your paycheck, and your wealth is what you own.

In 1999, over 32 million Americans were living in poverty. (In 2000, the poverty level was set at $17,603 for a family of four.) At the other extreme, there were about 5 million millionaire households and around 260 billionaires in the United States. The economist Paul Samuelson described income inequality in America in these words: “If we made an income pyramid out of a child’s blocks, with each layer portraying $500 of income, the peak would be far higher than Mt. Everest, but most people would be within a few feet of the ground” (Samuelson and Nordhaus, 1995). The truth in Samuelson’s statement is supported by government figures on the distribution of income. In 1999, the richest 20 percent of American households received over 49 percent of the nation’s income. The poorest 20 percent received under 4 percent (U.S. Bureau of the Census).

Income inequality exists and is growing. Figure 8.1 charts percentage changes in after-tax income in the United States over a twenty-two year period. During this period, the income...
of the top 1 percent of the population increased by 115 percent. Compare this to a 9 percent decline for the lowest fifth of the population. How much inequality in wealth exists in the United States?

Income distribution figures reveal economic inequality, but they do not show the full extent of inequality. For that, inequality in wealth (what you own) must be considered. In the United States, there is a high concentration of wealth. The richest 20 percent of the population holds 84 percent of the wealth. The top 1 percent alone has 39 percent of the total wealth in the United States. (See Figure 8.2)

The Power Dimension

You will recall from Chapter 1 that **power** is the ability to control the behavior of others, even against their will. Individuals or groups who possess power are able to use it to enhance their own interests, often—but not necessarily—at the expense of society.

**Can you exercise power without being wealthy?** According to Marx, those who own and control capital have the power in a society. Weber, on the other hand, argued that while having money certainly helps, economic success and power are not the same. Money and ownership of the means of production are not the only resources that can be used as a basis for power. Expert knowledge can be used to expand power, too. For example, many lawyers convert their expertise into substantial amounts of political power. Fame is another basis for power. In 1952, for example, Albert Einstein was offered the presidency of Israel. (He refused, saying, “I know a little about nature, and hardly anything about men.”)

Power is also attached to the social positions we hold. Elected officers in organizations have more power than rank-and-file members. People in top executive positions in the mass media are powerful, even if they themselves do not have great wealth. People who are wealthy and powerful also are assumed to have characteristics they may not have. Not all of these people are as intelligent and wise as is usually assumed. Still, these attributed characteristics help them gain prestige.

---

**Figure 8.2 Shares of Wealth.**

*Is this picture of the distribution of wealth different from what you would expect? Explain.*

Finally, we can overcome a lack of wealth if we have large numbers of people on our side or if we are skillful at organizing our resources. Hitler, for example, was able to turn the problem of limited resources into a mass political movement. He gained absolute power by promising to deliver Germany from economic hardship following World War I.

The Prestige Dimension

A third dimension of social stratification is prestige—recognition, respect, and admiration attached to social positions. Prestige is defined by your culture and society. Honor, admiration, respect, and deference are extended to dons within the Mafia, for example; but outside their own circles Mafia chiefs do not have high prestige.

Popular actors such as Julia Roberts and Will Smith have considerable wealth. Their prestige rating is stronger in some circles than others, however.
Prestige must be voluntarily given, not claimed. Scientists cannot proclaim themselves Nobel Prize winners; journalists cannot award themselves Pulitzer Prizes; and corporate executives cannot grant themselves honorary doctorates. Recognition must come from others.

People with similar levels of prestige share identifiable lifestyles. The offspring of upper-class families are more likely to attend private universities and Episcopalian churches. Children from lower-class homes are less likely to attend college at all and tend to belong to fundamentalist religious groups. In fact, some sociologists view social classes as subcultures because their members participate in distinctive ways of life.

### How is prestige distributed?

The social positions that are considered the most important, or are valued the most highly, have the most prestige. Because Americans value the acquisition of wealth and power, they tend to assign higher prestige to persons in positions of wealth and power.

In America, most people achieve prestige because of their occupations. (See Figure 8.3.) White-collar occupations (doctors, ministers, schoolteachers) have higher prestige than blue-collar jobs (carpenters, plumbers, mechanics). Even though wealth and power usually determine prestige, that is not always the case. You may find it somewhat surprising, for example, that priests and college professors have more prestige than bankers.

---

**Figure 8.3 Prestige Rankings of Selected Occupations in the United States.** Why do you think the highest listed prestige score is 87? What occupations might rate a higher score?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupations</th>
<th>Prestige Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surgeon</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astronaut</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawyer</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College professor</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airline pilot</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dentist</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priest</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineer</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TV anchorwoman</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secret Service agent</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School principal</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical technician</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optometrist</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registered nurse</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school teacher</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air traffic controller</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional athlete</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paramedic</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public grade school teacher</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertising executive</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veterinarian</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police officer</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actor</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journalist</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TV anchorman</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Businessperson</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actress</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursery school teacher</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fashion designer</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firefighter</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airplane mechanic</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial artist</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housewife</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funeral director</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jazz musician</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mail carrier</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance agent</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanic</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disc jockey</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photographer</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plumber</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank teller</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automobile dealer</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep-sea diver</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landlord</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prison guard</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auto mechanic</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roofer</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barber</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales clerk in a store</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus driver</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry cleaner</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waitress</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxicab driver</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Used car salesperson</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill collector</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janitor</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grocery bagger</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street-corner drug dealer</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fortune teller</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panhandler</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

“All wealth is power, so power must infallibly draw wealth to itself by some means or other.”

*Edmund Burke*

*British statesman*
Section 1 Assessment

1. What is social stratification?
2. Match the dimensions of stratifications with the examples below. Use (W) for wealth, (Po) for power, and (Pr) for prestige.
   a. the respect accorded doctors
   b. a politician considering the interests of a lobby
   c. the Nobel Peace Prize
   d. stock market holdings
   e. a Supreme Court ruling
   f. real estate assets
3. The top 20 percent of U.S. households receive approximately what percent of the total income?
4. What are the most common sources of prestige in U.S. society?

Critical Thinking

5. Analyzing Information  Social class level influences the likelihood of gaining political power. Can you analyze the relationship between social class level and political power?
Social rank in Europe in the Middle Ages was reflected, as it is today, in clothing and accessories. The following excerpt describes some of the norms associated with dress and status.

Clothing in medieval Europe served as a kind of uniform, designating status. Lepers were required to wear gray coats and red hats, the skirts of prostitutes had to be scarlet, released heretics carried crosses sewn on both sides of their chests—you were expected to pray as you passed them—and the breast of every Jew, as [required] by law, bore a huge yellow circle.

The rest of society belonged to one of the three great classes: the nobility, the clergy, and the commons. Establishing one’s social identity was important. Each man knew his place, believed it had been [determined] in heaven, and was aware that what he wore must reflect it.

To be sure, certain fashions were shared by all. Styles had changed since Greece and Rome shimm ered in their glory; then garments had been wrapped on; now all classes put them on and fastened them. Most clothing—except the leather gauntlets and leggings of hunters, and the crude animal skins worn by the very poor—was now woven of wool. (Since few Europeans possessed a change of clothes, the same dress was worn daily; as a consequence, skin diseases were astonishingly prevalent.) But there was no mistaking the distinctions between the parson in his vestments; the toiler in his dirty cloth tunic, loose trousers, and heavy boots; and the aristocrat with his jewelry, his haircut, and his extravagant finery. Every knight wore a signet ring, and wearing fur was as much a sign of knighthood as wearing a sword or carrying a falcon. Indeed, in some European states it was illegal for anyone not nobly born to adorn himself with fur.

“Many a petty noble,” wrote historian W. S. Davis, “will cling to his frayed tippet of black lambskin, even in the hottest weather, merely to prove that he is not a villein [a type of serf].”


Thinking It Over

Think about how you and your classmates dress. Identify some ways in which differences in dress reflect social status in your school.
Explanations of Stratification

Section Preview
Each of the three perspectives—functionalism, conflict theory, and symbolic interactionism—explains stratification in society in a different way.

Key Term
- false consciousness

Functionalist Theory of Stratification

According to the functionalists, stratification assures that the most qualified people fill the most important positions, that these qualified people perform their tasks competently, and that they are rewarded for their efforts. The functionalist theory recognizes that inequality exists because certain jobs are more important than others and that these jobs often involve special talent and training. To encourage people to make the sacrifices necessary to fill these jobs (such as acquiring the necessary education), society attaches special monetary rewards and prestige to the positions. That is why, for example, doctors make more money and have more prestige than bus drivers. A higher level of skill is required in the medical profession, and our society’s need for highly qualified doctors is great.

Conflict Theory of Stratification

According to the conflict theory of stratification, inequality exists because some people are willing to exploit others. Stratification, from this perspective, is based on force rather than on people voluntarily agreeing to it. The conflict theory of stratification is based on Marx’s ideas regarding class conflict. For Marx, all of history has been a class struggle between the powerful and the powerless, the exploiters and the exploited. Capitalist society is the final stage of the class struggle. Although the capitalists are outnumbered, they are able to control the workers. This is because the capitalists use a belief system that legitimizes the way things are. For example, the powerful contend that income and wealth are based on ability, hard work, and individual effort. Those who own the means of production are able to spread their ideas, beliefs, and values through the schools, the media, the churches, and the government. (More will be said about how this might happen in the next section.) Marx used the term false consciousness to refer to working-class acceptance of capitalist ideas and values.

How would functionalists explain the different places of these people on the stratification structure?
Later conflict sociologists have proposed that stratification is based more on power than on property ownership. America’s legal system, for example, is used by the wealthy for their benefit, and the political system is skewed toward the interests of the powerful. For followers of the conflict perspective, stratification occurs through the struggle for scarce resources.

**Symbolic Interactionism and Stratification**

Symbolic interactionism helps us understand how people are socialized to accept the existing stratification structure. According to this perspective, American children are taught that a person’s social class is the result of talent and effort. Those “on top” have worked hard and used their abilities, whereas those “on the bottom” lack the talent or the motivation to succeed. Hence, it is not fair to challenge the system. In this way, people come to accept the existing system.

Understandably, people in the lower social classes or social strata tend to suffer from lower self-esteem. How could it be otherwise when messages from all sides tell them they are inferior? Remember that, in the symbolic interactionist view, self-esteem is based on how we think others see us. In other words, the looking-glass process is at work. Those at the top blame the victims; the victims blame themselves. (See pages 116–117 for an explanation of the looking-glass self.)

The reverse is true for the higher classes. Those profiting most from the stratification structure tend to have higher self-esteem. This, in turn, fuels their conviction that the present arrangement is just. In short, people’s self-concepts also help preserve the status quo.

These South Dakotans are protesting the unequal treatment of Native Americans in the criminal justice system. How could the protestors use conflict theory to support their viewpoint?
Section 2 Assessment

1. Identify which of the major perspectives describes the examples below.
   a. Corporate executives make more money because they decide who gets what in their organizations.
   b. Engineers make more money than butlers because of their education.
   c. Poor children tend to have low self-esteem.

2. How did Marx explain the stratification of society?

3. According to the symbolic interactionists, people are socialized to accept the existing stratification structure through _____.
   a. the “I”
   b. evolution
   c. conflict
   d. the self-concept

Critical Thinking

4. Making Comparisons Compare and contrast the explanations given by functionalism, conflict theory, and symbolic interactionism for the existence of poor people in the United States.
Field Research: Who’s Popular, Who’s Not?

In 1995, sociologist Donna Eder and her research team studied popularity among middle-schoolers. They observed lunchtime interactions and attended extracurricular activities. After several months of observation, informal interviews were conducted with individuals and groups. To capture interaction for closer study, the researchers received student and parental permission for audio and video recordings.

Eder and her colleagues found that in the sixth grade, there were no elite groups. Seventh and eighth graders, however, did not see each other as equals; popular seventh graders were divided along gender lines. By the eighth grade, the two groups intermingled. In both grades, popularity was based on how many others knew who you were and wanted to talk with you.

Status differences could arise in the seventh and eighth grades because cheerleading and team sports existed as a way to become highly visible. Realizing the source of their prestige, male athletes took every opportunity to display symbols of their team affiliation. Team uniforms, jerseys, and athletic shoes were among the most important items of dress. Bandages, casts, and crutches were worn with pride.

Girls could not use sports to gain visibility because female athletics were not as valued by faculty, administrators, or students. Girls, therefore, used cheerleading to make themselves widely known. In addition to performing at basketball and football games, cheerleaders appeared in front of the entire student body at pep rallies and other school events.

Boys made fun of this high-status female activity by mockingly imitating cheers. One male coach joined the mockery by telling football players that either they must practice harder or he would get them cheerleading skirts. He then pretended to cheer in a falsetto voice.

Girls, in contrast, regarded cheerleaders highly. Popular girls in the seventh and eighth grades were either cheerleaders or friends of cheerleaders. Flaunting their status (just as the male athletes did), cheerleaders put on their uniforms as far ahead of games as possible and wore their cheerleading skirts for extracurricular school activities.

Working with the Research

Which of the three major theoretical perspectives best explains the stratification structure described in this feature? Give reasons for your choice.
Americans have always been aware of inequality, but they have never developed a sense of class consciousness—a sense of identification with the goals and interests of the members of a particular social class. In part because the American public has shown relatively little interest in class differences, sociologists began to investigate inequality rather late. It was not until the 1920s that sociologists in the United States began systematically to identify social classes. Since that time, however, research on this subject has been plentiful. Early efforts to study stratification were mostly case studies of specific communities. Only in relatively recent times have attempts been made to describe the stratification structure of America as a whole.

Since social classes are changeable and full of exceptions, any attempt to identify the social-class structure of American society is hazardous. Nevertheless, sociologists have described some of the major classifications. (See Figure 8.5.)

### Typical Occupations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Typical Occupations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Upper Class</strong></td>
<td>Investors, heirs, chief executive officers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Upper Middle Class</strong></td>
<td>Upper-level managers, professionals, owners of medium-sized businesses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Middle Class</strong></td>
<td>Lower-level managers, semiprofessionals, craftspeople, foremen, non-retail salespeople, clerical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Working Class</strong></td>
<td>Low-skill manual, clerical, and retail sales workers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Working Poor</strong></td>
<td>Lowest-paid manual, retail, and service workers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Underclass</strong></td>
<td>Unemployed people, people in part-time menial jobs, people receiving public assistance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Typical Incomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Typical Incomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Upper Class</strong></td>
<td>$1.5 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Upper Middle Class</strong></td>
<td>$80,000+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Middle Class</strong></td>
<td>$45,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Working Class</strong></td>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Working Poor</strong></td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Underclass</strong></td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Adapted from Dennis Gilbert, *The American Class Structure*, 1998.
The Upper Class

The upper class includes only 1 percent of the population (Gilbert, 1998) and may be divided into the upper-upper class and the lower-upper class. At the top is the “aristocracy.” Its members represent the old-money families whose names appear in high society—Ford, Rockefeller, Vanderbilt, and du Pont, among others. The basis for membership in this most elite of clubs is blood rather than sweat and tears. Parents in this class send their children to the best private schools and universities. People in this group seldom marry outside their class.

People are in the lower-upper class more often because of achievement and earned income than because of birth and inherited wealth. Some have made fortunes running large corporations or investing in the stock market. Members of this class may actually be better off financially than members of the upper-upper class. However, they often are not accepted into the most exclusive social circles.

The Middle Classes

Most Americans think of themselves as middle class. In reality, though, only about 40 to 50 percent of Americans fit this description. And most of these people are not in the upper-middle class.

The upper-middle class (14 percent of the population) is composed of those who have been successful in business, the professions, politics, and the military. Basically, this class is made up of individuals and families who benefited...
from the tremendous corporate and professional expansion following World War II. Members of this class earn enough to live well and to save money. They are typically college educated and have high educational and career goals for their children. They do not have national or international power, but they tend to be active in voluntary and political organizations in their communities.

The middle-middle class (30 percent of the population) is a very mixed bag. Its members include owners of small businesses and farms; independent professionals (small-town doctors and lawyers); other professionals (clergy, teachers, nurses, firefighters, social workers, police officers); lower-level managers; and some sales and clerical workers. Their income level, which is at about the national average ($21,181 in 1999), does not permit them to live as well as the upper-middle class. Many have only a high school education, although many have some college, and some have college degrees. Members of this class are interested in civic affairs. They participate in political activities less than the classes above them but more than either the working class or the lower class.

The Working Class

The working class (often referred to as the lower-middle class) comprises almost one-third of the population. Working class people include roofers, delivery truck drivers, machine operators, and salespeople and clerical workers (Rubin, 1994). Although some of these workers may earn more than some middle-class people, in general the economic resources of the working class are lower than those of the middle class.

Members of the working class have below-average income and unstable employment. They generally lack hospital insurance and retirement benefits. The threat of unemployment or illness is real and haunting. Outside of union activities, members of the working class have little opportunity to exercise power or participate in organizations. Members of the working class—even those with higher incomes—are not likely to enter the middle class.

The Working Poor

The working poor (13 percent of the population) consists of people employed in low-skill jobs with the lowest pay. Its members are typically the lowest-level clerical workers, manual workers (laborers), and service workers (fast-food servers). Lacking steady employment, the working poor do not earn enough to rise above the poverty line ($17,603 for a family of four in 2000). The working poor tend not to belong to organizations or to participate in the political process. (See also Enrichment Reading: No Shame in My Game on page 460 in Chapter 13.)

The Underclass

The underclass (12 percent of the population) is composed of people who are typically unemployed and who come from families that have been poor for generations.
to a lack of education and skills, many members of the underclass have other problems. Physical or mental disabilities are common, and many are single mothers with little or no income.

The most common shared characteristic of the working poor and the underclass is a lack of skills to obtain jobs that pay enough to meet basic needs. There are many routes into these classes—birth, old age, loss of a marriage partner, lack of education or training, alcoholism, physical or mental disability. There are, however, very few paths out. Poverty in the United States, another way to discuss the working poor and the underclass, is the topic of the next section.

**Section 3 Assessment**

1. Statistically, out of 500 people, how many would belong to the upper class?
2. What is a major distinction between members of the upper-middle and the middle-middle classes?
3. Which class is the largest segment of society?

**Critical Thinking**

4. **Summarizing Information** Chapter 5 discussed the concept of status. How does ascribed status relate to social class? How does achieved status relate to social class?
Interpreting the Map

1. Explain the definitions of the four income groups.
2. Identify two countries within each of the four income categories.
3. Why do you think the U.S. is one of the few countries to fall within the high-income category?

Poverty in America

Key Terms

• absolute poverty
• relative poverty
• feminization of poverty

Measuring Poverty

Absolute poverty is the absence of enough money to secure life’s necessities—enough food, a safe place to live, and so forth. It is possible, however, to have the things required to remain alive and still be poor. We measure relative poverty by comparing the economic condition of those at the bottom of a society with the economic conditions of other members of that society. According to this measure, the definition of poverty can vary. It would not, for example, be the same in India as in the United States.

How is poverty measured in the United States? Historically, the United States government has measured poverty by setting an annual income level and considering people poor if their income is below that level. As noted earlier, in 2000 that figure was $17,603 for a family of four.

How many Americans are poor? Poverty is widespread throughout the United States. According to 2000 U.S. Census Bureau reports, the poor comprise 11.8 percent of the American population, or more than 32.2 million people. Great poverty existed when it became a national political and social issue in the 1960s. Forty years later, poverty in America is still a problem (Newman, 1999). (See Figure 8.6 on page 260.)
Identifying the Poor

Minorities, female-headed households, children under eighteen years of age, elderly people, people with disabilities, and people who live alone or with nonrelatives make up the most disadvantaged groups in the United States.

How are race and ethnicity related to poverty? About 47 percent of the poor in America today are non-Latino white. The poverty rate for African Americans and Latinos is much higher than that for whites, however. The poverty rate for whites is 7.5 percent; for African Americans and Latinos about 23 percent. African Americans and Latinos together account for only about one-fourth of the total population, but they make up nearly half of the poor population. (See Figure 8.7.)

How are gender and age related to poverty? Another large segment of the poor population is made up of female-headed households. We can look at this issue in two different ways. We can look at all poor households as a group and determine what proportion of them are headed by females. When we do this, we find that nearly one-half of poor households are female headed. In contrast, when we look at nonpoor households, we find that only about 14 percent are headed by females. Another approach would be to look at all female-headed households as a group and determine what proportion of them are poor. We find that the poverty rate for these households is about 25 percent, compared with just under 10 percent for all families.
By either measure, then, households headed by females are poorer than those headed by males. A related factor is the poverty rate for children under six years of age. The current rate for this group is about 22 percent—the highest rate for any age group in the United States (U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1999a). The high poverty rates for women and children reflect a trend in U.S. society. Between 1960 and today, women and children make up a larger proportion of the poor. Sociologists refer to this trend as the feminization of poverty (The State of America’s Children, 1998).

There are several reasons why women have a higher risk of being poor. As we discuss in more detail in Chapter 10 (see pages 323–324), women earn only about $0.72 for every dollar earned by men. Women with children find it more difficult to find and keep regular, long-term employment. A lack of good child-care facilities adds to the likelihood that they will not be able to continue working.

Older Americans account for another large segment of the poor. About 9 percent of people aged sixty-five or older live in poverty (U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000b). Another large segment of the poor are people with disabilities—those who are blind, deaf, or otherwise disabled. This group accounts for some 12 percent of America’s poor. Finally, more than one out of every four poor persons lives either alone or with nonrelatives.

**Interpreting the Map**

1. Can you make any generalization about poverty from this map?
2. If you were the governor of your state, what would your platform on poverty be? Be specific.


By either measure, then, households headed by females are poorer than those headed by males. A related factor is the poverty rate for children under six years of age. The current rate for this group is about 22 percent—the highest rate for any age group in the United States (U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1999a). The high poverty rates for women and children reflect a trend in U.S. society. Between 1960 and today, women and children make up a larger proportion of the poor. Sociologists refer to this trend as the feminization of poverty (The State of America’s Children, 1998).

There are several reasons why women have a higher risk of being poor. As we discuss in more detail in Chapter 10 (see pages 323–324), women earn only about $0.72 for every dollar earned by men. Women with children find it more difficult to find and keep regular, long-term employment. A lack of good child-care facilities adds to the likelihood that they will not be able to continue working.

Older Americans account for another large segment of the poor. About 9 percent of people aged sixty-five or older live in poverty (U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000b). Another large segment of the poor are people with disabilities—those who are blind, deaf, or otherwise disabled. This group accounts for some 12 percent of America’s poor. Finally, more than one out of every four poor persons lives either alone or with nonrelatives.

**Interpreting the Map**

1. Can you make any generalization about poverty from this map?
2. If you were the governor of your state, what would your platform on poverty be? Be specific.

Responses to the Problem of Poverty

Before the mid-1960s, fighting poverty was not a major goal of the federal government. Some programs, such as Social Security and Aid to Families with Dependent Children, had been enacted during the Great Depression. These measures did not usually reach the lowest levels of needy citizens, however. Finally in 1964, President Lyndon Johnson marshalled the forces of the federal government to begin a War on Poverty.

What were the goals of the War on Poverty? The philosophy behind the War on Poverty was to help poor people help themselves (Patterson, 1986; Jacoby, 1997; Barry, 1999). President Johnson’s predecessor, President John F. Kennedy, believed that if the chains of poverty were to be broken, it had to be through self-improvement, not temporary relief. Accordingly, almost 60 percent of the first poverty budget was earmarked for youth opportunity programs and the work experience program (work and job training designed primarily for welfare recipients and unemployed fathers).

Hopes for positive results from the War on Poverty were high. However, not all of the programs were as successful as predicted. Indeed, some have come under severe criticism. These criticisms center around supposed widespread abuses and the fear that the system encourages people to become dependent upon the government longer than is necessary. “Fixing” the way social welfare should be provided and payments should be distributed has been the focus of many hot political debates.

Welfare Reform

In 1999, actual spending for education, training, employment, and social services was $56 billion, or 3 percent of total U.S. government expenditures. Payments for Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) was less than 1 percent of the federal budget.
What is the nature of welfare reform? The most recent legislation on welfare reform, enacted in 1996, limits the amount of time those able to work can receive welfare payments. The bill has three major elements: it reduces welfare spending, it increases state and local power to oversee welfare rules, and it adds new restrictions on welfare eligibility. For example, benefits to children of unwed teenage mothers are denied unless the mothers remain in school and live with an adult. Cash aid to able-bodied adults will be terminated if they fail to get a job after two years.

Has welfare reform worked? It is too early to give a final evaluation of this latest attempt at welfare reform. But a recent major study indicates that the welfare rolls have decreased more dramatically than most predicted (Loprest, 1999). Just over seven million people were on welfare in 1999, down from over twelve million in 1996 when the welfare bill was signed. Well over half of those leaving the welfare rolls report finding jobs. Only a small percentage of recipients have been removed from the rolls because of the new time limits on benefits.

There is a darker side, however. Most of those leaving the rolls since 1996 hold entry-level jobs—in restaurants, cleaning services, and retail stores—earning less than $7 per hour. Despite extraordinary national economic prosperity, most of those leaving public assistance are at the bottom of the economy with little hope of advancing. One-fourth work at night, and over half report child-care problems. Most have jobs without health insurance. A substantial minority report a food shortage and difficulty paying rent. In short, many of those leaving welfare still live in poverty. The true test of the success of welfare reform will come in a few years when the economy weakens, when we get down to the harder cases still on the rolls, and when the last time limits take effect for the more difficult cases (Rosin and Harris, 1999).

Section 4 Assessment

1. Discuss the difference between absolute and relative measures of poverty.

2. Which of the following is not one of the major categories of poor people in the United States?
   a. children under age eighteen
   b. able-bodied men who refuse to work
   c. elderly people
   d. people with disabilities
   e. people who live alone or with nonrelatives

3. Do government welfare programs affect the poor’s decision to work? Explain.

Critical Thinking

4. Understanding Cause and Effect Describe the feminization of poverty. How does this trend affect the motivation to have children?
During the last century, when mass production changed the way goods were produced, a favorite adage of businesspeople was “Time is money.” In today’s service economy (where most people are not producing a tangible product), information is money. Children from disadvantaged families have far less access (both at school and at home) to information technology, such as computers and the Internet, than children in wealthier families. This puts them at a disadvantage in competition for grades and in the job market.

Because of this situation, educators are designing special school-based programs to provide computers in low-income schools and to train teachers in those schools to use them. Harlem-based “Playing to Win” is one of these programs. This computer center offers classes and workshops to nearly four hundred people per week. It also provides assistance to other community groups that want to set up their own computer centers (George et al., 1993).

Another successful program is “Street-Level Youth Media” in Chicago’s inner city. Street-Level’s mission is to educate disadvantaged young people about new technologies. Street-Level began by asking inner-city youths to make videos about their everyday lives on the streets of Chicago. These videos helped residents to see the youths as real human beings trapped in desperate, life-threatening situations. Street-Level continues to work with youths who have been rejected by mainstream society, helping them find solutions to their problems, strengthen their communities, and achieve economic success. With revenue earned from providing technical support to local businesses, Street-Level pays over $70,000 in salaries to young people (Street-Level, 1999).

**Analyzing the Trends**

Do you think the rise of computer technology is affecting the social stratification structure in America? Do you think these computer-training programs can seriously affect the cultural values and subsequent economic behavior of those who participate in them? Why or why not?

*Computer training is the gateway to success in the information-based economy. Students in these classrooms will have an advantage in the job market because of their computer-based skills.*
Types of Social Mobility

Mobility is the ability to move; social mobility is the movement of people between social classes.

What are the types of social mobility? Social mobility can be horizontal or vertical. Horizontal mobility involves changing from one occupation to another at the same social class level, as when an Army captain becomes a public school teacher, a minister becomes a psychologist, or a restaurant server becomes a taxi driver. Because horizontal mobility involves no real change in occupational status or social class, sociologists are not generally interested in investigating it. Vertical mobility, however, is another story.

With vertical mobility, a person’s occupational status or social class moves upward or downward. When the change takes place over a generation, it is called intergenerational mobility. If a plumber’s daughter becomes a physician, upward intergenerational mobility has occurred. If a lawyer’s son becomes a carpenter, downward intergenerational mobility has occurred.
The extent of vertical mobility varies from society to society. Some societies have considerable mobility; others have little or none. This is the major difference between *caste* (or closed-class) system and *open-class systems*.

**What is a caste system?** In a *caste system*, there is no social mobility because social status is inherited and cannot be changed. In a caste system, statuses (including occupations) are ascribed or assigned at birth. Individuals cannot change their statuses through any efforts of their own. By reason of religious, biological, superstitious, or legal justification, those in one caste are allowed to marry only within their own caste and must limit relationships of all types with those below and above them in the stratification structure. Apartheid, as practiced in South Africa before the election of Nelson Mandela, was a caste system based on race.

The caste system in India is one based on occupation and the Hindu religion. It is as complex as it is rigid. In it are four primary caste categories, ranked according to their degree of religious purity. The Brahmin, the top caste, is composed of priests and scholars. Next comes the Kshatriyas, including professional, governing, and military occupations. Merchants and businessmen form the third caste, called the Vaisyas. Finally, there is the Sudra caste, containing farmers, menial workers, and craftsmen. Actually, there is a fifth category called the “untouchables.” This group of Indians are thought to be so impure that any physical contact contaminates the religious purity of all other caste members. They are so low on the scale that they are not even considered to be part of the caste system. They are given the dirty, degrading tasks, such as collecting trash and handling dead bodies.

**How is the caste system kept intact?** Traditional rules exist in India to prevent movement into a higher caste. Members of different castes are not permitted to eat together, and higher-caste people will hardly accept anything to eat or drink from lower-caste persons. Untouchables, who must live...
apart from everyone else, cannot even drink water from the wells used by higher castes. Although the long-standing legal prohibition against dating or marrying someone in a higher caste no longer exists, such crossings are still extremely rare. Most important, the caste system is maintained as a result of the power of the higher castes, who use their political clout, wealth, and prestige to prevent change.

**What is an open-class system?** In an open-class system, an individual’s social class is based on merit and individual effort. Individuals move up and down the stratification structure as their abilities, education, and resources permit. Most people in the United States believe they live in an open-class system. In reality, the opportunity for upward mobility is sometimes denied individuals or groups in America today. For example, because of race or ethnicity, some members of minority groups, such as African Americans, Native Americans, and Latinos, have been denied opportunities for social mobility. Therefore, because it imposes some limitations on upward mobility, U.S. society cannot be considered truly and completely open. It is, however, a relatively open-class system.

### Upward and Downward Mobility

Few places in the world provide the opportunities for advancement that are available in the United States. Nevertheless, countless Americans fail to be upwardly mobile, despite their talents and dedication to work. This is hard for many people to accept because American tradition—both historical and fictional—is filled with examples of upward mobility. Earlier generations have been raised on the “rags to riches” Horatio Alger stories. In these books, a young, down-on-his-luck boy “makes good” through honesty, pluck, and diligence. The lesson to be learned is that the only thing standing between any American citizen and success is talent, a willingness to work, and perseverance. Teachers point to political leaders such as Abraham Lincoln and to early business leaders such as Cornelius Vanderbilt, John D. Rockefeller, and Henry Ford to support the idea of unlimited mobility in American society. These men, in reality, are exceptions to the rule. While considerable upward mobility has occurred, great leaps in social-class level are rare (Gilbert, 1998). Upward mobility typically involves only a small improvement over the social class situation of one’s parents.

**Is upward mobility increasing?** After World War II, an explosion in the availability of high-paying manufacturing jobs made it relatively easy for people to move upward. Americans came to expect that their children would have more than they had, but this may not be the case for future generations. This change is the result of new technology and the globalization of business. With computer-driven production, improved means of communication, and better transportation, it is possible for U.S. companies seeking to lower their costs to move their manufacturing operations overseas. And they are doing so often. As a result, high-paying U.S. manufacturing jobs are being transferred to lower-paid foreign workers. U.S. workers, then, who lack the
education needed to perform the more technologically sophisticated jobs are being forced to take lower-paying jobs. Compared to their parents, more U.S. workers are experiencing downward mobility (Newman, 1999).

What are the social and psychological costs of downward mobility?
In *Falling from Grace*, sociologist Katherine Newman (1999) describes America’s enduring belief in the rewards of hard work. This belief, she fears, prevents recognition of a major problem: downward mobility for many middle-class people. And, she argues, the consequences are enormous for people in a society that measures self-worth by occupational status. Downwardly mobile people experience lowered self-esteem, despair, depression, feelings of powerlessness, and a loss of a sense of honor.

**Section 5 Assessment**

1. What is social mobility?
2. Match the major types of social mobility with the examples. Use (IM) for intergenerational mobility, (VM) for vertical mobility, and (HM) for horizontal mobility.
   a. a restaurant waiter becomes a taxi driver
   b. an auto worker becomes a manager
   c. the daughter of a hairdresser becomes a college professor
3. How do you think that the cultural values associated with a caste and an open-class system differently affect economic behavior?
4. Why is the United States not a completely open-class system?

**Critical Thinking**

5. Analyzing Information Analyze the social mobility that has occurred in your family for the last two generations (or more, if you prefer). Use sociological concepts in your analysis.
Reviewing Vocabulary

Complete each sentence using each term once.

a. social stratification
b. feminization of poverty
c. social class
d. social mobility
e. bourgeoisie
f. vertical mobility
g. proletariat
h. intergenerational mobility
i. wealth
j. horizontal mobility
k. income
l. open-class system
m. prestige
n. absolute poverty
o. relative poverty
p. caste system

1. A class system with no social mobility is called 
   ____________.
2. ____________ is movement among social classes based on merit and individual effort.
3. ____________ is upward or downward mobility based on occupational status.
4. The changing from one occupation to another at the same general status level is known as 
   ____________.
5. ____________ is the movement of individuals or groups within social classes.
6. The trend involving an increase in the number of women and children living in poverty is called 
   ____________.
7. The recognition, respect, and admiration attached to social positions are known as 
   ____________.
8. ____________ is the amount of money received by an individual or group.
9. The economic resources possessed by an individual or group is called 
   ____________.
10. ____________ is the name given to those who are ruled; the worker class.
11. ____________ is the name given to rulers; or those who own the means of production.
12. The measure that compares the economic condition of those at the bottom of society with
the economic conditions of others is called _____________.

13. The creation of layers, or strata, of people who possess unequal shares of scarce resources is called _____________.

14. ____________ is the absence of enough money to secure life’s necessities.

15. A segment of the population whose members hold similar amounts of resources and share values, norms, and an identifiable lifestyle is called _____________.

16. The mobility that occurs from one generation to the next is known as _____________.

Reviewing the Facts

1. Examine the graph in Figure 8.7 on page 260 of your text. The graph illustrates that just over 46 percent of all poor people in the United States are white, while only 12% of the population is poor. What can you conclude from the graph about the representation of white people in terms of the total population of poor people?

2. According to Figure 8.8 on page 263, where does the federal government spend the largest share of the federal budget?

3. Describe false consciousness.

4. Explain how a sociologist determines relative poverty.

5. A man who has worked at a factory for twenty years loses his job because of layoffs. After several months, he ends up homeless. What type of social mobility is illustrated in this scenario?

6. Bill Gates has an estimated net worth of $90 billion. How would sociologists label Gates in terms of social class?

Thinking Critically

1. Analyzing Information As implied in “Using Your Sociological Imagination” on page 241, attitudes about welfare spending are partially shaped by politicians and the media. Why do you think the media portray welfare spending as such a serious problem when it represents such a small portion of federal spending? Why do Americans seem to complain less about the money spent on military or science projects?

2. Applying Concepts At least a hundred members of Congress are millionaires, which suggests that power and wealth do go hand in hand. Why is it unlikely that a poor person would become a member of Congress? Why do many poor people not participate in voting and political parties? What implications does this have for democratic government?

3. Interpreting Graphs In Figure 8.3, “Prestige Rankings of Selected Occupations in the United States,” surgeons are rated as having the most prestigious job. In your view, what jobs on this list are essential? What jobs could society do without? Are there high-prestige jobs that are really not essential? What does this say about prestige rankings?

Create a diagram similar to the one below to record your answer.

**JOBS—ESSENTIAL AND NOT ESSENTIAL TO SOCIETY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Essential</th>
<th>Prestige Rank</th>
<th>Not Essential</th>
<th>Prestige Rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surgeon</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>Disc Jockey</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Analyzing Information Herbert Gans (1971), a noted sociologist, has written about the functions of poverty. He says that poverty serves many useful purposes in society. For example, the poor act as dishwashers, maids, and parking attendants. What are some other ways in which poverty might benefit society? What are some conflicts that poverty causes?

5. Summarizing Information Can you describe the cultural values underlying the federal government’s philosophy in the War on Poverty in the 1960s?

6. Making Inferences The sinking of the luxury liner Titanic offers some insights into social class. Among first-class passengers, only 3 percent of the women died, and none of the children died. Among third-class passengers, 45 percent of the women died, and 70 percent of
the children died. In all, 76 percent of the third-class passengers died, compared with 40 percent of the first-class passengers. What implications would you draw from these numbers? Is it important to know that the third-class passengers were restricted to the lower decks and thus farther away from the lifeboats?

**Sociology Projects**

1. **Understanding Disadvantaged Families** This activity may provide some insight into the difficulties faced by disadvantaged families every day. Work on the task with three or four of your classmates. Tear a sheet of paper into six pieces. On each piece, write one of the following: health care, education for my children, car maintenance, food, and housing. Now, imagine that because of an unexpected financial setback, you do not have enough money to take care of all these necessities and will need to eliminate one. Reach consensus to decide which category to eliminate.

2. **Researching Employment** Using the employment section from your local newspaper, look for job ads in the following categories: jobs that require postgraduate degrees (highly skilled), jobs that require college or special training, and unskilled jobs. Which category has the most jobs available? What assumptions could you make about the job market based on analyzing these ads? What factors might influence how and where employers advertise certain kinds of jobs?

3. **Perception and Reality** One of the themes of sociology is the difference between perception and reality. Write down five perceptions that you have heard people say about others based on their social class. Next to each, describe the reality based on information in this text or additional research. If not sure, write “unknown—needs further research.” For example, a common perception of wealthy people is that they consider themselves superior to other people (snobbery). The reality is that no one has ever found a correlation between how much money you have and how nice you are.

4. **Social Class** From magazines and newspapers, cut out as many pictures as you can find of different classes to make a montage. Label or circle traits that led you to determine that a person was in a particular class. (For example, the person may be driving a luxury car or working with hand tools.)

**Technology Activity**

   a. What is the Young Child Poverty Rate (YCPR) in the United States?
   b. How does the YCPR in the United States compare to that of other industrialized Western nations?
   c. Now click on “Child Poverty Facts” and select “Young Child Poverty in the States—Wide Variation and Significant Change.” Scroll down to the map of the United States. How does your state compare to the other states?
   d. Now scroll further down the page to the table entitled “Change in the percentage and number of children under age six in poverty, by state, 1979–1983 to 1992–1996.” What is your state’s most recent YCPR? Has the percentage increased or decreased from the earlier YCPR?
   e. Go back to the “Child Poverty Facts” page and select “Poverty and Brain Development in Early Childhood.” According to this page, when is the period for a child’s optimal brain development? What are some of the pathways through which a child in poverty is put at risk for poor brain development? How do you think poverty affects these pathways?
On the street or in a shelter, homelessness is hard living. . . . How do they manage to slog through day after day, with no end in sight? How, in a world of unremitting grimness, do they manage to laugh, love, enjoy friends, even dance and play the fool? How, in short, do they stay fully human while body and soul are under continuous and grievous assault?

Simple physical survival is within the grasp of almost everyone willing and able to reach out for it. As the women thrash about, awash in a sea of need, emergency shelters, along with public assistance in the form of cash, food stamps, and medical assistance, make it just possible for many of the women to keep their heads above water. Through the use of shelters, soup kitchens, and hospital emergency rooms, it is even possible for most homeless people who do not get public assistance to survive at some minimal level without benefit of a structured assistance program.

At their very best, however, these bare-boned elements of a life-support system merely make life possible, not necessarily tolerable or livable. Serious problems remain. Homelessness can transform what for others are little things into insurmountable hurdles. Indeed, homelessness in general puts a premium on “little things.” Just as some homeless women seem to have learned (more than most of us, perhaps) to value a small gesture of friendship, a nice day, a bus token, or a little courtesy that others might take for granted or not notice at all, so too can events or circumstances that would be trivial irritants to others approach catastrophic proportions for the homeless person.

For homeless women on the street, the struggle for subsistence begins at the animal level—for food, water, shelter, security, and safe sleep. In contrast, homeless women in shelters usually have these things; their struggle begins at the level of human rather than animal needs—protection of one’s property, health care, and avoidance of boredom. The struggle then moves rapidly to the search for companionship, modest measures of independence, dignity, and self-respect, and some hope and faith in the future. . . .

For some of the women, day-by-day hardships begin with the problem of getting enough sleep. A few women complained they could never get any sleep in a shelter. Grace was one of them. “There’s no getting sleep in a shelter,” she said. “Only rest. . . .”

There was indeed much night noise and movement. There was snoring, coughing, sneezing, wheezing, retching, . . . cries from bad dreams, occasional weeping or seizures, talking aloud to oneself or to someone else who may or may not have been present, and always movement to and from the bathroom. Grace was complaining about noise, and she found a partial remedy in ear plugs. But ear plugs could not help those women like Kathleen who were kept awake not by noise but by questions: Is this for me? How did I end up here? How will I get out? But eventually, as the night wore on, there was a lot of snoring, and that meant that, Grace and Kathleen notwithstanding, there was a lot of sleeping, too.

Having to get up at 5:30 A.M., and be out of the shelter by 7:00 was a major hardship of shelter life. It was not simply the fact of having to get up
and out, but rather that the women had to do this every day of the week, every day of the year (Thanksgiving and Christmas Day excepted), no matter what the weather or how they felt. On any given morning, as the women drifted onto the street, one might see two or three ailing women—this one with a fever or cough or a headache, that one with a limp or stomach ache or other ailment—pick up their bags and walk silently into the weather. . . .

Along with **perennial** fatigue, boredom was one of the great trials of homelessness. Killing time was not a major problem for everyone but it was high on most women's lists of hardships. Betty could have been speaking for most of them when she talked about the problem. On a social visit to the state psychiatric hospital where, four years earlier, she had been an inpatient in an alcoholic program, Betty sought out a nurse named Lou. They embraced and Lou asked Betty what she was doing these days. Betty said she was living in a shelter. Lou said that was a shame, and asked Betty how she spent her time.

“I walk the streets,” said Betty. “Twelve hours and 15 minutes a day, every day, I walk the streets. Is that what I got sober for? To walk the streets?” Betty went on to say that she sits on a lot of park benches looking for someone to talk to. Many times there is no one, so she talks to the birds. She and the birds have done a lot of talking in her day, she said. . . .

Some of the women with jobs also had trouble killing time. Like the others, Grace had to leave the shelter by 7:00 A.M. but she couldn’t report to work much before 9:00, and her job was less than a 10-minute drive away. “Have you ever tried to kill two hours in the morning, every morning, with nowhere to go and nothing to do?” she asked. “I have some tapes I can listen to in the car—some Christmas carols and some Bible readings. But two hours? Every day?”

. . . It is all too easy to think of homeless people as having few or no possessions . . . , but one of the major and most talked-about problems was storage—how to keep one’s clothing, essential documents, and other belongings secure and **accessible**. . . . Stealing was believed to be common: “You’ve got to expect these things in shelters” was heard from staff and women alike. The end result was that many homeless women who would have left their belongings behind had they had a safe place to store them were forced to take most of their belongings with them. Some wore them in layers. Others carried them. They had become, in short, bag ladies.

During a discussion of Luther Place, one of the best-run shelters in downtown Washington, one of the women said Luther Place was OK but she didn’t like the women there—they were all bag ladies. One of the other women objected that the women at Luther Place were no different from women in other shelters. They were bag ladies, she said, because Luther Place had no storage space. . . .

Past and future . . . and even one’s self were **embedded** in one’s belongings. When Louise could no longer pay for storage and lost her belongings to auction, she was surprised at her own reaction to the loss. Her belongings had been so much a part of her, she said, that now that she’s lost them, she’s not sure who she is.


**Read and React**

1. What are the two major problems related to homelessness discussed in this writing?
2. What attitude or belief about the homeless that you had before reading this article has been changed? If none, what did you learn that you didn’t know before?
CHAPTER 9

Inequalities of Race and Ethnicity
The Four Americas is a report published by a major think tank, a national newspaper, and a prestigious university (Brodie, 1995). These organizations used an extensive national survey to investigate race in the United States. The survey asked people to respond to such questions as “Do you think the average African American is better off, worse off, or as well off as the average white person in terms of jobs, education, housing, and health care?”

Most Asians and Latinos answered that African Americans are doing less well than whites. But most whites thought blacks were doing about equally well.

The evidence shows that the average income of African American households is considerably less than that of white households. Moreover, at each level of education—the gateway to good jobs—African American males earn less than white males. On average, for example, white high school graduates can expect to earn annually nearly as much as African American college graduates with associate degrees. The report concluded that while most minorities understand each other’s real-life difficulties, “whites stand alone in their misperceptions of the problems facing minorities in America today.”

Whites, of course, are not the only group of people who would benefit from a better understanding of the issues facing all Americans. This chapter will take a close look at how race and ethnicity have affected the ability of people to achieve the American dream.

After reading this chapter, you will be able to
❖ describe what sociologists mean by the terms minority, race, and ethnicity.
❖ discuss patterns of racial and ethnic relations.
❖ discuss the difference between prejudice and discrimination.
❖ explain how functionalists, conflict theorists, and symbolic interactionists view racial inequalities.
❖ compare the condition of American minorities with that of the white majority.

Chapter Overview
Visit the Sociology and You Web site at soc.glencoe.com and click on Chapter 9—Chapter Overviews to preview chapter information.
Imagine that one evening, you and eight friends are unable to decide whether to go bowling or to the movies. Being a democratic group, you decide to put the question to a vote. If only three of you vote for the show, the movie fans—being fewer in number—will make up a minority.

But numbers alone are not the basis of the sociological definition of minority. Women in the United States outnumber males, and yet they are still referred to as a minority. Blacks in South Africa and in many large cities in the United States are minority populations even though they outnumber the white population. For sociologists, then, a minority population is defined by something more than size or number.

What are the characteristics of a minority?

In 1945, sociologist Louis Wirth offered the following definition of minority:

We may define a minority as a group of people who, because of their physical or cultural characteristics, are singled out from the others in the society in which they live for differential and unequal treatment, and who therefore regard themselves as objects of collective discrimination. The existence of a minority in a society implies the existence of a corresponding dominant group with higher social status and greater privileges. Minority carries with it the exclusion from full participation in the life of the society.

A minority, then, has several key features.

1. A minority has distinctive physical or cultural characteristics which can be used to separate it from the majority. Physical characteristics may include such things as skin color, facial features, and disabilities. Cultural characteristics may include accent, religion, language, and parentage. In the past, some people have been forced to carry papers or wear badges that marked them as members of a minority. For example, during the Nazi regime, Jews in German-occupied countries were forced to wear yellow stars to separate them from non-Jewish citizens.

2. The minority is dominated by the majority. Because the majority is the dominating group, it holds an unequal share of the desired goods, services, and privileges. Further, minority members have fewer opportunities to get these goods and services. The best jobs are hard for minorities to get because of a lack of education or unfair hiring practices.
3. *Minority traits are often believed by the dominant majority to be inferior.* This presumed inferiority can be used to justify unequal treatment. For example, a majority may justify job discrimination by depicting a minority as shiftless or lazy.

4. *Members of the minority have a common sense of identity, with strong group loyalty.* Efforts to keep the minority isolated create empathy among those suffering discrimination. Within the minority, there is a “consciousness of kind.” Because of this sense of common identity, members of the minority accept a “we” and “they” vocabulary.

5. *The majority determines who belongs to the minority through ascribed status.* People become members of the minority at birth. Thus, membership is an ascribed status and is not easily changed. This is especially true when physical characteristics such as race are involved.

### Defining Race

Members of a race share certain biologically inherited physical characteristics that are considered equally important within a society. Biologists use characteristics such as skin color, hair color, hair texture, facial features, head form, eye color, and height to determine race. The most common system classifies races into three major divisions—Negroid, Mongoloid, and Caucasian.

**Is there a scientific basis for race?** Although certain physical features have been associated with particular races, scientists have known for a long time that there is no such thing as a “pure” race. Features, or markers, typical of one race show up in other races quite frequently. For example, some people born into African American families are assumed to be white because of their facial features and light skin color. Most scientists consider racial classifications arbitrary and misleading. For students of sociology, social attitudes and characteristics that relate to race are more important than physical differences.

**But aren’t some physical characteristics superior?** It has sometimes been argued that certain physical characteristics often associated with race are superior and others are inferior. In fact, physical characteristics are superior only in the sense that they provide advantages for living in particular environments. For example, a narrow opening between eyelids protects against bright light and driving cold such as found in Siberia or Alaska. A darker skin is better able to withstand a hot sun. But these physical differences are controlled by a very few genes. In fact, geneticists claim that there may be more genetic difference between a tall person and a short person than between two people of different races who are the same height. Only about six genes in the human cell control skin color, while a person’s height is affected by dozens of genes. Thus a six-foot white male may be closer genetically to a black male of the same height than to a five-foot white male. What is important to remember is that there is no scientific evidence that connects any racial characteristic with innate superiority or inferiority (Hurley, 1998). There is, for example, no evidence of innate differences in athleticism or intelligence among the various races.
Ethnicity

The term *ethnicity* comes from the Greek word *ethnos*, originally meaning “people” or “nation.” Thus, the Greek word referred to cultural and national identity. Today, an *ethnic minority* is socially identified by unique characteristics related to culture or nationality. Just as physical characteristics define racial minorities, cultural differences define ethnic minorities.

An ethnic minority is a subculture defined by its own language, religion, values, beliefs, norms, and customs. (See page 98 in Chapter 3 for an introduction to subcultures.) Like any subculture, it is part of the larger culture—its members work in the majority, or host, economy, send their children through the host educational system, and are subject to the laws of the land. Ethnic minorities are also separate from the larger culture. The separation may continue because the ethnic minority wishes to maintain its cultural and national origins or because the majority erects barriers that prevent the ethnic group from blending in with the larger culture. For example, Michael Novak (1996) makes a case that members of white ethnic minorities from southern and eastern Europe—Poles, Slavs, Italians, Greeks—have not been able to blend completely into American society. Compared with other white European immigrant groups, such as German immigrants, groups from southern and eastern Europe were more culturally different from the white Anglo-Saxon Protestant (WASP) majority and thus mixed less easily with the majority culture.

**Why are ethnic minorities seen as inferior?** Negative attitudes toward ethnic minorities exist in part because of *ethnocentrism*. As you read in Chapter 3, ethnocentrism involves judging others in terms of one's own cultural standards. Ethnocentrism creates the feeling of “us,” the group one belongs to, versus “them,” the other groups that are out there.

People in the majority, out of loyalty to and preference for their own values, beliefs, and norms, may consider other views to be inferior. Because members of ethnic minorities do not measure up to the majority’s conception of appropriate ways of behaving, it may be assumed that something is wrong with them. Ethnocentric judgments are often expressed as prejudice and discrimination. Figure 9.1 shows American attitudes toward specific immigrant groups. In general, European immigrants are viewed more positively than non-European immigrants.

**Figure 9.1. Attitudes of Americans Toward Immigrant Minorities.** The results of a Gallup poll are displayed in this graph of attitudes toward various immigrant groups in the United States. What pattern is reflected in this graph among the groups that are most favored as helping the country?
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Section 1 Assessment

1. Summarize the five main characteristics of a minority.
2. What is the difference between race and ethnicity? Between race and nationality?

Critical Thinking

3. Summarizing Information  Identify the main racial or ethnic minorities in your area. Are you a member of any minority groups? What are they?

Image: The following excerpt describes the Irish “Travelling People,” who are viewed by mainstream Irish as inferior.

They are Ireland’s unrecognized minority—homeless and ostracized. Despite public disapproval, their family groups wander the Irish countryside. Other than a limited number of official halting sites they have no place to stop. Most live by the side of the road. They bathe, eat, and sleep in public. They live without electricity or permanent running water, bathing facilities, or toilets. Their child-mortality rate is similar to those in Third World countries, and there is a 98 percent illiteracy rate among adults. According to the Economic and Social Research Institute’s 1985 report, “The circumstances of the Irish Travelling People are intolerable. No humane and decent society once made aware of such circumstances could permit them to persist.”

But although local political groups and organizations have expressed the need to create permanent housing for the Travellers (most commonly described as “gypsies” or “tinkers”), the settled community prefers what Traveller Nell McDonaugh calls an “unspoken segregation.” Travellers are evicted from areas not designated as official halting sites, and grassy lanes that Traveller groups have frequented for years are blocked and barred. Most official halting sites are located in undesirable, often industrial, areas.

Most settled people want nothing to do with Travellers. Popular belief has it that Travellers draw the dole [welfare] in more than one county at a time, are troublemakers, and leave piles of garbage in their wake. Many local people are opposed to having halting sites in their vicinity. Why should “respectable” people support itinerants?

But these “homeless” outcasts have filled a social niche in Ireland for centuries. Theirs may be a distinct lifestyle, and their traditions are unlike those of other Irish, but they are, nonetheless, Irish. In a traditionally rural society, Travellers served acceptable social purposes as itinerant farm workers, metal craftsmen, lace makers, and storytellers. But in today’s settled urban society, this integrated group of nomads are a people displaced by and at odds with contemporary expectations. They are a community without a place in its own homeland and a cultural group in danger of losing its identity.


Thinking It Over

Use either functionalism or conflict theory to explain this attitude toward the Travellers.

I know of no rights of race superior to the rights of man.

Frederick Douglas
American abolitionist
patterns of racial and ethnic relations take two forms: assimilation and conflict. Patterns of assimilation include Anglo-conformity, melting pot, cultural pluralism, and accommodation. Conflict patterns include genocide, population transfer, and subjugation.

Patterns of Assimilation

Generally, minority groups are either accepted—which leads to assimilation—or rejected—which leads to conflict. Within these two broad approaches, however, is a wide range of outcomes.

Assimilation refers to the blending or fusing of minority groups into the dominant society. When a racial or ethnic minority is integrated into a society, its members are given full participation in all aspects of the society. Assimilation has taken several forms in the United States: Anglo-conformity, melting pot, cultural pluralism, and accommodation.

What is the most common pattern of assimilation? Anglo-conformity has been the most prevalent pattern of assimilation in America. Anglo is a prefix used to indicate an American of English descent. In Anglo-conformity, traditional American institutions are maintained. Immigrants are accepted as long as they conform to the “accepted standards” of the society. Anglo-conformity is the least egalitarian pattern of assimilation because the immigrant minority is required to conform. By implication, it must either give up or suppress its own values.

Is America more like a melting pot or a tossed salad? A second pattern of assimilation is the melting pot, in which all ethnic and racial minorities voluntarily blend together. Older history textbooks, in describing the immigrant experience in the United States, often referred to a melting pot of cultures. However, there is some question about how much fusing of cultures has really taken place. Instead of a melting pot, many sociologists are now using the idea of a “tossed salad,” in which traditions and cultures exist side by side. The cultures of the Tejanos in Texas and the Creoles of New
Orleans are examples. This pattern of assimilation is called cultural pluralism. It recognizes immigrants’ desire to maintain at least a remnant of their “old” ways. In so doing, however, the immigrants have an impact on institutions in the United States. Because of the large numbers of Hispanic immigrants, for example, many states have instituted bilingual education programs in public schools. The government now routinely makes official forms available in both English and Spanish, many churches throughout the country conduct services in both languages, and cable television stations offer English and Spanish audio tracks.

Accommodation is an extreme form of cultural pluralism. It occurs when a minority maintains its own culturally unique way of life. The minority learns to deal with, or accommodate, the dominant culture when necessary but remains independent in language and culture. The Cubans in Miami and the Amish in Pennsylvania are examples of distinct groups within larger communities that have kept separate identities.

Patterns of Conflict

In looking for broad patterns of conflict, sociologists examine historical records and analyze current events. Three basic patterns have emerged that describe approaches that dominant cultures take in their rejection of minority groups. These are genocide, population transfer, and subjugation (Mason, 1970).

What is the most extreme pattern of conflict? At the extreme, conflict takes the form of genocide, the systematic effort to destroy an entire population. One of the best-known examples is the Holocaust, Adolf Hitler’s attempt to destroy all European Jews during the 1930s and 1940s. (See Figure 9.2 below.) Less well known is the “Rape of Nanking,” begun in

Figure 9.2. Impact of the Holocaust. One of the worst examples of genocide was the Nazis’ attempt, in the 1930s and 1940s, to exterminate the European Jewish population. This map shows the decline in Jewish population in European countries as a result of the Holocaust.
1937, during which the Japanese massacred an estimated 260,000 to 350,000 Chinese men, women, and children (Chang, 1998).

Tragically, genocide campaigns are more common in world history than might be supposed. Recently, the Serbians have been accused of conducting campaigns of “ethnic cleansing” against the Muslims in Bosnia and Kosovo. In 1994, the Tutsi tribe of Rwanda slaughtered 500,000 to 800,000 of the minority Hutu tribe.

**What is population transfer?** In *population transfer*, a minority is forced either to move to a remote location or to leave entirely the territory controlled by the majority. This was the policy most often used against Native Americans. For example, in 1838, sixteen thousand Cherokees from the southeastern United States were set on a forced march along the “Trail of Tears” to Oklahoma reservations, where they became dependent on the U.S. government. An estimated four thousand Cherokees (nearly a fourth of the tribes) died because of harsh conditions along the Trail of Tears.

**What conflict pattern appears most often?** Subjugation is the most common pattern of conflict. A subjugated minority is denied equal access to the culture and lifestyle of the larger society. Subjugation may be based on the law, or *de jure*. An example was the *de jure* segregation of public schools in the United States during the latter part of the nineteenth century and the first half of the twentieth century. In *Brown vs. Board of Education of Topeka* (1954) the Supreme Court overturned previous case law that had made racial segregation legal in the U.S.

Subjugation may also arise from the everyday practices of people, even when specific laws do not exist to deny opportunities to minority groups. *De facto* is a term used in case law that describes the actual, or real, situation regardless of what the law is. *De facto* segregation is operating when, for example, neighboring homeowners agree among themselves not to sell to members of certain ethnic groups or races. De facto discrimination exists when people of certain backgrounds are not promoted to important positions in local government or in businesses because of widely held stereotypes. Although illegal, the difficulty of proving bias can make this type of subjugation a very effective tool for controlling a minority.

**Section 2 Assessment**

1. Identify and define four patterns of assimilation.
2. What is the difference between *de jure* and *de facto* segregation?

**Critical Thinking**

3. **Evaluating Information** Work with one or more of your classmates to research and evaluate the impact that the assimilation of Latinos is having on American institutions such as public schools, churches, and government agencies.
In 2000, Delta Airlines and Ford Motor Company both publicly announced their multimillion-dollar (hundreds of millions, in fact) bet they are placing on their employees (Miller and Silverstein, 2000). Each intends to provide home computers and Internet access to all of their 422,000 workers. It is a new company benefit costing each employee as little as $5 per month.

The bet is that employees become more efficient and effective when they are proficient with computers. Expected payoffs for the companies is improved communication with their workforces, heightened employee morale, and increased employee loyalty. Employees at Ford and Delta enthusiastically welcomed the new benefit.

There is a possible downside for employees. When workers can be reached instantaneously at home day or night, the traditional boundaries between the home and the workplace could erode. And Ford and Delta do have plans to communicate with workers at home. According to sociologist Arlie Hochschild, this apparent gift could be a Trojan horse by extending the “long arm of the workplace.” Even worse, some workers fear that companies might intrude on their private lives by monitoring their Internet activities.

There could also be a social upside to wide-scale on-line access. Sociologists have recognized computer literacy as a key to social mobility in the twenty-first century. (See the Enrichment Reading entitled “Falling Through the Net” in Chapter 17.) Since those nearer the bottom of the social class structure lack the resources necessary to be computer literate, sociologists fear they will be hopelessly left behind.

Given this situation, widespread exposure of less-skilled workers to computer technology could have benefits Ford and Delta employees may not have considered. Since both companies are encouraging workers’ families to use the technology, the spouses and children of a significant number of individuals will have access to an indispensable tool for occupational advancement. While Ford and Delta may be concerned only about keeping their employees out of the digital divide, their action may unintentionally enable many more Americans to cross this divide. Company-provided computer technology at home may become a staple in most future corporate benefit packages.

**Doing Sociology**

1. Do you believe that computer literacy is a key element in today’s job market? Tomorrow’s?
2. Evaluate your own capabilities regarding computer technology.
3. Go to your library and examine the employment page of the Sunday edition of a major newspaper. Write a brief report on the extent to which computer literacy appears to be an important qualification in today’s urban marketplace.
Prejudice, Racism, and Discrimination

Individuals hold prejudices of many types. To a sociologist, though, prejudice has a very particular meaning. It refers to widely held preconceptions of a group (minority or majority) and its individual members. Prejudice involves a generalization based on biased or insufficient information. Prejudiced attitudes are based on strong emotions, so they are often difficult to change, even in the face of overwhelming evidence. It is easier to explain individuals who don’t fit the stereotype as exceptions than it is to reexamine a whole set of established beliefs. For example, many people believe that Asian students have a particular “gift” for mathematics. Suppose that Susie is one of these people. In algebra class, she sits next to an Asian student who is not doing well. Will Susie change her idea about the mathematical abilities of Asian people as a result of this? Probably not. It will be less trouble for her to think that this one Asian student is the exception to the rule.

Racism is an extreme form of prejudice, because it not only involves judging people unfairly, but it assumes that a person’s own race or ethnic group is superior. Racists believe that discrimination or exclusion is morally justified because of their own natural superiority.

When prejudice is used as a basis for making decisions—as in denying minorities advancement—then it becomes discrimination.
How is discrimination different from prejudice? While prejudice involves holding biased opinions, discrimination involves acting upon those opinions by treating people unfairly. Prejudice does not always result in discrimination, but it often does.

Discrimination takes many forms, including avoiding social contact with members of minority groups, denying them positions that carry authority, and blocking their access to the more exclusive neighborhoods. It can also involve such extremes as attacking or killing minority members.

Hate Crimes

In 1998, James Byrd, Jr., an African American from Texas, was chained to a pickup truck, then dragged to death. That same year saw Matthew Shepard, a gay college student, tied to a fence and beaten to death. Both incidents fell under a special kind of crime called hate crimes.

How are hate crimes different? A hate crime is a criminal act that is motivated by extreme prejudice (Lawrence, 1999). Hate crimes involve bias related to race, religion, sexual orientation, national origin, or ancestry (Levin and McDevitt, 1993). Victims include, but are not limited to, African Americans, Native Americans, Latinos, Asian Americans, Jews, gay men, lesbian women, and people with disabilities. While the term hate crime is relatively new, the behavior is not. The federal government has kept statistics since 1900. Hate crimes still occur in relatively small numbers, but the frequency is increasing. Just under 8,000 cases were reported to the FBI in 1999. By 2000, forty-three states had passed hate-crime laws.

How does sociology interpret hate crimes? Each of the theoretical perspectives discussed below can help us understand hate crimes. The functionalist might notice that members of a group are bolstering their sense of unity against a common enemy. Some hate crimes, consistent with conflict theory, are based on the belief that the victim is somehow threatening the person’s livelihood or self-interest. This is the case when immigrants are attacked out of fear that they will take the jobs of the white majority. Finally, hate crimes always involve labeling. People who commit hate crimes have vocabularies filled with demeaning stereotypes that attempt to justify violence directed against the victims.

Stereotypes

A stereotype is a set of ideas—based on distortion, exaggeration, and oversimplification—that is applied to all members of a group. Stereotypes appear throughout any society. In the United States, examples of stereotypes include that athletes are “all brawn and no brain” and that politicians are corrupt.

Stereotypes are sometimes created to justify unethical behavior against minority groups. For example, very early relationships between the colonists and
Native Americans in early colonial times were relatively peaceful and cooperative. As the population of the colonies grew, however, conflicts over land and resources became more frequent and intense. To justify expansion onto Indian territory, the colonists began perceiving Native Americans as “lying, thieving, un-Christian savages” who did not deserve the rights accorded to white settlers. This image helped the colonists defend their otherwise unjustifiable treatment of the Native American population.

**The Functionalist Perspective**

In studying prejudice and discrimination, functionalists focus on the dysfunctions caused by these practices. (We will look at this topic in greater detail in Section 4.) When minorities are exploited or oppressed, the social, political, educational, and economic costs to society are extremely high. Furthermore, the safety and stability of the larger society are at risk, because violence periodically erupts between the groups.

Functionalists recognize, however, that by fostering prejudice, a dominant group can create a feeling of superiority over minority groups. This feeling can strengthen its members’ own self-concepts. Strangely, then, for the majority culture, functionalists can see a positive aspect to discrimination.

**The Conflict Perspective**

According to conflict theory, a majority uses prejudice and discrimination as weapons of power to control a minority. The majority does this to increase its control over property, goods, and other resources. The example about stereotypes used by colonists to portray Native Americans is based on the conflict perspective.

In the conflict perspective, despite being common targets, different minorities tend to view one another as competitors rather than as allies in their struggle against the majority (Olzak and Nagel, 1986). Conflict among minorities, particularly African Americans and Latinos, is increasing in the United States as whites leave cities and African Americans assume political power. To many urban blacks, Latinos appear to be benefiting from the civil rights movement waged by African Americans. Many Latinos, on the other hand, believe that African Americans are using their political clout to push an agenda that favors their own community at the expense of others. It remains to be seen if urban African Americans and Latinos will become allies for their mutual welfare or if they will engage in fierce conflict over the scarce resources available to them.
Minority Populations and Hate Groups

Race in the United States is no longer a “black and white” issue. Our population is becoming more complex, with many different races and ethnicities represented in increasingly large numbers. This map shows the percentage of the largest minorities in each state, as well as the location of hate groups in America.

Interpreting the Map

1. Do you see any relationship between the location of hate groups and the location of minority populations? Explain.
2. Do you see a pattern in the location of U.S. minority populations? Why might U.S. minority populations be distributed as they are?
3. Create a question for your classmates to answer regarding the geographic distribution of U.S. minority populations.

The Symbolic Interactionist Perspective

According to the symbolic interactionist perspective, members of a society learn to be prejudiced in much the same way they learn to be patriotic. Sociologist Gordon Allport (1958) described two stages in the learning of prejudice. In the pregeneralized learning period, children may overhear parents make racist or prejudiced statements, but they have not yet learned to separate people by race or ethnic group. By the time children reach the total rejection stage, however, they are able to use physical clues to sort people into groups. If children repeatedly hear parents malign a minority, they will reject all members of the group, on all counts and in all situations.

Symbolic interactionists also point out that language itself can reflect prejudices. For example, in Anglo culture, many terms that include black are negative. Such terms as blackball, blacklist, black mark, and black eye illustrate the negative slant associated with the word black.
Symbolic interactionism underlies the concept of the self-fulfilling prophecy—an expectation that leads to behavior that then causes the expectation to become a reality. For example, if a student is continually encouraged and told that she is capable of succeeding at a task, she will likely act as if she can succeed. If, however, she is discouraged from trying and told she will probably fail, that same student will likely act in a manner that will cause her to fail. Similarly, if members of any minority are continually treated as if they are less intelligent or less competent than the majority, they may eventually accept this limitation. This acceptance, in turn, may lead them to place less emphasis on education as a way of succeeding. Given this negative interaction, and the lack of opportunity to develop their abilities, members of minorities may become locked in low-level jobs.

### Section 3 Assessment

1. Can you hold a prejudice about a group without discriminating against that group? Why or why not?
2. Why do you think most stereotypes are negative? Can you think of any positive stereotypes?
3. Why does conflict exist between African Americans and Latinos?

### Critical Thinking

4. **Evaluating Information** Discuss specific ways in which African Americans and Latinos have attempted to resolve their role conflicts.
White supremacists, neo-Nazis, and other hate groups have discovered the Internet as a channel to spread hatred of Jews, African Americans, homosexuals, and fundamentalist Christians, among others (Sandberg, 1999). From one hate site in 1995, the Anti-Defamation League estimates that there are now thousands of web sites advocating racism, anti-Semitism, and violence. Aryan Nation identifies Jews as the natural enemy of whites; White Pride Network offers a racist joke center; Posse Comitatus defends alleged abortion-clinic bomber Eric Robert Rudolph; World Church of the Creator is violently anti-Christian.

Organized racists use high technology to deliver their message to a mass audience. While members of hate groups used to be recognized by their white hoods or neo-Nazi swastikas, they can now just as easily be wearing business suits instead of brown shirts. The Southern Poverty Law Center is especially concerned about the repackaging of hate-based ideologies to make them appear more respectable to mainstream America. To reach the young, hate web sites offer such child-friendly attractions as crossword puzzles, jokes, cartoons, coloring books, contests, games, and interactive comic strips.

Not all hate-group activity comes from white supremacists who target African Americans. The Southern Poverty Law Center also tracks the activities of Black Separatists and documents several recent hate crimes committed by blacks against whites. In addition, the continued immigration of Asians and Central and South Americans is drawing the angry attention of hate groups of all types. More information on hate group activities can be found at the Southern Poverty Law Center web site, http://www.splcenter.org.

Analyzing the Trends

When the economy is not performing well, membership in hate groups rises, and membership declines when the economy is doing well. Relate this fluctuating membership pattern to scapegoating and conflict theory.

How is propaganda used by hate groups to deliver their message?

Young people today may grow up to be "Internet policemen." This software company CEO designs and markets programs that prevent children from accessing web sites their parents think unsuitable.
Minority Groups in the United States

Key Terms

- institutionalized discrimination
- underclass
- hidden unemployment

Section Preview

Discrimination in the United States has caused some ethnic and racial groups to lag behind the white majority in jobs, income, and education. Progress is being made, but gains remain fragile. African American, Latino, Asian American, Native American, and white ethnics are the largest minority groups in this country.

Institutionalized Discrimination

Many people believe that discrimination in the United States ended when civil rights legislation was passed in the 1960s. These laws did stop many discriminatory practices. Nevertheless, minorities in this country still suffer from what sociologists call institutionalized discrimination. This type of discrimination results from unfair practices that are part of the structure of society and that have grown out of traditional, accepted behaviors.

Seniority systems, in which promotion and pay increase with years of service, for example, can discriminate against minority workers. Because they were shut out of jobs in the past, members of minorities are just now beginning to enter seniority systems. Having fewer years of service than majority members who have been in the system for years, minority members’ chances for quick promotion are slight, even though the seniority systems may not have been intentionally designed to obstruct their progress.

Another example of institutionalized discrimination exists in public education. Schools with large numbers of minority students are more likely to be located in large urban areas than in wealthier suburbs. This is the case in part...
because of white flight to the suburbs. As a result, minority children in many states are more concentrated in school districts with a tax base too low to provide resources equal to those in the suburbs. This lack of funding means that teachers in minority schools receive fewer opportunities for training. Textbooks, when students have them, are outdated. Parental and community support is generally not as strong. There is little, if any, money for new technology, and buildings are badly in need of repair.

Institutionalized discrimination in the United States is reflected in the experiences of minorities—African Americans, Latinos, Native Americans, Asian Americans, white ethnics, and Jewish Americans. For each minority, the social and economic costs of discrimination have been enormous.

**African Americans**

African Americans make up the largest racial minority group in the United States, numbering almost 34 million, or about 12 percent of the total population. (See Figure 9.4.) They are also one of the oldest minorities, first brought to America as indentured servants and slaves in the early 1600s.

**What are the barriers to African American assimilation?** There are many reasons for the lack of acceptance of African Americans into the mainstream of U.S. society. Skin color and physical features make it possible to identify at a glance people of African American lineage. This makes it easy for the dominant white ethnic group to create negative stereotypes based on physical characteristics.

A second reason for the continuing minority status of African Americans has its roots in early American history. Brought into the country to labor on plantations, African Americans were immediately assigned to the lowest class status. Even when freed, ex-slaves and their descendants in the United States

---

**Figure 9.4 U.S. Resident Minority Populations, 1980–2000.** This graph shows the increase in the larger minority populations in the United States since 1980. Are you surprised by the growth of any group?

were rarely accepted as equal to free whites. Upward social mobility for freed slaves (or any African Americans) was virtually impossible.

Slavery was legally abolished by the Thirteenth Amendment (1865), but the legacy of prejudice and discrimination that grew out of slavery affects African Americans to this day. Practices and laws that segregated the races became institutionalized, especially in the South, but also throughout the country. Such practices continued until the late 1960s, when they were made illegal by the passage of civil rights legislation and by key Supreme Court decisions. In a very real sense, then, African Americans have experienced barely forty years of constitutional equality. The gap between African Americans and whites in education, income, and employment represents the legacy of centuries of prejudice and discrimination.

What are average income levels for African Americans? As noted in the Sociological Imagination feature opening this chapter, average African American income in the United States is far from equal to the average income for whites. Specifically, African American income is approximately 64 percent that of whites. This means that for every $100 an average white family earns, an average African American family earns $64. Figure 9.5 shows differences in household income for various minority groups.

Not surprisingly, African Americans and whites also differ in wealth (home and car, business assets, and the like). The average African American family holds less than one-quarter of the wealth of the average white family (U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1999e).

How do African Americans fare in the job market? Part of the reason for the economic differences can be traced to employment patterns. Compared with white men and women, a lower percentage of African American men and women are employed in professional, managerial, technical, and administrative occupations. African Americans are almost twice as likely as whites to work in low-level service jobs (U.S. Department of Labor, 1997).

Figure 9.5 Majority and Minority Median Household Incomes. Explain why sociologists consider Asian Americans a minority group despite their relatively high annual income.

New long-term economic trends threaten to make matters even worse. These trends include a shift from higher-paying manufacturing jobs to lower-paying service jobs and replacement of workers because of the transfer of high-wage jobs to low-wage countries.

Patterns of unemployment also affect the economic status of African Americans. Jobless rates among African Americans are double those of whites, and these rates do not account for all unemployed persons. Traditional unemployment rates are based on the number of unemployed people who are looking for jobs. They do not include so-called hidden unemployment—discouraged workers who have stopped looking or part-time workers who would prefer to have full-time jobs. When hidden unemployment is considered, the jobless rate for African Americans exceeds one in four workers, the national unemployment rate during the Great Depression of the 1930s (Swinton, 1989; Wilson, 1997).

The greatest unemployment problem exists among African American teenagers. According to official statistics, about one out of every three African American teenagers is unsuccessfully looking for work. With hidden unemployment taken into account, it is estimated that over 40 percent of all African American teenagers are unemployed. Consequently, thousands of African American youths are becoming adults without the job experience vital to securing good employment in the future (World Without Work, 1999).

Have African Americans made advances? Education is the traditional American path to economic gain and occupational prestige. The educational story for African Americans is mixed. As of 1999, 84 percent of whites had finished high school, compared with 77 percent of African Americans. Similarly, where 25 percent of whites had completed college, only 15 percent of African Americans had done so.

Moreover, higher educational attainment doesn’t pay off for African Americans as it does for whites. Although income tends to rise with educational level for all races, it increases much less for African American men (and for women of both races) than for white men. White male high school graduates, on the average, earn nearly as much each year as African American men with college associate degrees. At each level of schooling, black men tend to gain less than their white peers.

While these figures may seem discouraging, real gains have been made. Since the 1960s, the number of African Americans in professional and technical occupations—doctors, engineers, lawyers, teachers, writers—has increased by 128 percent. The number of African American managers or officials is more than twice as high as in 1960. As a result of the recent upward mobility of educated African Americans, some sociologists predict the emergence of two black Americas—a growing black middle class and a black underclass composed of unemployed people who come from families that have been poor for generations (Wilson, 1984; Landry, 1988; Kilson, 1998).

African Americans have seen their political power grow since 1970. More than 5,300 African Americans are serving as city and county officials, up from 715 in 1970. There are nearly 9,000 African American elected officials in the United States, a sixfold increase since 1970 (Yorke, 2000). The emergence of “biracial politics”—election of African Americans in predominantly...
white areas—is a hopeful sign. African Americans, though still vastly underrepresented, have entered the “power elite” of America:

*Although the power elite is still composed primarily of Christian white men, there are now . . . blacks . . . on the boards of the country's largest corporations; presidential cabinets are far more diverse than was the case forty years ago; and the highest ranks of the military are no longer filled solely by white men* (Zweigenhaft and Domhoff, 1998:176).

**Latinos**

*Latino* is a term that refers to ethnic minorities from Latin America, a region that includes Mexico, Central America, South America, and the islands of the Caribbean. High birth rates and immigration rates make Latinos (along with Asian Americans) one of the fastest-growing minorities in the United States. In fact, early in the twenty-first century, Latinos overtook African Americans as America’s largest minority group (U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000). By the time you retire—about the year 2050—it is predicted that nearly one out of every four Americans will be Latino. (See Figure 9.6.)

**What are the largest Latino groups in the United States?** Nearly 60 percent of Latinos today are of Mexican descent. Puerto Ricans make up a little less than one-tenth of the total Latino population. Most Puerto Ricans are concentrated in or near New York City, although the population is beginning to shift to the outlying areas. Cubans make up the third most populous group of Latinos, with about one million people. Most Cuban Americans are located in the Miami, Florida, area (U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1998a).

Like Anglos, Native Americans, and African Americans, Latino peoples are diverse. Each group came to the United States under different circumstances and retains a sense of its own identity and separateness. In addition, there are significant internal differences within individual Latino minorities. For example, the first large group of Cuban immigrants to enter the United States were successful middle- and upper-class people who fled from Cuba when Fidel
Castro instituted a communist government there in the late 1950s. These Cuban Americans differ substantially from later Cuban immigrants, who were relatively uneducated members of the lower class.

**What is the general level of education among Latinos?** Latinos fall behind white Americans in formal education. Just over half of adult Latinos have completed high school, compared with 84 percent of non-Latinos. Mexican Americans have the lowest levels of educational attainment. Cubans have the highest, owing to the fact that many Cuban immigrants to the United States were middle- and upper-class people, as explained earlier (Stefancic and Delgado, 1998).

**How much money do Latinos earn?** Average income for Latinos ($30,735) is higher than that of African Americans but significantly lower than that of non-Latino whites ($44,366). Cubans are the most affluent Latinos, but their median income is only about 75 percent that of whites. The poorest among the large Latino groups are the Puerto Ricans, whose income is only half that of whites. Almost one-fourth of Latino families live below the poverty level, compared with about one-tenth of white non-Latinos (U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1999).

From the data above, it should come as no surprise that many Latinos work in low-paying and low-status jobs as semiskilled workers and unskilled laborers. Mexican Americans make up the majority of migrant workers in the country. Cuban men belong to the only Latino minority with occupations similar to those of the white Anglo majority (Moore and Pachon, 1985). The numbers of Latino-owned homes and businesses are increasing rapidly, but they still fall far behind the national averages.

**How do Latinos stand politically?** Politically, Latinos are becoming a force in shaping American politics. As of 2000, there were no Latino U.S. senators, but seventeen seats in the U.S. House of Representatives were held by Latinos. Of these members of Congress, thirteen were Mexican Americans, three were of Cuban descent, and one was of Puerto Rican ancestry. Issues of education and immigration, as well as income and the quality of life, promise to keep Latinos politically active.

**Native Americans**

Today, Native Americans number just over two million. About five hundred separate tribes and bands have been identified in the United States. This great diversity is generally unrecognized because of stereotyped images of Native Americans based on old Hollywood films and paperback adventures of the Old West. In fact, however, tribal groups such as the Navajo and Sioux are as different from one another as Anglo Americans are from Italians or Brazilians.
What is the current situation of Native Americans? Native Americans, perhaps more than any other minority, are suffering today from the effects of hundreds of years of discrimination. Abject poverty remains a major fact of life among Native Americans, especially on reservations. Just over one-fourth of the Native American population live below the poverty line. Fewer Native Americans graduate from high school than any other major minority group.

Native Americans have the lowest annual income of any minority group in the United States ($21,619). Only 20 percent of all employed Native American men and women hold professional, managerial, or administrative positions. One-third are in blue-collar jobs (craftworkers, supervisors, machine operators, and nonfarm laborers). In 2001 there were two Native Americans in Congress—one in the Senate and one in the House of Representatives.

Are conditions on reservations better or worse? About one-fourth of Native Americans live on reservations. For these Native Americans, the situation is considerably worse than for those living off the reservations. Fully 50 percent of those on reservations live below the poverty level, compared with over 25 percent of the total Native American population. Reservation dwellers earn only $16,000 per year on average. The rate of college education for Native Americans living on reservations is only about half that for those living off reservations—5 percent versus 9.3 percent (U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1993e, 1993i).

A recent development on reservations is the introduction of casino-type gaming establishments. Native American gaming both on and off reservations has grown unexpectedly into an enormous, rapidly expanding industry. In 1999, over 184 tribes were operating more than 300 gaming facilities. Gaming revenues had exceeded $10 billion. Most tribal governments use this revenue to promote services and to promote economic and community development. Over half the tribal revenues, however, had gone to only ten of the tribes. Given the poor social and economic conditions on reservations, it is not surprising that the gaming industry has been embraced by many Native Americans as a source of money. The long-term effects, however, are yet to be seen.

Asian Americans

More than 10 million Asians live in the United States, comprising 4 percent of the total population. Like Latinos, Asians come from many different national and ethnic backgrounds. The largest groups are from China, the Philippines, Japan, India, Korea, and Vietnam.

If a success story can be told for any minority group in America, those groups are Chinese and Japanese Americans. Even for them, however, the road has not been smooth.
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How have Chinese Americans fared over the years? Attracted at first by the California gold rush, Chinese immigrants arrived in large numbers during the 1850s. They worked as agricultural laborers, on railroad crews, and in low-paying industrial jobs. When hard times hit in the 1870s, unemployed European Americans began to compete for jobs that the Chinese had held. Race riots erupted, and the children of Chinese immigrants were barred from attending schools in San Francisco. Chinese Americans were driven into large urban ghettos known as Chinatowns, where they are still concentrated today. Pressure by congressmen from California led to the Chinese Exclusion Act of 1882, which virtually ended Chinese immigration to the United States for nearly a hundred years.

Although Chinese Americans, in many ways, remain isolated from American life, their situation began to improve after 1940. American-born Chinese college graduates began to enter professional occupations, and Chinese American scholars and scientists began to make publicly recognized contributions to science and the arts. Most Americans today recognize Chinese Americans' willingness to work hard, their dedication to education, and their contributions to American society.

What has been the history of Japanese Americans in the United States? Early diplomatic relations between the United States and Japan were warm and cordial. But beginning in 1885, large numbers of Japanese men immigrated to the West Coast of the United States. Their arrival coincided with the attempt described above to exclude Chinese immigrants. The Japanese suffered prejudice and discrimination during these early years. Nevertheless, they moved from being laborers in certain industries (railroads, canning, logging, mining, meat packing) to being successful farmers.

When the Japanese began to compete with white farmers, however, anti-Japanese legislation was passed. The California Alien Land Bill of 1913, for example, permitted Japanese to lease farmland for a maximum of three years; it did not allow land they owned to be inherited by their families. In 1924, the U.S. Congress halted all Japanese immigration, and the 126,000 Japanese already in the United States became targets for still more prejudice, discrimination, stereotyping, and scapegoating.

In 1941, Japan attacked the Pearl Harbor naval base in Hawaii, an act that brought the United States into World War II. Wartime hysteria generated a fear of a possible Japanese invasion that led President Franklin Roosevelt to issue Executive Order 9066. This emergency law moved more than 110,000 Japanese people into internment camps away from the West Coast. Historians later agreed that the Japanese Americans had posed no security threat during World War II. (Immigrants from Germany and Italy were not relocated, even though their countries were also at war with the United States.) Eventually, in the 1980s, the U.S. government formally apologized to Japanese American internees and paid them $20,000 each in compensation.

Over 100,000 Japanese residents in America were sent to internment camps during World War II. Many lost homes and businesses as a result.
According to many scholars, African Americans today suffer more from low economic class than from racism. In a well-known study of the early 1990s, one sociologist, Joe Feagin, challenged this line of argument. Feagin set up a study that looked at African Americans’ access to public accommodations, including restaurants, hotels, and motels.

Feagin interviewed middle-class African Americans in several cities. He wished to study African Americans in the middle class because they would have the economic resources needed to take advantage of public accommodations. His research was guided by several questions:

- Do middle-class African Americans still experience racism in public accommodations?
- If so, how is it manifested?
- What means do middle-class African Americans use to handle discrimination?
- What are the effects of discrimination on its victims?

Feagin conducted 37 in-depth interviews. Those interviewed were drawn from a larger group of 135 middle-class African Americans in several large cities.

The interviewees were representative of the larger sample based on such characteristics as occupation, age, income, education, sex, and location. The initial participants in the study were identified as middle class by city-based consultants. Names of additional participants were suggested by the first people interviewed. (This is known as “snowball” sampling.) Middle class was defined as “those holding a white-collar job (including those in professional, managerial, and clerical jobs), college students preparing for white-collar jobs, and owners of successful businesses.”

Middle-class African Americans, Feagin concluded, still experience discrimination based on race. Several types of discrimination were reported by the respondents, including avoidance, verbal attack, physical abuse, and subtle slights. Rejection and poor service were the most common forms of discrimination, however.
According to Feagin, the most tragic cost of this continuing discrimination is the ongoing physical and psychological drain felt by the victims. Isolated discriminatory acts may appear insignificant to whites, but years of being the target of discriminatory actions have a cumulative effect. Many African Americans report having developed a “second eye” to analyze interracial situations. As one respondent said:

*I think that it causes you to have to look at things from two different perspectives. You have to decide whether things that are done or slights that are made are made because you are black or they are made because the person is just rude, or unconcerned and uncaring. So it’s kind of a situation where you’re always kind of looking to see with a second eye or a second antenna just what’s going on* (Feagin, 1991:115).

Feagin concluded that what may appear to American whites as “black paranoia,” then, is actually a developed sensitivity to continuous discriminatory encounters. Despite decades of legal protection, Feagin says, African Americans have not attained the full promise of the American dream. Although middle-class African Americans work hard for their success, it is too often overshadowed by the legacy of past racist actions.

**Working with the Research**

1. Do you agree that disadvantages related to economic class are currently more harmful to African Americans than racism and discrimination? Why or why not?
2. Do you believe that Feagin adequately tested his hypothesis? Explain your conclusion.
3. Which of the three major theoretical perspectives best fits Feagin’s research study? Defend your choice.
Japanese Americans have not had to deal with the centuries of prejudice and discrimination endured by African Americans and Native Americans. Nevertheless, they have overcome great hardship and have become one of the most successful racial minorities in the United States (Zwiegenhaft and Domhoff, 1998).

**Why have so many Asian Americans been successful?** In large part, Asian Americans have been successful because they have used the educational system for upward mobility. This is reflected in the academic achievement of school-aged Asian Americans, whose average SAT scores are 45 points higher than the general high school population. Furthermore, over 42 percent of Asian Americans have completed four years of college, compared with about 26 percent of whites and 11 percent of Latinos (U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000c).

### White Ethnics

White ethnics are the descendants of immigrants from Eastern and Southern European nations, particularly Italy and Poland. They also include Greek, Irish, and Slavic peoples. The majority are blue-collar workers living in small communities surrounding large cities in the eastern half of the United States.

During the 1960s, white ethnics gained the undeserved reputation of being conservative, racist, pro-war “hardhats.” In fact, surveys conducted during the 1960s showed white ethnics to be more against the Vietnam War than white Anglo-Saxon Protestants. Catholic blue-collar workers were found to be more liberal than either Protestant blue-collar workers or the country as a whole. They were more likely to favor a guaranteed annual wage, more
likely to vote for an African American presidential candidate, and more concerned about the environment. Finally, white ethnics tended to be more sympathetic to government help for the poor and more in favor of integration.

White ethnics have not traditionally been the victims of occupational or income discrimination. Despite their relative success, many white ethnics have in recent years become very conscious of their cultural and national origins. There is, in fact, a white ethnic “roots” movement. The new trend toward white ethnic identity began with the black power movement of the 1960s. Just as many African Americans decided that they wanted to preserve their cultural and racial identities, many white ethnics now believe that “white ethnicity is beautiful.” Many think that the price of completely abandoning one’s cultural and national roots is simply too high.

Lillian Rubin (1994) links the continuing accent on white ethnicity to the rising demands of ethnic minorities. White ethnics, she believes, are attempting to establish a public identity that enables them to take a seat at the “multicultural table.”

Section 4 Assessment

1. How are general discrimination and institutionalized discrimination different?

2. In what ways have white ethnics influenced American culture?

3. What does the level of Latino participation at the top of the American political structure suggest about the relationship between cultural group membership and political power in the United States?

4. Does the economic situation of Native Americans today help or hurt the economy?

Critical Thinking

5. Drawing Conclusions Do you think that affirmative action has affected American culture positively or negatively? Explain.
Reviewing Vocabulary

Complete each sentence, using each term once.

a. minority
b. stereotype
c. hate crime
d. self-fulfilling prophecy
e. race
f. institutionalized discrimination
g. ethnic minority
h. underclass
i. subjugation
j. assimilation
k. de jure segregation
l. de facto segregation
m. prejudice
n. discrimination
o. cultural pluralism
p. racism
q. genocide
r. hidden unemployment

1. An expectation that leads to behavior that causes the expectation to become a reality is called ____________.

2. ____________ is a group identified by cultural, religious, or national characteristics.

3. A set of ideas based on distortion, exaggeration, and oversimplification is called ____________.

4. ____________ is a group of people with physical or cultural characteristics different from the dominant group.

5. People living in poverty and either continuously unemployed or underemployed are known as ____________.

6. The denial of equal access based on law is called ____________.

7. A criminal act that is motivated by prejudice is called ____________.

8. ____________ is a type of subjugation that takes place outside the law.

9. People who share certain inherited physical characteristics are known as ____________.

10. ____________ are unfair practices that are part of the structure of a society.

11. Treating people differently because of their ethnicity, race, religion, or culture is called ____________.
12. ____________ is the denial of equal access based on everyday practice.

13. ____________ is best described as negative attitudes toward some minority and its individual members.

14. ____________ is the blending or fusing of minority groups into the dominant society.

15. Extreme prejudice is called ____________.

16. ____________ is assimilation that maintains element of ethnic roots.

17. ____________ is unemployment that includes people who are not counted in traditional work categories.

18. The systematic effort to destroy a population is known as ____________.

**Reviewing the Facts**

1. What is the name given to people who have some distinctive characteristic, are dominated by the majority, and are denied equal treatment?

2. What is a feature that is characteristic of a minority group?

3. Name the three patterns of assimilation.

4. What is the name of the process that occurred throughout American history when waves of immigrants came to this country and eventually became full members of the dominant class?

5. What does the lyric of the following song suggest about prejudice? “You’ve got to be taught to hate and fear, it’s got to be drummed in your dear little ear.”

6. How would sociologists explain the fact that on average, African Americans earn $64 for every $100 earned by whites?

7. What sociological perspective focuses on the majority’s subjugation of minorities as a weapon of power and domination?

8. Examine Figure 9.7 on page 300. Which racial minority has come the closest to achieving mainstream white status?

9. How have white ethnics affected business in American society?

10. From what part of the world did the ancestors of white ethnics emigrate?

**Thinking Critically**

1. **Making Inferences** Several years ago, a high school principal canceled his school’s senior prom when it was brought to his attention that perhaps a dozen students were planning to bring dates from other races. A reaction this extreme is rare, but strong cultural norms about interracial dating do exist. These norms vary by class and region. Recent studies have shown that over half of all teens in the United States have dated someone of another race, but interracial marriages are not common. Why do you think people might be willing to date but not marry outside their race?

2. **Applying Concepts** Recently, the students and administration at a largely Latino high school wanted to change the name of the school to honor a deceased Hispanic community leader. When the school had been built, the neighborhood had been primarily Anglo. Many of the old graduates protested the name change, and the original name was kept. Can you use what you have learned in this chapter about the relationship between cultural group membership and political power to explain why the decision was made to keep the school’s old name?

3. **Drawing Conclusions** A recent documentary examined a suburb in the Midwest where the racial balance had gradually changed from mostly white to mostly African American. Even though statistics proved that school scores had not dropped and that the quality of government services remained the same, the perception was that property values had declined. What do you think was responsible for this perception? What can be done to avoid this type of thinking?

4. **Applying Concepts** Many businesses, colleges, and schools have banned “hate speech” and “fighting words” that express views based on bigotry or racism. Some people believe that this ban is the same as censorship and that it vio-
lates First Amendment rights to freedom of speech. Others say that the right to free speech ends when speech causes psychological or emotional harm, or when society may be endangered. What is your opinion on hate speech? How would you handle an individual who was routinely offensive about your race, gender, or nationality?

5. Implementing Solutions Read the following scenario, and then answer the questions that follow based on your best instincts and reasoning: Two people are in a twenty-mile race. The winner will receive a prize of $100,000. Two of the competitors—Lynn and Tony—are very good runners, and both are in good physical condition. At the beginning of the race Tony is told to put a set of ten-pound ankle weights on each leg, but Lynn is not. In fact, Lynn does not even know about the weights. When Lynn reaches the thirteen-mile marker, Tony is two miles behind. He is not only exhausted but is also experiencing a shortened running stride and is off-rhythm because of the weights. The judges decide to remove the ankle weights from Tony.

a. Is it fair to continue the race with each runner finishing from his or her present position, or should Tony be moved forward in the race?
b. What is fair to both parties?
c. Assume that the race cannot be restarted. How do we compensate the runner who had to carry extra weights for over half of the race?
d. Are there solutions to the problem?
e. Since the problem is difficult to solve, would it be fair simply to ignore it and conclude that things will eventually work out?

6. Analyzing Information Suppose there was a third competitor in the race described above. Ayesha is almost as good a runner as Tony and Lynn. Ayesha does not have to wear ankle weights, but both Tony and Lynn have high-quality professional running shoes, and Ayesha has to run in cheap “tennies.” At the time the race is stopped, Ayesha has run twelve miles. If you compensate Tony by moving him forward, Ayesha is likely to feel that the race is still not fair.

a. Is there a way to make the race fair for all three runners? Remember, you cannot restart the race.
b. How is institutional discrimination similar to the race described in these questions? What are the issues in both?

7. Evaluating Information Explain how the experiences of various Native American tribes have been different from other racial and ethnic minority groups in the United States. Discuss whether you think allowing gaming on Indian reservations is a long-term benefit or disadvantage for Native Americans.

8. Making Comparisons How has the African American experience in the United States been different from that of other racial and ethnic minority groups?

9. Understanding Cause and Effect Use the diagram below to show the cause-and-effect relationship between discrimination and poverty. Incorporate the elements of unequal educational opportunity, unfair hiring practices, and low-level jobs to complete your diagram.

10. Evaluating Information Have any of the methods of role conflict resolution used by African Americans and Latinos worked?

Sociology Projects

1. Race and Ethnicity Write a brief answer to each of the following questions.
a. How would you describe yourself racially or ethnically?
b. How do you think others would describe you?
c. How important is your race or ethnicity to you personally?
d. Do you believe that race or ethnicity is a factor in how your friends relate to you?
e. Is your community (neighborhood) a reflection of your race or ethnicity?
f. Do you place much importance on race or ethnicity?
g. Do you think others put a lot of importance on your race or ethnicity?
h. Is race an important issue in society, or do we make too much of it? Is ethnicity an important issue?

After you have answered these questions, form a group with two or three of your classmates and share your responses to questions a–h. Do you believe their assessments were accurate?

2. Ethnic and Racial Heritage
This project will give you an opportunity to create a family tree. Ask parents and other relatives about your ethnic/racial heritage, going back as far as you can. Chances are you have relatives who have old photos with dates and other pieces of information. As you trace your family tree, note when new cultures, races, or ethnicities join the family. If this has happened several times in your family, consider how it complicates assigning yourself to a specific racial and ethnic category. You might want to turn this project into an album that your whole family can enjoy and pass on.

3. Native Americans and Immigrant Cultures
This chapter deals extensively with the effects of American culture on various racial and ethnic groups. Minorities, of course, also affect American culture. How have Native Americans and white ethnics influenced American advertising and food? Which of the two minorities has had the greatest influence on each of these two aspects of American culture? Information may be found in print, online, in documentaries, and through interviews with a Native American and a white ethnic.

4. Native Americans and White Ethnics
This chapter deals extensively with the effects of American culture on various racial and ethnic groups. Minorities, of course, also affect American culture. How have Native Americans and white ethnics influenced American advertising and food? Which of the two minorities has had the greatest influence on each of these two aspects of American culture? Information may be found in print, online, in documentaries, and through interviews with a Native American and a white ethnic.

Technology Activity

1. The textbook describes a stereotype as a set of ideas based on distortion, exaggeration, and oversimplification that is applied to all members of a social category. Popular media often use stereotypes to convey assumed meanings about characters and situations. The Movies Cliché List at http://www.moviecliches.com/ provides an abundant list of stereotypes used in films.

a. Select “Women” from the Cliché Topics. Name some of the stereotypes about women suggested by the list.

b. Do the same for “Men” and “Minorities.”

c. Based on what you have read in the text and on these lists, do you think stereotypes are helpful in understanding social categories?
S
everal years ago, at a moment when I was particularly tired of the unstable lifestyle that academic careers sometimes require, I surprised myself and bought a real house. Because the house was in a state other than the one where I was living at the time, I obtained my mortgage by telephone. I am a prudent little squirrel when it comes to things financial, always tucking away stores of nuts for the winter, and so I meet the criteria of a quite good credit risk. My loan was approved almost immediately.

A little while later, the contract came in the mail. Among the papers the bank forwarded were forms documenting compliance with the Fair Housing Act, which outlaws racial discrimination in the housing market. The act monitors lending practices to prevent banks from redlining—redlining being the phenomenon whereby banks circle certain neighborhoods on the map and refuse to lend in those areas. It is a practice for which the bank with which I was dealing, unbeknownst to me, had been cited previously—as well as since. In any event, the act tracks the race of all banking customers to prevent such discrimination. Unfortunately, and with the creative variability of all illegality, some banks also use the racial information disclosed on the fair housing forms to engage in precisely the discrimination the law seeks to prevent.

I should repeat that to this point my entire mortgage transaction had been conducted by telephone. I should also note that I speak a Received Standard English, regionally marked as Northeastern perhaps, but not easily identifiable as black. With my credit history, my job as a law professor and, no doubt, with my accent, I am not only middle class but apparently match the cultural stereotype of a good white person. It is thus, perhaps, that the loan officer of the bank, whom I had never met, had checked off the box on the fair housing form indicating that I was white.

Race shouldn’t matter, I suppose, but it seemed to in this case, so I took a deep breath, crossed out “white” and sent the contract back. That will teach them to presume too much, I thought. A done deal, I assumed. But suddenly the transaction came to a screeching halt. The bank wanted more money, more points, a higher rate of interest. Suddenly I found myself facing great resistance and much more debt. To make a long story short, I threatened to sue under the act in question, the bank quickly backed down and I procured the loan on the original terms.

What was interesting about all this was that the reason the bank gave for its new-found recalcitrance was not race, heaven forbid. No, it was all about economics and increased risk: The reason they gave was that property values in that neighborhood were suddenly falling. They wanted more money to buffer themselves against the snappy winds of projected misfortune.

The bank’s response was driven by demographic data that show that any time black people move into a neighborhood, whites are overwhelmingly likely to move out. In droves. In panic. In concert. Pulling every imaginable resource with them, from school funding to garbage collection to social workers who don’t want to work in black neighborhoods. The imagery is awfully catchy, you had to admit: the neighborhood just tipping on over like a terrible accident, whoops! Like a pitcher, I suppose. All that fresh wholesome milk spilling out running away . . .
leaving the dark echoing, upended urn of the inner city.

In retrospect, what has remained so fascinating to me about this experience was the way it so exemplified the problems of the new rhetoric of racism. For starters, the new rhetoric of race never mentions race. It wasn’t race but risk with which the bank was so concerned.

Second, since financial risk is all about economics, my exclusion got reclassified as just a consideration of class. There’s no law against class discrimination, goes the argument, because that would represent a restraint on that basic American freedom, the ability to contract or not. If schools, trains, buses, swimming pools and neighborhoods remain segregated, it’s no longer a racial problem if someone who just happens to be white keeps hiking up the price for someone who accidentally and purely by the way happens to be black. Black people end up paying higher prices for the attempt to integrate, even as the integration of oneself threatens to lower the value of one’s investment.

By this measure of mortgage-worthiness, the ingredient of blackness is cast not just as a social toll but as an actual tax. A fee, an extra contribution at the door, an admission charge for the high costs of handling my dangerous propensities, my inherently unsavory properties. I was not judged based on my independent attributes or financial worth; not even was I judged by statistical profiles of what my group actually does. (For in fact, anxiety-stricken, middle-class black people make grovelingly good cake-baking neighbors when not made to feel defensive by the unfortunate historical strategies of bombs, burnings or abandonment.) Rather, I was being evaluated based on what an abstraction of White Society writ large thinks we—or I—do, and that imagined “doing” was treated and thus established as a self-fulfilling prophecy. It is a dispiriting message: that some in society apparently not only devalue black people but devalue themselves and their homes just for having us as part of their landscape.

“I bet you’ll keep your mouth shut the next time they plug you into the computer as white,” laughed a friend when he heard my story. It took me aback, this postmodern pressure to “pass,” even as it highlighted the intolerable logic of it all. For by “rational” economic measures, an investment in my property suggests the selling of myself.


Read and React

1. What does the author mean when she writes “All that fresh wholesome milk spilling out running away . . . leaving the dark echoing, upended urn of the inner city”?

2. What are the main issues of what the author calls the “problems of the new rhetoric of racism”?

3. Why has the author titled this article The Skin Color Tax?
Chapter 10

Inequalities of Gender and Age
True or false? Women in the United States lead the world in efforts to achieve job equality with men.

Did you answer “true” to this statement? If so, you may be interested in the following facts. Among industrialized nations, America is surprisingly near the bottom of the list in ranking male/female income equality. Only Luxembourg and Japan have wider gaps than the United States between what men and women earn for doing the same work. Swedish women in manufacturing jobs, for example, earn about 90 percent of the wages paid men, while females in the United States earn only 72 percent of the wages paid men for the same work (U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000a).

Throughout history, men have dominated the social, political, and economic spheres outside the home. Traditionally, women have assumed responsibility for child care and household tasks. These domestic tasks are generally undervalued in industrial societies, where a person’s contributions to society are pegged to monetary rewards. Women—thought to be dependent, passive, and deferring—have usually been considered subordinate to independent, aggressive, and strong men. This division of labor based on sex has almost always led to gender inequality.

This chapter examines how various cultures view gender roles and also how America looks at its aged population.

Sections

1. Sex and Gender Identity
2. Theoretical Perspectives on Gender
3. Gender Inequality
4. Ageism
5. Inequality in America’s Elderly Population

Learning Objectives

After reading this chapter, you will be able to
- distinguish the concepts of sex, gender, and gender identity.
- summarize the perspectives on gender taken by functionalists, conflict theorists, and symbolic interactionists.
- describe the status of women in the United States.
- compare and contrast the ways in which functionalism, conflict theory, and symbolic interactionism approach ageism.
- discuss the inequality experienced by America’s elderly.

Chapter Overview
Visit the Sociology and You Web site at soc.glencoe.com and click on Chapter 10—Chapter Overviews to preview chapter information.
As the above well-known nursery rhyme indicates, when it comes to males and females, most Americans believe that anatomy is destiny. If men and women behave differently, it is assumed to be because of their sex—the biological distinction between male and female. Males are assumed to be naturally more aggressive than women and to be built for providing and protecting. Thought of as being naturally more passive, females are believed to be designed for domestic work. If this popular conception were true, men
and women in all societies would behave uniformly in their unique ways because of inborn biological forces beyond their control. This way of thinking is called biological determinism—the belief that behavioral differences are the result of inherited physical characteristics.

The theory of biological determinism lacks scientific proof. Significant behavioral differences between men and women have not been causally linked to biological characteristics. Although biology may create some behavioral tendencies in the sexes, such tendencies are so weak that they are easily overridden by cultural and social influences (Ridley, 1996; Sapolsky, 1997).

From the moment of birth—on the basis of obvious external biological characteristics—males and females are treated differently. Few parents in American society point with pride to the muscular legs and broad shoulders of their baby girls or to the long eyelashes, rosebud mouth, and delicate curly hair of their baby boys. Rather, parents stress the characteristics and behaviors that fit the society’s image of the ideal male or female, including modes of dress, ways of walking, manner of talking, play activities, and life aspirations.

Girls and boys gradually learn to behave as their parents expect. From this process comes gender identity—an awareness of being masculine or feminine, based on culture. Sociologist Margaret Andersen succinctly captured the difference between sex and gender.

The terms sex and gender have particular definitions in sociological work. Sex refers to the biological identity of the person and is meant to signify the fact that one is either male or female. . . . Gender refers to the socially learned behaviors and expectations that are associated with the two sexes. Thus, whereas “maleness” and “femaleness” are biological facts, becoming a woman or becoming a man is a cultural process. Like race and class, gender is a social category that establishes, in large measure, our life chances and directs our social relations with others. Sociologists distinguish sex and gender to emphasize that gender is a cultural, not a biological, phenomenon (Andersen, 1997).

Sociologists are part of an ongoing debate concerning the reasons for gender differences. At the heart of the debate is the so-called nature versus nurture issue: Does biology or socialization play a greater role in gender differences? Today, research by sociologists and other investigators...
is aimed at answering these questions scientifically. Definitions of masculinity and femininity are now based on research rather than just on tradition and “common knowledge.”

Biology, Culture, and Behavior

As noted earlier, there are obvious biological differences between males and females. Biological differences between the sexes include distinctive muscle-to-bone ratios and how fat is stored. The differences in reproductive organs, however, are much more important, because they result in certain facts of life. Only men can impregnate; only women are able to produce eggs, give birth, and nurse infants. Throughout life reproductive hormones influence development in both males and females.

Are male and female brains different? Recent research indicates that the brains of men and women are slightly different in structure (Gur et al., 1995). For example, men show more activity in a region of the brain thought to be tied to adaptive evolutionary responses such as fighting. Women have more activity in a newer, more highly developed region of the brain thought to be linked to emotional expression. The female brain is less specialized than the male brain. Women tend to use both sides of the brain simultaneously when performing a task. Whereas men tend to process verbal tasks on the left side of the brain, women are more likely to use both sides. Women tend to use both ears when listening and men tend to use the right ear.

Do such biological differences lead to differences in social behavior? This is precisely the
question overlooked by biological determinists who, without evidence, assume that physical differences result in biologically programmed differences in social behavior. It is true that female babies are more sensitive to sound, probably because they listen with both ears rather than one. And male infants and children are more active in play—shouting, yelling, hitting—than females.

Biological determinists point to research that indicates men and women in dozens of different cultures (at varying stages of economic development) are associated with some distinctly different ways of behaving. For example, men and women differ in what they look for in romantic and sexual partners. Men value physical appearance more than women do. Women place more emphasis on social class and income. Men tend to prefer slightly younger mates, while women favor slightly older ones. In addition, males in general tend more toward physical aggressiveness in conflict situations (Buss, Malamuth, and Winstead, 1998).

The fact that such differences appear in many cultures suggests to some people that they have a biological cause. However, we don’t yet know for sure to what extent these differences result from biology or culture, and the debate on this issue can be furious.

**How do sociologists view behavior?** The majority of sociologists argue that gender-related behavior is not primarily the result of biology. They look to culture for clues. In her classic study of three primitive New Guinean peoples, anthropologist Margaret Mead (1950) demonstrated the influence of culture and socialization on gender role behavior.

Among the Arapesh, Mead found that both males and females were conditioned to be cooperative, unaggressive, and empathetic. Both men and women in this tribe behaved in a way that is consistent with the more traditional concept of the female gender role. Among the Mundugumor, in contrast, both men and women were trained to be “masculine”—they were aggressive, ruthless, and unresponsive to the needs of others. In the

**Anthropologist Margaret Mead’s research on primitive cultures added greatly to our knowledge of gender and human nature.**
Tchambuli tribe, the gender roles were the opposite of those found in Western society. Women were dominant, impersonal, and aggressive, and men were dependent and submissive.

On the basis of this evidence, Mead concluded that human nature is sufficiently flexible to rule out biological determination of gender roles. Cross-cultural research since Mead’s landmark work has clearly supported her findings: gender roles are not fixed at birth (Janssen-Jurreit, 1982; Montagu, 1998).

Case studies have also been examined on infants whose parents intentionally treated their children as if they belonged to the opposite sex. Apparently, individuals can fairly easily be socialized into the gender of the opposite sex. What’s more, after a few years, these children resist switching back. In general, research on gender identity indicates that biological tendencies can be greatly influenced by culture and society (Schwartz, 1987; Shapiro, 1990; Ridley, 1996; Sapolsky, 1997).

**What can we conclude from studies about male and female behaviors?**

In general, researchers investigating behavioral differences between the sexes have not been able to prove that any particular behavior has a biological cause. One researcher’s findings tend to contradict another’s.

Any conclusions we reach should also take into account several difficulties with the research. Many studies seek to find differences but ignore the overriding similarities between males and females. To make matters worse, researchers often fail to note the variation that exists within each sex. Some men, for example, tend to be submissive and noncompetitive, and some women are aggressive and competitive.

While biological characteristics exist, they can be modified through social influences. In other words, men and women can learn to be submissive or aggressive by mirroring the behaviors of influential role models, such as parents or siblings. Also, this is a good time to remind ourselves that human behavior is the result of multiple causes.

---

**Section 1 Assessment**

1. How is gender different from sex?
2. How are gender traits acquired?
3. Researchers investigating behavioral differences between the sexes have now proven that several significant behaviors have a biological cause. *T or F?*
4. **Summarizing Information** Suppose that, after your graduation, one of your teachers invites you back to speak to the class on the biological determinism versus socialization debate as it relates to gender. How would you summarize the effects that scientific research on gender has had on males and females of your generation?
In the northern [Native American] Plains cultures, certain women adopted elements of male social behavior, acting aggressive and domineering. The Blackfoot called them *ninau-poskitzipxe*, which means literally “the manly hearted women.” Women ordinarily were passive and docile, but manly hearted women were aggressive and outspoken in public affairs. At the same time they were wives and mothers and were involved in female tasks.

Manly hearted women were invariably wealthy, due in large part to their own industry. They could tan more buffalo robes and produce better quality and greater amounts of quill and beadwork than other women. Many were also medicine women, which not only enhanced their status but also brought them additional wealth. Their wealth was a key factor in their relations with men, because among the Blackfoot wealth and generosity were more highly regarded than bravery and war deeds in determining social status.

Because of their wealth and industry, manly hearted women were major economic assets to their husbands, and thus desirable wives. These same characteristics also made them independent. Within the family a manly hearted woman had an equal say, if not the dominant voice. As a Blackfoot once commented . . . , “It’s easy to spot a manly hearted woman; the husband simply has nothing to say.” Not only did they retain control of their own wealth, but they frequently controlled the property of their husbands as well. Because they were economically more self-sufficient than other women, many manly hearted women chose to divorce their husbands and support their children by their own industry.

Their public behavior also distinguished them. Their wealth made it possible for them always to dress in the finest clothes. Whereas other women modestly covered themselves with shawls and blankets, manly hearted women usually did not.

The Blackfoot Indians thought manly hearted women made good wives.

 Whereas most women were retiring and quiet in public discussions, manly hearted women joined in and even argued with others, “just as though they were men.” Whereas other women were shy at dances, manly hearted women aggressively chose their own partners. They were known for their sharp and cutting remarks, and it was said that a manly hearted woman would “take no lip” from either a man or another woman.


**Thinking It Over**

How would you use this manly hearted women story to argue that gender identity of American women is not biologically determined?
**Functionalism and Gender**

Functionalists argue that any pattern of behavior that does not benefit society will become unimportant. According to functionalism, the division of responsibilities between males and females survived because it benefited human living. Early humans found that the division of labor based on sex was efficient. In part because of their size and muscular strength, men hunted and protected. In addition, men were assigned these dangerous tasks because they were more expendable than women. One male was enough to ensure that the group’s chances of surviving through reproduction; one woman was not. Thus, it hurt the group’s chances of survival less to lose a man.

Today, functionalists recognize that the traditional division of labor has created problems, or dysfunctions, for modern society. These dysfunctions are examined later, in the discussion on gender inequality.

**Conflict Theory and Gender**

According to conflict theory, it is to the advantage of men to prevent women from gaining access to political, economic, and social resources. If men can prevent women from developing their potential, they can maintain...
the status quo. By keeping the traditional division of labor intact, men can preserve the privileges they enjoy.

Perhaps the most recent example of maintaining the gender status quo was found in Afghanistan, when the ruling Taliban militia practiced “gender apartheid.” This gender war trapped women in a way of life unknown elsewhere in the modern world (O’Dwyer, 1999). The Taliban prohibited girls from attending school and banned women from all work outside the home. Women who left home without the protection of a male relative were punished, and the windows of houses were painted black to prevent anyone from catching a glimpse of the women. In public, women remained mute; even the soles of their shoes were soft to prevent wearers from making noise and drawing attention to themselves.

Conflict theorists see traditional gender roles as outdated. Although these conventional roles may have been appropriate in hunting and gathering, horticultural, and agricultural societies, they are inappropriate for the industrial and postindustrial era.

Male physical strength may have been important when hunting was the major means of subsistence, but work in modern society does not place men at an advantage over women in that regard. In addition, demographic characteristics make women today more available for work outside the home. Women are marrying later, are having fewer children, are younger when their last child leaves home, are remaining single in greater numbers, and are increasingly choosing to be single parents. (See Chapter 11 for more information on women and the family.) According to conflict theorists, women who prefer careers in fields formerly reserved for men have every right to make that choice, whether or not it is “functional” for society.

Symbolic Interactionism and Gender

Symbolic interactionists focus on how boys and girls learn to act the way they are “supposed to act.” This process is called gender socialization. Gender is acquired in large part from interaction with parents, teachers, and peers. In addition, gender concepts are taught through the mass media. Indeed, the effect of the media is very powerful.

How do parents contribute to gender socialization? Parents are vitally important in gender socialization because they transfer values and attitudes regarding how boys and girls should behave. The learning of gender begins at birth and is well established by the time the child is two
Women in the Workplace

In most countries of the world, fewer women than men are employed in the labor force. In addition, the higher-paying jobs and better opportunities mostly still go to men. This map shows the percentage of women in various national labor forces.

Interpreting the Map

1. The countries with the highest percentage of women in the workplace include both highly developed countries such as the United States, Germany, and France and relatively undeveloped countries such as Mongolia, Tanzania, and Ethiopia. Can you think of some reasons for this?
2. Create a graph representing any other patterns you may find in this map.


and a half years old (Davies, 1990). Immediately after birth, friends and relatives give gifts “appropriate” to the child’s sex, such as blue or pink blankets, baseball playsuits or frilly dresses, and trucks or dolls. (In fact, when boys do play with dolls, they are called *action figures* to distinguish the boys’ toys from “girl” toys.) Studies of infant care have found that girls are cuddled more, talked to more, and handled more gently than are boys. Parents expect boys to be more assertive than girls are, and they discourage them from clinging.

Gender is also taught and reinforced in the assignment of family chores. In an investigation of almost seven hundred children between the ages of two and seventeen, Lynn White and David Brinkerhoff (1981) found that boys were often given “masculine” jobs, such as cutting grass and shoveling snow. Girls were more often assigned “feminine” chores, such as washing dishes and cleaning up the house.
In what ways do schools reinforce gender socialization? Although the most critical period of gender socialization occurs during early childhood, gender socialization occurs through the schools as well (Martin, 1998). Observation of preschool teachers reveals that many teachers encourage different behaviors from boys and girls. This pattern continues in the elementary school years.

Myra and David Sadker, in an extensive study of fourth-, sixth-, and eighth-grade students, found boys to be more assertive in class. Boys were eight times more likely than girls to call out answers, whereas girls sat patiently with their hands raised. The researchers linked this classroom behavior to the differential treatment given boys and girls by teachers. Teachers were more likely to accept the answers given by boys who called out answers. Girls who called out in class were given such messages as, “In this class we don’t shout out answers; we raise our hands.” According to Sadker and Sadker, the message is subtle and powerful: “Boys should be academically assertive and grab teacher attention; girls should act like ladies and keep quiet” (Sadker and Sadker, 1995).

Other areas in junior high school and high school where gender socialization is concentrated include clothing styles, school elections, social functions, and after-school activities.

In their book Failing at Fairness, the Sadkers examined sexism from elementary school through college. They concluded that, through differential treatment, America’s schools often shortchange females. Academically, girls typically outperform boys in the early years of school. Through the transmission of gender role values, well-intentioned teachers often dampen female competitiveness. Girls, the study concludes, are subtly but systematically taught to be passive, to dislike math and science, and to defer to boys. Females tend to carry these attitudes into adult life and into the working world.

Figure 10.1 Focus on Theoretical Perspectives

Gender Inequality. Each of the major theoretical perspectives can focus on gender inequality in its own unique way. Explain why the examples given fit each theoretical perspective. How would each of the other theories approach the same social arrangement differently?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theoretical Perspective</th>
<th>Social Arrangement</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Functionalism</td>
<td>Gender-based division of labor</td>
<td>Women are expected to perform household tasks for the benefit of society.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conflict Theory</td>
<td>Patriarchy (male domination)</td>
<td>Women are denied high status occupations for the benefit of men.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbolic Interactionism</td>
<td>Favoring males over females in the classroom</td>
<td>Few females believe they can become scientists.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How do peers contribute to gender socialization? Adolescents want to be liked, so acceptance or rejection by peers greatly influences their self-concepts. Teens who most closely mirror traditional gender roles, such as male football players and female cheerleaders, are generally given the greatest respect, whereas “feminine” boys and “masculine” girls are assigned low status. This peer group pressure encourages teenagers to try to conform to idealized role models. To do otherwise is to risk rejection and a significant loss of self-esteem (Erikson, 1964, 1982; Adler and Adler, 1998).

Section 2 Assessment

1. Why do functionalists believe that gender differences have survived?
2. How do symbolic interactionists explain gender roles?
3. How do teachers and peers influence the development of gender concepts?

Critical Thinking

4. Analyzing Information Of the major factors influencing gender identity, which do you think has had the most effect on your development? Explain.
In the not-too-distant past, most doctors were men, who worked closely on a daily basis with female nurses and receptionists who were clearly subordinate to them. This pattern has not disappeared despite the influx of women into the ranks of physicians. In many occupational settings today, most of the executives, supervisors, or higher-level professionals are men, assisted by female secretaries, clerks, aides, or lower-level managers. . . .

When women enter a workplace they frequently find a male hierarchy already established. Whether a woman’s entry creates tensions for herself or others in the workplace presumably depends on the level of the job she takes and the source of any authority inherent in the job. She may come in at a subordinate level as a clerk or receptionist. But if she comes in at the same level as male coworkers, she will be faced with the unaccustomed process of jockeying for position among them, and competing with them for the attention and approval of the people higher up in the hierarchy. If she comes in as a manager or supervisor who has male subordinates, she must learn how to deal with people who may want her job, or who may find it difficult to adjust to being supervised by a woman. Some men believe so strongly in male superiority that they resist women’s advancement up the workplace hierarchy. Such men sometimes say quite explicitly that it would be “an insult to their intelligence” to be supervised by a woman. . . . And there are fairly widespread male beliefs concerning the “natural” (male-dominant) relation between the sexes. In adulthood there is a strong stereotype associating power with masculinity . . . just as there was in childhood, so that women in supervisory positions generate ambivalent reactions in men: are they to treat this woman as a powerful person or a feminine person? If she is seen as feminine, then a man with traditional attitudes might feel it is appropriate to be protective and chivalrous, or at least courteous, while at the same time failing to take her seriously where work-related matters are concerned; clearly, he would expect to be the person who “takes charge” when they interact. Can he forget that a female supervisor is female, and adapt himself to a situation where she is the one who takes charge? It is much easier for men—and perhaps for many women too—to slip into a traditional male boss/female secretary or male doctor/female nurse kind of work relationship in which the “appropriate” power relationships between the sexes are maintained in the workplace hierarchy. Such traditional attitudes may be weakening, but they are still prevalent enough to impede the promotion of women in many situations.


Doing Sociology

Talk to several men and women with work experience. Ask them a few open-ended questions that you make up to test Maccoby’s contention. In your verbal or written report, be specific about similarities or differences in male and female answers.
Women as a Minority Group

Most scientists consider biological determinism to be a moral threat because historically it has been used to rationalize the treatment of some people as inferior. This view, in short, has led to racism and sexism. Sexism is defined as a set of beliefs, attitudes, norms, and values used to justify gender inequality. Just as minorities suffer from the effects of racism, women are hurt by sexism. Sexist ideology—the belief that men are naturally superior to women—has been used and is still being used to justify men’s leadership and power positions in the economic, social, and political spheres of society.

Isn’t sex discrimination disappearing? The answer is yes and no. Some segments of American society now have more positive attitudes about women. And a few women now hold key positions traditionally reserved for men. In 1999, for example, Carleton Fiorina became the first female CEO (chief executive officer) of one of the thirty companies that make up the Dow Jones Industrial Average. In that same year, Eileen Collins became the first female NASA shuttle commander.

Still, a careful examination reveals many gaps in social rights, privileges, and rewards for women in the United States (Valian, 1998). These gaps, although they have closed somewhat in recent years, are reflected in the continuing inequality experienced by American women (Bianchi and Spain, 1996; Riley, 1997).

Occupational and Economic Inequality

By far the most important labor development in the United States over the last thirty years has been the dramatic increase in the number and proportion of women in the workforce. In 1999, 65 percent of women worked outside the home compared with 77 percent of men. That same year, women represented just under 50 percent of the U.S. labor force. (See Figure 10.2 on the next page.)

sexism

a set of beliefs, attitudes, norms, and values used to justify sexual inequality

Hewlett-Packard president and CEO Carleton Fiorina has successfully battled sexism in her career.
The greatest change in patterns of work involves married women with children under six years of age. The proportion of women in this group who work outside the home rocketed from 19 percent in 1960 to 37 percent in 1975 to 64.6 percent in 2000 (U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2001). (A discussion of working women’s effects on the family appears in Chapter 11.)

What kinds of jobs are women doing? Although women are participating in the labor force at increasing levels, they are concentrated in lower-status occupations. This is known as occupational sex segregation. Only 11 percent of engineer positions are held by women, and about 29 percent of attorney jobs. By contrast, women occupy nearly all of the “pink-collar” jobs—secretaries, clerks, stenographers—whose purpose is to support those higher up the occupational ladder (U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000d). Moreover, when women are in high-status occupational groups, they are concentrated in lower-prestige, lower-paid jobs. Female lawyers in firms seldom occupy the higher-level administrative positions. Even within female-dominated occupations, such as public school administration, a disproportionate share of higher positions are filled by men.

Do women earn less than men? As you read in the Using Your Sociological Imagination feature at the beginning of the chapter, there is a

---

**Figure 10.2 Composition of the U.S. Labor Force, by Sex: 1870–2006.**

As this figure demonstrates, the male-female composition of the U.S. labor force has steadily moved toward parity. The female percentage of the labor force has moved from less than 15 percent in 1870 to just under 50 percent today. What do you think is the most important social consequence of this change?

wide discrepancy between the earnings of American women and men. In 1999, women who worked full-time earned only seventy-two cents for every dollar earned by men. To put it another way, women now work about seven days to earn as much as men earn in five days. The good news is that this salary gap has decreased since 1980, when women were earning 60 percent as much as men. (See Figure 10.3 below.)

**Are all occupations affected?** In virtually every occupational category, men's earning power outstrips that of women. The earnings gap persists, regardless of educational attainment. Women in the same professional occupations as men earn less than their male counterparts, as illustrated in Figure 10.4 on the opposite page. This is true even for women who have pursued careers on a full-time basis for all of their adult lives. Furthermore, males in female-dominated occupations typically earn more than women.

**How do American women fare globally?** As noted in the Using Your Sociological Imagination feature opening this chapter, women in the United States do not fare very well economically compared with women in other developed countries. Here, of course, we are talking about relative earning power, or what women earn compared to men—not absolute dollar amounts. Although women in the United States are not at the bottom of the equality list, they are closer to the bottom than the top. In dramatic contrast is Australia, where women earn more than men! (See Figure 10.5.)

![Figure 10.3 What Women Earn Compared to Men.](image)

*This figure traces the ratio of women’s to men’s earnings since 1955. Discuss two important conclusions you can make from these data. Use material in the text to help.*

Legal and Political Inequality

Supporters of women’s rights point to laws that show a bias against women. National, state, and local legal codes, they claim, reflect a sexual bias that results in important differences between the levels of political power of women and men.

What are some biases in law?
An example that women’s groups point to is the U.S. Supreme Court decision that refused to grant women the legal guarantee of health insurance benefits for pregnancy-related medical costs. This was despite the fact that medical coverage for conditions unique to men—such as prostate problems and vasectomies—was routinely provided.

Some states have traditionally refused women the right to keep their own surnames after marriage. Other states have had protective legislation restricting women’s rights. Such protective legislation limited the number of hours women could work. It also limited the conditions under which they could work, with actions such as barring women from toxic areas because of potential birth defects in their children. It even limited the kinds of work they could do by regulating such matters as the amount of weight a woman could be permitted to lift (thirty pounds). Supporters of these laws viewed them as

Figure 10.4 Female-to-Male Earnings: 2000. On average, women in the U.S. earn about 72 cents for every dollar a man earns. In what way do the data in this figure support the contention that gender inequality is real?


Figure 10.5 Women’s Wages Compared with Men’s Wages in Selected Countries. This figure compares what men and women earn in various foreign countries. The data only considers the wages of nonagricultural workers. What does the score of 102 percent for Australia mean?

safeguards against abuse and exploitation of women. However, the end result was that women were denied certain jobs, many of which are better paid than more traditional occupations for women.

Passage of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 nullified such laws, but their practice still lingers. Moreover, the Family and Medical Leave Act (1993), which requires that employees be given up to twelve weeks without pay for childbirth, adoption, personal illness, or caring for a family member with a serious illness, still negatively affects women. Because women are more likely to take maternity leave than men are to take paternity leave, this legislation gives employers another reason to give hiring preference to men.

There are differences by gender in criminal law as well. Certain crimes are typically associated with one gender or the other. For example, laws against prostitution are generally enforced against only the female prostitutes, while their male customers go free.

How do American women stand politically? Women appear to be participating in elective politics at an increasing rate. (See Figure 10.6 above.) Recently, the numbers of female governors, lieutenant governors, attorneys general, and mayors have been growing. Some increases have occurred at the national level as well. In 1984, Geraldine Ferraro became the first female vice-presidential candidate in the history of the United States; Madeleine Albright was named the first female secretary of state in 1996; Elizabeth Dole campaigned for her party’s nomination for president before the election of 2000.

Still, although women constitute more than half the population, they hold a relatively small proportion of important political positions. (See Figure 10.7.)

Figure 10.6 Percentages of Women in Elective Offices. This graph shows the degree of female success in elective politics from 1975 to today. Explain why the figures are still so low.

Source: Center for the American Woman and Politics, National Information Bank on Women in Public Office, Eagleton Institute of Politics, Rutgers University.
**Figure 10.7  Women in National, State, and Local Political Positions, 2002**

This table contains the number and percentage of women today in selected political positions. Describe the types of political offices in which women have been the most successful.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Number of Women</th>
<th>Percentage Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Federal legislative branch</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. representative</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. senator</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House leadership post</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senate leadership post</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Federal judicial branch</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supreme Court justice</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Court of Appeals, chief judge</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. District Court judge</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Federal executive branch</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cabinet member</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive agency head</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal advisor, office of the president</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>State executive branch</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governor</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt. governor</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorney general</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretary of state</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State treasurer</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>State legislative branch</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State representative</td>
<td>1,668</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State senator</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Local executive branch</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayors of 100 largest cities</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayors of cities over 30,000</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All mayors and municipal council members in cities over 10,000</td>
<td>4,513</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Center for the American Woman and Politics, Rutgers University, “Fact Sheet,” 2002.
Women occupied only 13.8 percent of the seats in the U.S. House of Representatives in 2001. And although the number of female U.S. senators increased from two to thirteen over the 1990s, women still represented just 13 percent of the Senate in 2001 (Center for the American Woman and Politics, 2001). Women in Congress have seldom risen to positions of power. Only ten females chair House or Senate standing committees.

The record for women in appointed offices is also poor. Although there have been recent increases in the number of appointments, the total is extremely small. When President Jimmy Carter appointed two women to his cabinet in 1977, it was the first time two women had sat on the Cabinet at one time. President Bill Clinton, almost twenty years later, appointed three women to Cabinet posts. Still, the total number of women who have ever served as Cabinet officers is very small. President Ronald Reagan appointed the first woman Supreme Court justice, Sandra Day O’Connor, in 1981; and President Clinton elevated Ruth Bader Ginsburg to the high court in 1993. Only a small percentage of federal judges are women.

The number of women holding public office in the United States is among the lowest in the Western world. With some notable exceptions, Western European nations have much greater female political participation. In the Scandinavian countries, for example, up to 20 percent of members of parliament are women.

Sociologists Richard Zwiegenhaft and William Domhoff (1998) do point out that women are now part of the power elite. The power elite is no longer the exclusively male group it used to be. Still, women are seriously underrepresented, and most of those women who do join the power elite come from upper-class backgrounds.

Section 3 Assessment

1. Define sexism.
2. Give several examples of legal bias against women.

Critical Thinking

3. Evaluating Information Do you support or oppose affirmative action programs for women in the workplace? Give reasons for your answer.

If women want any rights more than they’ve got, why don’t they just take them, and not be talking about it.

Sojourner Truth
American abolitionist
Although women are still subject to discrimination in some technical careers, such as computer programming and information systems analysis, they are finding more and better opportunities in Internet business fields than in any other area of business. Women have founded and become chief executive officer (CEO) of many high-tech companies, including Marimba, Oxygen Media, ivillage, and Women.com. Kim Polese, CEO of Marimba, was featured on more business magazine covers in 1998 than Bill Gates, founder and CEO of Microsoft.

These unanticipated opportunities for women are due to several factors. First, whereas most American industries developed when women were expected to stay at home, the system that would become the Internet was started only about thirty years ago. The Internet itself did not become really popular until the mid-1990s. By that time, women had already entered the workforce in large numbers and had begun to occupy mid- and upper-level management positions.

Second, women are able to profit from the tremendous demand for experienced marketing managers created by the Internet. Because women are responsible for some 85 percent of purchasing decisions in non-Internet businesses, they have the experience to move into marketing management positions. Internet companies have turned to these women to fill important positions.

Third, the Internet has created an astronomical demand for skilled high-tech workers. American high-tech firms are desperate for workers, and they are turning to women as an underutilized resource.

Of course, not all women entering Internet businesses escape sexism. This trend, nonetheless, is a step toward greater workplace equality. Because the Internet has rapidly become such a large part of the U.S. economy, and because it will only continue to grow, the information age holds considerable promise for gender equality.

Analyzing the Trends

Choose one of the three major theoretical perspectives, and analyze the rise of women in Internet businesses. Use information from this chapter to support your analysis. Predict whether or not the rise of women in Internet businesses will lead to improved gender equality in other workplace arenas.
Defining Ageism

Chronological age is another basis for social ranking. For this reason, sociologists are interested in age stratification—when the unequal distribution of scarce resources (power, wealth, prestige) in a society is based on age. Like inequality based on race, ethnicity, or gender, age stratification must be socially justified. The rationale for aged-based inequality comes in the form of ageism—a set of beliefs, attitudes, norms, and values used to justify prejudice and discrimination against a particular age group. Although age can be an advantage or disadvantage for any group, sociologists are especially interested in inequality among older people. As the median age of the U.S. population grows older, this form of ageism affects more and more people.

Functionalism and Ageism

According to functionalists, elderly people in a given society are treated according to the role the aged play in that society. In many societies, ageism is not an issue. In fact, elderly people in many cultures are treated with great respect and honor. (See the Focus on Research on page 334.)

In agricultural societies, elderly males usually play important roles, such as the role of priest or elder. Donald Cowgill and Lowell Holmes give examples of societies in which the elderly are highly valued.

*In all of the African societies, growing old is equated with rising status and increased respect. Among the Ibo, the older person is assumed to be wise: this not only brings him respect, since he is consulted for his wisdom, it also provides him with a valued role in his society. The Bantu elder is “the Father of His People” and revered as such. In Samoa, too, old age is “the best time of life” and older persons are accorded great respect. Likewise, in Thailand, older persons are honored and deferred to and Adams reports respect and affection for older people in rural Mexico (Cowgill and Holmes, 1972).*
In early colonial America, no stigma was attached to age. In fact, to be elderly brought respect along with the opportunity to fill the most prestigious positions in the community. It was believed that God looked with favor on those who reached old age. The longer one lived, the more likely he or she was to have been chosen to go to heaven. The Bible linked age with living a moral life: “Keep my commandments, for length of days and long life and peace shall they add unto thee.” During the 1600s and 1700s, Americans even tried to appear older than they actually were. Some people wore clothing that made them appear older and covered their hair with powdered wigs. During the 1700s, people often inflated their age when reporting to census takers.

Attitudes about aging changed greatly as industrialization changed the nature of work. In a technical society, an adult’s value lessens when he or she no longer contributes fully to the common good. Thus, aging tends to lead to lower status. Because modern societies change rapidly, younger workers are more likely to possess the current skills needed in the workplace. As individuals get older, their skills are more likely to be out of date in the workplace. Thus, they lack the “wisdom” that is most highly valued.

This loss of status with older age might help explain the increase in the suicide rate for men beginning at about retirement age. (See Figure 10.8.) Men may have greater difficulty in older age than women because they have been socialized in a culture that encourages men to identify strongly with work while they are younger, but denies them a sense of value after retirement.

### Conflict Theory and Ageism

Competition over scarce resources lies at the heart of ageism for the conflict perspective. Elderly people compete with other age groups for economic resources, power, and prestige. In preindustrial societies, older people often get a fair share of the scarce resources. This is because work in preindustrial society is labor intensive, and all available hands must be utilized. Also, the elderly are sources of valuable knowledge about practices and history.

Industrial society, in contrast, usually has more workers than it needs. In addition, industrial societies save scarce resources by replacing high-priced older workers with less costly younger ones. Forced retirement is one way the more powerful age groups remove elderly competitors.
According to conflict theory, prejudice and discrimination are used by the dominant group as weapons in the control of minority groups. If older people can be stereotyped as intellectually dull, closed-minded, inflexible, and unproductive, forcing their retirement from the labor market becomes relatively easy. This leaves more jobs available for younger workers.

### Symbolic Interactionism and Ageism

Like racism, ageism involves creating negative stereotypes. According to symbolic interactionists, children learn negative images of older people just as they learn other aspects of culture. Through the process of socialization, stereotypes of elderly people are often firmly implanted into a child’s view of the world. Negative images of older people have been observed in children as young as three years old (Hillier and Barrow, 1999).

**What are some stereotypes of the elderly?** By definition, stereotypes are inaccurate, because they do not apply to all members of a group. Stereotypes of older people are no exception, as much research has shown. Most elderly people are not senile, forgetful, or “daft.” Old age is not a sexless period for the majority of those over sixty-five. There are few age differences on job-related factors. Most elderly people are able to learn new things and adapt to change (Atchley, 1999).

In summary, there is enough evidence to challenge the truth of popular stereotypes of elderly people. Of course, some older people do fit one or more of these stereotypes (as some young people fit societal stereotypes), and many individuals are likely to fit one or more of them as they reach age seventy. This fact, however, does not justify applying the stereotypes to all older people at any age or for mindlessly applying them to individuals in their fifties and sixties.

### Section 4 Assessment

1. How are sexism and ageism “two sides of the same coin”?

2. Below are several statements about older people. Identify each statement with one major theoretical perspective: functionalism (F), conflict theory (C), or symbolic interactionism (S).
   - a. Ageism results in part from an oversupply of labor.
   - b. Young people are uncomfortable around older people.
   - c. The stigma attached to aging promotes a low self-concept among older people.
   - d. Ageism is associated with industrialization.
   - e. Older people are stereotyped.
   - f. Ageism exists in part because older workers are inefficient.

### Critical Thinking

3. **Evaluating Information** Do you think ageism is a problem in American society? Support your case with information.
Chapter 10  Inequalities of Gender and Age

Section 5

Inequality In America's Elderly Population

Because early research tended to study older people in institutions, studies focused on people with diminished mental and physical capacities. This perspective coincided with the American public's negative view of elderly people. Sociologists believe that the best way to expose this blaming of older people for their situation is to view them as a minority (Hillier and Barrow, 1999).

Racial, ethnic, and religious groups have long been considered as minorities. As you have seen, women have recently been recognized as a minority group. Not until recently have researchers viewed older people as a distinct segment of society subject to the same discrimination and stereotyping as other minority groups.

Economics of the Elderly

The economic situation among America’s older people has improved since 1960, but as a group older Americans are far from being well off. Several factors make it hard to determine exactly how elderly people compare economically with other groups, however. For one thing, the way poverty among older people is measured distorts the real picture.

Why is poverty measured differently for older people? Despite the fact that elderly people spend proportionately more on health care and housing than younger people, the federal government assumes that older people require less money to live. If the standard used for younger age categories were applied to elderly people, their poverty rate would increase from 9.7 percent to 15 percent. Poverty rates also fail to take into account the older people who are officially considered to be “near poor.” These people make up just over 6 percent of the elderly population. Counting these at-risk elderly people, about 16 percent of those over age sixty-five is poor (U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000b).

Nor do official statistics include the “hidden poor” among the elderly population. These older people live either in institutions or with relatives because they cannot afford to live independently. Inclusion of these people would substantially raise the poverty rate for elderly Americans. Unfortunately, life is not this comfortable for a large segment of America’s elderly population.
In the mountains of Western Ireland lies the old agricultural town of Ballybran. Power in this town traditionally lay in the hands of the "old ones," particularly the senior males. Here, sociologist Nancy Scheper-Hughes spent a year doing fieldwork, studying the effects of modernization on the society. She identifies the basic demographic shift that has led to the death of the rural Irish gerontocracy [rule by elders], describes the negative consequences of this change for the elderly, and discusses several areas in which the loss of social standing among the elderly is reflected.

Scheper-Hughes found that with modernization and with dependence on imported food came a lessening of respect for the skills and knowledge of the old farmers.

Underlying this picture, of course, is the devaluing of the agricultural way of life among these people. At an earlier time, the patriarchal father delayed retirement and sparked intense competition among his sons for rights to the family lands. Now heir selection is determined more by the process of elimination than the choice of the father—"the last one to escape (usually the youngest son) gets stuck by default with an unproductive farm and saddled with a life of celibacy and greatly resented service to the 'old people' " (Scheper-Hughes, 1983:134).

The result of all this for the aged parents is fairly clear: They no longer have the economic power base they once used to control the younger generation and to maintain their superior status in the family and community. Because young people prefer to be "liberated" from the land, the "old ones" control little that the youth want. The awe and respect for the elderly that once characterized the community has, in many cases, been replaced by not only pity but also contempt. The demise of the traditional family farming–based culture leaves the elderly father, in Scheper-Hughes's words, a "broken figure." Toleration from his adult children is the most he can expect, open ridicule the worst. With the erosion of their economic power, the elderly have also lost their cherished role as preservers of the ancient Celtic traditions—the myths, stories, songs, prayers, and proverbs. In fact, the young tend to reject these traditions. Worse, the majority of high school students resent having to study the Irish language, a "dead" language that they believe will be of no use to them in the commercial and professional world outside the rural community.
Ageism has had many negative effects on these older people. Without a meaningful work identity, the once-proud leaders have no sense of place in the community. Alcoholism, diminished self-esteem, and depression are widely seen among those over age fifty. Many are single, widows or widowers, without family or friends to take care of them. Scheper-Hughes writes, “The Irish village of the west coast today embodies a broken culture; a state of affairs most detrimental to the aged who are unable to flee or accept new values, and who, consequently, are left to contemplate the wreckage” (Scheper-Hughes, 1983:145).

Working with the Research

1. Which research methods are best suited to a study like this? Explain.
2. Which theoretical perspective do you think contributes most to how we can understand what happened in this village?
The income gap among elderly people also distorts the economic picture. Some older people have moderate to high incomes based on dividends from assets, cash savings, and private retirement programs. Most elderly Americans, however, do not have sources of income beyond Social Security benefits. The existence of a small percentage of high-income older people gives the false impression that most older people are economically well off. Figure 10.9 shows the poverty rate for elderly people since 1960.

What other factors affect elderly Americans?

Older people who are members of racial or ethnic minority groups are generally in worse condition than older white Americans. The poverty rate among older African Americans is three times that for whites. For older Latinos, the poverty rate is more than two and one-half times that of non-Latino white Americans. Problems that racial and ethnic minorities face because of discrimination become magnified in old age.

Elderly women constitute one of the poorest segments of American society. Women over age sixty-five are twice as likely to live in poverty than their male counterparts (U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1996b). Elderly women most likely to be poor are single women who either have never married or are divorced, separated, or widowed. This is not surprising, because the roots of poverty among older women lie in their work-related experiences. Because older women were discouraged or blocked from better jobs throughout their work lives, they are unable to support themselves in their later years (Sidel, 1996).

Overall, what is the economic position of older people in the United States? In summary, then, elderly people are economically better off than they were four decades ago. Despite this improvement, large segments of Americans over sixty-five years of age live either in poverty or near poverty. This is especially true for elderly members of racial and ethnic minorities and for elderly women.
Political Power and the Elderly

Given the limited economic resources of older people, it is clear that any power they hold is gained through the political process. Especially important are the voting booth and political interest groups.

What is the voting turnout among elderly Americans? Voting turnout in the United States increases with age. Since the mid-1980s, Americans aged sixty-five and over have been the most active voters in presidential and congressional elections. In 1996, for example, 67 percent of this group voted in the presidential election, compared with just over 30 percent of eighteen- to twenty-four-year-olds and just over 49 percent of twenty-five- to forty-four-year-olds (U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1997a).
Does voting lead to political power? Most analysts believe that the potential political power of elderly Americans as a group is not fully realized because of the diversity of the older population. Because older people cut across many important divisions in American society—social class, ethnicity, race, geographic area, religion—they do not speak with a unified political voice. In fact, they do not vote as a bloc on any political question, even on issues related directly to their interests. This lack of unity weakens their political clout. As the population of the United States ages, however, and the number of elderly voters increases, it is possible that “gray power” may become a significant political force.

What is the role of interest groups? Interest groups are organized to influence political decision making. Millions of Americans belong to interest groups that target ageism, such as the American Association for Retired Persons (AARP) and the Gray Panthers. These groups have been effective in protecting programs that benefit older Americans, such as Medicare and Social Security.

Section 5 Assessment

1. Of the following, which is an accurate statement?
   a. Since 1960, the economic situation for elderly people in the United States has deteriorated.
   b. The poverty rate for Americans over age sixty-five is lower than the official count indicates.
   c. Problems of older Americans who are members of racial or ethnic minorities are generally more severe than problems of elderly whites.
   d. Older Americans are politically vulnerable.

2. What can older Americans do to increase their impact on government policy and legislation?

Critical Thinking

3. Drawing Conclusions Create mini-profiles of five elderly people you know. Identify them by racial, ethnic, gender, and occupational group. Interview each of them briefly about their main concerns regarding aging in America. What conclusions can you draw from these interviews?
Reviewing Vocabulary

Complete each sentence using each term once.

a. gender identity  

b. sex  

c. gender socialization  

d. sexism  

e. occupational sex segregation  

f. ageism  

g. biological determinism  

h. age stratification  

i. interest group

1. An organization that attempts to influence political decision making is called ____________.

2. ____________ is the unequal distribution of scarce resources based on age.

3. The classification of people as male or female based on biological characteristics is called ____________.

4. ____________ is the concentration of one gender in certain occupations.

5. ____________ is a set of beliefs, attitudes, norms, and values used to justify sexual inequality.

6. The belief that behavioral differences are due to inherited physical characteristics is called ____________.

7. ____________ is a set of beliefs, attitudes, norms, and values used to justify age-based prejudice and discrimination.

8. ____________ is an awareness of being masculine or feminine.

9. The social process of learning how to act as a boy or girl is called ____________.

Reviewing the Facts

1. According to the functionalist perspective, what was the main result of the division of labor?

2. Which sociological perspective emphasizes the effects of parents, teachers, and peers on gender socialization?
3. How would you explain the increase in women’s participation in the labor force?

4. Give one reason why the gap between men’s and women’s salaries persists.

5. What segment of the poor population is often not included in the statistics on elderly poor people?

6. According to the text, what is the best way that the elderly can effect social change on their own behalf?

Thinking Critically

1. Analyzing Information A common phrase in sociology is “you inherit your sex and learn your gender.” What do you think this phrase means?

2. Applying Concepts Physical strength is not as important for males today as it was in past times. The nature of work has changed so that not as many jobs require stamina or physical strength. What impact do you think this devaluing of muscle strength has on society? List five attitudes or values that could be affected.

3. Evaluating Information Certain jobs, such as firefighting, still require great physical strength and stamina. Often, these positions have minimum requirements to ensure that employees can fulfill all the necessary duties. Because of biology, men generally find it easier to meet many of these physical requirements. Some cities have responded by lowering the standards for women to ensure that women are represented in these vocations. Other cities have refused and have been subjected to discrimination lawsuits. What are some arguments for and against the policy of lowering standards to ensure representation of women in certain jobs? Are there situations in which you would allow different standards? Are there situations in which you would not? Discuss your views.

4. Making Inferences Some schools have experimented with girls-only classes. Research seems to suggest that this situation helps to increase self-esteem in young girls. Do you think your schoolwork would improve if you attended a girls-only or boys-only school? Do you think there are differences in the way that girls and boys learn?

5. Drawing Conclusions U.S. society has definite expectations about female and male roles. What are the gender norms concerning personal appearance? Do these norms work against women? Do they work against males?

6. Categorizing Information Elderly people remain a forgotten population in our society. We place them in nursing homes, or we complain that they drive too slowly. How might our society take advantage of the natural skills, knowledge, and wisdom of older people? Suggest three ways in which your community could benefit from programs and activities aimed at utilizing these strengths. Use the diagram below to summarize your suggestions.

7. Making Inferences The text discusses some of the stereotypes associated with older people. Using recent research on these stereotypes, do you think the norms underlying ageism will diminish? Check your thoughts against the opinions of a few elderly people.

Sociology Projects

1. Advertising and the Image of Women Search old magazines and newspapers to create a scrapbook of how marketers can use gender negatively (to encourage stereotypes, for example) or positively (to create new images). Gather several magazines with lots of advertising that you have permission to cut up. (Waiting rooms in doctors’ and dentists’ offices and car repair shops are good sources—just be sure to ask permission!) Carefully cut out twenty ads that feature women. Paste each ad to a sheet of paper. Then label each ad accord-
ing to one of the negative or positive criteria listed below. Feel free to make up your own categories if needed.

a. reinforces a female stereotype
b. uses sex appeal to sell a product
c. encourages a woman to be beautiful
d. idealizes youthful appearance
e. reinforces gender roles of children
f. generally offensive, degrading, or insulting
g. shows a woman in a leadership role
h. shows a woman in a nontraditional role
i. shows a minority woman in a professional capacity

When you have completed your “scrapbook,” analyze the ads for negative and positive uses of gender marketing. Write a brief paragraph summarizing your findings.

2. **Gender**  This activity is a thought problem and requires a great deal of imagination. What would your day be like if you awoke one morning and suddenly found that you had changed gender? As you go about your day, think of everything that would be different if you were a member of the opposite sex. Write down several things that stand out as you go through the day. For example, if you are on a sports team, would you likely be on that team if you changed gender? Would you be playing a different sport?

3. **Lifestyles for the Elderly**  Create a poster or other visual aid that depicts how life has changed for elderly people during the twentieth century. You may want to talk to grandparents or others about what life was like for older people in the past. How do you think longevity and health factors have changed lifestyle for older people?

4. **Create a Skit**  Instead of a visual aid as suggested in the previous activity, interview an elderly person and create a skit based upon his or her remarks. Some of the questions you may want to ask include

   a. What do you consider to be the joys or rewards of aging?
   b. What are some of the problems or disadvantages?
   c. How were you affected by your retirement? Was it a positive or negative experience?
   d. What is your view of teenagers today?

5. **Observation**  Observe people of all ages in a variety of settings, such as restaurants, malls, and offices. Do their clothing and actions fit age-appropriate norms of our culture? What exceptions do you note?

6. **The Elderly and Advertising**  Analyze television commercials for the way older people are portrayed. How frequently are older people represented in advertising material? What types of products do they normally market? Is a pattern or stereotype being perpetuated by the television advertising community?

---

**Technology Activity**

1. The Center for the American Woman and Politics is a useful web site to find facts about women elected to public office in the United States. Go to its web site at [http://www.rci.rutgers.edu/~cawp/](http://www.rci.rutgers.edu/~cawp/). Select “State by State Fact Sheets” and then click on your state in the map that appears.

   a. How many women are currently serving in your state’s legislature?
   b. Where does your state rank in comparison to other states?
   c. When was a woman first elected to a statewide office or to the U.S. Congress?
   d. Now click on “Facts Main Page” and select “Findings at a Glance” under the Publications heading. On page 4 of this document, find the three issues where gender differences in priorities were the greatest. What were they?
   e. On page 7, were women more or less likely to conduct legislative business in the public view?
   f. Based on your review of the “Findings at a Glance,” do you think women make effective elected officials?
The Story of Baby X
by Lois Gould

"Once upon a time, a baby named X was born. This baby was named X so that nobody could tell whether it was a boy or a girl." So begins a children’s story by Lois Gould about gender stereotypes.

X was given to Mr. and Ms. Jones, a couple carefully screened from thousands of applicants, as an experiment. The Joneses were to follow only one rule: X was not to be socialized as masculine or feminine, but was to learn everything a child could. Assisted by a heavy Official Instruction Manual, the Joneses promised to follow this rule as closely as possible. They agreed to take equal turns feeding and caring for X, to spend as much time bouncing as cuddling the baby, and to praise X for being strong just as often as for being sweet. But trouble began almost right away when the Joneses’ friends and relatives asked whether X was a boy or a girl.

When the Joneses smiled and said “It’s an X!” nobody knew what to say. They couldn’t say, “Look at her cute little dimples.” And they couldn’t say “Look at his husky little biceps!” And they couldn’t even say just plain “kitchy-coo.” In fact, they all thought that the Joneses were playing some kind of rude joke.

The Joneses were, of course, being quite serious, but all the same, other people became irritated and embarrassed:

“People will think there’s something wrong with it!” some of them whispered.

“There is something wrong with it!” others whispered back.

And what did baby X think about all the fuss? It simply finished its bottle with a loud and satisfied burp.

Finding toys for X was another problem. The first trip to the toy store brought this immediate question from the store clerk: “Well, now, is it a boy or a girl?” In the storekeeper’s mind, footballs and fire engine sets were for boys and dolls and housekeeping sets were for girls. But the Joneses knew that they had to be sure baby X had all kinds of toys to play with, including:

- a boy doll that made pee-pee and cried “Pa-Pa.” And a girl doll that talked.
- They also bought a storybook about a brave princess who rescued a handsome prince from his ivory tower, and another one about a sister and brother who grew up to be a baseball star and a ballet star, and you had to guess which was which.

But the biggest problem came when X was old enough to begin school, where the children were treated according to their sex. Boys and girls lined up separately, played games separately, and, of course, used different bathrooms. The other children had never met an X before, and just bad to know what its sex really was. But the Joneses had raised X very carefully so that there was no easy answer:

You couldn’t tell what X was by studying its clothes; overalls don’t button right-to-left, like girl’s clothes, or left-to-right, like boy’s clothes. And you couldn’t tell whether X had a girl’s short haircut or a boy’s long haircut. And it was very hard to tell by the games X liked to play. Either X...
played ball very well for a girl, or else X played house very well for a boy.

The other children found X a very strange playmate: one day it would ask boys to weave some baskets in the arts and crafts room, and the next day it would ask some girls to go shoot baskets in the gym. But X tried very hard to be friendly to everyone and to do well in school. And X did very well in school, winning spelling bees, athletic events and coming in second in a baking contest (even X’s aren’t perfect). As other children noticed what a good time X was having in school, they began to wonder if maybe X wasn’t having twice as much fun as they were!

From then on, some really funny things began to happen. Susie who sat next to X in class, suddenly refused to wear pink dresses to school any more. She insisted on wearing red-and-white checked overalls—just like X’s. Overalls, she told her parents, were much better for climbing monkey bars. Then Jim, the class football nut, started wheeling his little sister’s doll carriage around the football field. He’d put on his entire football uniform, except for the helmet. Then he’d put the helmet in the carriage, lovingly tucked under an old set of shoulder pads. Then he’d start jogging around the field. He told his family that X did the same thing, so it must be okay. After all, X was now the team’s star quarterback.

But this kind of behavior in the children horrified their parents. And when Peggy started using Joe’s hockey skates while Joe enjoyed using Peggy’s needlepoint kit, matters went from bad to worse. X was to blame for all this! So the Parents’ Association at school demanded that X be identified as a boy or a girl and be forced to act accordingly. A psychiatrist was asked to conduct a full examination and report back to the parents. If, as most suspected, X was found to be a very confused child, it should be expelled from school altogether.

The teachers were puzzled by this; after all, X was one of their very best students. But the school—as well as the Joneses—finally agreed to let X be examined.

The next day the psychiatrist arrived at the school and began a long examination of X while everyone waited anxiously outside. When the psychiatrist finally emerged from the examination room, the results were not what most people expected. “In my opinion,” the psychiatrist told them, “young X here is just about the least mixed up child I’ve ever examined!” The doctor explained that by the time the X’s sex really mattered, everyone would know what it was.

This, of course, made the Joneses very happy and delighted the scientists who had begun the experiment in the first place. And later that day, X’s friends (dressed in red-and-white checked overalls) came over to X’s house to play. They found X in the backyard playing with a new tiny baby.

“How do you like our new baby?” X asked the other children proudly.

“It’s got cute dimples,” said Jim.

“It’s got husky biceps, too,” said Susie.

“What kind of baby is it?” asked Joe and Peggy.

X frowned at them. Can’t you tell? Then X broke into a big mischievous grin. “It’s a Y!”


Read and React

1. What was your first reaction to this story?
2. Summarize the underlying hypothesis in the Baby X story.
3. Could a scientific experiment be constructed to test this hypothesis? If so, describe it. If not, explain why.
4. Discuss the ethical implications of such an experiment if one were conducted.
5. How does propaganda regarding childrearing affect differences in the socialization of males and females?
Test your knowledge about the American family by identifying the following statements as true or false.

1. About half of the couples in the United States who marry will divorce.
2. A new family structure develops after divorce.
3. High school sweethearts who marry have a less than 10 percent chance of being together twenty years later.
4. In more than half of all marriages, both the husband and wife work outside the home.
5. The divorce rate has been steadily climbing since 1960.

If you thought the first four questions were true and the last question was false, then you probably have a good sense of what is happening with marriage and families in the United States. It is true that the divorce rate is higher in the United States than in many other industrialized nations. However, recent data on divorce provide some grounds for optimism. Although the divorce rate rose dramatically from 1960 to 1985, the last fifteen years have actually seen a decline in the rate of divorce.

The next five chapters in this unit will look at family, education, economics, politics, religion, and sports. Sociologists refer to each of these as a social institution—a system of statuses, roles, norms and social structures that are organized to satisfy some particular basic needs of society. Chapter 11 focuses on the most important of these institutions—the family.
Defining the Family

If asked to identify a family, most of us would say we know one when we see one. We are surrounded by families wherever we go, and most of us live in family settings. However, families come in all shapes and sizes, and defining the term *family* is sometimes difficult. Legally, the word *family* is used to describe many relationships: parents and children; people related by blood, marriage, or adoption; a group of people living together in a single household, sharing living space and housekeeping. Since the word *family*...
does not have a precise meaning, many laws define the term when they use it. For example, zoning laws that set aside certain areas for single-family homes define family one way. Laws involving insurance, social security, or inheritance may define family in other ways. For sociologists, however, family is defined as a group of people related by marriage, blood, or adoption. While the concept of family may appear simple on the surface, the family is a complex social unit with many facets. Of all the social institutions, the family has the greatest impact on individual behavior.

The family we are born into, or the family of birth, is called the family of orientation. It provides children with a name, an identity, and a heritage. In other words, it gives the child an ascribed status in the community. The family of orientation “orients” (or directs) children to their neighborhood, community, and society and locates them in the world.

The family of procreation is established upon marriage. Marriage is a legal union between a man and a woman based on mutual rights and obligations. (Marriages between two persons of the same sex have been ruled legally invalid by U.S. courts.) The marriage ceremony signifies that it is legal (officially sanctioned) for a couple to have offspring and to give the children a family name. The family of procreation becomes the family of orientation for the children created from the marriage.

Two Basic Types of Families

There are two basic types of families. The nuclear family, the smallest group of individuals that can be called a family, is composed of a parent or parents and any children. The extended family consists of two or more adult generations of the same family whose members share economic resources and live in the same household. Extended families may also contain close relatives, such as grandparents, children, grandchildren, aunts, uncles, and cousins.

family
- a group of people related by marriage, blood, or adoption

marriage
- a legal union based on mutual rights and obligations

nuclear family
- family structure composed of one or both parents and children

extended family
- two or more adult generations of the same family whose members share economic resources and a common household

Why would sociologists not call these relatives an extended family?

The family is the essential presence—the thing that never leaves you, even if you have to leave it.

Bill Buford
writer
How did family structures develop? As discussed in Chapter 5, the development of agriculture and industry shaped society. These developments also shaped family structure.

In the earliest societies, hunting and gathering were the primary family activities. Small bands of nuclear families followed herds of animals and changing seasons, moving around constantly, never staying long in any one place.

When humans domesticated animals to help with tilling the soil and cultivating crops (about ten thousand years ago), they no longer needed to be mobile to maintain a food supply. Families began to farm, settle down, and establish roots. Large families were needed to plow and harvest. The growth of family farms encouraged the development of the extended family. Agriculture became the basis of the economy, and the extended family was essential for successful farming.

As societies moved from agricultural economies to industrialized ones, the extended family was slowly replaced by the nuclear family. Large families were no longer needed to work on the farm. Industrial and postindustrial economies favor the nuclear family that has fewer mouths to feed and that is easier to move (Goode, 1970; Nydeggar, 1985).

Patterns of Family Structure

Whether nuclear or extended, families behave in similar ways across cultures. These patterns of behavior relate to inheritance, authority, and place of residence.

Who inherits? Determining who becomes head of the family—for purpose of descent—and who owns the family property—for inheritance—are extremely important to families. Three arrangements are used.

❖ In a **patrilineal** arrangement, descent and inheritance are passed from the father to his male descendants. The people of Iran and Iraq and the Tikopia in the western Pacific live in patrilineal societies.

❖ In a **matrilineal** arrangement, descent and inheritance are transmitted from the mother to her female descendants. Some Native American tribes, such as the Pueblo peoples of the Southwest, are matrilineal.

❖ In some societies, descent and inheritance are **bilateral**—they are passed equally through both parents. Thus both the father’s and mother’s relatives are accepted equally as part of the kinship structure. Most families in the United States today are bilateral.

Who is in authority? Similar patterns govern authority in a family.

❖ In a **patriarchy**, the oldest man living in the household has authority over the rest of the family members. We see this in many countries around the world, such as Iraq and China. In its purest form, the father is the absolute ruler.

❖ In a **matriarchy**, the oldest woman living in the household holds the authority. So rare is matriarchal control that controversy exists over whether any society has ever had a genuinely matriarchal family structure.
With **equalitarian** control, authority is split evenly between husband and wife. Many families in the Scandinavian countries and in the United States follow the equalitarian model.

**Where do couples live?** Where newly married couples set up their households also varies from culture to culture.

- The **patrilocal** pattern, such as in premodern China, calls for living with or near the husband’s parents.
- Residing with or near the wife’s parents is expected under a **matrilocal** pattern. The Nayar caste of Kerala in southern India is an illustration of this type of arrangement.
- In the **neolocal** pattern (if finances allow) married couples establish residences of their own. This is the Euro-American model. Extended families, of course, have different norms.

**Marriage Arrangements**

Mention a wedding and Americans commonly think of a bride walking down the aisle in a long white gown. She and the groom make vows that involve some form of loving, honoring, and (until recently, in some cases) obeying. In other cultures, the wedding ceremony looks very different. This is part of the ceremony among the Reindeer Tungus of Siberia:

*After the groom’s gifts have been presented, the bride’s dowry is loaded onto the reindeer and carried to the groom’s lodge. There, the rest of the ceremony takes place. The bride takes the wife’s place—that is, at the right side of the entrance of the lodge—and members of both families sit around in a circle. The groom enters and follows the bride around the circle, greeting each guest, while the guests, in their turn, kiss the bride on the mouth and hands. Finally, the go-betweens spit three times on the bride’s hands, and the couple is formally “husband and wife.” More feasting and revelry bring the day to a close (Ember and Ember, 1999:310–311).*

Whatever form it takes, the marriage ceremony is an important ritual announcing that a man and woman have become husband and wife, that a new family has been formed, and that any children born to the couple can legitimately inherit the family name and property.

**What forms do marriage take?** Monogamy—the marriage of one man to one woman—is the most widely practiced form of marriage in the world today. In fact, it is the only form of marriage that is legally...
acceptable in the United States and in most Western societies. Some often-
married people practice serial monogamy—having several husbands or wives, 
but being married to only one at a time.

In contrast to monogamy, polygamy involves the marriage of a male or 
female to more than one person at a time. It takes two forms: polygyny and 
polyandry.

Polygyny is the marriage of one man to two or more women at the same 
time. An obvious example of polygyny is found in the Old Testament. King 
Solomon is reported to have had seven hundred wives and three hundred 
concubines. Although common in earlier societies and still legal in India, 
parts of Africa, and much of the Middle East, polygyny is not practiced 
widely in any society today. However, in 1999 the Muslim Russian republic 
of Ingushetia legalized the practice of polygyny.

Polyandry—the marriage of one woman to two or more men at the same 
time—is an even rarer form of marriage. It is known to have been common 
in only three societies: in Tibet, in parts of Polynesia, and among the Todas 
and other hill peoples of India (Queen et al., 1985). Where polyandry has ex-
isted, it usually has consisted of several brothers sharing a wife.

You have been introduced to a lot of new terms that relate to family struc-
ture and marriage arrangements. Figure 11.1 illustrates several of the charac-
teristics of these family and marriage forms to help you understand and 
remember them.

**Figure 11.1 Families/Marriages**

This chart summarizes possible variations in family and marriage forms. Describe the general nature of the 
American family using terms from this table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nuclear Family Composition</th>
<th>parents and children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extended Family Composition</td>
<td>parents, children, and other relatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inheritance</td>
<td>patrilineal (inherit through the father) or matrilineal (inherit through the mother) or bilateral (inherit through both)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authority</td>
<td>patriarchal (father rules the family) or matriarchal (mother rules the family) or equalitarian (parents share authority)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence</td>
<td>patrilocal (couple lives with or near husband's parents) or matrilocal (couple lives with or near wife's parents) or neolocal (couple lives apart from both sets of parents)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marriage Composition</td>
<td>polygyny (one husband, many wives) or polyandry (one wife, many husbands) or monogamy (one husband, one wife)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Choosing a Mate

Suppose you came home from school one afternoon and your parents asked you to come into the living room to meet your future husband or wife. You might wonder if you had somehow been beamed to another planet. Similarly, you will probably never enroll in a college course entitled “Negotiating Dowries with Prospective In-laws,” this being a skill not much in demand today. If, however, you assume that you have complete freedom of choice in the selection of a marriage partner, you are mistaken. All cultures and societies, including the United States, have norms and laws about who may marry whom.
Exogamy refers to mate-selection norms requiring individuals to marry someone outside their kind or group. (Exo is a prefix meaning “outside.”) The most important norms relating to exogamy are called incest taboos, which forbid marriage between certain kinds of relatives. In the traditional Chinese culture, for example, two people with identical family names could not marry unless their family lines were known to have diverged at least five generations previously (Queen et al., 1985). In the United States, you are not legally permitted to marry a son or daughter, a brother or sister, a mother or a father, a niece or nephew, or an aunt or uncle. In twenty-nine states, marriage to a first cousin is prohibited. Furthermore, it is illegal to marry a former mother-in-law or father-in-law. Incest is almost universally prohibited, although exceptions were common among the royalty of ancient Europe, Hawaii, Egypt, and Peru. Even in these instances, most members of the royal families chose partners to whom they were not related by blood.

Endogamy involves mate-selection norms that require individuals to marry within their own kind. (Endo is a prefix that means “inside.”) In the United States, for example, norms have required that marriage partners be of the same race. These norms are not as strong as they once were. Although they represent only five percent of all marriages in the United States, mixed marriages have quadrupled since 1980. Figure 11.2 shows the racial and ethnic breakdown of intergroup marriages today. Also, class lines are crossed with greater frequency because more Americans of all social classes are attending college together. Finally, norms separating age groups have weakened.

Norms encouraging (rather than requiring) marriage within a group usually exist. And people are most likely to know and prefer to marry others like themselves. For these reasons, people tend to marry those with social characteristics similar to their own. This tendency, the result of the rather free exercise of personal choice, is known as homogamy.

For example, in spite of what fairy tales and movies often tell us, it is rare for the son or daughter of a multimillionaire to marry someone from a lower
class. Furthermore, most marriages in the United States occur between individuals who are about the same age. Most people who are marrying for the first time marry someone who also has not been married before. Divorced people tend to marry others who have been previously married. Finally, people tend to choose marriage partners from their own communities or neighborhoods.

Although it is still the exception in the United States, **heterogamy** is rising. In heterogamous marriages, partners are dissimilar in some important characteristics. More American marriages, for instance, are crossing traditional barriers of age, race, social class, and ethnicity. This trend results from several factors. America has become more racially and ethnically integrated, so that people have an opportunity to mix more freely. The television and film industries help foster heterogamy by the sympathetic portrayal of couples and families from different racial and social backgrounds. In addition, class lines are crossed with greater frequency, and norms separating age groups have weakened.

**Section 1 Assessment**

1. What is the difference between a nuclear and an extended family? Which type represents your household?
2. Why are nuclear families more common in industrial societies?
3. What is another term for the family of birth?
4. Indicate whether exogamy (Ex), endogamy (En), or homogamy (H) is reflected in each of the following situations.
   a. Catholics are supposed to marry Catholics.
   b. A father is not permitted to marry his daughter.
   c. Members of the same social class marry.
   d. A brother and sister are legally prohibited from marrying.
   e. People tend to marry others of the same age.

**Critical Thinking**

5. **Synthesizing Information** Write a paragraph based on personal knowledge or experience that supports or refutes the idea that homogamy dominates American society.
Courtship and marriage customs among the Hopi Indians of the southwestern United States are quite different from those of the dominant U.S. culture.

Once the decision to marry is made by the young couple, the boy goes in the evening after supper to the girl's house and there states his intentions to her parents. If he is acceptable, he is told to go home and tell his parents about it. The girl then grinds cornmeal or makes bread, and carries it to the house of her prospective groom. At this time the mother of the boy may refuse the bread or meal, in which case the match is usually broken off. If, however, the food is accepted, it is given by the mother to her brothers and to her husband's clansmen, and the wedding plans go forward.

After this event the girl returns home to grind more meal with the help of her kinswomen, while the boy fetches water and chops wood for his mother. In the evening after these chores are completed, the bride dresses in her manta beads and her wedding blanket. Accompanied by the boy, who carries the meal she has ground, she walks barefoot to his house. There she presents the meal to her prospective mother-in-law and settles down for a temporary three-day stay before the wedding. During this period the young couple may see each other, but they [do not become intimate].

At some time during the three-day period the groom's house is visited, or “attacked,” by his paternal aunts, who break in on the bride and shower her with [abusive language] and often with mud. They accuse her of laziness, inefficiency, and stupidity. The boy’s mother and her clanswomen protect the girl and insist that the accusations are unfounded. In spite of appearances all this is carried off in a good-humored way, and finally the aunts leave, having stolen the wood their nephew had brought his mother. The wood is used to bake piki, which is given to the mother, and thus all damages are paid for.

On the morning of the fourth day the marriage is consummated. On this occasion the girl's relatives wash the boy's hair and bathe him, while the boy's relatives do the same for the girl. The couple may now sleep together as man and wife, but they remain at the boy's mother's house until the girl's wedding garments are complete. These garments are woven by the groom, his male relatives, and any men in the village who wish to participate.


Thinking It Over

1. What do you think the staged “fight” with the groom’s aunts signifies?
2. What are some of the advantages Hopi society gains by following these wedding customs?
Chapter 11  The Family

Section 2  Theoretical Perspectives and the Family

Key Term

- socioemotional maintenance

Functionalism

For the functionalists, the family plays many roles, including socializing the young, providing social and emotional support, managing reproduction, regulating sexual activity, transmitting social status, and serving as an economic center. Let’s look more closely at each of these functions.

How does the family socialize children? In addition to caring for an infant’s physical needs, parents begin the vital process of teaching the child what he or she must learn to learn to participate in society. During the first year, the infant begins to mimic words and, later, sentences. During the second and third years, parents begin to teach the child values and norms of behavior. By being role models and through training and education, the family continues the process of socialization in each new stage of development.

What is the socioemotional function of the family? Another major function of the family is socioemotional maintenance. Generally, the family is the one place in society where an individual is unconditionally accepted and loved. Family members accept one another as they are; every member is special and unique. Without this care and affection, children will not develop normally. (See Chapter 4, pages 109–114, on children raised in isolation.) They may have low self-esteem, fear rejection, feel insecure, and eventually find it difficult to adjust to marriage or to express affection to their own children. Even individuals who are well integrated into society require support when adjusting to changing norms and in developing and continuing healthy relationships. Here again, the family can provide socioemotional maintenance.

What do functionalists believe about the roles associated with this father and daughter?

Section Preview

The family is the very core of human social life. It is not surprising that each of the major perspectives focuses on the family. Functionalism emphasizes the benefits of the family for society. The conflict perspective looks at the reasons males dominate in the family structure. Symbolic interactionism studies the way the family socializes children and promotes the development of self-concept.
Figure 11.3 **American Youths Grade Their Parents**

In a national survey, Americans in the seventh through the twelfth grades were asked to “grade” their mothers and fathers. The results are shown below. The left-hand column lists various aspects of child rearing, and the remaining columns indicate the percentage of students who assigned each grade. For example, on the dimension “Raising me with good values,” 69 percent gave their fathers an A, 17 percent a B, and so forth.

### Grading Dad

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aspect of Child Rearing</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raising me with good values</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appreciating me for who I am</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encouraging me to enjoy learning</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Making me feel important and loved</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Being able to go to important events</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Being there for me when I am sick</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spending time talking with me</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establishing traditions with me</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Being involved in school life</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Being someone to go to when upset</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controlling his temper</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowing what goes on with me</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Grading Mom

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aspect of Child Rearing</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Being there for me when I am sick</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raising me with good values</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Making me feel important and loved</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Being able to go to important events</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appreciating me for who I am</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encouraging me to enjoy learning</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Being involved in school life</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Being someone to go to when upset</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spending time talking with me</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establishing traditions with me</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowing what goes on with me</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controlling her temper</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Based on this data, what conclusions would you draw about the closeness of families in America?
2. Select the three aspects of child rearing you think are most important, and compare the grade you would give your parent or parents on these aspects with the grades in this national sample.

What is the reproductive function of the family?  Society cannot survive without new members. The family provides an orderly means for producing new members, generation after generation. So important is this function that for many cultures and religions, it is the primary purpose for sexual relations. In many societies in developing nations the failure of a wife to bear children can lead to divorce. Residents of places such as the Punjab region of North India, for example, view children as an economic necessity. The significance of having children is also seen in the hundreds of rituals, customs, and traditions that are associated with pregnancy and birth in virtually all cultures around the world. (Later in the chapter, we look at the rise of marriages without children in the United States.)

How does the family regulate sexual activity?  In no known society are people given total sexual freedom. Even in sexually permissive societies, such as the Hopi Indians, there are rules about mating and marrying. Norms regarding sexual activities vary from place to place. Families in a few cultures, such as in the Trobriand Islands, encourage premarital sex. Other societies, like those in Iran and Afghanistan, go to great lengths to prevent any contact between nonrelated single males and females. The United States has traditionally fallen somewhere between these two extremes. In the ideal culture in the United States, adolescents would abstain from sexual activity. In real culture, however, the abundance of sexual references directed at teens by the advertising and entertainment industries make abstinence very difficult and even seem undesirable. Clearly, we are sending a mixed message to young people today. One of the consequences of this cultural confusion is the increase in teenage pregnancies and the number of teenagers having abortions. But whatever the norms, it is almost always up to the family to enforce them.

How does the family transmit social status?  Families provide economic resources that open and close occupational doors. The sons and daughters of high-income professionals, for example, are more likely to attend college and graduate school than are the children of blue-collar workers. Consequently, the children of professionals are more likely as adults to enter professional occupations. The family also passes on values that affect social status. The children of professionals, for example, tend to feel a greater need to pursue a college degree than their counterparts from blue-collar families. In these and many other ways, the family affects the placement of children in the stratification structure.

What is the economic function of the family?  At one time, families were self-sufficient economic units whose members all contributed to the production of needed goods. Every family member would join in such tasks as growing food, making cloth, and taking care of livestock. The modern American family is a unit of consumption rather than production. Adult members—increasingly including working mothers—are employed outside the home and pool their resources to buy what they need. But the end result is the same. The family provides what is needed to survive.

“Home is the place where, when you have to go there, they have to take you in.”

Robert Frost
American poet
Conflict Theory

Conflict theorists focus on the way family members compete and cooperate. Most family structure throughout history has been patriarchal and patrilineal. Women have historically and traditionally been considered the property of men, and the control of family members and property has typically passed through male bloodlines. This male dominance has been considered “natural” and “legitimate.” Thus, most family systems have had built-in gender inequality.

**How does conflict theory explain gender relationships in the family?** According to conflict theorists, males are dominant and in control; females have traditionally been expected to be submissive helpers. In the traditional division of labor, males work outside the home for finances to support the family. Women remain at home to prepare meals, keep house, and care for the children. Women are unpaid laborers who make it possible for men to earn wages. With men having control over the money, the wives and mothers are kept in a dependent and powerless role. According to the conflict perspective, families in the past, then, have fostered social inequality.

**How do the ideas of feminist writers fit with conflict theory?** Writers and activists who organize on behalf of women’s rights and interests have come to be called feminists. Many feminists today view the family from the conflict perspective. They believe that family structure is the source of the inequality between men and women in society. They point out that men have had control over women since before private property and capitalism existed. Women’s contributions in the home (mother and homemaker) are not paid and are therefore undervalued in a capitalist society. Attempts by women to gain more power within the family structure can result in conflict.

Symbolic Interactionism

According to symbolic interactionism, a key to understanding behavior within the family lies in the interactions among family members and the meanings that members assign to these interactions.

**How does the family help develop a person’s self-concept?** Socialization begins within the family. As family members share meanings and feelings, children develop self-concepts and learn to put themselves mentally in the place of others. Interactions with adults help children acquire human personality and social characteristics. Children develop further as they meet others outside the home.

According to symbolic interactionists, relationships within the family are constantly changing. A newly married couple will spend many months (perhaps years) testing their new relationship. As time passes, the initial relationship changes, along with some aspects of the partners’ personalities, including self-concepts. These changes occur as the partners struggle with such problem issues as chores and responsibilities, personality clashes, and in-laws.
With the arrival of children comes a new set of adjustments. Parental views may differ on child-rearing practices, number of children desired, and education for the children. The situation is made even more complex by the new member of the family, who must also become part of the interaction patterns.

**Section 2 Assessment**

1. Match the following examples with the major theoretical perspectives: functionalism (F), conflict theory (C), symbolic interactionism (SI)
   
   a. fathers “giving away” brides
   b. having children
   c. development of self-concept
   d. newly married partners adjusting to each other
   e. child abuse
   f. social class being passed from one generation to another

**Critical Thinking**

2. **Finding the Main Idea** Select a memorable family experience (such as the Thanksgiving holiday) and interpret it from the viewpoint of one of the three major perspectives.

**Figure 11.4 Focus on Theoretical Perspectives**

**Perspectives on the Family.** Both functionalism and conflict theory are concerned with the ways social norms affect the nature of the family. Symbolic interactionism tends to examine the relationship of the self to the family. How might functionalism and conflict theory focus on the self?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theory</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Functionalism</td>
<td>Sex norms</td>
<td>Children are taught that sexual activity should be reserved for married couples.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conflict Theory</td>
<td>Male dominance</td>
<td>Husbands use their economic power to control the ways money is spent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbolic Interactionism</td>
<td>Developing self-esteem</td>
<td>A child abused by her parents learns to dislike herself.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Looking for Mr. or Ms. Right

This activity will give you some ideas for evaluating whether a current boyfriend or girlfriend is a good candidate for a successful long-term relationship.

From the list on the right, (and on a separate sheet of paper), list the ten most important qualities to you. (Number 1 as the most important, number 2 the next most important, and so forth.) Then fold your paper in half. In the right-hand column, either have your partner fill out the questionnaire or rank the characteristics according to how you think your partner would.

Evaluating Your Responses. Which of the items listed on the right do you think are the most important in predicting marital success? According to research, the last seven items (17–23) are the most important. High compatibility between you and your partner on these seven characteristics would probably increase your chances of marital success. A low degree of matching does not, of course, ensure an unhappy marriage or a divorce, but it does suggest areas that may cause problems in the future.

Adapted from the Department of Human Development and Family Studies, Colorado State University.

Doing Sociology

Do you think that the qualities listed in the questionnaire are relevant to you in choosing a wife or a husband? Why or why not? Are there characteristics more important to you and your friends? Explain.
The United States is a large, diverse society. Describing the “typical” family might be impossible. There are, however, more similarities than differences among American families. As the various ethnic groups blend into life in the United States, their families tend to follow the American pattern described below.

❖ Families are nuclear (a household contains only a set of parents and their children).
❖ Families are bilateral (they trace lineage and pass inheritance equally through both parents).
❖ Families are democratic (partners share decision making equally).
❖ Families are neolocal (each family lives apart from other families).
❖ Families are monogamous (each includes only one husband and one wife at a time).

Romantic Love and Marriage

To Americans, it’s like the old song—“Love and marriage go together like a horse and carriage.” In a recent poll of the American public, 83 percent of both men and women rated “being in love” as the most vital reason to marry.

The relationship between love and marriage is not always viewed in this way. Among the British feudal aristocracy, romantic love was a game of pursuit played outside of marriage. Marriage was not thought to be compatible with deeply romantic feelings. In ancient Japan, love was considered a barrier to the arrangement of marriages by parents. Among Hindus in India today, parents or other relatives

In the United States today, the norm is for love to precede the marriage vows. Not all societies share this norm.
are expected to find suitable mates for the young. Criteria for mate selection include caste, wealth, family reputation, and appearance. Love is not absent in Hindu marriages, but love follows marriage rather than the other way around (Cox, 1999).

While romantic love is almost always stated as a condition for marriage in modern societies, it is seldom the only condition. People marry for many reasons, and romantic love may be only one of many reasons. A person may marry to enter a powerful family or to advance a career. One of the strongest motivations for marriage is conformity. Parents expect their children to marry after a certain age and worry about them—perhaps even pressure them—if their children remain single very long. Peers are another source of pressure. Since well over 90 percent of all adults in the United States do marry, conformity must certainly be a motivating factor.

Americans typically believe that a marriage that is not based on romantic love cannot last. It is more accurate to say that a marriage based only on romantic love is almost sure to fail. While love may be a good start, it is only the beginning. For a marriage to last, a couple must build a relationship that goes beyond romantic love (Crosby, 1985).

The marriage rate—the number of marriages per year for every thousand members of the population—has fluctuated, in the United States, since 1940. As shown in Figure 11.5, the marriage rate peaked at over 16.0 immediately following World War II. Since then, the marriage rate, with ups and downs, has been cut in half.

The divorce rate—the number of divorces per year for every one thousand members of the population—has increased slowly between 1860 and the early 1960s. A dramatic increase occurred over the next twenty years, when
the divorce rate more than doubled (from 2.2 percent in 1960 to 5.3 percent in 1981). Since then, the rate has leveled off. In fact, it has declined slightly since 1985. (See Figure 11.5 on page 364.)

**What are the causes of divorce?** Both personal and societal factors influence why people divorce. At the individual level, these factors include:

❖ the age of the people when they married. The later the age upon marriage, the lower the chance of divorce.
❖ how many years the partners have been married. The longer the marriage, the lower the chance of divorce.
❖ the nature and quality of the relationship. The more respect and flexibility exists between the partners, the lower the chance of divorce.

Sociologists are most concerned with how larger forces in society affect marriages. There are four main factors. First, the divorce rate rises during economic prosperity and goes down when times are hard. This is probably...
because people are more likely to make changes and take chances when they are not worried about basic survival.

Second, the rise in the divorce rate after 1960 followed the growing up of the baby-boom generation. Baby boomers did not attach a stigma to divorce the way earlier generations did and so were more likely to leave unhappy marriages than to stay.

Third, the increasing financial independence of women means they are more willing to end bad marriages. They are not as dependent (especially if there are no children) upon the husband's willingness to support an ex.

Fourth, American values and attitudes about marriage and divorce are changing. Society is much more forgiving of divorce and remarriage. Women, especially, are no longer “punished,” as they were in the past, for leaving a marriage.

**What does the future for marriage look like?** For several reasons, there is a good chance that the recent decline in the U.S. divorce rate may continue:

- The average age at first marriage in the United States is increasing. (See Figure 11.6.) We know that the later people marry, the less likely they are to divorce. (Mature individuals have more realistic expectations about their mates and have fewer economic and career problems.) This trend is likely to continue well into the twenty-first century.

- The average age of the population of the United States is increasing as baby boomers grow older. This exceptionally large generation set records for divorce in the late 1960s and 1970s. Baby boomers now range in age from the mid thirties to the early fifties, which removes them from the age bracket that produces the highest divorce rates.

- American couples are having fewer children, and the children are spaced farther apart. This reduces pressure on marriages.
Tragically, violence has been a pattern of some family relationships throughout history.

Family Violence

Americans have traditionally denied the existence of widespread violence in the family setting. Violent behavior has in the past mistakenly been associated mostly with lower-class families. Part of the reason for this attitude was the fact that the first research in this area used law enforcement and public medical records. Because the police and hospitals dealt mostly with the lower classes (middle and upper classes had lawyers and private doctors), the statistics were skewed toward the lower class. We are learning that domestic violence occurs at all class levels.

Is violence in the family common? Although the family provides a safe and warm emotional haven, it can in some cases be a hostile environment. Family violence, or domestic violence, affects all members of the family—children, spouses, and older people. Celebrated trials during the 1990s brought increased public attention to the issue of domestic violence. For more than a year, media focus was centered on the trial of football superstar O. J. Simpson, accused of the murder of his former wife Nicole Brown Simpson and Ronald Goldman. Evidence presented during the trial indicated that Simpson had abused her when they were married. In another high-profile case, the wealthy Menendez brothers were convicted of the murder of their parents. (Trial evidence indicated that the brothers had been abused as children.)

According to a national survey, almost one-quarter of adults in the United States report having been physically abused as children. In most cases, physical violence involves a slap, a shove, or a severe spanking. However, kicking, biting, punching, beating, and threatening with a weapon are part of abusive violence as well. Furthermore, according to estimates, one of every four girls and one in ten boys are victims of sexual aggression, either within the home
or outside (Heller, Kempe, and Krugman, 1999; Pryor, 1999). Reported child sexual abuse in the United States has skyrocketed in recent years. Between 1976 and 1997, the number of reported child abuse cases rose from 662,000 to over 3 million. Statistics collected nationally indicate that 47 out of every 1,000 children are reported annually as victims of child maltreatment (Wang and Daro, 1998). Child sexual abuse goes beyond physical contact. Some children are forced into pornography or are made to view pornography in the presence of the abuser. What’s worse, the abuser is usually someone the child trusts—a parent, friend of the family, child-care giver, brother.

At least four million women are battered by their husbands annually, probably many more. Over four thousand women each year are beaten to death. The extent of physical abuse is underestimated in part because three-fourths of spousal violence occurs during separation or after divorce, and most research is conducted among married couples.

Is abuse always directed against women? Husband abuse is frequently overlooked in studies of physical abuse. Although marriages in the United States are generally male dominated, it seems there is equality in the

### Figure 11.7 Events of Domestic Violence against Women in Selected Countries

Levels of domestic violence against women clearly vary from country to country.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Reported Abuse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Industrialized Countries</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>29% of ever-married/common law–partnered women report being physically assaulted by a current or former partner since the age of sixteen.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>20% of women report being hit or physically abused by a male partner.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>20% of women report being physically assaulted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>25% of women had been punched or slapped by a partner or ex-partner in their lifetimes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>28% of women report at least one episode of physical violence from their partner.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Asia and the Pacific</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>38% of wives report being physically abused by their spouses in the last year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>20% of husbands acknowledge physically abusing their wives at least once in their marriage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Middle East</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>35% of women report being beaten by their husbands at some point in their marriage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>32% of women report at least one episode of physical abuse by their partners during the last twelve months; 30% report sexual coercion by their husbands in the last year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Africa</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>42% of women report ever being beaten by a partner; of those, 58% report that they were beaten often or sometimes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>41% of women report being beaten or physically harmed by a partner; 41% of men report beating their partners.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Latin America and the Caribbean</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>26% report at least one episode of violence by a partner, 11% report at least one episode of severe violence, and 15% of women report at least one episode of less severe violence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbia</td>
<td>19% of women have been physically assaulted by their partners in their lifetimes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>30% report at least one episode of physical violence by a partner; 13% report physical violence within the last year.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
use of physical violence. One set of researchers found that almost one-third of the husbands in their survey had acted violently against their wives and that wives were almost as likely to have used physical violence against their husbands. Other studies also show that husbands and wives assault each other at about the same rate. Much of the violence committed by women, however, involves self-protection or retaliation, and as a category, females are not as violent as males (Gelles, 1997).

**Is abuse always physical?** Family violence is not limited to physical abuse. Verbal and psychological abuse are also a part of many families. Psychologists report that the feelings of self-hate and worthlessness that are often the effects of abuse can be as damaging as physical wounds. And more than nine million children in the United States suffer from neglect, a condition of being ignored rather than abused.

**What is the most common form of family violence?** Probably the most frequent and most tolerated violence in the family occurs between children. This *sibling violence* appears to be prevalent and on the rise. Abuse among siblings may be based on rivalry, jealousy, disagreements over personal possessions, or incest. Although it declines somewhat as children get older, it does not disappear.

Little is known about abuse of elderly people, because less research has been done in this area. Abuse of older people usually takes the form of physical violence, psychological mistreatment, economic manipulation, or neglect. Estimates of elder abuse range from 500,000 to 2.5 million cases annually (Gelles, 1997). Some observers fear that abuse of older people will increase as baby boomers age and the population grows older.

**Section 3 Assessment**

1. Choose the word from each pair that best describes the typical American family.
   a. nuclear or extended  
b. patrilineal or bilateral  
c. neolocal or matrilocal  
d. polygynous or monogamous

2. Identify three factors discussed in the text that are associated with divorce.

**Critical Thinking**

3. **Making Predictions** What is your prediction for the divorce trend in the United States in 2050? Use information in this section to support your answer.

Abuse directed against the elderly in nursing homes has been a recent concern of social activists.

“All happy families resemble each other; each unhappy family is unhappy in its own way.”

*Count Leo Tolstoy*
*Russian writer*
According to many experts, the influence of technology is just as far-reaching in the home as in the office. Activities in the home are changing dramatically because of recent technological innovations.

Because more American families are living farther from relatives, more are using the Internet to stay in touch with each other. Birth announcements, reunion plans, gift registries for weddings, and funeral arrangements are now being shared with families and friends on-line (Bulkeley, 1997). Although somewhat impersonal, these social connections may reduce social isolation and friction in families.

Many, however, see a darker side to new technology for the family. For example, one critic offers this concern: “If we wish to raise our children as androids who respond to Internet packets rather than parental guidance, I can’t think of a better way to do that than to put computer networks in homes” (Wingfield, 1998:R23).

Another critic believes that high-tech home equipment like cable television, the Internet, and video games increasingly rules the lives of American families. Children who spend a great deal of time alone with these technological wonders are deprived of frequent and intense social contact with other children, their parents, and other adults in the neighborhood. Consequently, the current generation of children could very well be the first to grow up with highly deficient social skills. Offering indirect support for this conclusion is the fact that almost three-fourths of Americans say they do not know their neighbors. The number of Americans who admit they have spent no time with the people living next to them has doubled in the last twenty years (Quintanilla, 1996).

Technology can also separate, socially, those family members who use the new technology from those who do not. For example, some couples who depend on web pages to inform their relatives of family news have found that some family members cannot share in this information. Older members of the family who do not have access to the Internet often feel cut off from the rest of the family (Bulkeley, 1997).

**Analyzing the Trends**

A dark picture of the Internet has been presented in this feature. Think of some positive consequences of this technology for the family. Discuss two of them.
Blended Families

The relatively high divorce rate in the United States has created the **blended family**—a family formed when at least one of the partners in a marriage has been married before and has a child or children from the previous marriage. This type of family can become extremely complicated (Ganong and Coleman, 1994; Barnes, 1998). Here’s an example: A former husband (with two children in the custody of their biological mother) marries a new wife with two children in her custody. They have two children of their own. The former wife also remarries a man with two children, one in his custody and one in the custody of his former wife. That former wife has remarried and has had a child with her second husband, who has custody of one child from his previous marriage. The former husband’s parents are divorced, and both have remarried. Thus, when he remarries, his children have two complete sets of grandparents on his side, plus one set on the mother’s side, plus perhaps more on the stepfather’s side (Cox, 1999).

Blended families create a new type of extended family, a family that is not based strictly on blood relationships. As the example above shows, it is possible for a child in a blended family to have eight grandparents. Of
course, not all blended families are this complicated. But about 40 percent of households in the United States contain biologically unrelated individuals.

Many blended families are successful, especially if they make adjustments during the first few years. Children from previous marriages, however, are one factor in the higher divorce rates among second marriages (Baca Zinn and Eitzen, 1998).

What major problems face blended families? Sociologists point to three major problems facing blended families—a lack of money, stepchildren’s dislike of the new spouse, and uncertainty about roles played by step-parents.

❖ Money difficulties. Financial demands from both the former and present families generally result in lower incomes in stepfamilies. Remarried husbands are often legally obligated to support children from their previous marriages. Second wives may resent losing the income spent on children from a previous marriage.

❖ Stepchildren’s antagonism. Hoping for a reunion of their original parents, stepchildren may try to derail the new marriage. Even five years after divorce, about a third of stepchildren continue to strongly disapprove of their original parents’ divorce. This is especially true for teenagers, who can be very critical of their stepparents’ values and personalities.

❖ Unclear roles. The roles of stepparents are often vague and ambiguous. A stepchild often doesn’t consider a parent’s new spouse as a “real” father or mother. It is also not clear to stepparents or stepchildren how much power the new spouse really has. Issues involving control and discipline reflect power struggles within the family, especially with teenagers involved.

Single-Parent Families

Over one out of four American families is a single-parent family. By far the greatest proportion of these households are headed by women. Only 10 percent of children living with one parent are in a male-headed household.

Why do women head the vast majority of single-parent households? Although courts today are more sensitive to the fathers’ claims, women in all social classes are still more likely to win custody of their children in cases of separation and divorce. Unwed mothers or women

A debate exists over the appropriateness of celebrities choosing to be single mothers.
abandoned by their husbands and/or the fathers of their children make up a large part of poor single-parent households. Finally, poor women marry (or re-marry) at a very low rate.

Though significantly fewer, there is an increasing number of well-educated, professional women who head single-parent households. With the stigma of unwed motherhood declining, more affluent unmarried women are choosing to have children and to care for them alone. These women have the economic resources to support an independent family. Finally, well-educated women are adopting higher standards for selecting husbands (Seligmann, 1999).

**What are the effects of single-parent families on children?**

Approximately 30 percent of America’s children (defined as people under the age of eighteen) live in households with one parent. African American and Latino children are more likely than white children to live with only their mothers because of high divorce and out-of-wedlock birth rates, and lower rates of marriage and remarriage (U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1998a). Figure 11.8 shows how the number of never-married and single parents increased among African Americans and Latinos from 1970 to 1998. In general, the chances are increasing that American children will live at least part of their youth in a fatherless home.

**Adolescents** (persons from the ages of twelve to seventeen) who live with one parent or with a stepparent have much higher rates of deviant behavior, including delinquency, drug and alcohol abuse, and teenage pregnancy, than adolescents living with both natural parents (Dornbush et al., 1985; Popenoe, 1999). A national sample of twelve- to seventeen-year-olds indicates that arrests, school discipline, truancy, running away, and smoking occur more often in single-parent and stepparent families, regardless of income, race, or ethnic background.

These figures do not point to a lack of concern in single parents as much as they show the built-in problems of single parenting. Single working parents must struggle to provide their children with the time, attention, and guidance that two parents can give. Because the single mother typically makes little money, she has added financial problems. Finding good child care and adequate housing in a suitable neighborhood is often very difficult.
Childless Marriages

In the past, married women without children were seen as failing to fulfill their “duty” as wives. In fact, in many religions, the inability to have children is still one of the few allowed reasons for divorcing a woman. Historically, married childless women were pitied and looked down upon, and single women rarely achieved respectability outside the role of “spinster aunt.”

Why are some married women now choosing not to have children? Around 19 percent of American women who have ever been married do not have children in 2000, compared with about 15 percent in 1970 (U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000). It is unclear if this upward trend will continue. Today, the reasons married women give for choosing not to have children are varied. Social stigmas against childless married women are disappearing. It is no longer automatically accepted that having children is the primary reason for marriage. Some women have elected to pursue personal or career goals instead. Other people, both men and women, have basic moral issues about raising children in what they consider to be an immoral world. Sometimes, having children is put off so long that it becomes hard for couples to make the adjustment to raising a family. Finally, it is important to remember that not all couples without children have chosen to be that way. Physical or psychological problems keep some couples from having children.

Are marriages happier with or without children? The answer to this question generally depends upon the couple’s decision about having children. Among childless couples who want children, marital happiness is generally lower than for married couples with children. However, research shows that couples who by choice have no children appear to be happier and more satisfied with their marriages and lives than couples with children (Cox, 1999).

Dual-Employed Marriages

In families where both parents are working outside the home, special strains are put on the marriage. Women in these dual-employed marriages are apparently expected to handle most of the household and child-care responsibilities in addition to their full-time jobs.

What are drawbacks to the dual-employed family? Because they must combine employment with child care and household tasks, married working women work about fifteen hours more a week than men. Sociologist Arlie Hochschild calls this home- and child-based work “the second shift.” Although men spend an average of four to six hours per week in household and child-care duties, women bear the larger burden.

In addition to this greater workload, women in dual-employed marriages must cope with role conflict. They are torn between the time requirements of
their jobs and their desire to spend more time with their children and husbands. Feelings of guilt may arise from not being able to meet all expectations of wife, mother, and breadwinner.

Men in dual-employed marriages are generally unwilling to assume household responsibilities equal to those of their wives. Even so, they feel the negative effects of role conflict and excessive demands on their time. In addition, having an employed wife, particularly if she earns more, may not fit with men’s images of themselves as providers.

**Is there a positive side to dual employment?** Dual employment offers advantages as well as disadvantages. On balance, the effects of employment on the psychological well-being of women have been beneficial (Moen, 1992; Crosby, 1993; Cox, 1999). Working outside the home provides a wider set of social relationships and greater feelings of control, independence, and self-esteem. Employment also appears to provide a social and emotional cushion for women when their children leave home. Compared with women who do not work outside the home, employed women tend to have more outlets for self-expression (Adelmann et al., 1989; Wolfe, 1998). If a mother prefers working outside the home, other family members often benefit from her employment. With two incomes, there is more money to spend for purchases that raise the standard of living. Sons and daughters of working mothers also benefit in noneconomic ways. Daughters of working mothers are more likely to see themselves as working adults, as capable of being economically independent, and as benefiting from further education. Sons are more likely to choose wives with similar attitudes toward education and employment.

For men, benefits of a dual-employed marriage include freedom from the responsibility of being the sole provider, increased opportunity for job changes, and opportunities to continue education. Men with employed wives can share the triumphs and defeats of the day with someone who is in the same situation. If their wives are happier working outside the home, husbands enjoy a better marital relationship. Those husbands who take advantage of the opportunity can form a closer relationship with their children by being more active parents (Booth and Crouter, 1998).

**Cohabitation**

_Cohabitation_—living with someone in a marriagelike arrangement without the legal obligations and responsibilities of formal marriage—has been a widely discussed alternative to traditional monogamy for some time. In fact, the number of American adults cohabiting increased from about one-half million to over seven million between 1970 and 2000. According to a nationwide
Focus on Research

Survey Research: Spanking and Antisocial Behavior

Like many children in the United States, you probably experienced spanking and other legal forms of physical corporal punishment from your parents. In the mid-1980s, research revealed that over 90 percent of parents used corporal punishment on young children, and more than half continued its use during the early teen years. Although high, this rate of corporal punishment was less than in the 1950s (99 percent) and the mid-1970s (97 percent). The rate has declined further since 1985, but nearly all American children still experience some form of corporal punishment.

The use of corporal punishment to correct or control the behavior of children is widely accepted in American culture. “Spare the rod and spoil the child” is a warning deep in our national consciousness. However, Straus and his colleagues (1997) present evidence contradicting the notion that corporal punishment improves children’s behavior.

These researchers used data from interviews with a sample of over eight-hundred mothers of children aged six to nine years in a national study. (This was a longitudinal study, one that follows respondents over a period of time.) This study compared parents’ use of corporal punishment with antisocial behavior in children. The study defined corporal punishment as “the use of physical force with the intention of causing a child to experience pain, but not injury, for the purpose of correction or control of the child’s behavior” (Straus, Sugarman, and Giles-Sims, 1997:761). Slapping a child’s hand or buttocks and squeezing a child’s arm are examples. A measure of antisocial behavior was based on the mothers’ reports of their children’s behavior: “cheats or tells lies,” “bullies or is cruel or mean to others,” “does not feel sorry after misbehaving,” “breaks things deliberately,” “is disobedient at school,” and “has trouble getting along with teachers.”

Since this was a longitudinal study, information on the frequency of parents’ use of corporal punishment was collected before reports on subsequent antisocial behavior. Contrary to common expectations, Straus found that the higher the use of corporal punishment, the higher the level of antisocial behavior two years later.

At the end of their report, the authors move from being strictly social scientists to making a practical child-rearing recommendation. Straus
and his colleagues suggest that the reduction or elimination of corporal punishment could lower antisocial behavior in children. In addition, given research indicating a relationship between antisocial behavior in childhood and violence and other crime in adulthood, society at large could benefit from abandoning the use of corporal punishment in child rearing. They state it this way:

_Thus, because almost all American children experience [corporal punishment] in varying degrees, our findings suggest that almost all American children could benefit from a reduction or elimination of [corporal punishment]. Moreover, considering research showing that [antisocial behavior] in childhood is associated with violence and other crime as an adult, society as a whole, not just children, could benefit from ending the system of violent child-rearing that goes under the euphemism of spanking (Straus, Sugarman, and Giles-Sims, 1997)._
survey, over one-fourth of adults in the United States have cohabited (U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1998a).

Cohabitation has risen among people of all ages and marital statuses, particularly among the young and the divorced. By 2000, about 55 percent of all unmarried-couple households were maintained by someone under thirty-five years of age and about forty-one percent involved at least one child under age fifteen.

**Is cohabitation a workable alternative to marriage?** Research reports on cohabitation are not encouraging. Only about 25 percent of cohabitating couples stay together more than four years, reflecting a lower level of certainty about commitment than is true in married couples. This lack of commitment is probably an important reason for the lower satisfaction among cohabiting couples than among married couples (Nock, 1995). Another factor is the higher rate of abuse among cohabiting women than among married, divorced, or separated women.

Cohabitation has not fulfilled the promise of providing good experience for future marriage (Cox, 1999). Cohabitation does not appear to improve the quality of later marriage. Couples who cohabited have shown lower marital adjustment than couples who had not lived together. Finally, premarital cohabitation is associated with a higher risk of divorce (Brown and Booth, 1996).

**Same-Sex Domestic Partners**

Because of the social stigma that surrounds homosexuality, it is impossible to know precisely what proportion of the American population is homosexual. The Institute of Sex Research, founded by Alfred Kinsey, estimates that homosexuals constitute about 10 percent of the U.S. population (13 percent of the males, 5 percent of the females). Although estimating the number of cohabiting same-sex couples is difficult, the number is known to be increasing, both on college campuses and in the general public. It may have been in recognition of that increase that Vermont passed a bill in April of 2000 recognizing “civil unions” for same-sex partners. Same-sex couples united in civil unions would qualify for the same state benefits as married couples (and be held to the same burdens upon breakup). Same-sex unions are certain to remain a controversial issue confronting U.S. culture for many years to come.

**Single Life**

An increasing number of Americans are choosing to remain single rather than to marry. More than 26 million Americans over the age of fifteen now live alone, an increase of nearly 150 percent since 1970. Although many of these people will eventually marry, an increasing percentage will remain single all their lives (U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000d).

**Why are more Americans choosing to live alone?** Remaining single has always been a choice that has carried a stigma in the United States. Historically, society frowned on men and women who did not marry. It was seen as a form of deviance. England started taxing bachelors at the end of the seventeenth century and Missouri followed suit in 1820. The stigma attached to remaining single has faded over the past two decades, however. More single Americans are choosing to remain unmarried, pursuing careers or raising children from a former marriage.
Will the current trend toward remaining single continue? It is too early to predict whether the increase in singlehood will lead to a decline in marriage at all ages. Although singlehood is an increasingly popular alternative to traditional marriage, people are not necessarily rejecting marriage. The implication is that many young adults wish to expand the period of “freedom” after leaving home and are unwilling to rush into the responsibilities of early marriage and parenthood.

**Boomerang Kids**

The boomerang is a weapon that, when thrown, returns in a wide arc to its point of origin. The term boomerang kids is being applied to young adults who either leave home and return or stay at home and live with parents. American adults aged eighteen to thirty-four have a much higher probability of living in their parents’ home than Americans of the same age thirty years ago. More than one-fourth of adults eighteen to thirty-four years old now live with their parents (U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1996a).

**Why are more adult children returning home?** Increasing numbers of adult children are living with their parents for several reasons. Because young adults are marrying later, more stay at home longer. In addition, more are continuing their education and find living at home the best solution to the problem of supporting themselves and paying school expenses. Many young adults return home even after completing their education because the high cost of living outstrips their earning capacity. Also, since parents tend to give their children a home after a failed marriage, the high divorce rate is increasing the proportion of young adults living at home.

**What are some consequences of the boomerang effect?** Costs associated with education, day-to-day living, and perhaps even a grandchild or two can create financial strain for older parents whose adult children live with them. Many parents complain that their adult children do not share in expenses or help around the house. The children’s presence robs their parents of privacy and may prevent them from developing relationships with spouses and friends. It is not surprising that higher marital dissatisfaction among middle-aged parents is associated with adult children living at home.

Adult children who find themselves in this situation suffer as well. Adult children who have returned home have normally been forced by circumstances to do so. They are likely to be having difficulties balancing school and work, making their way economically, forming a family, or surviving the aftermath of a divorce. They know the burden they represent. In addition, returning home usually means giving up some freedom.

In spite of these problems, most families appear to adjust well to the return of older children (Mitchell and Gee, 1996). This is especially true when the returning older child is able to help with expenses and household duties.

“A majority of colonial Americans probably spent some time in a stepfamily.”

*Stephanie Coontz social historian*

“*Can’t I just stay here with you and Mom? I don’t like what I’ve seen of the real world.*

*The thoughts of a boomerang kid. Mom and Dad are not buying it, are they?*
Looking Forward

In early 2000, Darva Conger and Rick Rockwell were big news. This couple, who had never met before, married as part of a television contest called “Who Wants to Marry a Multimillionaire?” Most Americans shook their heads, wondering if this event marked the final stages of deterioration of the family. While this was truly a bizarre media event, thankfully, it is not representative of the state of the American family.

What is the future of the American family? If the frequency of marriage and remarriage is any indication, the nuclear family is not disappearing. Over 90 percent of men and women in the United States marry sometime during their lives. Although many Americans have been experimenting with alternative living arrangements, the nuclear family still remains the most popular choice (U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000). Contrary to a long-standing fear, many Americans are not avoiding marriage permanently. They are simply postponing it or sampling it more often.

The American family is changing, however. So-called traditional households—those with a husband-wage earner, wife-homemaker, and two children—today account for less than one-fourth of all American households, compared with over 60 percent in 1950. This proportion is not expected to grow. Continued increases are expected for other family lifestyles, such as the dual-employed family and the single-parent family. The question, then, is not whether the family will survive. The question is what forms will the family take.

Whatever else happens, the trend toward more working parents is likely to continue. This trend promises increased strain for parents, children, and society. We have already discussed problems for parents associated with balancing work and home responsibilities. A reduction in close and continuous parental care for children during their early developmental years is another important consequence. Also, as more parents work, parental supervision of children and teenagers declines (Starting Points, 1994; Popenoe, 1999; Popenoe, Elshtain, and Blankenhorn, 1996).

Section 4 Assessment

1. How does a blended family differ from a nuclear family?
2. Which group is increasing more rapidly: the number of white single-parent families or the number of African American and Latino single-parent families? What reasons are offered for this?
3. Is your family a dual-employed family? How do the cultural values of your parents affect their economic behavior?
4. Is it true that Americans today are married for a smaller proportion of their lives than were Americans of previous generations?

Critical Thinking

5. Making Predictions Some people believe that in the future the nuclear family will be a reality for only a minority of Americans. Do you agree or disagree? Explain.
Summary

Section 1: Family and Marriage Across Cultures
Main Idea: In all societies, the family has been the most important of all social institutions. It produces new generations, socializes the young, provides care and affection, regulates sexual behavior, transmits social status, and provides economic support.

Section 2: Theoretical Perspectives and the Family
Main Idea: The family is the very core of human social life. It is not surprising that each of the major perspectives focuses on the family. Functionalism emphasizes the benefits of the family for society. The conflict perspective looks at the reasons males dominate in the family structure. Symbolic interactionism studies the way the family socializes children and promotes the development of self-concept.

Section 3: Family and Marriage in the United States
Main Idea: Modern marriages are based primarily on love, but there are many reasons for marrying—and as many reasons for divorce. Although the American family provides social and emotional support, violence in this setting is not uncommon. Child abuse and spousal abuse are serious problems in too many American families.

Section 4: Changes in Marriage and Family
Main Idea: Many new patterns of marriage and family living have emerged in the United States. They include blended families, single-parent families, child-free families, cohabitation, same-sex domestic partners, and families with boomerang children. In spite of these new arrangements, the traditional nuclear family is not going to be replaced on any broad scale.

Reviewing Vocabulary

Complete each sentence using each term once.

a. monogamy  g. patrilineal  i. dual-employed marriage
b. polyandry  h. blended family  j. boomerang kids
c. polygyny  i. marriage  k. homogamy
d. exogamy  e. endogamy
f. homogamy

1. ___________ is a family formed with children from a previous marriage.
2. The marriage of one woman to two or more men at the same time is called ___________.
3. The marriage of one man to two or more women at the same time is called ___________.
4. ___________ are young adults who live with their parents.
5. A marriage in which both partners work for pay is called ___________.
6. ___________ is the marriage within one’s own group as required by social norms.
7. The marriage of one man to one woman is called ___________.
8. The tendency to marry someone similar to oneself is called ___________.
9. ___________ is the practice of marrying outside of one’s group.
10. ___________ is the arrangement in which descent is traced through the father.

Reviewing the Facts

1. Sociologists define three types of family structures. List and describe those structures.
2. In addition to providing a warm and loving atmosphere that fulfills social and emotional needs, what are the other vital functions of the family?
3. How would conflict theorists describe the family?

4. What is the most widely practiced form of marriage around the world today?

5. Who are the victims of family violence?

Thinking Critically

1. Analyzing Information According to Hochschild’s second shift explanation, gender equity in the home does not exist. Why do men, on average, still do less housework than women? Do attitudes about masculinity have anything to do with this? Do women naturally feel inclined to do the housework, given their role as nurturers and caretakers? How might gender stereotypes contribute to inequality in the household?

2. Making Inferences One of the characteristics of families is that family members spend time together. As people grow busier and busier, however, spending time together becomes more difficult. Predict the future: twenty years down the road, what do you think will be a typical amount of family time? Do you believe family time will disappear, or do you think family members will always make time for each other, no matter what? Explain your views.

3. Making Inferences A prominent sociologist who studies marital relationships says that he can predict with 95 percent accuracy whether a newly married couple will fail or succeed in their marriage. He has newlyweds attend a retreat and perform a series of tasks, videotaping each couple’s interactions as they work on projects together. At the end of the weekend, he tells the couples what he observed and what it could mean for the future of their marriages. Remember, his accuracy rating is 95 percent.
   a. What do you think he looks for while he watches couples’ interactions?
   b. Do you believe his approach is ethical?
   c. If you had the opportunity as a newlywed, would you attend this retreat? Why or why not?

4. Analyzing Information Research on never-married individuals shows that they believe their marriages will be ideal. However, research on married couples suggests that their expectations of marital bliss don’t last very long. Why do you think people have expectations of marriage that do not seem to reflect what marriage is really like? Areas to explore might include portrayals of marriage in movies and on TV.

5. Summarizing Information Use a chart like the one below to summarize the view of the family as proposed by the three theoretical perspectives.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sociological Perspective</th>
<th>View of the Family</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Functionalism</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conflict Theory</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbolic Interactionism</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sociology Projects

1. Family Characteristics On a piece of paper, rate your family members based on the following characteristics. Use a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being the lowest (weakest) and 5 being the highest (strongest).
   - spending time together
   - expressing appreciation for each other
   - dealing with conflict
   - communicating with one another
   - spiritual wellness
   - commitment and follow-through

You can total your scores and divide by 6 to come up with a mean value for your family. After completing the activity, you may want to discuss your results with family members to see if they agree with your evaluation or share your perspectives. Are there other characteristics that are more important to your family than the ones on this list?
2. **Divorce** The text listed several reasons why couples divorce. Working with a classmate, brainstorm several additional factors contributing to divorce (for example, no-fault divorce laws in some states). Give at least one reason why each of these factors has caused an increase in divorce over time. After you have come up with a list of at least five factors, discuss with your partner what would happen if the factors were eliminated (for example, if conditions allowing divorce were made stricter). Do you think these changes would improve society? Why or why not? Be prepared to present your findings to the class and to argue your position.

3. **Research Project** Divide a sheet of paper into three columns, labeled A, B, and C. In column A, write the number of children in your immediate family. In column B, write the number of children in your father's immediate family (include siblings that are no longer living). In column C, write the number of children in your mother's immediate family. One student should collect all the papers and tabulate the results. Has the number of children in the families represented in your class decreased since your parents' generation? Prepare a graph of the similarities or differences.

4. **The Second Shift** To see whether the second-shift explanation applies to your family, conduct the following experiment over the course of one week. Write down the number of hours you see your mother (or stepmother) doing housework each day. Then write down the number of hours your father (or stepfather) spends working in or around the house. In class, compile the numbers logged by all your classmates. Is the second-shift explanation valid for your class? (If you are living in a single-parent family, keep track of the number of hours of housework performed by that parent, but not by any children in the household.)

**Technology Activity**

1. Using your school or local library and the Internet, research family violence over the last 30 years—1970 to 1980; 1980 to 1990; 1990 to Present. Create a graph to show statistically the frequency of reported incidents of violence. In your own words, using correct grammar, spelling, punctuation, and terms learned in this chapter, write an essay that summarizes your graph. In the essay, consider reasons or changes in society that you believe influence the frequency of reported incidents of family violence. Consider the impact, if any, of hotlines and Public Service Announcements regarding family violence. Determine whether the information that you have found on reported incidents is correct and complete. Support your decision with at least two reasons.
Enrichment Reading

Life Without Father

by David Popenoe

“Fathers should be neither seen nor heard,” Oscar Wilde once wrote. “That is the only proper basis for family life.” With each passing year, American society has increasingly become an immense social testing ground for this proposition. Unfortunately for Wilde’s reputation as a social analyst, to say nothing about the health of our society, the results have proved highly unsupportive. American fathers are today more removed from family life than ever before in our history. And according to a growing body of evidence, this massive erosion of fatherhood contributes mightily to many of the major social problems of our time.

The print pages and airwaves have been filled with discussions of fatherhood in recent decades. Yet most discussions have focused on just one issue—how to get fathers to share their traditional breadwinner role and take up a new (for them) child-care-provider role. The call from younger women has been loud and clear: We need a new conception of fatherhood, a “new father,” one who will share the “second shift” with his mate.

The father’s role—what society expects of fathers—has indeed changed enormously in recent years. Fathers are expected to be more engaged with their children and involved with housework—if not nearly as much as most women would like, certainly far more than the past generation of fathers would have thought possible.

This role change has been highly positive in most respects. But with all the concentration on “role equality” in the home, the larger and more ominous trend of modern fatherhood has been mostly overlooked. We have been through many social revolutions in the past three decades—sex, women’s liberation, divorce—but none more significant for society than the startling emergence of the absent father, a kind of pathological counterpart to the new father.

While the new father has been emerging gradually for most of this century, it is only in the past thirty years that we have witnessed the enormous increase in absent fathers. In times past, many children were left fatherless through his premature death. Today, the fathers are still alive and out there somewhere; the problem is that they seldom see much, if anything, of their children.

The main reason for contemporary father absence is the dramatic decline of marriage.

What this means, in human terms, is that about half of today’s children will spend at least a portion of their growing-up years living apart from their fathers.

As a society, we can respond to this new fatherlessness in several ways. We can, as more and more of us seem to be doing, simply declare fathers to be unnecessary, superfluous. This is the response of “single parents by choice.” It is the response of those who say that if daddies and mommies are expected to do precisely the same things in the home just as women now strive to help equally in the workplace; one who will share the “second shift” with his mate.

In my view, these responses represent a human tragedy—for children, for women, for men, and for our society as a whole. Fathering is different from mothering; involved fathers are indispensable for the good of children and soci-
ety; and our growing [trend in] national fatherlessness is a disaster in the making. . . .

No one predicted this trend, few researchers or government agencies have monitored it, and it is not widely discussed, even today. But its importance to society is second to none. Father absence is a major force lying behind many of the attention-grabbing issues that dominate the news: crime and delinquency; premature sexuality and out-of-wedlock teen births; deteriorating educational achievement; depression, substance abuse, and alienation among teenagers; and the growing number of women and children in poverty. These issues all point to a profound deterioration in the well-being of children. Some experts have suggested, in fact, that the current generation of children and youth is the first in our nation’s history to be less well-off—psychologically, socially, economically, and morally—than their parents were at the same age. Or as Senator Daniel Patrick Moynihan has observed, “the United States . . . may be the first society in history in which children are distinctly worse off than adults.”

Along with the growing father absence, our cultural view of fatherhood is changing. Few people have doubts about the fundamental importance of mothers. But fathers? More and more the question is being raised, are fathers really necessary? Many would answer no, or maybe not. And to the degree that fathers are still thought necessary, fatherhood is said by many to be merely a social role, as if men had no inherent biological predisposition whatsoever to acknowledge and to invest in their own offspring. If merely a social role, then perhaps anyone is capable of playing it. . . .

The decline of fatherhood and of marriage cuts at the heart of the kind of environment considered ideal for childrearing. Such an environment, according to a substantial body of knowledge, consists of an enduring two-parent family that engages regularly in activities together, has many of its own routines and traditions, and provides a great deal of quality contact with their parents’ world of work. In addition, there is little concern on the part of children that their parents will break up. Finally, each of these ingredients comes together in the development of a rich family subculture that has lasting meaning and strongly promulgates such family values as responsibility, cooperation, and sharing. . . .

What the decline of fatherhood and marriage in America really means, then, is that slowly, insidiously, and relentlessly our society has been moving in an ominous direction—toward the devaluation of children. There has been an alarming weakening of the fundamental assumption, long at the center of our culture, that children are to be loved and valued at the highest level of priority. Nothing could be more serious for our children or our future.


**Read and React**


2. Explain why Popenoe thinks that Oscar Wilde’s statement that “fathers should be neither seen nor heard” is wrong. Do you think Wilde was wrong? Why or why not?

3. Discuss the reasons Popenoe gives for the decline of the father’s presence in the contemporary American family.

4. According to Popenoe, nothing could be more serious for children than the trend he sees toward “life without father.” Why do you agree or disagree?
Columnist Ann Landers published this letter from a teacher about the hidden realities of teaching in America. Let me see if I have this right... I am also to instill a sense of pride in their ethnicity, modify disruptive behavior and observe them for signs of abuse.

I am to fight the war on drugs and sexually transmitted diseases, check their backpacks for guns and knives, and raise their self-esteem. I am to teach them patriotism, good citizenship, sportsmanship and fair play... I am to... maintain a safe environment, write letters of recommendation for student employment and scholarships, encourage respect for the cultural diversity of others, always making sure I give the girls in my class 50% of my attention.

I am required to work... toward additional certification and a master's degree, to sponsor the cheerleaders or the sophomore class (my choice); and after school, I am to attend committee and faculty meetings... I am to be a paragon of virtue, such that my presence will awe my students into being obedient and respectful of authority. I am to do all of this with just a piece of chalk, a bulletin board and a few books (some of which I may have to purchase myself). And for doing this, I am to be paid a starting salary that, in some states, qualifies my family for food stamps.

Is that all?

(Excerpted from “A Lesson on the Realities of Teaching,” The Los Angeles Times, January 28, 2000).
School administration in the early 1900s was based on a factory model of education. Educators believed that children could be and should be educated in much the same way as cars were mass produced.

Schooling came to be seen as work or the preparation for work; schools were pictured as factories, educators as industrial managers, and students as the raw materials to be inducted into the production process. The ideology of school management was recast in the mold of the business corporation, and the character of education was shaped after the image of industrial production (Cohen and Lazerson, 1972:47).

Although teachers and administrators work hard today to personalize the time you spend in school, public education in this country remains very much an impersonal bureaucratic process. Schools today are still based on specialization, rules and procedures, and impersonality.

The 1954 classroom on the left clearly reflects the traditional mass production approach to education. Recently, as seen in the photo at the right, there has been more of an attempt to personalize education.
Why should schools be standardized? For administrators, there are many advantages to following a bureaucratic model. For instance, in the discussion of formal organizations in Chapter 6, you read that one of the characteristics of a bureaucracy is the tendency to specialize. Professional educators are specialists—administrators, classroom teachers, librarians, curriculum specialists who decide on courses and content, and so forth.

In the bureaucratic model, education can be accomplished most efficiently for large numbers of students when they are at similar stages in their ability and development. (There were, in fact, approximately 60 million students in the public school system in 2000. Figure 12.1 shows the increasing percentage of young people from all races and ethnic groups who are completing high school.) In this way, a teacher can develop one lesson plan for a number of students. Age-based classrooms, in which all students receive the same instruction, reflect the impersonal, bureaucratic nature of schools.

Efficiency, the ultimate goal of a bureaucracy, is also increased when teachers teach the same, or at least similar, content. Materials can be approved and purchased in bulk, and testing can be standardized. This practice also allows students to transfer from one school to another and continue studying approximately the same things. Rules and procedures exist to ensure that all of this happens.

Schools are also part of a much larger bureaucratic system. This system begins with the federal government and progresses layer by layer through state and local governments. (See page 191 in Chapter 6 for an organizational chart of a public school district.)
What do critics of the bureaucratic model say? Critics claim that the old factory, or bureaucratic, model is not appropriate for schooling. Children, they point out, are not inorganic materials to be processed on an assembly line. Children are human beings who come into school with previous knowledge and who interact socially and emotionally with other students. According to critics of formal schooling, education that is provided and regulated by society, the school’s bureaucratic nature is unable to respond to the expressive, creative, and emotional needs of all children. These critics prefer several less rigid, more democratic alternatives.

Democratic Reforms in the Classroom

Since colonial times, providing citizens with a good education has been an important value in the United States. The Puritans in Massachusetts in 1647 required towns with more than fifty families to hire a schoolmaster. The Land Ordinance of 1785 required that some of the income from land north of the Ohio River be used to support public schools. The first public schools were quite authoritarian, with firm rules and sharp lines drawn between students and teacher.

The American progressive education movement of the 1920s and 1930s was a reaction to the strict Victorian authoritarianism of early nineteenth-century schools. Educational philosopher John Dewey (1859–1952) led the progressive education movement, which emphasized knowledge related to work and to individual student interests. The progressive movement, with its child-centered focus, almost disappeared in the 1950s but reappeared in the 1960s as the humanistic movement. The humanistic movement supported the elimination of restrictive rules and codes and the involvement of students in the educational process. The aim of the humanistic movement was to create a more democratic, student-focused learning environment (Ballantine, 1993). It has proven to be an influential forerunner of classroom reform. Three ways to express the humanistic educational impulse are the open classroom, cooperative learning, and the integrative curriculum.

What is the open classroom? The open classroom is a nonbureaucratic approach to education based on democratic relationships, flexibility, and noncompetitiveness. Here educators avoid the sharp authoritarian line traditionally drawn between teachers and students. The open classroom drops the idea that all children of a given age should follow a standardized curriculum. On the belief that competition is not a good motivator for children, the open classroom abandons the use of graded report cards based on comparison of student performance.

The open classroom, introduced in the 1960s, has resurfaced in the 1990s. Cooperative learning and the integrative curriculum are two important extensions of the open-classroom approach.
What is cooperative learning? Cooperative learning takes place in a nonbureaucratic classroom structure in which students study in groups, with teachers as guides rather than as the controlling agents (the “guide on the side” versus the “sage on the stage” approach). According to the cooperative learning method, students learn more if they are actively involved with others in the classroom (Sizer, 1996). The traditional teacher-centered approach rewards students for being passive recipients of information and requires them to compete with others for grades and teacher recognition. Cooperative learning, with its accent on teamwork rather than individual performance, is designed to encourage students to concentrate more on the process of getting results than how their answers compare to those of other students. Cooperation replaces competition. Students typically work in small groups on specific tasks. Credit for completion of a task is given only if all group members do their parts.

Using this approach successfully requires some expertise on the part of the teacher and can initially discourage students who are motivated by letter grades based on individual work. Nevertheless, some benefits of the cooperative learning approach have been documented (Children’s Defense Fund, 1991). For example,

❖ uncooperativeness and stress among students is reduced.
❖ academic performance increases.
❖ students have more positive attitudes toward school.
❖ racial and ethnic antagonism decreases.
❖ self-esteem increases.

What is the integrative curriculum? As you have seen, the curriculum is predetermined for students in the traditional classroom. In the integrative curriculum, however, the curriculum is created by students and teachers working together. Since students are asked to participate in curriculum design and content, the integrative curriculum is democratic in nature. Giving students such power obviously deviates from the traditional subject-centered curriculum. Students and teachers become collaborators (Barr, 1995).

Subject matter is selected and organized around certain real-world themes or concepts. An example is a sixth-grade unit of study on water quality in Washington State.

In an integrative curriculum, students apply teachings from many disciplines at the same time. Students shown here are on a field trip to explore mineral production in a local community.
One hundred years ago, Russian immigrant Marie Antin wrote about her first days at school in the United States. Reading about her reactions might make Americans more appreciative of the public school system they often criticize.

Education was free. That subject my father had written about repeatedly, as comprising his chief hope for us children, the essence of American opportunity, the treasure that no thief could touch, not even misfortune or poverty. It was the one thing that he was able to promise us when he sent for us; surer, safer, than bread or shelter.

On our second day I was thrilled with the realization of what this freedom of education meant. A little girl from across the alley came and offered to conduct us to school. My father was out, but we five between us had a few words of English by this time. We knew the word school. We understood. This child, who had never seen us till yesterday, who could not pronounce our names, who was not much better dressed than we, was able to offer us the freedom of the schools of Boston! No application made, no question asked, no examinations, rulings, exclusions; no machinations, no fees. The doors stood open for every one of us. The smallest child could show us the way.

This incident impressed me more than anything I had heard in advance of the freedom of education in America. It was a concrete proof—almost the thing itself. One had to experience it to understand it.


Thinking It Over

1. Describe your thoughts and feelings about your school experiences as you think about Antin’s perspective.

2. Do you agree with the author that education is the chief hope for children? Explain.
Chapter 12  Education

Back-to-Basics Movement

In the 1990s, the “back-to-basics” movement emerged alongside cooperative learning and the integrative curriculum. Worried by low scores on achievement tests, supporters of this movement pushed for a return to a traditional curriculum (“reading, writing, and arithmetic”) based on more bureaucratic methods.

What started the back-to-basics movement? In 1983, America received an educational wake-up call. The National Commission on Excellence in Education issued a report dramatically entitled *A Nation at Risk*. Catching the attention of politicians and the general public, it warned of a “rising tide of mediocrity” in America’s schools. Because of deficiencies in its educational system, the report claimed, America was at risk of being overtaken by some of its world economic competitors (Gardner, 1983).

Unlike the recommendations of the progressive and humanistic reform movements, most of the solutions offered by the commission were bureaucratic in nature. The report urged a return to more teaching of basic skills such as reading and mathematics. High school graduation requirements should be strengthened to include four years of English, three years of mathematics, three years of science, three years of social studies, and a half year of computer science. School days, the school year, or both should be lengthened. Standardized achievement tests should be administered as students move from one level of schooling to another. High school students should be given significantly more homework. Discipline should be tightened through the development and enforcement of codes for student conduct.

Alternatives to the Public School System

The debate over the most effective classroom methods continues. Meanwhile, educators and politicians are looking beyond the classroom to how schools are organized, funded, and administered. A new debate has arisen over school choice. The school choice movement promotes the idea that the best way to improve schools is by using the free enterprise model and creating some competition for the public school system. Supporters of school choice believe that parents and students should be able to select the school that best fits their needs and provides the greatest educational benefit. Methods used to accomplish this goal include the voucher system, charter schools, magnet, and for-profit schools.

What is a voucher system? People in favor of a voucher system say that the government should make the money spent per child on public education available to families to use for public, private, or religious schools. Families who chose a public school would pay nothing, just as in the current system. Parents who chose a religious or other private school would receive a government voucher to be used to pay a portion of the tuition equal to the amount the government spends per child in the public school system. Any additional tuition would be paid by the parents. A voucher plan in Cleveland, for example, provided publicly funded scholarships of...
about $2,000 annually to around four thousand city children in the 2001 school year. Most parents have chosen to spend the money at private schools rather than keep their children in public schools. The basic idea is that public schools would have to compete for the students and thus would improve their services. If parents were not happy with a school, they would have the freedom to remove their children and place them elsewhere.

Public reaction to the voucher approach has been mixed. So far, public vouchers affect only about one-tenth of 1 percent of American school children. Large-scale public programs exist in only two cities—Cleveland and Milwaukee. In 1999, Florida initiated the first statewide public voucher program. African American and Latino parents tend to prefer a voucher system because it provides some financial help to remove their children from public schools that they believe have let their children down. Because most whites seem to be satisfied with the public schools, they have not embraced the voucher system in large numbers (Thomas and Clemetson, 1999).

Courts have generally treated voucher systems as unconstitutional because they may contradict the principle of separation of church and state. On the other hand, in 1998 the U.S. Supreme Court let stand a ruling by the Wisconsin Supreme Court that allowed state money to go to low-income students for either private or parochial education schools. As of early 2002, the U.S. Supreme Court has not ruled directly on the constitutionality of school vouchers, but some state and federal judges have. Vouchers have been declared unconstitutional by lower court judges in Florida, Ohio, Vermont, Maine, and Pennsylvania. The Supreme Court is expected to rule on this issue before the end of 2002.

Up to now the evidence on the effectiveness of the voucher system is inconsistent. Although compared to public schools, some voucher programs have improved student test scores, other programs have produced no improvement (Toch and Cohen, 1998).

Critics fear that if this system were implemented, inner-city schools would suffer even more, since few inner-city parents could afford to make up the difference between the amount of the voucher and the cost of the highest-quality private schools. They also fear that national and local commitment to public education would decline, leaving the public school system in worse shape than it is now. Furthermore, the need to regulate private and religious schools would increase bureaucracy.

**What are charter schools and magnet schools?** Charter schools are publicly funded schools operated like private schools by public school teachers and administrators. Freed of answering to local school boards, charter schools have the latitude to shape their own curriculum and to use non-traditional or traditional teaching methods.

The Mosaica Academy (now called School Lane), which opened in 1998 in Pennsylvania, is deliberately not organized along public school lines. The school day is about two hours longer than at public school and the school year is also longer. This school created its own curriculum with the goal of immersing students in the development of civilizations over 4,000 years (Symonds, 2000). In 2002 there were approximately 2,400 charter schools across the United States. The success of these schools is tied to the commitment of the teachers, principals, and parents.

Magnet schools are public schools that attempt to achieve high standards by specializing in a certain area. One school may emphasize the...
performing arts while another might stress science. Magnet schools are designed to enhance school quality and to promote desegregation. They have become a significant factor in improving urban education.

**What is the nature of for-profit schools?** Some reformers do not believe local or federal government is capable of improving the educational system. Government, they say, is too wasteful and ineffective. Why not look to business and market forces to solve the problems facing schools today? **For-profit schools** would be supported by government funds but run by private companies. By borrowing from modern business practices, the argument goes, these schools could be efficient, productive, and cost effective. Marketplace forces would ensure that the best schools will survive.

The most comprehensive for-profit organization is Edison, which launched a $40-million, three-year campaign in 1992 to develop its program. Edison schools feature challenging curriculums, along with a schedule that has children in school almost a third longer than the average public school. Beginning in the third grade, students are equipped with a computer and modem to take home, in order to access Edison’s intranet system (Symonds, 2000).

Critics of this approach are bothered by the idea of mixing profit and public service. What would happen to the students when their needs were weighed against the profit margin? Would for-profit schools skimp on equipment, services, and training? Another problem involves oversight. That is, with a for-profit system, voters would lose the power to influence officials and educational policy.

**Section 1 Assessment**

1. State three ways in which schools in the United States follow the bureaucratic model.
2. Identify three specific types of reform in public education.

**Critical Thinking**

3. **Analyzing Information** Explain why such reforms as open classrooms and integrative learning are characterized as more democratic than the traditional or bureaucratic approach.
4. **Summarizing Information** First briefly summarize the ideas about school choice presented in this section. Then evaluate them. Do you favor one approach over another? Give reasons for your choice.
Functionalist Perspective

**Key Terms**

- manifest function
- latent function
- tracking

**Manifest Functions of Education**

According to the functionalists, social institutions develop because they meet one or more of society’s basic needs. Functionalists distinguish between a **manifest function**, which is an intended and recognized result, and a **latent function**, which is an unintended and unrecognized result. (Refer to page 26 to review the meanings of these terms.) The educational institution performs several vital manifest functions in modern society. Schools teach obvious academic skills such as reading, writing, and mathematics. They also transmit culture, create a common identity for members of society, select and screen talent, and promote personal growth and development. Let’s look more closely at each of these functions.

**How do schools transmit culture?** Schools transmit culture by instilling in students the basic values, norms, beliefs, and attitudes of the society. The value of competition, for example, is taught through emphasis on grades, sports, and school spirit. Teaching the culture is absolutely essential if a society is to survive from one generation to the next.

**manifest function**
an action that produces an intended and recognized result

**latent function**
an action that produces an unintended and unrecognized result
How do schools help create a common identity? Although television is now a strong competitor, the educational system remains the major force in creating a common identity among a diverse population. Learning an official language, sharing in national history and patriotic themes, and being exposed to similar informational materials promote a shared identity. The result is a society with homogeneous values, norms, beliefs, and attitudes. Schools in the United States contribute to this process. By attending local schools, newly arrived immigrant children, without the ability to speak and write English, soon learn to participate in the American way of life.

The current debate in the United States over bilingual education touches on the role that schools play in creating a common identity. People who emphasize recognizing and honoring cultural diversity usually support teaching in the student’s own language, at least for some period of time. Opponents of bilingual education argue that bilingual education hinders the development of a common American identity and has not been proven to help students.

**Interpreting the Map**

1. Do you see a pattern in the rates of illiteracy? Explain.
2. How does the United States measure up?

*Adapted from The State of the World Atlas, 6th ed.*

**Illiteracy Rates**

One of the functions of education is to promote literacy—the key to continued learning, problem solving, and information analysis. This map shows rates of illiteracy among persons fifteen years of age and older in various countries of the world. Because of cultural norms and discrimination, more women than men are illiterate.


---

**Education has in America’s whole history been the major hope for improving the individual and society.**

*Gunnar Myrdal, Swedish economist*
succeed academically. Conservative political efforts have led twenty-four states to adopt English as their official language. The creation of a similar law for the nation is being discussed in Congress.

**How do schools select and screen students?** For over fifty years, scores on intelligence and achievement tests have been used for grouping children in school. The stated purpose of testing is to identify an individual’s talents and aptitudes. Test scores have also been used for tracking—placing students in curricula consistent with expectations for the students’ eventual occupations. (Tracking is discussed further in Section 3 when we look at inequalities in education.) Counselors use test scores and early performance records to predict careers for which individuals may be best suited.

**How do schools promote personal growth and development?** Schools expose students to a wide variety of perspectives and experiences that encourage them to develop creativity, verbal skills, artistic expression, intellectual accomplishment, and cultural tolerance. In this way, education provides an environment in which individuals can improve the quality of their lives. In addition, schools attempt to prepare students for the world of work.

**Latent Functions of Education**

The educational institution has latent functions as well. Some are positive; others are not. Educators do not usually think of schools as day-care facilities for dual-employed couples or single parents. Nor do parents vote for additional school taxes so that their sons and daughters can find dates or marriage partners. Also, schools are not consciously designed to prevent delinquency by holding juveniles indoors during the daytime. Nor are schools intended as training grounds for athletes. Nonetheless, all of these activities are latent functions of the school system.

Each of the latent functions just mentioned is considered a positive contribution to society. But some consequences are negative, or dysfunctional. Tracking, for example, can perpetuate an unequal social-class structure from generation to generation. In addition, evidence suggests that tracking is harmful to those placed on “slower” tracks (Hurn, 1993).

**Section 2 Assessment**

1. List the essential functions of education described in the text.
2. What is the difference between a manifest and a latent function in education?
3. What type of function do schools perform when they keep children for their working parents?

**Critical Thinking**

4. **Making Comparisons** What do you think is the most significant latent function schools perform? Consider the advantages and disadvantages.
By the time you graduate from high school, the competition for well-paying entry-level jobs will be stiffer than ever before. Here are some tips to keep you in demand—whether you are college bound or going directly into the job market.

Career counselors urge job seekers to think in terms of *lifelong learning*. Never think of your education as coming to an end. The excerpt below is as true today as it was a generation ago.

*For education the lesson is clear: its prime objective must be to increase the individual’s “cope-ability”—the speed and economy with which he can adapt to continual change. . . . It is not even enough for him to understand the present, for the here-and-now environment will soon vanish. Johnny must learn to anticipate the directions and rate of change. He must, to put it technically, learn to make repeated, probabilistic, increasingly long-range assumptions about the future* (Toffler, 1970:403).

Preparation for the future involves attempting to predict the future demand for particular occupations. The *Occupational Outlook Handbook* and the *Occupational Outlook Handbook for College Graduates* can be very helpful in this regard. Each year in these volumes, the U.S. Department of Labor publishes detailed predictions for specific occupations.

Educating yourself for the future also means being prepared to enter an occupation for which you have no specific training. You must remain willing to retrain and to enter an entirely new occupation—for example, to move from bank teller to computer programmer.

In spite of the fact that you will probably change occupations over the course of your work life, you should try to determine your true job preference before you spend a great deal of time learning a job that turns out not to be the one you want. Over half of all young people entering a chosen field quit their jobs within one year. This fact has led some observers to argue that few young people really understand the nature of the work for which they are preparing. How do you find out what jobs you would really enjoy? Volunteering time in a specific work situation can help. For example, hospitals usually have volunteer programs in which medical practitioners can be observed. If you think you would like to be a physician, nurse, or other health-care worker, get involved in one of these programs. You will not only help others but will help yourself, as well.

Finally, educating yourself for the future includes preparing for leisure choices. Careers have become so specialized that they satisfy only a small part of people’s interests. Many high schools, colleges, and universities sponsor noncredit courses and seminars on such topics as personal development, photography, fine arts, and alternative lifestyles. These courses permit you to either pursue long-standing interests or develop new ones.

**Doing Sociology**

Make an informal survey of as many working adults as possible. Ask them what additional training, if any, they have undergone since taking their first jobs. Then ask them what plans they have for future training. Summarize your results, and bring the report to class to share.
Conflict Perspective

Key Terms

- meritocracy
- competition
- educational equality
- cognitive ability
- cultural bias
- school desegregation
- multicultural education
- compensatory education

Meritocracy

Conflict theorists attempt to show that popular conceptions about the relationship between schools and society are not entirely accurate. Schools and society often touch each other in complicated and unobvious ways.

In a meritocracy, social status is based on ability and achievement rather than social-class background or parental status. In theory, all individuals in a meritocracy have an equal chance to develop their abilities for the benefit of themselves and their society. A meritocracy, then, gives everyone an equal chance to succeed. It is free of barriers that prevent individuals from developing their talents.

Meritocracy is based on competition. For this reason, sport is seen as the ultimate meritocracy. Although some sports have glaring shortcomings in this regard (see Chapter 15), sport does fit very closely with the definition of competition. For sociologists, competition is a social process that occurs when rewards are given to people on the basis of how their performance compares with the performance of others doing the same task or participating in the same event (Coakley, 1998).

Is America really a meritocracy? Although America claims to be a meritocracy, sociologists have identified barriers to true merit-based achievement, such as gender, race, and ethnicity. An example (greatly simplified) is how the edu-
cation system favors the wealthy. Schools in wealthy neighborhoods are significantly better than schools in economically disadvantaged areas. It follows, then, that students attending wealthier schools get a better education than students attending poorer schools. Furthermore, students attending poorer schools do not learn the values, manners, language, and dress of people in more affluent schools. Because the majority of students in poorer schools are members of racial and ethnic minorities, they find themselves at a disadvantage when applying for higher-level jobs that lead to higher incomes. (See Figure 12.2.)

How do minorities perform on college entrance exams? There are related barriers to achievement faced by racial and ethnic minorities. An important one of these is lower performance on college entrance examinations. African Americans, Latinos, and Native Americans have lower average scores on the Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT) than whites. (See Figure 12.3 on page 402.) Sociologists attribute this fact, in part, to the differences in school quality noted above. And both school quality and SAT performance are related to social class. Children from upper-class and upper-middle-class families attend more affluent schools. These children also have higher SAT scores. Social class clearly affects SAT performance.

Figure 12.2 Median Annual Income by Gender, Race, and Education. Clearly, this graph documents the income advantage that white males in the U.S. have over white females and African Americans of both sexes. Explain how this data challenges the existence of a true meritocracy.

How do SAT scores influence economic achievement?

The SAT, created in 1926, was originally used to identify talented youth, regardless of social class background, so they could attend elite colleges and universities (Lemann, 1991). Ironically, as we have just seen, social class is a major factor in SAT performance. Consequently, social class (through SAT performance) still influences who will attend the institutions that are the gateway to America’s higher social classes.

Don’t the rewards tied to high SAT scores mean that America is a meritocracy? On the surface it does seem that merit is being rewarded in the system just outlined. After all, it is those who do better academically who enjoy higher levels of success.

There are two problems with this conclusion. The first is the advantage some people have because their parents’ social class creates an unlevel playing field. Talent in the lower social classes often does not get recognized and developed. Second is the assumption that SAT performance measures academic ability and the likelihood of success in both college and life. For example, African American students who attend the most prestigious schools—including those students with lower SAT scores (below 1000)—complete college at

---

**Figure 12.3 SAT SCORES BY RACE AND ETHNICITY**

An examination of this table reveals the gap in average SAT scores for whites and Asian Americans versus African Americans, Latinos, and Native Americans. Interpret these data as a conflict theorist would in the context of the U.S. as a meritocracy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Racial/Ethnic Category</th>
<th>SAT Verbal Mean Scores</th>
<th>SAT Math Mean Scores</th>
<th>Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Native American or Alaskan Native</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>481</td>
<td>963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian, Asian American, or Pacific Islander</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>1064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>860</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Latino Background**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Latino Background</th>
<th>SAT Verbal Mean Scores</th>
<th>SAT Math Mean Scores</th>
<th>Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mexican or Mexican American</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puerto Rican</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin American, South American, Central American, or Other Latino</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>928</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| White (excluding Latino origin) | 528 | 530 | 1058 |

a higher rate than black students attending less rigorous institutions. They are also more likely to go on to graduate or professional schools (Bowen and Bok, 2000). Apparently these students are succeeding because they attended better schools, even if they don't have high SAT scores.

At the least, these findings raise doubts about the ability of the SAT to achieve a level playing field. Recognizing this, an official at the Educational Testing Service (ETS)—developer and marketer of the SAT—announced in 1999 that ETS was creating a "strivers" score. The idea was to adjust a student’s SAT score to factor in social class as well as racial and ethnic characteristics thought to place him or her at a competitive disadvantage. Any student whose original score exceeded by 200 points the score predicted for their social class, racial, or ethnic category would be considered a “striver.” The strivers score would be made available to colleges and universities to use, if they desired, in their admissions decisions (Glazer, 1999; Wildavsky, 1999). The proposal was quickly withdrawn after a firestorm of criticism from both privileged and disadvantaged sources.

Equality and Inequality in Education

The situation for those disadvantaged by social class, racial, and ethnic background is actually even more complicated. As already implied, it is tied to the larger issue of educational equality and inequality. Educational equality exists when schooling produces the same results, in terms of achievement and attitudes, for lower-class and minority children as it does for less disadvantaged children. Results, not resources, are the test of educational equality (Coleman et al., 1966).

Do schools provide educational equality? Research has shown that even the best teachers often evaluate students on the basis of their social class and their racial and ethnic characteristics. This tendency to judge students on nonacademic criteria is especially apparent in the practice of tracking. Researchers report that social class and race heavily influence student placement in college preparatory, vocational, or basic tracks regardless of their intelligence or past academic achievement (Oakes and Lipton, 1996; Taylor et al., 1997). Once students are placed, their grades and test scores are
influenced more by the track they are on than by their current performance. Regardless of earlier school performance or intelligence, the academic performance of college-bound students increases, whereas the performance of those on a noncollege track decreases. In other words, schools are not successfully providing educational equality for their students.

Cognitive Ability

The technical term for intelligence is cognitive ability—the capacity for thinking abstractly. Dating back to the turn of the twentieth century, there has been a tradition in schools to attempt to measure cognitive ability.

Because cognitive ability testing is an important element in sorting and tracking students, it contributes to educational inequality. Whenever cognitive ability tests are discussed, the question of inherited intelligence always arises.
Is intelligence inherited? In the past, some people assumed that individual and group differences in measured intellectual ability were due to genetic differences. This assumption, of course, underlies Social Darwinism. (See pages 15–16 for a brief explanation of these assumptions.)

A few researchers still take this viewpoint. More than thirty years ago Arthur Jensen (1969), an educational psychologist, contended that the lower average intelligence score among African American children may be due to heredity. A recent book by Richard Herrnstein and Charles Murray (1994), entitled *The Bell Curve*, is also in the tradition of linking intelligence to heredity. According to these authors, humans inherit 60 to 70 percent of their intelligence level. Herrnstein and Murray further contend that the fact of inherited intelligence makes largely futile the efforts to help the disadvantaged through programs such as Head Start and affirmative action.

What are arguments against the inherited intelligence theory? Most social scientists oppose the genetic explanation of intelligence differences between races because it fails to consider the effects of the social, psychological, and economic environment on intelligence. Even those social scientists who believe that genetics plays an important role in intelligence criticize both the interpretations of the evidence and the public policy conclusions contained in *The Bell Curve*. They point to the body of research that runs counter to Herrnstein’s and Murray’s thesis. More specifically, they see intelligence not as an issue of nature versus nurture but as a matter of genetics and environment (Morganthau, 1994; Wright, 1996). We know, for example, that city dwellers usually score higher on intelligence tests than do people in rural areas, that higher-status African Americans score higher than lower-status African Americans, and that middle-class African American children score about as high as middle-class white children. We also have discovered that as people get older, they usually score higher on intelligence tests. These findings, and others like them, have led researchers to conclude that environmental factors affected test performance at least as much as genetic factors (Samuda, 1975; Schiff and Lewontin, 1987; Jencks and Phillips, 1998). One of these environmental factors is a cultural bias in the measurement of cognitive ability.

What are culturally biased intelligence tests? Many early social scientists have argued that intelligence tests have a cultural bias—that is, the wording used in questions may be more familiar to people of one social group than to those of another group. Tests with cultural bias unfairly measure the cognitive abilities of people in some social categories. Specifically, intelligence tests are said to be culturally biased because they are designed for middle-class children. The tests measure learning and environment as much as intellectual ability. Consider this intelligence test item cited by Daniel Levine and Rayna Levine:

*A symphony is to a composer as a book is to what?*

- a. paper
- b. a musician
- c. a sculptor
- d. a man
- e. an author

According to critics, higher-income children find this question easier to answer correctly than lower-income children because they are more likely to have been exposed to information about classical music. The same charge was made by critics of a recent SAT question that used a Bentley (a luxury-model
automobile) as its illustration. Several studies have indicated that because most intelligence tests assume fluency in English, minorities cannot do as well on intelligence tests. Some researchers have suggested that many urban African American students are superior to their white classmates on several dimensions of verbal capacity, but this ability is not recognized, because intelligence tests do not measure those specific areas (Gould, 1981; Goleman, 1988; Hurn, 1993).

Some researchers have shown that the testing situation itself affects performance. Low-income and minority students, for example, score higher on intelligence tests when tested by adult members of their own race or income group. Apparently children can feel threatened when tested in a strange environment by someone dissimilar to them. Middle-class children are frequently eager to take the tests because they have been taught the importance both of test results and of academic competitiveness. Because low-income children do not recognize the importance of tests and have not been taught to be academically competitive, they ignore some of the questions or look for something more interesting to do. Other researchers report that nutrition seems to play a role in test performance. Low-income children with poor diets may do less than their best when they are hungry or when they lack particular types of food over long periods of time.

**Promoting Educational Equality**

Although it is difficult to completely overcome the barriers of economic and social class, policy makers and educators are exploring ways to promote educational equality. Two methods are *school desegregation* and *compensatory education*.

*Does desegregation always promote equality?* In this discussion, *school desegregation* refers to the achievement of a racial balance in the classroom. Desegregated classrooms can have either positive or negative effects on the academic achievement of minority children. Mere physical desegregation without adequate support may actually harm both white and African American children. However, desegregated classrooms with an atmosphere of respect and acceptance improve academic performance (Orfield et al., 1992).

Minority students who attend desegregated public schools get better jobs and earn higher incomes than minority students who attend segregated schools. The formal education they receive is only part of the reason. Middle-class students become models for the behavior, dress, and language often required by employers in the middle-class hiring world.

*The governor of Nebraska, Mike Johanns, is part of a program to educate school students on the culture of minority groups in their state.*
In addition, exposure to people of different backgrounds can lead to better racial and ethnic relations (Hawley and Smylie, 1988). On this evidence rests the promise of **multicultural education**—an educational curriculum that accentuates the viewpoints, experiences, and contributions of minorities (women as well as ethnic and racial minorities).

**What is the purpose of multicultural education?** Among minorities, school attendance and academic performance appear to increase with multicultural education. Multicultural education attempts to dispel stereotypes and to make the traditions of minorities valuable assets for the broader culture (McLaren, 1997; Ladson-Billings, 1998).

Multicultural education has its critics, however. According to some opponents, encouraging people to think of themselves as culturally separate and unique divides rather than unites American society. Some critics point to instances in which multicultural programs, such as African American studies programs, actually promote feelings of racial separation in schools.

**Does compensatory education work?** The term **compensatory education** refers to specific curricular programs designed to overcome deficiency. Special compensatory programs provided during early childhood, it appears, can improve the school achievement of disadvantaged children (Zigler and Styfco, 1993; Campbell and Ramey, 1994).

The best-known attempt at compensatory education is Head Start. This federally supported program prepares disadvantaged preschoolers for public school. Its goal is to provide disadvantaged children an equal opportunity to develop their potential. Follow-up studies report positive long-term results. Low-income youngsters between the ages of nine and nineteen who had been in preschool compensatory programs performed better in school. They had higher achievement test scores and were more motivated academically than low-income youths who had not been in compensatory education programs (Bruner, 1982; Etzioni, 1982). Later research also supports the benefits of Head Start (Mills, 1998). For example, compared to their peers, a group of children who scored lower on intelligence tests when they entered a Head Start program later had better school attendance, completed high school at a higher rate, and entered the workforce in greater proportion.

---

**Section 3 Assessment**

1. Do you think the United States is a meritocracy, as stated in the text?
2. What is meant by the term *educational equality*?
3. What role conflicts does multicultural education pose for teachers?

---

**Critical Thinking**

4. **Finding the Main Idea** Students from higher social classes are more likely to go to college than students from the lower classes. How does this fit with the idea of meritocracy?
5. **Evaluating Information** If schools fail to provide educational quality, what do you think will be the consequences in terms of role conflict?
In a recent book, *The Age of Spiritual Machines*, author Ray Kurzweil makes forecasts concerning life in the twenty-first century. He claims that, by the end of the century, computers will be the most intelligent “beings” on the planet. Specific predictions on education in 2009 include the following scenarios.

The majority of reading is done on displays, although the “installed base” of paper documents is still formidable. The generation of paper documents is dwindling, however, as the books and other papers of largely twentieth-century vintage are being rapidly scanned and stored. Documents circa 2009 routinely include embedded moving images and sounds.

Students of all ages typically have a computer of their own, which is a thin tabletlike device weighing under a pound with a very high resolution display suitable for reading. Students interact with their computers primarily by voice and by pointing with a device that looks like a pencil. Keyboards still exist, but most textual language is created by speaking. Learning materials are accessed through wireless communication.

Preschool and elementary school children routinely read at their intellectual level using print-to-speech reading software until their reading skill level catches up. These print-to-speech reading systems display the full image of documents, and can read the print aloud while highlighting what is being read. Synthetic voices sound fully human. Although some educators expressed concern in the early ‘00 years that students would rely unduly on reading software, such systems have been readily accepted by children and their parents. Studies have shown that students improve their reading skills by being exposed to synchronized visual and auditory presentations of text.

Learning at a distance (for example, lectures and seminars in which the participants are geographically scattered) is commonplace. This also helps to relieve congested campuses and cut back on the burning of gasoline in city limits.

Analyzing the Trends

1. If Kurzweil’s predictions came true, how would education’s role in the socialization of students change?
2. If Kurzweil’s predictions came true, would social stratification play a more or less important role in education than it does now? Use information from the chapter to support your answer.
3. If the predictions in the article came to pass, would we still need schools? Why or why not?
Symbolic interactionists are very interested in how schools transmit culture through the socialization process. Besides teachers and textbooks, which we will discuss later, the most important agent of this socialization process is the *hidden curriculum*. Modern society places considerable emphasis on the verbal, mathematical, and writing skills an adult needs to obtain a job, read a newspaper, balance a checkbook, and compute income taxes. However, schools teach much more than these basic academic skills. They also transmit to children a variety of values, norms, beliefs, and attitudes.

**The Hidden Curriculum**

Symbolic interactionists are very interested in how schools transmit culture through the socialization process. Besides teachers and textbooks, which we will discuss later, the most important agent of this socialization process is the *hidden curriculum*. Modern society places considerable emphasis on the verbal, mathematical, and writing skills an adult needs to obtain a job, read a newspaper, balance a checkbook, and compute income taxes. However, schools teach much more than these basic academic skills. They also transmit to children a variety of values, norms, beliefs, and attitudes.

**What is the hidden curriculum?**

The *hidden curriculum* is the nonacademic agenda that teaches children norms and values such as discipline, order, cooperativeness, and conformity. These citizenship skills are thought to be necessary for success in modern bureaucratic society, whether one becomes a doctor, a college president, a computer programmer, or an assembly-line worker. Over the years, schools, for example, socialize children for the transition from their closely knit, cooperative families to the loosely knit, competitive adult occupational world. The school provides systematic practice for children to operate independently in the pursuit of personal and academic achievement. The values of conformity and achievement are emphasized through individual testing and grading. Because teachers evaluate young people as students, not as relatives, friends, or equals, students participate in a model for future secondary relationships—employer-employee; salesperson-customer; lawyer-client.

*Fire drills teach safety procedures, but they also reinforce the importance of obedience and cooperation, part of the school system’s hidden agenda.*
Textbooks

A critical part of the hidden curriculum is the development of patriotism and a sense of civic duty in future adults. For this reason, courses such as history and government generally present a view of history that favors the nation. Accounts of the American Revolution, for example, are not the same in British and American textbooks. Because few societies are willing to admit to their imperfections, schools tend to resist teaching critical accounts of history. For example, for many years U.S. history textbooks failed to portray the U.S. government’s harsh treatment of Native American peoples.

Textbooks convey values and beliefs as much by what they omit as by what they include. While today’s textbooks present a more balanced picture, surveys of primary school textbooks written before the 1980s found they almost always presented men in challenging and aggressive activities while portraying women as homemakers, mothers, nurses, and secretaries. Women were not only placed in traditional roles but also appeared far less frequently in the books than men did. When women did appear, they were not initiators of action, but played passive roles. Minority groups were rarely present in textbooks, and when they were it was often in a negative context.

Similarly, textbooks tended to portray all students as living in “little white houses with white picket fences.” That image may have been part of the worldview of middle-class Americans, but parents of low-income or inner-city children complained that such pictures of middle-class life harmed their children. Poor children who compared their homes with middle-class homes felt out of place (Trimble, 1988; Gibson and Ogbu, 1991).

Today, active parent groups, minority special interest groups, and state boards of education work with textbook publishers to ensure that a more balanced picture of society is presented to students. Problems arise, however, when conflicts occur over whose view of society is the most accurate.

Teachers and Socialization

Classroom teachers have a unique and important role in socializing children. Teachers are usually a child’s first authority figures outside the family, and children spend a lot of time in school. In addition, most parents urge their children to obey teachers, in part because their children’s futures are affected by school performance.

**How do teachers affect students’ performance?** All teachers set academic tasks for their students, but teachers affect children unintentionally as well. In a classic 1989 study, Robert Rosenthal and Lenore Jacobson explored the *self-fulfilling prophecy*—a prediction that results in behavior that makes the prediction come true. In their study, elementary school teachers were given a list of children in their classrooms who, according to the researchers, were soon to blossom intellectually. Actually, these children were
picked at random from the school roster and were no different from other children in the school. At the end of the year, this randomly selected group of children significantly improved their scores on intelligence tests, while their classmates as a group did not. According to Rosenthal and Jacobson, the teachers expected the “late bloomers” to spurt academically. Consequently, the teachers treated these students as if they were special. This behavior on the part of the teachers encouraged the students to become higher academic achievers. (See Focus on Research on page 298. Also see Chapter 9, page 288, for a more general discussion of the self-fulfilling prophecy.)

Another early study by sociologist Eleanor Leacock (1969) found the self-fulfilling prophecy at work in a study of second and fifth graders in black and white low- and middle-income schools. And both studies demonstrate that self-fulfilling prophecies can transmit negative self-impressions as well as positive ones.

**Do teachers foster sexism?** As described in Chapter 10, children are taught to adopt the “appropriate” gender identity in school (Martin, 1998). Following a long line of earlier researchers, Myra Sadker and David Sadker (1995) have contended that America’s teachers are often unfair to girls because they treat girls differently than boys based on assumptions and stereotypes of what is appropriate behavior. Well-meaning teachers unconsciously transmit sexist expectations of how male and female students should behave.

---

### Table: Investigating education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theoretical Perspective</th>
<th>Concept</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Functionalism</td>
<td>Tracking</td>
<td>Schools shape the occupational future of children by placing them in educational programs based on test scores and early school performance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conflict Theory</td>
<td>Meritocracy</td>
<td>Students attending better schools have an occupational advantage over students from poorer schools.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbolic Interactionism</td>
<td>Hidden curriculum</td>
<td>Schools teach children the values of conformity and achievement.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Sociology Online

**Student Web Activity**

Visit the Sociology and You Web site at [soc.glencoe.com](http://soc.glencoe.com) and click on Chapter 12—Student Web Activities for an activity on sexism in schools.

---
High School Dropouts

For many jobs, a high school diploma is a minimum requirement. People who do not complete high school earn only about three-fourths as much as high school graduates. This map shows the percentage of teenagers (aged sixteen to nineteen) in each state who are high school dropouts.

Interpreting the Map

1. Compare this map with the Snapshot on page 404. Do you see a relationship between the money spent per student and the percentage of high school dropouts?
2. Compare this map with the Snapshot on page 261. Do you see any relationship between the percentage of high school dropouts and the percentage of the population living below the poverty line?


Girls, for example, learn to talk softly, to avoid certain subjects (especially math and science), to defer to the alleged intellectual superiority of boys, and to emphasize appearance over intelligence. As a result, in a coeducational setting boys are

- five times more likely to receive the most attention from teachers.
- three times more likely to be praised.
- eight times more likely to call out in class.
- three times more talkative in class.
- twice as likely to demand help or attention.
- twice as likely to be called on in class.

The conclusions seem to be incontrovertible: in general boys talk more, move more, have their hands up more, do more, argue more, get more of the teachers’ attention than do girls in a coeducational setting (Sadker and Sadker, 1995).
But, what about all the progress that has been made?

Contrary to the expectation of some, such inequalities are not gone from the educational scene. Writers who paint a rosier picture have so far failed to produce convincing evidence to support it (Deak, 1998).

There is objective evidence that girls are guided in school toward traditional female jobs and away from high-paying, powerful, and prestigious jobs in science, technology, and engineering (Millicent, 1992). True, significantly more high school girls want to go into engineering today than in the past. But five times more men than women receive bachelor’s degrees in engineering.

These gender-based discrepancies cannot be explained by ability differences. Girls perform almost as well as boys on math and science tests (O’Sullivan, Reese, and Mazzeo, 1997). Girls score higher than boys at reading and writing at all grade levels and are more likely to attend college (Greenwald et al., 1999). Moreover, females fare better in single-gender schools and single-gender classes in coeducational schools.

*Girls in these situations, in general, get better grades, report that they learn more and are more positive about the learning situation, have higher self-esteem, and more often move on to advanced courses than do girls in regular coeducational situations (Deak, 1998:19–20).*

Section 4 Assessment

1. Cite an example from your earlier schooling that you believe presented a viewpoint of history that was incomplete or slanted toward one perspective.

Critical Thinking

2. **Making Generalizations** Besides parents and teachers, what authority figures do young children meet?

3. **Applying Concepts** Describe a time when you were the subject of a self-fulfilling prophecy.

4. **Applying Concepts** Provide examples from your own experience to support or contradict the existence of the hidden curriculum.

“Education is the transmission of civilization.”

Will and Ariel Durant authors/philosophers
Case Study: Pygmalion in the Classroom

Ask your beliefs strong enough to affect your feelings or behavior? You have probably experienced how your feelings and behavior change upon receiving new information. A feeling of well-being usually follows learning that you did better on an important math exam than you thought you could. You may even be encouraged enough to study math more enthusiastically in the future. If your own perceptions can affect your feelings and behavior, is it possible that someone else's beliefs about you can also influence your feelings and behavior? The idea that this can happen is called the self-fulfilling prophecy. As noted earlier, two social scientists, Robert Rosenthal and Lenore Jacobson (1989), studied the self-fulfilling prophecy in a school setting.

For their case study, these researchers chose Oak School, a public elementary school located in a predominantly lower-class community. They hypothesized that children whose teacher expected their IQ scores to increase would in fact increase their scores more than comparable children whose teacher expected no IQ gains.

At the beginning of the study, a test was given to all of the Oak School students. Although it was falsely advertised as a predictor of academic "blooming" or "spurt," it was actually a non-verbal intelligence test. Rosenthal and Jacobson subsequently identified for the teachers 20 percent of the children who allegedly were ready for a dramatic increase in intellectual growth. In fact, the researchers had selected the names of these students by using a table of random numbers. The difference in potential for academic growth between the children said to
be on the verge of “blooming” and the rest of the students existed only in the minds of the teachers.

Intellectual growth was measured by the difference between a child’s IQ score at the end of the previous school year and that same child’s IQ score eight months after the next school year began. As Rosenthal and Jacobson expected, the children in the “blooming” group gained more IQ points than the other children (a 12-point gain versus an 8-point gain). The IQ gain of the children in the “blooming” group over the other students was the most pronounced among first and second graders. First graders in the “blooming” group gained over 27 IQ points, compared with 12 points in the remainder of the class. Among second graders, the advantage was 16.5 IQ points to 7.

Low teacher expectations do not necessarily prevent good students from doing well in school. And high teacher expectations cannot spur poor learners to the highest levels of achievement; however, high teacher expectations can be a powerful motivator for low performers who are capable of doing much better (Madon, Jussim, and Eccles, 1997). This occurs because teachers with high expectations for students treat them in special ways—they tend to smile and look at them more often, set higher goals for them, praise them more frequently, coach them in their studies, and give them more time to study (Rendon and Hope, 1996).

Operation of the self-fulfilling prophecy has been confirmed by other researchers in many other social settings (Myers, 1999). Research subjects behave as they think researchers expect, and a client’s progress in therapy is influenced by the therapist’s expectation. People who are expected by others to be hostile will exhibit more hostile behavior.

Working with the Research

1. How do you think the self-fulfilling prophecy works? That is, how are expectations transmitted from one person to another, and how do these expectations produce behavior?
2. What are the implications of the self-fulfilling prophecy for students? For teachers?
3. Explain why the self-fulfilling prophecy supports the labeling process discussed on pages 214–217 in Chapter 7.
Reviewing Vocabulary

Complete each sentence using each term once.

a. open-classroom model
b. cooperative learning
c. tracking
d. meritocracy
e. educational equality
f. multiculturalism
g. hidden curriculum
h. self-fulfilling prophecy

1. ____________ is a prediction that results in behavior that makes the prediction come true.
2. A nonbureaucratic classroom structure in which students study in groups is called ____________.
3. An educational curriculum that accents the viewpoints, experiences, and contributions of minorities is called ____________.
4. ____________ is equality defined in terms of the effects or results of schooling.
5. Placing students in curricula consistent with expectations for their eventual occupations is called ____________.
6. ____________ is social status based on achievement rather than social class or parental class.
7. ____________ includes discipline, order, cooperation, and conformity.
8. ____________ did away with the sharp authoritarian line between teacher and students.

Reviewing the Facts

1. A student is told by teachers that he will not amount to anything. He then begins to fail subjects he has normally passed. What term would sociologists use to describe this occurrence?
2. Explain the difference between a manifest function of education and a latent function of education. Give three examples of each function.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUNCTION</th>
<th>EXAMPLE 1</th>
<th>EXAMPLE 2</th>
<th>EXAMPLE 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manifest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. What are the three agents that assist schools to transmit culture through the socialization process?

4. What is the hidden curriculum and what purpose does it serve?

5. What is compensatory education? Give an example.

6. What is the difference between a charter school and a magnet school?

**Thinking Critically**

1. **Drawing Conclusions** Most real-world work situations involve a high degree of cooperation. Still, much of our educational system remains competitive. ACT and SAT tests are not taken cooperatively, for example. As you read in the chapter, cooperative learning has been offered as an alternative to individual learning. Based on your experience with cooperative learning, do you agree that it is a better way of learning? Why or why not?

2. **Analyzing Information** Do you think that our society benefits more from competitive situations or cooperative situations? Can both approaches be beneficial to society? In what instances might one approach be preferred to the other?

3. **Applying Concepts** On pages 20–21 in Chapter 1, you read about the McDonaldization of higher education. Using the concepts of efficiency, calculability, predictability, and technology, discuss how high schools are becoming McDonaldized.

4. **Making Inferences** In the next column is a list of student scores on the ACT test and family income. What might explain why students with higher family incomes also have higher ACT scores? Could intervening variables exist? How might an understanding of poverty explain the discrepancy in scores related to income?

5. **Drawing Conclusions** In the table in question 4, notice that 15 percent of the respondents did not answer the question about family income.

These respondents had a composite score of 20.8. What conclusions might you draw about family income and ACT scores based on the “no response” group?

6. **Analyzing Information** A recent study of high school advanced placement (AP) courses revealed that students who had taken numerous AP courses, in some cases fourteen or fifteen, were admitted to the elite universities of that state. Other students who had also taken AP courses, but had taken significantly fewer of them, were denied entrance to those schools. Does this finding support or weaken the claim that the United States is a meritocracy? Explain.

7. **Understanding Cause and Effect** An elementary school teacher was given a list of her students on the first day of class. Next to each student’s name was a number. One was 132, another was 141, and so forth. The teacher saw these numbers and was tremendously excited to begin the school year. In fact, she went out and bought extra materials. At the end of the school year, her students had shown incredible progress. When the principal came up to the teacher and congratulated her, the teacher thanked the principal for giving her so many students with high IQs. The principal said, “What do you mean?” “Well,” the teacher replied, “on the first day of class, you gave me that list of student names with their IQs.” “Those weren’t IQ numbers; they were locker numbers!” The principal responded. Whether
this story is true or not, it is a good example of the self-fulfilling prophecy (or the Pygmalion effect). What might have happened if the numbers next to the students’ names had been 94 or 97? Do you think that teachers in your school do the same thing this teacher did?

8. **Analyzing Information** To ensure that all students have a minimum standard of knowledge before leaving school, several states now require high school seniors to pass a comprehensive exam. Passing the test would give employers and colleges some assurance that a certain standard of achievement was met. Some parents are challenging the exam, claiming that students with passing grades could fail to get into a good college if they failed the exam. Others contend that students who have failed to pass classes could pass the exam and get credit. They argue that many students are unmotivated learners but could pass such an exam. From a societal viewpoint, what position would you take? Would you favor the examination? Could you propose a compromise solution that would satisfy both sides?

**Sociology Projects**

1. **School Board Meetings** Attend a school board meeting in your community. Obtain a copy of the agenda from the board of education several days before the meeting. Choose one controversial or proposed issue to research. After the meeting, approach one of the board members to interview on this issue and find out his or her position. Report back to your class about the issue, giving an objective view from various perspectives. (As an alternative, you might want to visit a PTO or PTA meeting and find out how parents and teachers view one particular issue.)

2. **Mock School Board Meeting** Organize a mock school board meeting at your school. First, attend a regular school board meeting to become familiar with the procedures. (Many communities broadcast school board meetings on local cable channels.) Select an issue that is of interest to you or that will affect your high school.

Work with classmates to fill these roles: school board president (to act as a neutral moderator), several school board members, several community members, and several students (to function as observers and take notes on what they see and hear). It would be a good idea for students to spend some time researching the chosen issue. Each school board member will be allowed a few minutes for opening remarks. Community members must be allowed to express their views, and then a vote should be taken on the issue.

3. **School Issues** Contact a student or students from another high school in your area. (These might be students you have met through church, sports, or other activities.) Compare how your schools function. Look at such issues as discipline and detention, attendance policy, making up work, extra credit, and support for extracurricular activities. Identify two areas in which your schools differ. Discuss these differences with a counselor, your principal, or an assistant principal to see if you can explain why the policy differences exist. (Are the differences a result of the bureaucracy, or do they have physical or geographical causes? Does anyone really know why things are done in a particular way?) Offer explanations for the differences, and arrange to present your findings to the class.

4. **The Ideal School of the Future** You are an architect who has been hired by your school district to design the ideal school of the future. Money is no object, and property owners who pay taxes have stated that they will spare no expense to keep the project going. Your task is to create a draft of the floor plan for the building, outside space, ball fields, bathrooms, cafeteria, and so forth. Identify the purpose of all the rooms (classrooms, labs, resource areas, exercise rooms, saunas, and so on). Submit your plan to your class (which will act as the community). Be prepared to redo the plan based on class members’ recommendations. Remember, you are working for them.
5. **School Handbooks** Form a committee with some of your classmates to reevaluate your student handbook. If your school prints such a handbook, look at it and make recommendations for change. If your school does not have a handbook, formulate one. In either case, consider such issues as the following: description of the school day, length of class periods, attendance policies, discipline policies, requirements for graduation, required courses for specific subjects (the guidance office should have this information), extracurricular activities, student rights, and map of the building. If your school’s handbook is missing any of these, make a recommendation that it be added. Research other schools to see what their policies are. Ask your teacher if your committee can present its findings to a school administrator.

6. **Observing Classrooms** This mock experiment will give you some experience in recording data and formulating a conclusion. You should conduct the experiment for at least five days. As you sit in your classes throughout the day, discreetly keep track of what happens when students raise their hands. Can you determine a pattern for who is called upon? Do the teachers tend to call on boys more than girls? On noisy students more than quiet ones? On conservative dressers more than radical dressers? Summarize your findings. Remember to remain objective and to respect individuals' privacy at all times. (Don’t feel bad if you can’t seem to identify a pattern—it just means your teacher is sensitive to his or her students. This is still good research.)

7. **Schools in 2020** Design a school that will function in the year 2020, taking into account predicted advances in technology and presumed changes in social relationships and social roles.

8. **School Culture** Do a study of your school culture, including norms, roles, statuses, groups and subcultures. Include information about where people gather, common symbols and traditions, educational rites of passage, etc.

9. **Stakeholders** Stakeholders are people who have a vested interest in a process, or who are directly affected by a process. Identify the stakeholders of American education: the students, parents, colleges, technical schools, the military, employers, etc. What are their competing perceptions of the functions of education?

**Technology Activity**

1. The Center for Education Reform maintains a web site devoted to information about charter schools. Visit this site at [http://www.edreform.com/charter_schools/](http://www.edreform.com/charter_schools/). Select “Reform FAQS” and then click on “Charter Schools” that is colored blue.

   a. What are the three principles that govern charter schools?

   b. Be prepared to discuss the advantages and disadvantages of charter schools.

   c. Based on your review of this web site, do you feel that the Center for Education Reform presents an unbiased picture of charter schools?

   d. Now use your favorite search engine and see if there are any charter schools in your area with web sites. If there are, visit the site and find out about them. Do any of them sound attractive to you?
Enrichment Reading

Savage Inequalities

by Jonathan Kozol

Jonathan Kozol is sociology’s best known and most consistent advocate of educational reform. Kozol (1992) sees the roots of educational inequality in social inequality: Poor neighborhoods have poor schools. In the passage below, Kozol describes East St. Louis High School, an African American school located in “the most distressed small city in America.” There are few jobs, no regular trash collection, and little protection from the pollution spewed from two chemical plants.

East St. Louis, says the chairman of the state board [of education], “is simply the worst possible place I can imagine to have a child brought up. . . . The community is in desperate circumstances.” Sports and music, he observes, are, for many children here, “the only avenues of success.” Sadly enough, no matter how it ratifies the stereotype, this is the truth; and there is a poignant aspect to the fact that, even with class size soaring and one quarter of the system’s teachers being given their dismissal, the state board of education demonstrates its genuine but skewed compassion by attempting to leave sports and music untouched by the overall austerity.

Even sports facilities, however, are degrading by comparison with those found and expected at most high schools in America. The football field at East St. Louis High is missing almost everything—including goalposts. There are a couple of metal pipes—no crossbar, just the pipes. Bob Shannon, the football coach, who has to use his personal funds to purchase footballs and has had to cut and rake the football field himself, has dreams of having goalposts someday. He’d also like to let his students have new uniforms. The ones they wear are nine years old and held together somehow by a patchwork of repairs. Keeping them clean is a problem, too. The school cannot afford a washing machine. The uniforms are carted to a corner laundromat with fifteen dollars’ worth of quarters. . . .

In the wing of the school that holds vocational classes, a damp, unpleasant odor fills the halls. The school has a machine shop, which cannot be used for lack of staff, and a woodworking shop. The only shop that’s occupied this morning is the auto-body class. A man with long blond hair and wearing a white sweat suit swings a paddle to get children in their chairs. “What we need the most is new equipment,” he reports. “I have equipment for alignment, for example, but we don’t have money to install it. We also need a better form of egress. We bring the cars in through two other classes.” Computerized equipment used in most repair shops, he reports, is far beyond the high school’s budget. It looks like a very old gas station in an isolated rural town. . . .

The science labs at East St. Louis High are 30 to 50 years outdated. John McMillan, a soft-spoken man, teaches physics at the school. He shows me his lab. The six lab stations in the room have empty holes where pipes were once attached. “It would be great if we had water,” says McMillan. . . .

In a seventh grade social studies class, the only book that bears some relevance to black concerns—it’s title is The American Negro—bears a publication date of 1967. The teacher invites me to ask the class some questions. Uncertain where to start, I ask the students what they’ve learned about the civil rights campaigns of recent decades.

A 14-year-old girl with short black curly hair says this: “Every year in February we are told to
read the same old speech of Martin Luther King. We read it every year. ‘I have a dream. . . .’ It does begin to seem—what is the word?” She hesitates and then she finds the word: “perfunctory.”

I ask her what she means.

“We have a school in East St. Louis named for Dr. King,” she says. “The school is full of sewer water and the doors are locked with chains. Every student in that school is black. It’s like a terrible joke on history.”

It startles me to hear her words, but I am startled even more to think how seldom any press reporter has observed the irony of naming segregated schools for Martin Luther King. Children reach the heart of these hypocrisies much quicker than the grown-ups and the experts do.


Jonathan Kozol, a long-time social activist, is author of seven award-winning books which focus on the plight of the disadvantaged children of our nation. Savage Inequalities: Children in America’s Schools, shows the disparities in America’s public school system.

Read and React

1. What does Kozol mean by “educational inequality”? Do you agree or disagree with his view? Why?

2. Does Kozol believe there is a link between economic resources and educational inequality? Explain. Discuss why you agree or disagree.

3. If Kozol were going to speak to your local school board, what would you like to say to him regarding educational inequality?


5. Imagine yourself in the school Kozol describes. How would it affect your education, view of life, and future?
Not so long ago, Americans looked at workers in Japan with “half-horrified awe.” Rumors of workers slaving away ten hours a day, six days a week, made the rounds of corporate America. “You’re so lucky to be working here,” crowed U.S. bosses. “If you worked in Japan, you wouldn’t be taking long lunches or two-week vacations. You’d sleep at the office and see your family on Sunday.”

Management theorists likened the relationship between Japanese workers and supervisors to that of the family. A new management style based on the Japanese model was proposed. Where Type X was a worker needing close supervision and Type Y was a creative, self-directed worker, the new Type Z was an individual whose culture was focused entirely on work.

Today the reality is that Americans put in more hours than workers in any other industrialized country, including Japan. Between 1977 and 1997, the average work week among salaried American workers lengthened from forty-three to forty-seven hours. In that same period, the number of workers putting in more than fifty hours per week went from 24 percent to 37 percent. In fact, Americans work an equivalent of eight weeks longer every year than Western Europeans. Given these figures, it is even more surprising that over 80 percent of people at work say they are satisfied with their jobs. Where, why, and how Americans work are just some of the issues examined in this chapter on political and economic institutions in the United States.
In 1997, the powerful Teamsters Union went on strike against United Parcel Service (UPS) to protest the company’s cost-cutting policy of eliminating permanent positions and replacing them with part-time or temporary positions. When UPS asked President Clinton to intervene in the dispute (on the grounds that the company provided an essential national service), it demonstrated the close connection between business and government in modern American society.

The set of functions that concern the production and distribution of goods and services for a society is called the economic institution. Because economic decisions affect how valuable resources are shared between organizations and the general public, conflicts inevitably arise. The responsibility for handling these conflicts is the institution through which power is obtained and exercised—the political institution. These two institutions are so closely interrelated that it is very hard to think of them as separate.
Chapter 13  Political and Economic Institutions

separate. For a beginning study of sociology, however, we can think of economics as the distribution of resources and politics as the exercise of power. This chapter will look first at how politics affects group behaviors and then at the economic scene.

What is power?  As stated in Chapter 1, Max Weber profoundly influenced sociological theory. You read about him again in Chapter 6, which examined formal organizations and bureaucracies. Weber’s contribution to political sociology deals with his identification of different forms of power and authority. Weber defined power as the ability to control the behavior of others, even against their will. Power takes various forms. Some people, for example, wield great power through their personal appeal or magnetism. John F. Kennedy, Martin Luther King, Jr., and César Chávez were able to influence others through the force of their charismatic personalities.

Weber recognized another form of power that he called coercion. Coercion is the use of physical force or threats to exert control. A blackmailer might extort money from a politician. A government might take, without compensation, the property of one of its citizens. In such cases, the victims do not believe this use of power is right. In fact, they normally are resentful and want to fight back. Weber recognized that a political system based on coercive power is inherently unstable; that is, the abuses of the system itself cause people to rise against it.

What is authority?  Weber also believed that a political institution must rest on a stable form of power if it is to function and survive. This more stable form of power is authority. Authority is power accepted as legitimate by those subject to it. For example, students take exams and accept the results they receive because they believe their teachers have the right (authority) to determine grades. Most citizens pay taxes because they believe their government has the right (authority) to collect money from them.

The authority that belongs to teachers is a stable form of power because most students accept a teacher’s right to control certain processes.
Weber identified three forms of authority—charismatic, traditional, and rational-legal. People who live under governments based on these forms recognize authority figures as holders of legitimate power.

What is charismatic authority? Charismatic authority arises from a leader’s personal characteristics. Charismatic leaders lead through the power or strength of their personalities or the feelings of trust they inspire in a large number of people. In addition to Kennedy, King, and Chávez, Nelson Mandela and Fidel Castro have strong personalities that make them highly charismatic leaders.

For modern nation-states, however, charismatic authority alone is too unstable to provide a permanent basis of power. It is linked to an individual and is therefore difficult to transfer to another. When charismatic leaders die, the source of power is removed. Adolf Hitler, himself a charismatic leader, made an attempt at the end of World War II to name his successor. But as historian John Toland has noted
Hitler’s death brought an abrupt, absolute end to National Socialism. Without its only true leader, it burst like a bubble. . . . What had appeared to be the most powerful and fearsome political force of the twentieth century vanished overnight. No other leader’s death since Napoleon had so completely obliterated a regime (Toland, 1976:892).

So even governments controlled by charismatic leaders must eventually come to rely on other types of authority. The two alternatives to charismatic authority identified by Weber are traditional authority and rational-legal authority.

**What is traditional authority?** In the past, most states relied on traditional authority, in which the legitimacy of a leader is rooted in custom. Early kings often claimed to rule by the will of God, or divine right. The peaceful transfer of power was possible because only a few individuals, such as offspring or other close relatives, were eligible to become the next ruler. The kings in eighteenth-century Europe, for example, counted on the custom of loyalty to provide a stable political foundation. Tradition provided more stability than charismatic authority could have provided.

**What is rational-legal authority?** Most modern governments are based on a system of rational-legal authority. In this type of government, power resides in the offices rather than in the officials. Those who hold government offices are expected to operate on the basis of specific rules and procedures that define and limit their rights and responsibilities. Power is assumed only when the individual occupies the office. Many leaders in religious organizations fall under this category of authority.

Since rational-legal authority is invested in positions rather than in individuals, persons lose their authority when they leave their formal positions of power. When a new president is elected, for example, the outgoing president becomes a private citizen again and gives up the privileges of the office. Furthermore, leaders are expected to stay within the boundaries of their legal authority. Even presidents (Richard Nixon, for example) can lose their power if their abuse of power is made public. Thus, legal authority also limits the power of government officials.

**Types of Political Systems**

As societies have evolved through the centuries, so have different forms of political systems (Nolan and Lenski, 1999). In hunting and gathering societies, there was very little formal government. Political leaders were typically chosen on the basis of exceptional physical prowess or personal charisma. Formal governmental structures emerged with the development of agricultural economies and the rise of city-states. As societies became more diversified with the development of commerce, industry, and technology, government began to take the form of the national political state. The first strong nation-states, including France, Spain, and England, appeared in the late 1400s. Gradually, traditional authority was replaced by rational-legal authority. Contemporary nation-states can be classified into three basic types: democratic, totalitarian, and authoritarian.
Democracy

Democracy in its pure form, as practiced by the ancient Greeks, involves all citizens in self-government. This type of direct democracy is similar to that practiced in New England town meetings, where the citizens debated and voted directly on various issues. More familiar to us today is representative democracy, in which elected officials are responsible for fulfilling the wishes of the majority of citizens.

What assumptions are made in a representative democracy?
Representative democracy operates under two assumptions. The first is that realistically, not everyone in modern society can be actively involved in all political decision making. Thus, although citizens are expected to vote, most citizens are not expected to be deeply involved in politics. Second, political candidates who fail to satisfy the wishes of the majority are not expected to win re-elections.

With the major two-party system of the United States, we have a “winner take all” form of representative democracy. Here, the party with the most votes wins the election. In other countries, as in Europe where third-party systems are common, political parties participate in the government to the extent that they win representation in general elections. For example, one party might win 40 percent of the vote and control 40 percent of the legislature. Three other parties might take 20 percent each and control a combined 60 percent of the legislature. This proportional representation system seems to be more democratic as it tends to encourage compromises and cooperation in forming governments. Governments formed under this system can be fragile, however, and shifting political alliances may be able to force new elections after short periods of time.

Power tends to corrupt, and absolute power corrupts absolutely.

Lord Acton
English historian

Germany’s parliamentary system is a representative democracy.
Is democracy spreading? The collapse of Soviet communism and the end of the Cold War have created opportunities for more societies to adopt democratic forms of government. Still, there is little evidence that democratic societies are on the rise (Karatnycky, 1995; Vanhanen, 1997). Nearly 80 percent of the world's people live in countries classified as “partly free” or “not free.” (See World View above.) “Free” political systems are primarily associated with advanced economic development and are found mainly in a few nations: the nations of Western Europe, Canada, Australia, the United States, some Latin American countries, Japan, and a few African nations.
Totalitarianism

Totalitarianism lies at the opposite end of the political spectrum from democracy. In this type of political system, a ruler with absolute power attempts to control all aspects of a society. Characteristics of totalitarian states include:

- a single political party, typically controlled by one person.
- a well-coordinated campaign of terror.
- total control of all means of communication.
- a monopoly over military resources.
- a planned economy directed by a state bureaucracy.

Examples of totalitarian states include Iraq under Saddam Hussein, the former Soviet Union, and Nazi Germany.

Hitler’s National Socialist (Nazi) government, which came to power in Germany in the early 1930s, offers an example of the way a totalitarian system works. Despite presenting a false image of democracy to the world, Hitler and the National Socialist Party held all the power. The Nazis seized or shut down nearly all news media. Hitler’s four-year economic plans included strategies for budgets, production, organization of factories, and forced labor. Hitler dominated the armed forces. His absolute control was strengthened by the Gestapo secret police and SS troops, who terrorized Hitler’s political enemies and private citizens. The SS brutally and systematically put to death over six million European Jews and others—a mass killing now known as the Holocaust.

"Every nation has the government it deserves."

Josef de Maistre
French diplomat
Authoritarianism

For sociologists, authoritarianism is a middle category between democracy and totalitarianism, although it is closer to totalitarianism than to democracy. Authoritarianism refers to a political system controlled by elected or nonelected rulers who usually permit some degree of individual freedom but do not allow popular participation in government. Countless governments have leaned toward totalitarianism but have fallen short of all its defining characteristics. These governments are classified as authoritarian. Examples include certain monarchies (the dynasties of the shahs of Iran), and military seizures of power (Fidel Castro’s takeover of Cuba).

Section 1 Assessment

1. What is the difference between authority and coercion?
2. Which type of authority places the strongest limits on government officials?
3. Explain how direct democracy differs from representative democracy.
4. Briefly describe the three major types of political systems.

Critical Thinking

5. Sequencing Information Like all organizations, high schools are based on some form of authority. Explain, with examples, which form or forms of authority you believe are applicable to high schools.
6. Synthesizing Information In which form of government would you expect to find charismatic authority? Traditional authority? Rational-legal authority?

What category of leader does Cuban President Fidel Castro represent?
China's communist government adopted the one-child policy in 1979 in response to the staggering doubling of the country’s population during Mao Zedong’s rule. Mao, who died in 1976, was convinced that the country’s masses were a strategic asset and vigorously encouraged the Chinese to produce even-larger families.

China’s family-planning officials wield awesome powers, enforcing the policy through a combination of incentives and deterrents. For those who comply, there are job promotions and small cash awards. For those who resist, they suffer stiff fines and loss of job and status within the country’s tightly knit and heavily regulated communities. The State Family Planning Commission is the government ministry entrusted with the tough task of curbing the growth of the world’s most populous country, where 28 children are born every minute. It employs about 200,000 full-time officials and uses more than a million volunteers to check the fertility of hundreds of millions of Chinese women.

When a couple wants to have a child—even their first, allotted one—they must apply to the family-planning office in their township or workplace, literally lining up to procreate. “If a woman gets pregnant without permission, she and her husband will get fined, even if it’s their first,” . . . “it is fair to fine her, because she creates a burden on the whole society by jumping her place in line.”

The official Shanghai Legal Daily last year reported on a family-planning committee in central Sichuan province that ordered the flogging of the husbands of 10 pregnant women who refused to have abortions. According to the newspaper, the family-planning workers marched the husbands one by one into an empty room, ordered them to strip and lie on the floor and then beat them with a stick, once for every day their wives were pregnant.


Thinking It Over

What types of propaganda might the Chinese government use to enforce its one-child policy? Use material in the description above to bolster your answer.
Political Power in American Society

Section Preview

The two major models of political power are elitism and pluralism. Advocates of the conflict perspective believe American society is controlled by elites. Pluralists, whose view is associated with functionalism, depict power as widely distributed among interest groups. Voting does not seem to be an effective means for nonelites to influence political decisions in the U.S.

Influence of the Vote

Like all other democracies today, the United States emphasizes political participation through voting. Voting is an important source of power for citizens. It enables us to remove incompetent, corrupt, or insensitive officials from office. It also allows us to influence issues at the local, state, and national levels.

How much real choice do voters have? In practice, the amount of real choice exercised through voting is limited. The range of candidates from which to choose is restricted because of the power of political parties. Usually, only a candidate endorsed by a major political party has a chance of winning a state or national office. To get party support, a candidate must appeal to the widest possible number of voters. As a result, candidates often resemble each other more than they differ. In addition, the cost of running a political campaign today limits the choice of candidates to those who have party backing or are independently wealthy. George W. Bush, for example, announced in August of 2000 that he had spent nearly $150 million to win the Republican Party nomination.
On what do we base our votes? Most attitudes and beliefs that are expressed as political opinions are gained through a learning process called political socialization. This process can be formal, as in government class, or informal. The informal process interests sociologists because it involves such factors as the family, the media, economic status, and educational level. Studies have shown that most political socialization is informal.

A brief summary of the major agents of political socialization follows.

❖ The family. Children learn political attitudes the same way they learn values and norms, by listening to everyday conversations and by watching the actions of other family members. The influence of the family is strong. In one study, more high school students could identify their parents’ political party affiliation than any other of their parents’ attitudes or beliefs.

❖ Education. The level of education a person has influences his or her political knowledge and participation. For example, more highly educated men and women tend to show more knowledge about politics and policy. They also tend to vote and participate more often in politics.

❖ Mass media. Television is the leading source of political and public affairs information for most people. Television and other mass media can determine what issues, events, and personalities are in the public eye. By publicizing some issues and ignoring others, and by giving some stories high priority and others low priority, the media decide the relative importance of issues. The mass media obviously play an important role in shaping public opinion, but the extent of that role is unclear. Studies indicate that the media have the greatest effect on people who have not yet formed opinions.
Economic status and occupation. Economic status clearly influences political views. Poor people are more likely to favor government-assistance programs than wealthy people, for example. Similarly, where you work affects how you vote. Corporate managers are more likely to favor tax shelters and aid to businesses than hourly workers in factories.

Age and gender. Young adults tend to be more progressive than older persons on such issues as racial and gender equality. Women tend to be more liberal than men on such issues as abortion rights, women’s rights, health care, and government-supported child care.

How fully do Americans take advantage of the right to vote? In 2000, 51 percent of eligible U.S. voters exercised their right (see Figure 13.2). About one-fourth of the eligible voters voted for George W. Bush, a proportion comparable to the 27 percent who elected President Reagan in 1980 (Lewis, 2000). In fact, the United States has one of the lowest voter turnout rates in the industrialized world (Federal Election Commission, 2001).

The American public’s interest in voting is very low, partly because of a relatively low level of confidence in political leaders. Another reason for lower voter turnout is that political parties are no longer as instrumental in getting voters to the polls as they once were. In general, minorities, the lower class and the working class tend to vote in smaller proportions than whites and the middle and upper classes. Members of minorities, people with little education, and people with smaller incomes are less likely to vote in both congressional and presidential elections.

Two Models of Political Power

In a democratic society, two major models of political power are evident—pluralism and elitism. According to pluralism, political decisions are the result of bargaining and compromise among special interest groups. No one group holds the majority of power. Rather, power is widely distributed throughout a society or community. In contrast, according to elitism, a community or society is controlled from the top by a few individuals or organizations. Power is concentrated in the hands of an elite group whose members have common interests and backgrounds. The masses are very weak politically.
Voter Turnout

It is commonly said that the voter holds the power in American politics. What often goes unsaid is that to exercise this power, the voter must actually vote. This map shows the voter turnout, as a percentage of the eligible voting population, in each state for the 1996 presidential election.

Interpreting the Map

1. Do you see any patterns in the voting rates? Describe them.
2. Identify some reasons for the distribution of rates.
3. What should be done to increase voter turnout rates?


Functionalists think that pluralism based on the existence of diverse interest groups best describes the distribution of power in America. While recognizing competition among interest groups, functionalists contend that it is based on an underlying consensus regarding the goals of the entire society. Elitism is based on the conflict perspective. This theory of power distribution assumes that the elites are constantly working to maintain their hold on society’s major institutions. In so doing, elites force others to help them reach their own goals. These two models are illustrated in Figure 13.3.

Functionalist Perspective: Pluralism

According to pluralists, major political decisions in the United States are not made by an elite few. As an example, they point to the beneficiaries of the 2001 tax-cut bill. Tax breaks came not only to the wealthy, such as Microsoft’s Bill Gates, but also to groups with more modest resources, such as churches and mental health care facilities.
An interest group is a group organized to influence political decision making. Group members share one or more goals. The goals may be specific to the group’s own members—as in the case of the National Rifle Association—or may involve a larger segment of society—as in the case of ecology-oriented groups such as the Sierra Club. Figure 13.4 on page 438 lists several current interest groups, sizes, and issues.

Pluralists contend that decisions are made as a result of competition among special interest groups, each of which has its own stake in the issues. In addition to reaching their own ends, interest groups try to protect themselves from opposing interest groups. Responsibility falls to government leaders to balance the public welfare with the desires of various special interests.

Interest groups are not new to American politics. In the nineteenth century they were active in extending women’s rights and promoting the abolition of slavery. The twentieth century saw such active interest groups as the Women’s Christian Temperance Union and early labor unions. The 1960s, with controversies surrounding civil rights, the Vietnam War, the environment, the women’s movement, and corporate power, strengthened many interest groups and led to the creation of a number of new ones (Clemens, 1997).
### Types of Interest Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Membership</th>
<th>Objectives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Business</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Chamber of Commerce</td>
<td>3,000,000 businesses</td>
<td>Lobby for businesses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Association of Home Builders</td>
<td>205,000 members</td>
<td>Represent the housing and building industry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Agricultural</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Farmers Union</td>
<td>300,000 farm and ranch families</td>
<td>Represent family farms and ranches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Farm Bureau Federation</td>
<td>Over 5 million members</td>
<td>Lobby for agribusiness and farm owners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Professional</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Medical Association (AMA)</td>
<td>Over 750,000 members</td>
<td>Represent physicians and improve the medical system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Bar Association (ABA)</td>
<td>Over 400,000 members</td>
<td>Improve the legal system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Labor</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFL–CIO</td>
<td>Over 64 affiliated unions</td>
<td>Protect members from unfair labor practices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Mine Workers</td>
<td>130,000 members</td>
<td>Represent mine workers and others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Public Interest</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>League of Women Voters (LWV)</td>
<td>About 1,000 local leagues; 130,000 members and supporters</td>
<td>Promote voter registration and election reform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common Cause</td>
<td>Over 200,000 members</td>
<td>Advocate political reform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Citizen</td>
<td>100,000 members</td>
<td>Focus on consumer issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Single-Issue</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sierra Club</td>
<td>Over 700,000 members</td>
<td>Protect the natural environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Audubon Society</td>
<td>550,000 members</td>
<td>Conserve and restore natural ecosystems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenpeace USA</td>
<td>250,000 members</td>
<td>Expose global environmental issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ideological</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Americans for Democratic Action (ADA)</td>
<td>65,000 members</td>
<td>Support liberal social, economic, and foreign policies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christian Coalition</td>
<td>Over 1,000,000 members</td>
<td>Promote Christian values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Organization for Women (NOW)</td>
<td>Over 500,000 members</td>
<td>Eliminate discrimination and protect the rights of women</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 13.4 Types of Interest Groups.** The United States government is influenced by a wide variety of interest groups. This figure provides some examples of the most important types. Do you believe that the influence of all these interest groups promotes or hinders democracy? Explain your answers, using conflict theory or functionalism.
New interest groups are born all the time. The environmental lobby is a good example. There were relatively few environmental interest groups before the passage of major environmental legislation (such as the Clean Water Act) in the 1960s. The success of this legislation spawned additional groups, now numbering three times the original total. This added clout produced additional environmental legislation—for example, the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments—that subsequently led to the creation of other interest groups (Schmidt, Shelley, and Bardes, 1999).

**Conflict Perspective: The Power Elite**

Sociologist C. Wright Mills was a leading proponent of the elitist perspective. In the 1950s, he claimed that the United States no longer had separate economic, political, and military leaders. Rather, the key people in each area overlapped to form a unified group that he labeled the **power elite**.

According to Mills, members of the power elite share common interests and similar social and economic backgrounds. Elites are educated in select boarding schools, military academies, and Ivy League schools; belong to the Episcopalian and Presbyterian churches; and come from upper-class families. Members of the power elite have known each other for a long time, have mutual acquaintances of long standing, share many values and attitudes, and intermarry. All this makes it easier for them to coordinate their actions to obtain what they want.

**Section 2 Assessment**

1. What are the major agents of political socialization?
2. How do elitists differ from pluralists in explaining the relationship between racial membership and political power in the U.S.?
3. According to C. Wright Mills, which of the following is NOT part of the power elite?
   a. military organizations
   b. educational leaders
   c. large corporations
   d. executive branch of the government

**Critical Thinking**

4. **Analyzing Information** On page 435, the author writes: “Members of minorities, people with little education, and people with smaller incomes are less likely to vote in both congressional and presidential elections.” Do you think that pluralists or elitists are more likely to use advertising to change the political attitudes of individuals in these social categories? Explain.
5. **Drawing Conclusions** Is America a pluralist society, or is it controlled by a power elite? Support your conclusion with information from this text and other classes.
Economic systems, as suggested earlier, involve the production and distribution of goods and services. **Capitalism** is an economic system founded on two basic premises: the sanctity of private property and the right of individuals to profit from their labors.

Capitalists believe that individuals, not government, deserve to own and control land, factories, raw materials, and the tools of production. They argue that private ownership benefits society. Capitalists also believe in unrestricted competition with minimum government interference.

**How is capitalism thought to benefit society?** According to Adam Smith, an eighteenth-century Scottish social philosopher and founder of economics, a combination of the private ownership of property and the pursuit of profit brings advantages to society. Because of competition, Smith stated, individual capitalists will always be motivated to provide the goods and services desired by the public at prices the public is willing and able to pay. Capitalists who produce inferior goods or who charge too much will soon be out of business because the public will turn to their competitors. The public, Smith reasoned, will benefit through economic competition. Not only will the public receive high-quality goods and services at reasonable prices, but also capitalists will always be searching for new products and new technologies to reduce their costs. As a result, capitalist societies will use resources efficiently.

Actually, no pure capitalist economy exists in the world. In practice, there are important deviations from Smith’s ideal model. One of these deviations involves the tendency to form **monopolies** and **oligopolies**.

**What are monopolies and oligopolies?** When capitalist organizations experience success, they tend to grow until they become giants within their particular industries. In this way, capitalism fosters the rise of **monopolies**, companies that control a particular market, and **oligopolies**, combinations of companies working together to control a market. New organizations find it difficult to enter these markets, where they have little hope of competing on an equal basis. Thus, competition is stifled.

Among other problems, the creation of monopolies and oligopolies permits price fixing. Consumers must choose between buying at the “going price” set by the sellers or not buying at all.

A recent example of alleged monopolistic practices in the U.S. economy involves the Microsoft Corporation. Microsoft manufactures, among other products, the Windows operating system—by far the most popular operating...
system for personal computers. Computer manufacturers typically include Windows on the machines they sell. In the 1990s, Microsoft began to insist that manufacturers include its Internet browser, Explorer, on their computers as well. The manufacturers were also instructed not to install another browser in addition to Explorer. If they refused, Microsoft would withhold their license to sell Windows on the machines. Because Microsoft had so much power over computer manufacturers, other makers of Internet browsers, such as Netscape, were essentially excluded from the market (Chandbasekaran, 1999). Eventually, the federal government took Microsoft to court, where it was ruled that Microsoft did indeed engage in monopolistic practices. The case is not resolved, however, and the corporation had some success in its 2001 appeal of this decision.

The Role of Government in Capitalism

Adam Smith is often misinterpreted as saying that government should have a strictly hands-off approach where the economy is concerned. While Smith strongly opposed overregulation by government, he reserved a place for some regulation. Because one of the legitimate roles of government was to protect its citizens from injustice, Smith knew that the state might have to “step in” to prevent abuses by businesses. In fact, the U.S. government has always been involved in the workings of the economy.

How does the government contribute to the U.S. economy? The Constitution expressly provided a role for the national government in the promotion of a sound economy. Government functions include the regulation of...
commerce, development of a strong currency, creation of uniform standards for commerce, and the provision of a stable system of credit. In 1789, Congress supported our shipping industry through a tariff on goods imported by foreign ships. Since this initial move into the economy, the federal government has continued to help business, labor, and agriculture. For example, the federal government aids private industry through loan guarantees—as in the 1979 government guarantee (up to $1.2 billion) to bail out the Chrysler Corporation. Also, U.S. labor is supported by the government through regulations on such matters as minimum wages, maximum working hours, health and safety conditions, and unemployment support. Then there are the small farmers and agribusinesses that receive financial assistance amounting to billions of dollars each year (Patterson, 1999). See Figure 13.5 for additional examples of government economic and regulatory assistance.

**Socialism**

Socialism is an economic system founded on the belief that the means of production should be controlled by the people as a whole. The state, as the people’s representative, should own and control property. Under a socialist system, government directs and controls the economy. The state is expected to ensure all members of society a share in the monetary benefits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure 13.5 Examples of Government Economic and Regulatory Assistance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Public utilities are often owned and operated by state or local governments.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The agricultural industry feels the influence of government through price controls and embargoes on exports to other countries.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antitrust legislation exists to control the growth of corporations.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The federal government is heavily involved in the defense industry.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Business could not survive without publicly financed roadways, airports, and waterways.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Publicly funded public schools, colleges, and universities supply business with a skilled workforce and provide basic research for product development.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The U.S. military protects American international business interests.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Government supports business through tax breaks.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Legislation requires labor and business to obey labor laws.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How is socialism thought to benefit society? Socialist theory points to important benefits for workers. Workers under capitalism receive wages below the value their labor produces and have little control over their work. In theory, workers under socialism should profit because both the state and the workplace exist for their benefit. As a result, workers should be able to exert significant control over both their work organizations and the policy directions of the society as a whole.

Does socialism work this perfectly? Cases of pure socialism are as rare as cases of pure capitalism. Strict socialist systems have not been successful in eliminating income inequalities nor have they been able to develop overall economic plans that guarantee sustained economic growth. In the socialistic economy of the former Soviet Union, for example, some agricultural and professional work was performed privately by individuals who worked for a profit. Significant portions of housing were privately owned as well. Managers received salaries that were considerably higher than those received by workers, and managers were eligible for bonuses such as automobiles and housing. Private enterprise existed in Poland under Russian communist rule. Service businesses, such as restaurants and hotels, had a significant degree of private ownership. Hotels, in fact, were typically built and managed by multinational chains. Because Poles could travel abroad, they formed business relationships, learned about capitalist methods, imported goods to fill demand, and brought back hard currency. They then used the hard currency earned abroad to create private businesses (Schnitzer, 2000).

“Socialism works, but nowhere as efficiently as in the beehive and the anthill.”

Laurence Peter
U. S. business writer

Sweden has a socialist government. What types of market relationships would you expect to find there?
Mixed Economic Systems

Most nations fall between the extremes of capitalism and socialism and include elements of both economic systems. Countries in Western Europe, for example, have developed capitalist economic systems in which both public and private ownership play important roles. In these nations, highly strategic industries (banks, transportation, communications, and some others) are owned and operated by the state. Other industries are privately owned but are more closely regulated than in the United States (Harris, 1997; Ollman, 1998).

As the former Soviet Union lost control over its republics and Eastern Europe, many of these formerly socialist countries began to move toward capitalism. Czechoslovakia, in several ways, has shifted from public to private ownership of businesses. Private property nationalized after the Russians took over in 1948 has been returned to the original owners or their heirs. These assets moved from the public to the private sector are valued at about $5 billion. Many small shops and businesses have been sold in public auctions. In 1992, Czechoslovakia sold over 1,000 of its bigger state enterprises to its citizens. During 1992–93 as it broke into the Czech Republic and Slovakia, 25 percent of the nations’ assets were privatized. In Hungary, state-owned enterprises have been allowed to become privately owned companies. Over one million Hungarians have been given the right to buy land, businesses, buildings, or other property taken over by the Russians in 1949. Nearly all of the state-owned small businesses are now in the hands of private owners. Agricultural cooperatives have also been privatized (Schnitzer, 2000).

In 1993, Cuba's communist party allowed some degree of capitalism by permitting plumbers, carpenters, and other tradespeople to work for profit. China has been incorporating moderate free market reforms into its economy since the late 1970s (Muldavin, 1999).

As this Shanghai Kentucky Fried Chicken restaurant reflects, elements of capitalism are being introduced into China.

Section 3 Assessment

1. Government policies are usually based on cultural values. Can you identify important differences in the cultural values underlying governmental policies that promote either capitalism or socialism?
2. How successful has socialism been as an economic system? Defend your answer.
3. What is meant by a mixed economic system?

Critical Thinking

4. Making Comparisons Briefly compare and contrast the advantages of capitalism and socialism.
Reporting the news has been part of the United States since its founding. Indeed, freedom of the press is one of the basic guarantees of the Bill of Rights. During the twentieth century, television displaced newspapers as the primary source of news for most Americans. Now, the Internet is promising to make much greater changes in the way news is gathered and delivered.

Central to the changes is the fact that today anyone with access to the Internet is free to "report" the news. Internet journalist Matt Drudge says that now, “any citizen can be a reporter” (Trigaboff, 1998:55). Drudge portrays the Internet as a democratizing institution eliminating differences between reporters and readers.

Many journalists, however, worry about the negative effects of instant reporting via the Internet. Sources for stories often go unchecked as reporters sacrifice accuracy for speed. Reporters on the Internet generally do not have editors reviewing their stories, in-house attorneys worrying about lawsuits, or publishers making judgment calls about the appropriateness of news stories. Joseph C. Goulden, former director of media analysis for Accuracy in Media, a nonprofit, grassroots citizens watchdog of the news media, describes the reporting style on the Internet as “Ready, fire, aim” (Rust and Danitz, 1998:23).

In the United States, one of the justifications for the freedom of the press is its role in delivering accurate information to voters. If Internet reporting represents a trend toward greater inaccuracy, this traditional contribution of a free press to American democracy could be weakened. What if voters grew to distrust even more the information they received and thus became increasingly cynical about the political process?

At this time no one can be sure what the future holds for Internet journalism. One thing, though, is certain: Internet journalism will have a profound impact on the way news is reported (Kinsley, 1998).

**Analyzing the Trends**

There is no question that the Internet will affect how democracy is practiced in the U.S. Discuss some ways in which the federal government currently uses the Internet to affect group behavior.
The Nature of Corporations

Sociologists study corporations because of their great importance in modern economic systems. U.S. corporations, for example, not only dominate the American economic system but also influence the economies of nations around the world. Corporations represent massive concentrations of wealth. And because of their economic muscle, corporations such as Microsoft, IBM, and General Electric command the attention of government decision makers. As a result, government policies regarding such matters as consumer safety, tax laws, and relationships with other nations usually reflect corporate influence.

What are corporations, anyway? A corporation is an organization owned by shareholders. These shareholders have limited liability and limited control. Limited liability means they cannot be held financially responsible for actions of the corporation. For example, shareholders are not expected to pay debts the corporation owes. At the same time, they do not have a direct voice in the day-to-day operations of the firm. Shareholders are formally entitled to vote regularly for members of the board of directors. But in practice candidates are routinely approved as recommended by the existing board. The real control of a corporation rests with the board of directors and management.

Corporate Influence

Top corporate officials have tremendous influence on government decisions. This is true for several reasons. Because of their personal wealth and organizational connections, corporate officials are able to reward or punish elected government officials through investment decisions. For example, suppose a town depends on a single large corporation for jobs and other economic advantages. Corporate officials are deciding whether to increase their operation in this town or move some of the facilities to another town, which would endanger local jobs. Town officials are likely to do what they can to make corporate officials happy so that new investment will be made locally.

This agricultural worker is paid by a large corporation rather than a small business/farmer. Which employer would be more secure?
In what other ways do corporations wield power? Such political clout by large corporations is multiplied through interlocking directorates. A directorate is another name for the board of directors. Directorates interlock when the heads of corporations sit on one another’s boards. Although by law competing corporations may not have interlocking directorates, such directorates are legal for noncompeting corporations. For example, various members of the General Motors board of directors also sit on the boards of many other corporations, including Sony, Sara Lee, and Marriott International. It is not difficult to imagine the political power created by a web of interlocks among already powerful corporations.

The political power of corporations is also enhanced through conglomerates—networks of unrelated businesses operating under a single corporate umbrella. RJR Nabisco, Inc., for example, holds companies in such different areas as tobacco, pet foods, candy, cigarettes, food products, bubble gum, research, and technology. A listing of the company’s North American subsidiaries covers nearly an entire page in Who Owns Whom (1998).

Multinational Corporations

The political influence of corporations is not confined to their countries of origin. The world is increasingly being influenced by multinationals—firms based in highly industrialized societies with operating facilities throughout the world. Improvements in communication and transportation technology have allowed these companies to exert wide control over their global operations.

How powerful are multinational corporations? Suppose we combined all the political and economic units in the world and then chose the hundred largest units. Of these hundred units, fifty-one would be multinational corporations rather than countries. Several corporations based in the United States—ExxonMobil, IBM, General Motors, Ford Motor Company, AT&T, Wal-Mart Stores, and General Electric—have sales volumes exceeding the annual economic output of some industrialized nations. Figure 13.6 compares some multinational corporations with selected nations.

What are the effects of multinational corporations? Defenders of multinationals argue that the corporations provide developing countries with technology, capital, foreign markets, and products that would otherwise be unavailable to them. Critics claim that multinationals actually harm the economies of the foreign nations in which they locate by exploiting natural resources, disrupting local economies, introducing inappropriate technologies and products, and increasing the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Corporation</th>
<th>Revenue (in Billion)</th>
<th>vs. Corporation</th>
<th>Revenue (in Billion)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.</td>
<td>$119.3</td>
<td>vs. Greece</td>
<td>$119.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volkswagen AG</td>
<td>$65.3</td>
<td>vs. New Zealand</td>
<td>$65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBM International Business Machines Corp.</td>
<td>$78.5</td>
<td>vs. Egypt</td>
<td>$75.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitsubishi Corporation</td>
<td>$128.9</td>
<td>vs. South Africa</td>
<td>$129.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sony Corporation</td>
<td>$55</td>
<td>vs. Czech Republic</td>
<td>$54.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Electric Company</td>
<td>$90.8</td>
<td>vs. Israel</td>
<td>$92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 13.6 Total Revenue of Multinational Corporations versus National Gross Domestic Products. This table compares the revenue of selected multinational corporations to the gross domestic product (value of all goods produced and consumed domestically) of some countries in 1998. Were you surprised by any of the information?

The Supreme Court of the United States has historically granted employers a great deal of power over their employees. In 1878, a New York company posted a list of rules that told employees, among other things, “On the Sabbath, everyone is expected to be in the Lord’s House” and “All employees are expected to be in bed by 10:00 P.M.” At the turn of the nineteenth century, Henry Ford’s automobile workers were carefully watched by management for signs of bad character. Many Ford Motor Company employees lost their jobs for smoking, drinking, or criticizing the firm.

Even today, some employee rights are curtailed at work. The Constitution, for example, protects free speech for all citizens. Employees, however, can be prevented from printing and distributing a critical newsletter to customers of their companies. Of recent concern is the right of employers to track workers’ movements on the Internet and to read personal e-mails.

Today, a growing employee rights movement is pushing for greater political and legal protection on the job. Here is a partial list of the rights that many workers feel should be theirs today.

❖ the right to a job
❖ the right to protection from arbitrary or sudden termination
❖ the right to privacy of possessions and person in the workplace, including freedom from arbitrary searches, use of polygraphs, surreptitious surveillance, and intrusive psychological or medical testing
❖ the right to a clean, healthy, and safe environment on the job, including freedom from undue stress, sexual harassment, cigarette smoke, and exposure to toxic substances
❖ the right to be informed of records and information kept and to have access to personnel files
❖ the right to freedom of action, association, and lifestyle when off duty
❖ the right to freedom of conscience and to inform government or media about illegal or socially harmful corporate actions
❖ the right to due process for grievances against the employer

Many of these rights already exist; others need to be discussed with employers. There is one thing most employees and employers agree on, however. If employees take a balanced approach to pursuing their rights on the job, both individuals and organizations will benefit.

**Doing Sociology**

1. Some observers believe that violations of employee rights contradict the rational-legal basis of organizational authority. Do you agree? Why or why not?
2. Discuss the above list of workers’ rights with your parents or other adults who work outside the home. Ask them if they know whether or not these rights exist in their workplaces. Are there any rights not on the list that they believe should be added?
amount of income inequality. Multinationals, these critics note, rely on inexpensive labor or abundant raw materials in developing nations while returning their profits to corporate headquarters and shareholders in rich nations. Multinationals’ domination of their industries has made it difficult for the economically developing nations to establish new companies that can compete with the multinationals. As a result, multinationals may slow rather than promote economic development in these nations.

Section 4 Assessment

1. Discuss limited liability and limited control in relation to the modern corporation.
2. Describe the influence of the corporation in the world today. Identify some of the benefits and negative consequences for society.

Critical Thinking

3. Drawing Conclusions Would you rather work for a large, multinational corporation or for yourself as an independent businessperson? Explain your choice.
To understand work in modern society you need to be familiar with the three basic economic sectors. They are primary, secondary, and tertiary.

How do the economic sectors differ? The primary sector of an economy depends on the natural environment to produce economic goods. The types of jobs in this sector vary widely—farmer, miner, fisherman, timber worker, rancher. In the secondary sector, manufactured products are made from raw materials. Occupations in this sector include factory workers of all types, from those who produce computers to those who turn out Pokémon cards. Those in the secondary sector are popularly known as blue-collar workers. Employees in the tertiary sector provide services. If today you went to school, filled your car with gas, stopped by the bank, and visited your doctor, you spent most of your time and someone’s money in the tertiary (service) sector. Other service industries include insurance, real estate, retail sales, and entertainment. More and more people in these industries are white-collar workers.
How have the three sectors changed historically? Obviously, the primary sector dominated the preindustrial economy. At that stage of economic development, physical goods were made by hand. This balance began to change with the mechanization of farming in the agricultural economy. Mechanical inventions (cotton gin, plow, tractor), along with the application of new scientific methods (seed production, fertilization, and crop rotation), drastically increased production. During the 1800s, the average farmer could feed five workers or so. Today, the figure is eighty. At the same time production increased, labor demands decreased. Primary sector workers have declined from almost 40 percent of the labor pool in 1900 to about 2 percent today.

With other technological advancements in industry (power looms, motors of all types, electrical power) came the shift of agricultural workers from farms to factories, ushering in the secondary sector. As Figure 13.7 indicates, the percentage of the U.S. labor force engaged in blue-collar jobs reached almost 40 percent in 1900.

Just as in agriculture, technological developments permitted greater production with fewer workers. Since World War II, the fastest-growing occupations in the secondary sector have been white-collar—managers, professionals, sales workers, clerical workers. In 1956, white-collar workers for the first time accounted for a larger proportion of the U.S. labor force than blue-collar workers. In manufacturing industries, the number of white-collar workers is now three times the number of blue-collar workers.

Technological progress did not stop with the secondary sector. As relative growth in the proportion of workers in goods-producing jobs was decreasing, the demand for labor in the tertiary section was increasing. Fueled by computer technology, the United States economy moved from a manufacturing base to a knowledge, or information, base. The current demand is for people who can manage information and deliver services. Today, the proportion of white-collar workers in the U.S. is about 70 percent, up from just below 30 percent in 1930. (See Figure 13.7.)
Focus on Research

Case Study: The End of the Line

Because she grew up near Chrysler’s auto plant in Kenosha, Wisconsin, researcher Kathryn Marie Dudley had a special interest in studying the cultural fallout from the plant’s closing in 1988. Dudley’s research is a case study of a large plant in a one-industry community experiencing relocations, downsizings, and job eliminations. She offers Kenosha as a typical example of the effect of changing work patterns on midsize towns. As indicated in the excerpt below, the plant changes over the past few decades are seen as part of the shift from an industrial to a postindustrial society:

What was once a fundamental segment of the American economic structure—heavy industry and durable goods manufacturing—has now become a marginal part of the national portfolio. As this sector of the economy gives way to the new “knowledge industries,” workers in this sector are being superseded as well. In America’s new image of itself as a postindustrial society, individuals still employed in basic manufacturing industries look like global benchwarmers in the competitive markets of the modern world (Dudley, 1994:161).

When the auto plant was finally shut down, Dudley did in-depth follow-up interviews with autoworkers and with a wide variety of professionals in the Kenosha area. Interview questions were open-ended to give informants freedom to roam where their thoughts and feelings took them. Dudley’s only restriction was that the interviews be geared to the cultural meaning of what was happening to the community because of its declining employment base.

For Dudley, the demolition of the auto plant was a metaphor for the dismantling of the way of life created since the early 1950s among U.S. blue-collar workers in core manufacturing industries. These increasingly displaced blue-collar workers, contends Dudley, find themselves caught between two interpretations of success in America. On the one hand, middle-class professionals justify their place in society by reference to their educational credentials and “thinking” jobs. Blue-collar workers, on the other hand, legitimize their place in society on the basis of the high market value society has traditionally placed on their hard labor. One ex-auto worker, whom Dudley calls Al Tirpak, captured the idea beautifully:
We’re worth fifteen dollars an hour because we’re producing a product that can be sold on the market that’ll produce that fifteen dollars an hour. . . . I don’t know if you want to [base a person’s value] strictly on education. You can send someone to school for twelve years and they can still be doing something that’s socially undesirable and not very worthwhile for society. I don’t know if they should get paid just because they had an education. In my mind, yuppy means young unproductive parasite. We’re gonna have an awful lot of yuppies here in Kenosha that say they are doing something worthwhile when, really, they aren’t (Dudley, 1994:169).

Due to the massive loss of high-paying factory jobs, Dudley contends that the blue-collar vision of success is coming to “the end of the line.” These workers have lost their cultural niche to a postindustrial world where work is based on education and the application of knowledge.

Dudley documents the blue-collar workers’ view of this new reality. From her extensive interviews, she constructs a portrait of their struggle to preserve their cultural traditions in a world in which the type of employment on which these traditions were built is decreasing. The penalty for not creating new cultural supports for a sense of social worth, Dudley concludes, will be life in a state of confusion with a sense of failure.

**Working with the Research**

1. What is the focus of Dudley’s research?
2. What does Dudley’s conclusion mean for blue-collar workers in terms of their way of life?
3. Do you think Dudley’s research methods are strong enough to support her conclusion?
4. Do you believe that Dudley can be objective in this study of her hometown? Explain your answer.
Occupational Structure

Occupations are categories of jobs that involve similar activities at different work locations. For example, teacher, dental assistant, film producer, and electrician are all occupations because each position requires similar training and involves some standard operations. The United States Department of Labor has identified over 500 occupations with more than 21,000 various specialties within the broader occupation categories.

What is the shape of the U.S. occupational structure? A two-tier occupational structure has developed in the U.S. One tier—the core—includes jobs with large firms holding dominant positions within their industries. For example, computer technology, pharmaceutical, and aerospace firms are prime examples. About 35 percent of U.S. workers are in the core. The other level—the peripheral tier—is composed of jobs in smaller firms that either are competing for business left over from core firms or are engaged in less profitable industries such as agriculture, textiles, and small-scale retail trade. Most U.S. workers—around 65 percent—are employed in the peripheral tier.

What is the nature of core and peripheral jobs? Historically, jobs in the core paid more, offered better benefits, and provided longer-term employment. This is not surprising since the firms involved are large and highly profitable. Peripheral jobs are characterized by low pay, little or no benefits, and short-term employment. These features follow from the weaker competitive position and the smaller size of the employing firms.

How are the core and peripheral tiers changing? The industries that have supplied most of the core jobs in the U.S. have been scaling back during the last 20 years, laying off experienced workers and not hiring new ones. As early as 1983, for example, a steel mill in Hibbing, Minnesota, that once employed 4,400 people had a payroll of only 650 (“Left Out,” 1983). Since 1983, the Weirton Steele Company continued to cut its production capacity by another 30 percent and has laid off more than half of its workforce (Riederer, 1999). In fact, more than 43 million jobs have been eliminated in the United States since 1979. Over 570,000 job cuts were announced in the United States in 1998, more than half of which occurred in manufacturing plants (McNamee and Muller, 1998; Riederer, 1999). Of course, as these top-tier jobs have been disappearing, peripheral jobs have become a larger share of the total jobs.

The good economic news, fueled by microchip technology, is that the U.S. economy continues its healthy growth and unemployment remains low. The bad economic news is that the new jobs are not as good as the manufacturing jobs they are replacing. The newer industries provide few jobs suited to the skills and backgrounds of laid-off manufacturing workers. Moreover, most jobs in high-tech industries pay minimal wages and offer few chances for promotion. Responsible positions with high pay are held by a very small proportion of high-tech employees.
Thus, reemployment of laid-off workers is a significant problem. While the overwhelming majority of the over five million U.S. workers laid off between 1979 and 1992 had held full-time jobs, only half reported taking new full-time jobs. Another third were either unemployed or were no longer in the labor force. The rest were working part-time, running their own businesses, or occupied as unpaid family workers (Uchitelle and Kleinfield, 1996).

**What difference does this make to U.S. workers?** As has probably already crossed your mind, this trend makes a huge difference. The U.S. economy has been losing higher-paying jobs and gaining lower-paying jobs. This helps explain why, since the 1970s, the majority of workers have been losing economic ground. While thirty years ago one American worker alone could support a family, the dual-employed married couple has become the norm today.

This process, known as *downwaging*, is expected to continue in the twenty-first century. Of the top ten job categories projected to grow between 1998 and 2008, four pay below the poverty level for a family of four. Only two of the top ten shrinking job categories fall below the poverty threshold (U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000d). Many sociologists believe that the job loss and downwaging trends threaten the American dream (Newman, 1993; Barlett and Steel, 1996).

### Downsizing and Contingent Employment

Clearly, the occupational structure in the United States has changed dramatically over the last few decades. *Downsizing* and *contingent employment*, two strategies used by top management, reduce employment in core industries. A discussion of these related practices will help explain why the U.S. occupational structure is changing.

**Downsizing** is the process by which companies reduce the size of their full-time workforces. **Contingent employment** involves hiring people on a part-time or short-term basis. Although corporate downsizing had been going on since the late 1970s, it accelerated during the 1980s and 1990s. Since 1985, an estimated four million people have lost their jobs to downsizing alone. This trend is expected to continue (Sloan, 1996; Belton, 1999).

**Why are downsizing and contingent employment taking place on such a large scale?** Part of the motivation for downsizing is based on top management's belief that their companies employ a surplus of people and that, thanks to computers and other labor-saving technology, work can be done by fewer employees without reductions in efficiency and effectiveness. Top management also points to lower profits caused by increasing foreign competition. And it is true that about 20 percent of all U.S. workers are directly exposed to foreign competition (McNamee and Muller, 1998). Companies have responded to increased foreign competition by moving
operations overseas and by replacing full-time employees with part-time workers hired to do a limited amount of work for a specified time period.

Contingent employment is a cost-cutting device. Unlike full-time employees, contingent workers receive lower pay and are not entitled to expensive benefits such as vacation time, health insurance, and retirement benefits.

Does downsizing and contingent employment have a downside? According to Robert Reich, former secretary of the U.S. Department of Labor, downsizing and contingent employment will create greater polarization between those who control capital and those who do not. Some critics believe the “disposable” workforce is the most important trend in business today. They contend that it is fundamentally changing the relationship between Americans and their employers.

A survey of 2,500 employees across the United States confirms that employees’ attitudes toward their employers are changing. Although employees express high job satisfaction, their trust in management appears to be eroding. Workers seem to be losing some faith in management’s commitment to them. (See Figure 13.8.)

Trust and loyalty are difficult to maintain when employees do not believe company policies treat them fairly. As time passes, additional research will help to focus attention on the full effects of corporate downsizing and contingent employment.

Section 5 Assessment

1. Why have white-collar jobs increased faster than jobs in other sectors of the workforce since the 1930s?
2. What are some immediate benefits of downsizing? Some long-term drawbacks?

Critical Thinking

3. Drawing Conclusions Would you like to spend your work life as a contingent employee? Why or why not?
Reviewing Vocabulary

Complete each sentence using each term once.

a. charismatic authority
b. monopoly
c. downsizing
d. traditional authority
e. power elite
f. pluralism
g. elitism
h. primary sector
i. interest group
j. corporation
k. rational-legal authority
l. power

1. The ability to control the behavior of others is called ____________.
2. ____________ is the authority that arises from the personality of an individual.
3. The form of authority in which the power of government officials is based on their offices is called ____________.
4. ____________ is the form of authority in which the legitimacy of a leader is rooted in custom.
5. A group organized to influence political decision making is called ____________.
6. ____________ is a system in which a community or society is controlled from the top by a few individuals or organizations.
7. The process in which political decisions are made as a result of competition and compromise among special interest groups is called ____________.
8. A coalition of top military, corporate, and government leaders is called the ____________.
9. ____________ is an organization owned by shareholders who have limited liability and limited control.
10. The reduction of a corporation’s workforce is called ____________.
11. A company that has control over the production or distribution of a product or service is called a ____________.
12. Economic activities such as farming, fishing, or mining are known as the ____________.
Chapter 13 Assessment

Reviewing the Facts

1. How did Max Weber define power?
2. What is elitism? Give an example.
3. According to C. Wright Mills, who controls the power in the United States? Use the diagram below to illustrate your answer.

CONTROL OF POWER IN THE UNITED STATES
ACCORDING TO C. WRIGHT MILLS

![Diagram of power control]

POWER

4. What is socialism? Give an example.
5. The economic system of most nations most closely follows which system?
6. What is downsizing? In general, what can be said about the relationship between the disadvantages of downsizing and the advantages of downsizing?

Thinking Critically

1. Making Inferences Charismatic leaders such as Adolf Hitler and Branch Davidian cult leader David Koresh show us that the ability to exert control over people has little to do with issues of right and wrong. Why do people embrace men like Hitler or Koresh? What kind of training or education is essential in a democracy to counter the effects of dangerous yet charismatic leaders?

2. Drawing Conclusions The topic of enforced population control (see Another Place, page 432) is an intriguing one for many Americans. Only ten percent of American families in 2000 had more than two children. Does government ever have a right to legislate how many children couples are allowed to have? Should Americans be encouraged to have only two children for social reasons? Should income and educational levels be factors in how large families should be? Under what circumstances, if any, would government have a legitimate say in the size of families?

3. Analyzing Information The National Rifle Association (NRA) is one of the most powerful special interest groups in the country. Its membership is close to three million (slightly more than 1 percent of the U.S. population). Yet many people in the organization report that only a handful of the members are active and vocal. Why does the NRA get so much attention when only a small minority of its members express their opinions? How have these members influenced politicians?

4. Analyzing Information According to conflict theorists, members of the power elite control many aspects of not only politics but society at large. A recent book tried to examine the diversity of the power elite—that is, how many women and minorities are in its membership. Discuss the extent to which you think women and minorities are represented in the power elite.

5. Evaluating Information Many individuals in the history of the United States have been able to influence the political process because of their personal wealth. Examples include Andrew Carnegie, John D. Rockefeller, and—more recently—Microsoft founder Bill Gates. Capitalism encourages the accumulation of wealth. Do you think the government should put limits on how much wealth any one individual or organization can control? Why or why not?

6. Evaluating Information There is growing concern about the accuracy of the news reports that we receive daily, particularly from the Internet. Inaccuracies and sensationalized stories are becoming more and more common. What could this do to the credibility of news reporting? What does this say about the current state of American society?
**Sociology Projects**

1. **Political Influence** As an extension of question #5 above, instead of putting limits on how much wealth any one individual or organization could accumulate, consider the options that the government might use to limit the political influence of wealthy individuals and organizations. Using proper spelling, punctuation and grammar, write a brief essay listing and describing those options.

2. **Employee Rights** Review the list of employee rights in the Sociology Today feature on page 448. If you have a job, try to find out which of these rights your current employer recognizes. You might want to ask your manager if your company has a brochure that lists employee rights. If you don’t have a job, discuss this activity with a friend who is working.

3. **Political Cartoons** Look in the newspaper or weekly newsmagazines for a political cartoon. Analyze the cartoon, and write a brief summary of the message you think the cartoonist is trying to get across. Does the cartoon have a political agenda? Does it reflect the viewpoint of a special interest group or a specific branch of government? Be sure to discuss the symbolism used by the artist in the cartoon. Be prepared to present your cartoon to the class for further discussion.

4. **Government at the Local Level** Visit or call your local city hall to find out the schedule for city council or school board meetings. Arrange to attend the next meeting. Review the agenda for the meeting, and record what happens at the meeting. Identify all the other social institutions that were affected by the decisions made at the meeting the night you attended. (In many towns, local city council or board meetings are televised on cable channels. Check with the city or your local cable carrier to see if this is the case for your location.)

5. **Political Beliefs** On a sheet of paper, write down your own political party affiliation and your parents’ political party affiliations. Next, write down your views on some key issues that you feel strongly about, such as immigration or minority rights. Write down your parents’ views on these topics. Do you and your parents share the same political beliefs? You might also want to try comparing your views with a friend’s. Are your views and your friend’s views similar? If so, do you think that this might explain why you are friends? Do you think that people tend to associate more with those who share similar political beliefs?

**Technology Activities**

1. One of the topics of debate about corporations in America is whether they have any responsibilities beyond making a profit for their shareholders. Some people say that corporations have a “social responsibility” to make their communities better places. Two companies that act on their social responsibilities are Ben & Jerry’s and The Body Shop. Go to their websites at [http://www.benjerry.com/](http://www.benjerry.com/) and [http://www.thebodyshop.ca/](http://www.thebodyshop.ca/).
   a. Find their mission statements and read them. What do these companies believe about social responsibility?
   b. What specific actions do they take to make their communities better places?

2. Using your school or local library and the Internet, research and rank the 20 largest corporations in the United States according to their net worth. Also, research and rank the ten wealthiest individuals in the United States according to their net worth. Do you see any correlation or affiliation between the wealthy individuals and the powerful corporations (e.g., membership in one of the corporations, member on the directorate of one or more of the corporations, etc.)? Create a database to record your research. Summarize your research in a paragraph using proper spelling and grammar construction.
Swellowing ridicule would be a hardship for almost anyone in this culture, but it is particularly hard on minority youth in the inner city. They have already logged four or five years’ worth of interracial and cross-class friction by the time they get behind a [Burger Barn] cash register. More likely than not, they have also learned from peers that self-respecting people don’t allow themselves to be “dissed” without striking back. Yet this is precisely what they must do if they are going to survive in the workplace.

This is one of the main reasons why these [fast-food] jobs carry such a powerful stigma in American popular culture: they fly in the face of a national attraction to autonomy, independence, and the individual’s “right” to respond in kind when dignity is threatened. In ghetto communities, this stigma is even more powerful because—ironically—it is in these enclaves that this mainstream value of independence is most vigorously elaborated and embellished. Film characters, rap stars, and local idols base their claim to notoriety on standing above the crowd, going their own way, being free of the ties that bind ordinary mortals. There are white parallels, to be sure, but this is a powerful genre of icons in the black community, not because it is a disconnected subculture but because it is an intensified version of a perfectly recognizable American middle-class and working-class fixation.

It is therefore noteworthy that thousands upon thousands of minority teens, young adults, and even middle-aged adults line up for jobs that will subject them, at least potentially, to a kind of character assassination. They do so not because they start the job-seeking process with a different set of values, one that can withstand society’s contempt for fast-food workers. They take these jobs because in so many inner-city communities, there is nothing better in the offing. In general, they have already tried to get better jobs and have failed, landing at the door of Burger Barn as a last resort.

The stigma also stems from the low social status of the people who hold these jobs: minorities, teenagers, immigrants who often speak halting English, those with little education, and (increasingly in affluent communities afflicted with labor shortages) the elderly. To the extent that the prestige of a job refracts the social characteristic of its average incumbents, fast-food jobs are hobbled by the perception that people with better choices would never purposely opt for a “McJob.”

There is no quicker way to indicate that a person is barely deserving of notice than to point out he

Katherine Newman has created a rich portrait of minimum-wage workers employed in four fast-food restaurants in central Harlem. These are the “working poor”—they hold jobs and pay taxes, but they do not earn enough money to buy the basic necessities of life. In the passage below, Newman argues that the working poor share the same basic values as the rest of American society. The shame referred to in the reading lies in society’s view that employment in fast-food jobs is somehow degrading.
or she holds a “chump change” job at Kentucky Fried Chicken or Burger King . . . 

Ghetto youth are particularly sensitive to the status degradation entailed in stigmatized employment. As Elijah Anderson . . . and others have pointed out, a high premium is placed on independence, autonomy, and respect among minority youth in inner-city communities—particularly by young men. No small amount of mayhem is committed every year in the name of injured pride. Hence jobs that routinely demand displays of deference force those who hold them to violate “macho” behavior codes that are central to the definition of teen culture. There are, therefore, considerable social risks involved in seeking a fast-food job in the first place, one that the employees and job-seekers are keenly aware of from the very beginning of their search for employment.

It is hard to know the extent to which this stigma discourages young people in places like central Harlem from knocking on the door of a fast-food restaurant. It is clear that the other choices aren’t much better and that necessity drives thousands, if not millions, of teens and older job-seekers to ignore the stigma or learn to live with it. But no one enters the central Harlem job market without having to face this gauntlet.


What Does it Mean?

display of deference
acting in a humble or compliant way
embellish
to add to; to make more attractive
enclave
a territory or cultural unit within a foreign territory
gauntlet
ordeal or challenge
genre of icons
category or type of symbols
incumbent
occupant, job holder

Read and React

1. Who are the “working poor”? Give some examples of the types of jobs the working poor would hold.

2. According to Newman, the working poor share the same values as the rest of American society. Discuss the evidence she gives for this. Is she convincing?

3. Why did Newman select No Shame in My Game for her book title?

4. Do you think a stigma is attached to being a fast-food worker? Explain.

5. What do you think Newman means by “status degradation” in the context of her research?
CHAPTER 14

Religion
More than thirty years after the Beatles’ last recording session, the group’s tapes and CDs are still being sold by the millions. But there was a moment—at the height of the Beatles’ popularity—when radio stations around the United States banned their music and teenagers stomped on their records.

The angry reaction was the result of a comment made by John Lennon in a 1966 London interview:

*Christianity will go. It will vanish and shrink. I needn’t argue with that; I’m right and I will be proved right. We’re more popular than Jesus now; I don’t know which will go first—rock ’n’ roll or Christianity.*

When the remark was printed in the United States, the resulting uproar caught many by surprise. Lennon’s statement was quoted out of context. If the entire interview had been printed, the response might have been less extreme. Nevertheless, efforts to explain the remark failed, and Lennon was forced to apologize for saying something he hadn’t really intended to say. Contrary to popular belief, it appeared that many young Americans took their religion seriously.

Today, many people fear that religious influence in the United States is declining. Evidence, however, reveals that America—compared with other industrialized nations—remains fairly religious. This chapter views religion within the context of sociology, defines religion as an institution, and explores the ways people express their religious beliefs.

---

**Sections**

1. Religion and Sociology
2. Theoretical Perspectives
3. Religious Organization and Religiosity
4. Religion in the United States

**Learning Objectives**

After reading this chapter, you will be able to

- explain the sociological meaning of religion.
- describe the different views of religion as seen by the major theoretical perspectives.
- distinguish the basic types of religious organization.
- discuss the meaning and nature of religiosity.
- define secularization and describe its relationship to religiosity in the United States.
- discuss religious fundamentalism in the United States from the sociological perspective.

---

**Chapter Overview**

Visit the Sociology and You Web site at [soc.glencoe.com](http://soc.glencoe.com) and click on Chapter 14—Chapter Overviews to preview chapter information.
The Sociological Meaning of Religion

A religion is a unified system of beliefs and practices concerned with sacred things. This definition comes from Emile Durkheim, whose work was based on studies of the Australian aborigines in the late nineteenth century. According to Durkheim, every society distinguishes between the sacred—things and ideas that are set apart and given a special meaning that goes beyond, or transcends, immediate existence—and the profane, or nonsacred aspects of life. Profane in this context does not mean unholy. It simply means commonplace and not involving the supernatural. Another word for profane is secular.

Sacred things take on a public character that makes them appear important in themselves; profane things do not. The particular things considered sacred vary from culture to culture. For example, Bolivian tin miners attach sacred meaning to figures of the devil and of bulls. Because Americans do not share these religious beliefs, these cultural items are part of their nonsacred, or profane, world. Moreover, some nonreligious aspects of culture can assume a sacred character. Here, two sociologists illustrate the difference between the sacred and the profane:

When Babe Ruth was a living idol to baseball fans, the bat he used to slug his home runs was definitely a profane object. It was Ruth’s personal instrument and had little social value in itself. Today, however, one of Ruth’s bats is ensnared in...
the Baseball Hall of Fame. It is no longer used by anyone. It stands, rather, as an object which in itself represents the values, sentiments, power, and beliefs of all members of the baseball community. What was formerly a profane object is now in the process of gaining some of the qualities of a sacred object (Cuzzort and King, 1976:27).

Babe Ruth’s bat illustrates two particulars about the sociological study of religion. First, a profane object can become sacred, and vice versa. Second, sociologists can deal with religion without becoming involved in theological issues. By focusing on the cultural and social aspects of religion, sociologists avoid questions about the ultimate validity of any particular religion. This point is so important that it needs more explanation.

The Sociological Study of Religion

The sociological study of religion involves looking at a set of meanings attached to a world beyond human observation. Because this nonphysical world cannot be directly observed, this task is particularly difficult. Sociologists have to ask themselves hard questions: How can we find evidence for something that can’t be seen? How can we remain objective about such a value-laden subject, especially when we have our own beliefs? Is science really the proper tool to evaluate religion?

Obviously, sociologists cannot study the unobservable. Consequently, they avoid the strictly spiritual side of religion and focus on social aspects of religion that can be measured and observed. Sociologists, then, are not in the business of determining which religions people ought to follow. Sociologists keep their own faith personal while investigating the social dimensions of religion. Like people in any other occupation, sociologists themselves follow a variety of religions.

Section 1 Assessment

1. How does the sociological definition of religion differ from how you previously thought of religion?
2. How do sociologists manage to study religion if they can’t see the spiritual world?

Critical Thinking

3. Evaluating Information  Do you think religion can be studied scientifically? Using the material just presented, make an argument for or against this practice.
As part of studying the effects of religion on society, sociologists note that throughout history, religion has both promoted social stability and led to social conflict. In this excerpt from the article *Religion at War*, the conflict aspect is highlighted.

In virtually every one of the world’s 480 major wars since 1700, each side has imagined itself to be exclusively on the side of God, Gött, Allah, Dieu or other names for the deity.

Religion is often so closely linked with ethnic or national identity as to be seen as inseparable from them. Thus a struggle for expressions of ethnic or national identity is experienced as a religious war. This is so of the current unrest in the Punjab, created by Sikh demands for a separate Sikh state.

Religion evokes powerful emotions and commitments. It is capable of producing believers whose faith moves them to acts of great self-sacrifice and charity. At the same time it can produce believers who feel that their faith calls them to struggle violently in what they believe to be a just cause. One example is the Hindi/Muslim tension in India focused on Ayodhya. Here, a mosque built in the 15th century was destroyed in 1992 by militant Hindus because it is believed to have been built over the birthplace of the Hindu god Rama. While the majority of Hindis and Muslims have lived together peacefully for generations, extremists on both sides are capable of arousing violence through use of powerful religious symbols.

In many faiths, the issue of whether warfare is permissible has given rise to various theories of the just war. Such theories seek to define whether believers can ever engage in the use of violence. The usual conclusion is that violence—including warfare—is only acceptable in pursuit of a greater good. The problem, however, is who defines the greater good?


**Thinking It Over**

Does functionalism or conflict theory best explain the link between strong religious conviction and war? Why?
Religion exists in some form in virtually all societies. (See Figure 14.1 on page 468 and World View on page 469 for a global distribution of major religions.) The earliest evidence of religion and religious customs and taboos has been traced as far back as 50,000 B.C. Humans had by then already begun to bury their dead, a practice based on the belief in existence after death. Evidence of religious practices appears in many ancient cultures. In Rome, there were specific gods for objects and events—a god of trees, a god of money, a goddess of fever. While the early Hebrews believed that pigs were unclean animals whose pollution would spread to all who touched or tasted them, the tribes of New Guinea considered pigs holy creatures worthy of ancestral sacrifice (Harris, 1974).

Emile Durkheim, the first sociologist to examine religion scientifically, wondered why it is that all societies

We know that religion is an important part of almost all societies because of the religious symbols most have left behind.
have some form of religion. In one of his books, *The Elementary Forms of Religious Life* (1915), Durkheim offered an explanation rooted in the function religion performs for society. The essential function of religion, he believed, was to provide through sacred symbols a mirror for members of society to see themselves. Through religious rituals, people worship their societies and thereby remind themselves of their shared past and future existence.

Following Durkheim’s lead, sociologists have identified the following social functions of religion.

❖ **Religion gives formal approval to existing social arrangements.** Religious doctrine and scripture legitimate the status quo. Religion, then, justifies or gives authority to social norms and customs. A society’s religion explains why the society is—and should be—the way it is. It tells us why some people have power and others do not, why some are rich and others poor, why some are common and others elite. Many social customs and rituals are based on religion. According to Durkheim, legitimation is the central function of religion.

❖ **Religion encourages a sense of unity.** Religion, according to Durkheim, is the glue that holds society together. Without religion, society would be chaotic. As Cuzzort and King have stated (1976), Durkheim “provided the greatest justification for religious doctrine ever formulated by a social scientist when he claimed that all societies must have religious commitments. Without religious dedication there is no social order.”

   In some cases, though, religion causes societies to fragment, even to the point of civil war. Religion divides Catholics and Protestants in Northern Ireland. Thus, while it is accurate to say that religion is usually a source of social unity, it can also divide a society. (See Another Place, page 466.)

❖ **Religion provides a sense of understanding.** Religion not only explains the nature of social life and encourages social unity, it also provides
individuals meaning beyond day-to-day life. People mark important events in life—birth, sexual maturity, marriage, death—with religious ceremonies and explain such events in religious terms. Religion gives believers a sense of their place in the cosmos and gives eternal significance to a short and uncertain earthly existence.

❖ Religion promotes a sense of belonging. Religious organizations provide opportunities for people to share important ideas, ways of life, and ethnic or racial backgrounds. Religion supplies a kind of group identity. People usually join religious organizations freely and feel a

### Interpreting the Map

1. Based on the information shown in this map, identify two countries where you would expect to find lower rates of suicide than in England.
2. What information on the map did you use in your analysis?
degree of influence within these organizations. For many people in modern society, membership in a religious organization provides a sense of community. This feeling of belonging helps to counteract depersonalization, powerlessness, and rootlessness.

Conflict Theory and Religion

Conflict theory focuses on how religion works to either inhibit or encourage social change. Two early and important sociologists who looked at religion from these perspectives were Karl Marx and Max Weber.

What did Marx say about religion? Marx believed that once people have created a unified system of sacred beliefs and practices, they act as if it were something beyond their control. They become “alienated” from the religious system they have set up. People have the power to change (or, better yet, in Marx’s mind, to abandon) the religion they have created. They don’t do so, however, because they see it as a binding force to which they must conform. Religion, Marx wrote, is used by the ruling class to justify its economic, political, and social advantages over the oppressed. Those in power justify poverty, degradation, and misery as God’s will. To eliminate inequalities and injustices is to tamper with God’s plan. Religion, then, gives people a sense that all is the way it should be.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Religion</th>
<th>Origination</th>
<th>Key Figure</th>
<th>Beliefs</th>
<th>Main Geographic Areas</th>
<th>Number of Followers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hinduism</td>
<td>Before 2000 B.C.</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Of many gods, Brahma is the creator of the universe. Life is determined by the law of karma (the spiritual force generated by one’s own actions, which determines one’s next reincarnation).</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>793,076,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judaism</td>
<td>Before 1200 B.C.</td>
<td>Abraham</td>
<td>The one true God has established a covenant with the people of Israel, who are called to lives of justice, mercy, and obedience to God.</td>
<td>Israel, Eastern Europe, USA</td>
<td>13,866,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buddhism</td>
<td>About 500 B.C.</td>
<td>Siddhartha Gautama</td>
<td>The existence of God is not assumed. Through adherence to the Eightfold Path (correct thought and behavior), one can escape from desire and suffering and achieve nirvana (a state of bliss reached through extreme denial of the self).</td>
<td>Far East, Southeast Asia</td>
<td>325,275,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confucianism</td>
<td>About 500 B.C.</td>
<td>Confucius</td>
<td>The Analects (sayings of Confucius) stress moral conduct and virtuous human relationships.</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>5,086,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christianity</td>
<td>About A.D. 1</td>
<td>Jesus Christ</td>
<td>Jesus is the Son of the one true God. Through God’s grace and profession of faith, people have eternal life with God.</td>
<td>Europe, North America, South America</td>
<td>1,955,229,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Islam</td>
<td>About A.D. 600</td>
<td>Muhammad</td>
<td>Muhammad received the Koran (holy scriptures) from the one true God. Believers go to an eternal Garden of Eden.</td>
<td>Africa, Middle East, Southeast Asia</td>
<td>1,126,325,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 14.2 Major World Religions. This figure summarizes characteristics and beliefs of the major world religions being widely practiced today.

Religions are many and diverse, but reason and goodness are one.

Elbert Hubbard
American writer
How did Weber link Protestantism and capitalism? Whereas Marx believed that religion works against social change, Max Weber suggested that religion sometimes encourages social change. He pointed to the relationship between Protestantism and the rise of capitalism. Weber wondered why capitalism emerged in northwestern Europe and America and not in other parts of the world. A possible answer lay in what he termed the spirit of capitalism.

Gender Inequality in Religion

Women have been fighting for equal rights in all aspects of society—religion as well as government and business. In some religions women have equal status within their orders. Other religions see feminism as a “Western” issue and irrelevant to their faiths. This map shows how major denominations in each country view the status of women.

Interpreting the Map

1. Do you see any patterns of inequality in women’s rights in religion? Explain.
2. Where in the world would a woman be most likely to head an entire religious organization? Support your answer. Do some research to see if this has occurred. If it has not, explain why.
3. How does the United States compare with the Scandinavian countries in terms of gender equality? Why do you think this difference exists?
4. How would you explain the mixed status of women in India?

and the *Protestant ethic*. With capitalism, work became a moral obligation rather than a mere necessity. If businesses were to grow, money (capital) had to be put back into the business rather than spent. Investment for the future was more important than immediate consumption. All of this Weber called the *spirit of capitalism*.

Most major religions did not define hard work as an obligation or demand the reinvestment of capital for further profits (rather than for immediate enjoyment). But some Protestant sects did. Here, then, was a religion with a cluster of values, norms, beliefs, and attitudes that favored the emergence of modern capitalism. Weber referred to this cluster of values, norms, beliefs, and attitudes that stressed the virtue of hard work, thrift, and self-discipline as the *Protestant ethic*.

**What is the nature of the Protestant ethic?** The Protestant ethic is often associated with John Calvin (1509–1564), an early Protestant theologian. Calvin’s followers were known as Calvinists. Calvinist beliefs illustrate several features of the Protestant ethic.

- According to Calvin, God identifies his chosen by rewarding them in this world. Therefore, the more successful people were in this life, the more sure they were of being a member of God’s select few.
- Consumption beyond necessity was considered sinful; those who engaged in self-pleasure were agents of the devil.
- Calvinists believed there was an underlying purpose of life: glorification of God on earth through one’s occupational calling. Because everyone’s material rewards were actually God’s, and the purpose of life was to glorify God, profits should be multiplied (through reinvestment) rather than used in the pursuit of personal pleasures.

**Symbolic Interactionism and Religion**

Sociologist Peter Berger (1990) captured the relationship between religion and symbolic interactionism in his book, *The Sacred Canopy*. In this book, Berger explored the idea that humans create from their religious traditions a canopy, or cover, of symbolic meanings, to “lay” over the secular world. These otherworldly symbolic meanings are used to guide everyday social interaction. Religious beliefs, rituals, and ideas tell people the difference between the sacred and the profane and provide stability and security in a changing and uncertain existence.

Symbolic interactionism, for example, helps us understand the expression “there are no atheists in foxholes.” Insecurity and uncertainty, of course, are at a peak in the life-and-death situation of war, and the desire to regain
security and certainty is a natural human response. Religious meanings, especially those related to an afterlife, can offer some relief. Japanese kamikaze pilots in World War II and Middle Eastern terrorists infuse their sometimes suicidal behavior with ultimate meaning by focusing on their reward beyond life. Less dramatically, people enduring troubled marriages can be strengthened by their commitment to uphold their holy vows of matrimony spoken in a place of worship.

Each of the three major theoretical perspectives aids in the sociological study of religion. Figure 14.3 shows the unique light each perspective sheds.

### Section 2 Assessment

1. How did Karl Marx view religion?
2. What was Weber’s contribution to the sociological study of religion?
3. What did Berger mean by the phrase “the sacred canopy”?

### Critical Thinking

4. **Analyzing Information** Does the Protestant ethic still exist in America today? Use Weber’s analysis to justify your position.
Aldous Huxley’s 1932 novel *Brave New World* described a society in which babies were created scientifically. Another novel—*The Boys from Brazil*, written by Ira Levin and published in 1976—features a story about German Nazis cloning Adolf Hitler. Both of these books play on our fears about the effects and ethics of human cloning (a nonsexual creation of a genetically identical copy). Although no human has yet been cloned, the reproduction of a sheep called Dolly in February 1997, along with several subsequent clonings of mice, sheep, and pigs, have made the question much more pertinent today than it was a few years ago.

Even though the technology is not yet available to clone humans, companies and scientists are already beginning to offer their services to interested individuals. Dr. Richard Seed, an American physicist, announced in 1998 that he plans to clone humans, using his wife as the first subject. He also plans to open a for-profit clinic to assist childless couples in cloning themselves. A company called Valiant Venture has been formed to offer cloning services to humans—for as “little” as $200,000. Valiant Venture is owned by the Raelian Movement, an international cult whose members claim that life on earth was created in laboratories by extraterrestrials.

More traditional religious groups have expressed serious concerns about cloning. According to the general argument of Judaism and Christianity, human cloning allows the sacred process of generating life to enter the profane realm. A group of scientists sponsored by the Church of Scotland reached the following conclusions.

❖ If humans are cloned, people will be placing themselves in a position only God has occupied.
❖ The basic dignity and uniqueness of each individual will be violated.
❖ Political power could influence the creation of clones.
❖ Cloning will be limited to those who can afford it.

On the other hand, might it not be beneficial to clone Bill Gates, Mother Theresa, or Michael Jordan? What about the potential contributions from a new Christiaan Barnard, the South African physician who did the first heart transplant in 1967? Human cloning is just the latest in a long line of medical technologies that affect the length and quality of life. Society will have to decide if cloning is so different from other scientific advances that it should be legally prohibited.

**Analyzing the Trends**

What role, if any, should religion play in the debate over human cloning? Include some information from this chapter to support your answer.
Section 3

Religious Organization and Religiosity

Key Terms

- church
- denomination
- sect
- cult
- religiosity

Religious Organization

In Western societies, most people practice religion through some organizational structure. For this reason, the nature of religious organization is an important component of the sociological study of religion. Early scholars identified four basic types of religious organization: church, denomination, sect, and cult.

How do sociologists distinguish among the basic types of religious organization? To sociologists, a church is a life-encompassing religious organization to which all members of a society belong. This type of religious organization exists when religion and the state are closely intertwined. In Elizabethan England, for example, Archbishop Richard Hooker of the Church of England wrote that “there is not any man of the Church of England but the same man is also a member of the commonwealth; nor any man a member of the commonwealth which is not also of the Church of England.” As you can see, the sociological definition of church is different from the one commonly used in American society. When Americans talk about “churches,” they are actually referring to denominations.

A denomination is one of several religious organizations that most members of a society accept as legitimate. Because denominations are not tied to the state, membership in them is voluntary, and competition among them for

The Amish are a religious sect. How does a sect differ from a church, denomination, or cult?
Understanding the Danger of Cults

In late November 1978, news began to arrive in the United States that a semireligious, socialistic colony in Guyana, South America, headed by the Reverend Jim Jones—founder of the California-based People’s Temple—had been the scene of a shocking suicide-murder rite in which some nine hundred people died from cyanide poisoning. Many Americans wondered how people could have become involved in something like that.

Some dismissed the participants as ignorant or mentally unbalanced. But as more news came out, it became known that many of the members were fairly well-educated young people and that Jones was trusted and respected by some members of the California political establishment. We also learned that such events, although rare, have occurred before.

Why are people willing to join extremist religious groups? Sociology can help us understand the motivations.

❖ Most converts to extremist religious groups seek friendship, companionship, acceptance, warmth, and recognition. These groups can provide a supportive community that helps overcome past loneliness and isolation. They can provide emotional ties that converts have not found at home, school, church, or work. Many groups even adopt kinship terms to give recruits new identities to separate them from their former lives.

❖ Most extremist religious groups emphasize immediate experience and emotional gratification. Converts “feel” religion rather than merely think about it. Whether by meditation, speaking in tongues, or singing hymns, followers have frequent and intense emotional experiences they have not found elsewhere.

❖ Extremist religious groups emphasize security through strict authority. Under a firm authority structure and a clear, simple set of beliefs and rules, converts have something in which they can believe. Converts think they can exchange
uncertainty, doubt, and confusion for trust and assurance through absolute obedience.

❖ Extremist religious groups claim to offer authenticity and naturalness in an “artificial” world. By emphasizing such things as natural foods, communal living apart from civilization, and a uniform dress code, these groups attempt to show they are not part of the flawed outside world.

Religious movements may not actually be able to meet their followers’ needs any better than the outside world. Many of these religious groups lead to disillusionment, frustration, and bitterness when members realize that they cannot completely escape the outside world, which is full of uncertainty, confusion, fuzzy choices, and shades of gray. Moreover, many of these religious groups have joined the consumer society they profess to deplore, attractively packaging and selling themselves to the public. Not only may the new religious groups not solve the problems people in modern society must face, many are as inauthentic as they accuse society of being.

Some key questions exist to evaluate the authenticity of any religious group’s claims. For purposes of self-protection, these questions should be answered carefully before committing to an extremist religious group.

❖ Does it require that you cut yourself off from family and friends?
❖ Does it consider drugs to be a major vehicle for true religious experiences?
❖ Is corporal punishment or intensive, hours-long psychological conditioning a part of its program?
❖ Does it claim to have special knowledge that can be revealed only to insiders?

If the answer to any one of these questions is yes, you stand a chance of getting “hooked.” If the answers to several of these questions are positive, the chances of getting hooked increase dramatically.

Doing Sociology

1. Do you agree or disagree with the reasons given for why people join extremist religious groups? Discuss each reason and explain why you agree or disagree.

2. Can you think of other reasons why people may be attracted to such groups? Show that any reason you identify does not fit into one of the four reasons stated.

3. If you had a friend considering membership in an extremist religious group, how would you use the information in this Sociology Today to discourage him or her?
members is socially acceptable. Being one religious organization among many, a denomination generally accepts the values and norms of the secular society and the state, although it may at times oppose them. As mentioned, most American “churches”—Methodist, Episcopalian, Presbyterian, Baptist, Roman Catholic, and Reform Jew, for example—are actually denominations.

A sect is a religious organization formed when members of an existing religious organization break away in an attempt to reform the “parent” group. Generally, sect members believe that some valuable beliefs or traditions have been lost by the parent organization, and they form their own group to save these features. Thus, they see themselves not as establishing a new religious faith but as redeeming an existing one. The withdrawal of a sect from the parent group is usually psychological, but some sects go farther and form communal groups apart from the larger society. The Separatists, or Pilgrims, who landed at Plymouth in 1620, wished to reform the Church of England from which they had separated. Another example is the Amish, a sect formed in 1693 when a Swiss bishop named Jacob Amman broke from the Mennonite church in Europe (Kraybill and Olshan, 1994). Less extreme sects in the United States today include the Seventh-Day Adventists, the Quakers, and the Assemblies of God.

Unlike a sect, a cult is a religious organization whose characteristics are not drawn from existing religious traditions within a society. Whether imported from outside the society or created within the society, cults bring something new to the larger religious environment. We often think of cults as engaging in extreme behavior. The world has been shocked twice in recent years. In 1997, reports came of the ritualistic suicides of thirty-nine members of the Heaven’s Gate cult in California (Thomas, 1997). Dwarfing this incident was the mass killing of ap-
proximately 1,000 members of the Ugandan cult called the Movement for the Restoration of the Ten Commandments of God in March 2000. Cults do not usually appear in such an extreme and bizarre form, however. More conventional examples of cults are the Unification Church, the Divine Light Mission, and the Church of Scientology (Clark, 1993).

Religiosity

Sociologists Charles Glock and Rodney Stark are two sociologists who have studied religion and society. Their work has focused on religiosity—the types of religious attitudes and behavior people display in their everyday lives.

**How do people display religiosity?**

Glock and Stark identify five dimensions of religiosity: belief, ritual, an intellectual dimension, experience, and consequences (Glock, 1965; Stark, 1968).

- **Belief** refers to what a person considers to be true. People may, for example, believe that Jesus is the son of God or that there is no God but Allah.
- **A ritual** is a religious practice that the members of a religion are expected to perform. A ritual may be private, such as personal prayer, or public, such as attending mass.
- **The intellectual dimension** of religiosity may involve knowledge of holy or sacred scripture or an interest in such religious aspects of human existence as evil, suffering, and death. Religious persons are expected to be knowledgeable about their faith.
Religious Believers

Religion is common to all societies. Although the majority of Americans are Christian, many other faiths are represented in the United States. This map shows the percentage of the population of each state who identify themselves as members of a faith or religion.

Interpreting the Map

1. Create a database comparing the number of religious believers in your state with other states in your region.
2. What do you think this map says about the state of religion in the U.S.? Explain.


❖ Experience encompasses certain feelings attached to religious expression. This dimension is the hardest to measure. For example, a religious believer may feel “close” to the deity when praying.
❖ Consequences are the decisions and commitments people make as a result of religious beliefs, rituals, knowledge, or experiences. Consequences may be social, such as opposing or supporting capital punishment, or personal, as when practicing sexual abstinence before marriage or telling the truth regardless of the cost.

Section 3 Assessment

1. In your own words, describe the difference between a cult and a sect.
2. Give one example of each of the five dimensions of religiosity, using examples not given in the text.

Critical Thinking

3. Summarizing Information Of the dimensions of religiosity discussed in the text, which do you think is most important to denominations today? Give reasons for your answer.
The search for religious freedom was only one of many reasons Puritan colonists came to America—but it was an important one. From the outset, the Puritans viewed themselves as a religious example for the world to follow and admire. Sociologist Robert Bellah has described the American religious connection this way:

_In the beginning, and to some extent ever since, Americans have interpreted their history as having religious meaning. They saw themselves as being a “people” in the classical and biblical sense of the word. They hoped they were a people of God (Bellah et al. 1991:2)._

The U.S. guarantees religious freedom. Pictured clockwise from the bottom left are a Hindu priest in Ohio, an Islamic prayer group in Maine, a Baptist congregation in Alabama, and a Jewish Chanukah celebration in Maryland.
The framers of the U.S. Constitution seldom raised arguments against religious faith. They were, however, sharply critical of any entanglement between religion and the state. Indeed, the ideas of separation of church and state and freedom of religious expression are cornerstones of American life. Despite this tradition, people in the United States have experienced incidents of religious persecution, including some directed at immigrant groups.

Religion has always been of great importance in American life; but historically, it has played a more active part in some periods than in others. There have been several “Awakenings” in U.S. history when religious principles have guided the development of culture and society. The 1830s, for example, saw new life come to many religious reform movements, including those against slavery and drinking alcohol. Later, the Protestant-led temperance movement resulted in the outlawing of alcohol for a short period during the 1920s.

Secularization in the United States

Countering the growth of religion in U.S. history is secularization. Through this process, the sacred loses influence over society, or aspects of the sacred enter into the secular (profane) world of everyday life. For example, formal education originally was a function of religion. Most early teachers and professors were clerics and church members. Over time in the United States, this function was taken over by the state, although many church-sponsored schools still exist.

Is secularization destroying religion in the United States? Evidence is mixed concerning the relative importance of religion in the United States today. On the one hand, some findings indicate a decline in the importance of religion. The percentage of Americans claiming that religion is very important in their lives fell from 75 percent in 1952 to 57 percent in 2001. (See Figure 14.4.) Scores on the Princeton Religion Index, made up of eight leading indicators, have also declined since the 1940s. In 1957, 14 percent of the public indicated that they believed religion was losing influence on American life. In 2001, 55 percent of the public saw a loss of influence (Gallup, 2001).

On the other hand, some recent research has found Americans today to still be highly committed to religion. Whether measured by the number of churches per capita, the proportion of regular churchgoers, or financial support of the churches, sociologist Theodore Caplow observed a trend toward greater involvement in religious affairs (Caplow, 1998).

Figure 14.4 Percentage of Americans Saying Religion Is Very Important in Their Lives: 1952–2001. This figure tracks changes in the percentage of Americans who say that religion is very important in their lives. Why do you think the percentage was so high in the early 1950s? What prediction do you make for the next ten years?

Source: The Gallup Organization, Gallup polls on religion.
In fact, as suggested in the Sociological Imagination opening this chapter, America still appears to be a religious nation when compared with other industrialized countries (see Figure 14.5). Only 8 percent of the American population is without a religious preference. About 88 percent identify themselves as Protestants, Catholics, Jews, or Mormons. There are now over three hundred recognized denominations and sects and thousands of independent congregations in the United States (Linder, 2000). About seven in ten Americans belong to some church, and over half of these claim to be active in their congregations. Four Americans in ten claim they have attended a church or synagogue in a typical week. (In England, for example, the average weekly church attendance is 14 percent.) Furthermore, although the proportion of Americans belonging to a church or synagogue has declined slightly from a high of 76 percent in 1947 to 69 percent in 1995, church attendance has changed very little over the years. Since 1939, weekly church or synagogue attendance in the United States has remained relatively stable—from 41 percent to 43 percent in 1995.

Americans also tend to support traditional religious beliefs. Ninety-six percent of the American population believe in God or a universal spirit, 65 percent believe in life after death, 90 percent believe in heaven, and 73 percent believe...
Old-time religious evangelists traveled from community to community and preached to the faithful in tents, open fields, or rented meeting halls. Modern-day communications technology has changed all that. To a great extent, radio, television, and the Internet are replacing the traditional meeting places.

Although the “electronic church” (church attendance through telecommunications) has attracted considerable attention, disagreement exists as to the actual size of its audience and the extent of its impact. Many television evangelists claim to have very large audiences, but most rating services estimate the total religious television audience to be of a rather modest size, approximately ten to thirteen million viewers.

William Stacey and Anson Shupe (1982) have advanced sociological understanding of the electronic church by examining the characteristics of its viewers. They surveyed residents of the Dallas–Fort Worth metropolitan area. This area is often referred to as the “buckle” of the southern Bible Belt.

Stacey and Shupe found regular viewers to have relatively low incomes and less than a high school education. Viewers also tended to be female, to be over thirty-five years of age, and to have large families. Blue-collar workers were more likely than white-collar workers to watch, but retired persons and homemakers were more likely to be viewers than people with jobs.

People who attended church regularly tended to watch, an important finding because it contradicted the claim that the electronic church was depriving local churches of members. Fundamentalists were more likely than reformed or moderate believers to tune in. The electronic church preaches to the converted who are already predisposed, or self-selected, to seek out its messages.

---

**Working with the Research**

1. According to Stacey and Shupe’s research, what demographic groups are most likely to watch religious programming?
2. Would you predict that the electronic church will have greater social impact in the future? Why or why not?
in hell. Seventy-two percent believe in the existence of angels (Gallup, 1996).

**Religious Preferences**

**What are the religious preferences in the U.S.?**

Although there are over three hundred denominations and sects in the United States, Americans in the mid-1990s were largely Protestant (58 percent) and belonged to a few major denominations—Baptist (20 percent), Methodist (10 percent), Lutheran (6 percent), Presbyterian (4 percent), and Episcopalian (4 percent). Fourteen percent prefer various other Protestant denominations. Catholics constitute a relatively large proportion of the American population (25 percent) and Jews a relatively small proportion (2 percent). As noted earlier, only 8 percent of Americans have no religious preference (Gallup, 1996). Figure 14.6 lists many of the religious organizations in the United States with memberships above 300,000.

**Fundamentalism in America**

Any careful observer of religion in the United States over the last twenty years or so will note the rise of religious fundamentalism in the country, especially among Protestant denominations. Fundamentalism is based on the desire to resist secularization and to adhere closely to traditional religious beliefs, rituals, and doctrines. It is, of course, inaccurate to limit fundamentalism to Protestants alone. Fundamentalism is found in all religions, including the Roman Catholic, Jewish, and Muslim faiths. This discussion, however, will focus on Protestant fundamentalism.

It is not surprising that most fundamentalists are politically conservative, given that the roots of contemporary religious fundamentalism are in the latter part of the nineteenth century. Two issues disturbed the early fundamentalists. First, fundamentalists were concerned about the spread of secularism. Science was challenging the Bible as a source of truth; Marxism was portraying religion as an opiate for the masses; Darwinism was challenging the biblical interpretation of creation; and religion in general was losing its traditionally strong influence on all social institutions. Second, fundamentalists rejected the movement away from emphasis on the traditional message of Christianity toward an emphasis on social service (Johnstone, 1996).

Since the late 1960s, many of the largest American Protestant denominations—Methodists, Lutherans, Presbyterians, Episcopalians—have either been declining in membership or fighting to hold their own. In contrast, contemporary

**Religious Organizations in the U.S.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Religious Organization</th>
<th>Number of Members</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roman Catholic Church</td>
<td>60,280,454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Baptist Convention</td>
<td>15,663,296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Methodist Church</td>
<td>8,538,662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jewish</td>
<td>6,840,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lutheran Church in America</td>
<td>5,190,489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muslim</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presbyterian Church (U.S.A.)</td>
<td>3,669,489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Episcopal Church</td>
<td>2,536,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assembly of God</td>
<td>2,387,982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Church of Christ</td>
<td>1,472,213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jehovah’s Witnesses</td>
<td>966,243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christian Church (Disciples of Christ)</td>
<td>929,725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seventh-Day Adventist</td>
<td>790,731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church of the Nazarene</td>
<td>601,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salvation Army</td>
<td>453,150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin Evangelical Lutheran Synod</td>
<td>412,478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reformed Church in America</td>
<td>306,312</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 14.6 Membership in Selected Religious Organizations in the United States.** On the basis of these data, how would you describe the religious composition of the U.S.? Sources: Gale Research and composite sources, 1995–1997.
fundamentalist denominations have been growing. Fundamentalists exist in all Protestant organizations, but they are predominantly found in such religious bodies as the Mormons, the Assemblies of God, the Seventh-Day Adventists, the Baptists, and the Jehovah’s Witnesses. (See Figure 14.7.)

What is the nature of fundamentalism today? The theological agenda of today’s fundamentalists is very close to that of their forebears in the nineteenth century.

Fundamentalists believe in the literal truth of the Scriptures, or in taking the Bible at “face value.” Protestant fundamentalism involves being “born again” through acceptance of Jesus Christ as the Son of God who was sent to redeem mankind through his sacrifice. Fundamentalist doctrine includes belief in the responsibility of all believers to give witness for God, the presence of Satan as an active force for evil, and the destruction of the world prior to the Messiah’s return to establish His kingdom on earth.

Are all fundamentalists alike? Religious organizations that share in much of the fundamentalist theology have some unique beliefs and practices of their own. An example is neo-Pentacostalism—or the charismatic movement, as it is sometimes called—which has occurred for the most part within traditional religious organizations, particularly the Roman Catholic and Episcopal churches. Those involved in this movement often speak of receiving “the baptism of the Holy Spirit.” But central to most neo-Pentecostal groups is the experience of “speaking in tongues,” which believers claim is a direct gift of the Holy Spirit (Cox, 1992, 1996; Hunt, Hamilton, and Walter, 1998).

Why is fundamentalism so strong today? Several reasons for the growth of fundamentalism have been proposed.

Many Americans feel their world is out of control. The social order of the 1950s was shattered by a string of traumatic events beginning with the civil rights movement and progressing through campus violence, political assassinations, the Vietnam War, and Watergate. Increases in substance abuse, illegitimate births, divorce, and crime are taken as signs of moral decline. Fundamental religion, with its absolute answers and promise of eternal life, provides a strong anchor in a confusing, bewildering world.

This charismatic minister in Atlanta is engaged in faith healing.
Fundamentalist churches, by emphasizing warmth, love, and caring, provide solace to people who are witnessing and experiencing the weakening of family and community ties. Mainline churches tend to be more formal and impersonal.

Fundamentalist churches offer what they consider a more purely sacred environment, in contrast to mainline denominations that fundamentalists see as accommodating to secular society.

The electronic church, in its role as part of the mass media, has been an important contributing factor in the growth of religious fundamentalism. (See Focus on Research on page 484.)

Religion, Class, and Politics

Religious affiliation is related to social class. There are marked differences in social class (as measured by education and income) among the various religions in the United States. Generally speaking, Presbyterians, Episcopalians, and Jews are at the top of the stratification structure. Below them are Lutherans, Catholics, and Methodists, followed by Baptists. Because these are average figures, there are, of course, many individual exceptions to these rankings.

Differences in religiosity exist between the upper and lower classes as well. Religion is important at both ends of the stratification structure, but the upper and lower classes express their beliefs in different ways. The upper classes display their religiosity through church membership, church attendance, and observance of ritual, whereas people in the lower classes more often pray privately and have emotional religious experiences.

Political affiliation, too, is related to religion. Followers of the Jewish faith are particularly aligned with the Democratic Party, followed in strength of support by Catholics and Protestants. This is predictable, because Protestants generally are more politically conservative than Catholics or Jews, and the Democratic Party is generally not associated with political conservatism in the United States today. Of the major Protestant denominations, the greatest support for the Republican Party is found among Episcopalians and Presbyterians. This is hardly surprising, because the upper classes are more likely to be identified with the Republican Party.

There are some contradictions in this general pattern. Despite their affiliation with the more conservative Republican Party, Episcopalians and Presbyterians are less conservative than Baptists, who are the strongest supporters of the Democratic Party of all Protestant denominations, especially in the South.

Religion, Science, and Society

Both science and religion examine humanity’s relationship to the world, but they examine it in very different ways. Religion involves matters beyond human observation, while science is all about observation. These fields of study are not mutually exclusive. Many scientists are religious individuals, while many professional clergy appreciate and support the intellectual achievements of the field of science.

Sometimes, however, these two institutions can appear to be in conflict. Depending on the values and norms of the culture, society may favor religious
or scientific explanations. In the United States, following the principle of separation of state and church, it has been common to keep religion apart from government-sponsored institutions. Scientific explanations for natural phenomena, when commonly accepted, have been taught in the schools, leaving religious groups free to teach other interpretations within their organizations.

Strict fundamentalists do not believe that scientific theories such as the theory of evolution and the Big Bang theory of creation should be presented in public schools as facts, while Bible-based explanations such as creationism are not even discussed. In 1999, fundamentalists convinced the Kansas Board of Education to remove any questions about evolution from the state high school exit examination. Until the decision was repealed in 2001, Kansas teachers were not required to teach the theory of evolution.

Today, many people are questioning whether “pure science” can remain independent of cultural or social norms, as some scientists believe. Scientific discoveries and processes, such as cloning and gene therapy, are moving into ever more ethnically debatable areas. The result appears obvious: the interface between science and religion is sure to increase. Society, in particular government, will need to learn how to deal constructively with apparent contradictions in these two areas.

Science without religion is lame; religion without science is blind.

Albert Einstein
Nobel Laureate physicist

Section 4 Assessment

1. What is secularization and why is it an important process to explore?
2. Describe the relationship between religion and political allegiance in the U.S.

Critical Thinking

3. Analyzing Information Analyze how progress in scientific research will affect religious beliefs and practices over the next twenty-five years.
Reviewing Vocabulary

Complete each sentence using each term once.

a. religion  

b. sacred  

c. profane  

d. legitimate  

e. spirit of capitalism  

f. Protestant ethic  

g. church  

h. denomination  

i. sect  

j. cult  

k. religiosity  

l. secularization  

m. fundamentalism

1. __________ is the word used to describe things and ideas that are set apart and given a special meaning.

2. A religious movement based on the desire to adhere closely to traditional beliefs, rituals, and doctrines is called __________.

3. The __________ are the nonsacred aspects of life.

4. __________ is the name given to a cluster of values, norms, beliefs, and attitudes that favored the growth of capitalism.

5. __________ means to justify or give official approval to.

6. A religious organization arising out of a desire to reform another religious organization is called __________.

7. __________ is the obligation to reinvest money rather than spending it.

8. __________ is the name given to a life-encompassing religious organization to which all members of a society belong.

9. A unified system of beliefs and practices concerned with sacred things is called __________.

10. The ways in which people express their religious interests and convictions is called __________.

11. A __________ is a religious organization whose characteristics are not drawn from existing religious tradition within a society.

12. The process through which the sacred loses influence over society is known as __________.
13. A _________ is one of several religious organizations that most members of a society accept as legitimate.

**Reviewing the Facts**

1. Religious faiths can be analyzed by two major social characteristics. What are those characteristics?

2. Based on Figure 14.4 on page 482, has the percentage of Americans who claim that religion is very important in their lives decreased over time, increased over time, or showed no significant change?

3. How does the upper social class define its religiosity? Use the diagram below to record your answer.

   ![RELIGIOSITY AS DEFINED BY THE UPPER CLASS](image)

4. In 1978, the Reverend Jim Jones led hundreds of people who belonged to his group in a mass suicide-murder. What term is used to describe Jones’s religious organization?

5. Which sociologist published *The Elementary Forms of Religious Life* in 1915 and spoke of the functions of religion?

**Thinking Critically**

1. **Making Inferences** The crucifix is a widely known symbol even to non-Christians. How do the various meanings attached to this symbol relate to an understanding of Durkheim’s concept of the sacred and profane? Could the crucifix easily represent other things if it was not for its relationship to Christ? Explain your answer.

2. **Drawing Conclusions** Current research says that religion often reflects conventional (traditional) norms. Accordingly, religious clergy tend to address their messages to the more traditional segments of society. Sermons, for example, are aimed at the typical married family arrangement (mother, father, two children). What effect, if any, do you think this could have on general attendance at gatherings?

3. **Analyzing Information** The United States has one of the highest standards of living in the world. It also has one of the most materialistic cultures and societies. Do you think this says anything about the religiosity of Americans?

4. **Making Inferences** About 96 percent of all Americans say they believe in God. Nevertheless, defining who is or is not religious is very difficult. Some people don’t go to church yet claim to be religious, while others go to church but don’t seem to be religious, for example. What dilemmas do all these issues present for sociologists who want to study religiosity? What variables could help to explain what religiosity is? Why do you think sociologists should research this issue at all?

5. **Analyzing Information** Do you think that economic decisions are influenced by religiously based motivations? Elaborate.

6. **Evaluating Information** Based on scales developed by sociologists, African Americans rate higher in religiosity than other racial or ethnic groups. Men like Martin Luther King, Jr., and Ralph Abernathy and women like Aretha Franklin have attributed their success to the role religion played in their lives. What events in this country’s history might have contributed to the role that religion plays in the African American community?

7. **Applying Concepts** Many people appear to be less interested in religion during their teenage years. This might be seen in falling church attendance for this age group. Using your sociological imagination, suggest some reasons for this apparent lack of interest. Consider developmental (age) and social factors. Depending on your answers, what suggestions might you make to religious organizations looking for ideas on how to keep teenagers involved and active?
Sociology Projects

1. **Researching Religions** Choose a religion, denomination, sect, or cult to research. You can learn about the group by talking with some of its members. You can also find excellent material in libraries and on the Internet. (Be sure to consider the source of all information gathered from the Internet. Check it for bias, accuracy, and “hidden agendas.”) In your research, focus on the following aspects of the group: its origin; fundamental beliefs, important rituals or ceremonies; internal social changes that occurred over time; and membership demographics (social class, ethnicity, and so forth). You may want to work with a classmate. Based on your research, prepare a report with visual aids that can be given orally. (You may want to use a computer presentation package such as PowerPoint.)

2. **Sacred and Profane** The chapter discusses the concepts of *sacred* and *profane*. Any object by itself is profane; people give it sacred meaning. Working with two of your classmates, select an object (profane), and create a skit in which you show how the profane object might become a sacred object.

3. **Defining and Analyzing Religion** This exercise will help you understand the difficulty social scientists have when it comes to defining and analyzing religion. Take out a piece of paper and answer the following questions:
   a. How do you define religion?
   b. What does it mean to you?
   c. Do you believe in the supernatural?
   d. If you do believe in the supernatural, how do you imagine it to be?

   After everyone in class has completed these questions, turn to your neighbor and compare your answers with his or her answers. Note the similarities and differences. Share your answers with as many of your classmates as possible.

4. **Charitable Organizations** Contact a religious organization in your neighborhood, and arrange to take part in some community service activity in which this organization is involved. Pay close attention to the various ways in which these groups conduct charitable work. Report to the class on the effectiveness of your service—both for the recipient and for yourself. Then consider how your community would be affected if the group stopped providing this service. Would some political or non-governmental organization continue it?

5. **Attitudes on Religion** Design a survey that would allow you to conduct an “unofficial” study of student attitudes toward religion. (You may want to refer back to the section on survey methods in Chapter 2.) Remember that your questions are directed at social practices and not at what or why individuals specifically believe. Some topics you may want to ask about include attendance at religious services, prayer, and belief in an afterlife. Information about respondents' ethnic and religious backgrounds would prove useful as well. Compare your survey with the surveys created by your classmates. Work with four or five students to combine your questions into the best survey possible, and ask twenty students to complete the survey for your group. Report your findings to the class. Do these results reflect the community you live in? Do you think that teens are more or less outwardly religious than adults?

**Technology Activity**

1. Using your school or local library and the Internet, research information on the clergy during the middle ages. Based on your research and the material you read in this chapter, how would you classify their religious organization? Some of the characteristics of the clergy might be regarded as a cult. Explain why the clergy in the middle ages were not a cult. Using proper grammar, sentence structure, spelling, and punctuation, write a paragraph defending your conclusion.
News photographs that came out of India during the famine of the late 1960s showed starving people stretching out bony hands to beg for food while sacred cattle strolled behind undisturbed. The Hindu, it seems, would rather starve to death than eat his cow or even deprive it of food. The cattle appear to browse unhindered through urban markets eating an orange here, a mango there, competing with people for meager supplies of food. By Western standards, spiritual values seem more important to Indians than life itself. Specialists in food habits... consider Hinduism an irrational ideology that compels people to overlook abundant, nutritious foods for scarcer, less healthful foods.

Cow worship... carries over into politics. In 1966 a crowd of 120,000 people, led by holy men, demonstrated in front of the Indian House of Parliament in support of the All-Party Cow Protection Campaign Committee. In Nepal, the only contemporary Hindu kingdom, cow slaughter is severely punished. As one story goes, the car driven by an official of a United States agency struck and killed a cow. In order to avoid the international incident that would have occurred when the official was arrested for murder, the Nepalese magistrate concluded that the cow had committed suicide...

The easy explanation for India's devotion to the cow, the one most Westerners and Indians would offer, is that cow worship is an integral part of Hinduism. Religion is somehow good for the soul, even if it sometimes fails the body. Religion orders the cosmos and explains our place in the universe. Religious beliefs, many would claim, have existed for thousands of years and have a life of their own. They are not understandable in scientific terms.

But all this ignores history. There is more to be said for cow worship than is immediately apparent. The earliest Vedas, the Hindu sacred texts from the second millennium B.C., do not prohibit the slaughter of cattle. Instead, they ordain it as part of sacrificial rites. The early Hindus did not avoid the flesh of cows and bulls; they ate it at ceremonial feasts presided over by Brahman priests. Cow worship is a relatively recent development in India; it evolved as the Hindu religion developed and changed.

This evolution is recorded in royal edicts and religious texts written during the last 3,000 years of Indian history. The Vedas from the first millennium B.C. contain contradictory passages, some referring to ritual slaughter and others to a strict taboo on beef consumption... [M]any of the sacred-cow passages were incorporated into the texts by priests of a later period.

By 200 A.D. the status of Indian cattle had undergone a spiritual transformation. The Brahman priesthood exhorted the population to venerate the cow and forbade them to abuse it or to feed on it. Religious feasts involving the ritual slaughter and consumption of livestock were eliminated and meat eating was restricted to the nobility.
By 1000 A.D., all Hindus were forbidden to eat beef. Ahimsa, the Hindu belief in the unity of all life, was the spiritual justification for this restriction. But it is difficult to ascertain exactly when this change occurred. An important event that helped to shape the modern complex was the Islamic invasion, which took place in the eighth century A.D. Hindus may have found it politically expedient to set themselves off from the invaders, who were beefeaters, by emphasizing the need to prevent the slaughter of their sacred animals. Thereafter, the cow taboo assumed its modern form and began to function much as it does today.


**What Does it Mean?**

ascertain
determine
contemporary
modern, current
edict
official proclamation or law
exhorted
strongly urged
ideology
a systematic body of thought about human culture or society
politically expedient
based on practical or advantageous reasons
venerate
worship or revere

**Read and React**

1. Summarize your understanding (prior to reading this article) of the Hindu religious belief about cows. Has your opinion changed after reading it? Why or why not?
2. How do non-Hindu people’s reactions to the sacred cow relate to ethnocentrism and cultural relativism? Explain in each case, drawing on material in the reading.

*Anthropologist Marvin Harris contends that science and culture can explain the reason cows are sacred to Hindus. How does he attempt to support his claim?*
baven't been the same since. I love it. All of a sudden I find I'm stronger than anyone else in the place—all the girls and practically all of the guys. . . . The boys respected me right away, and that's important. They all act like they're so tough, then you go in and lift more than they can. They can't ignore that there's a girl over in the corner doing more than them, and they hang their heads.

As this young female power lifter tells us, playing sport can positively affect the self-image of females, as well as improve gender relations. The desire to achieve such benefits was part of the motivation for the passage of Title IX of the Educational Amendment Act passed by the U.S. Congress in 1972. Title IX makes gender discrimination illegal in any educational institution receiving federal funds. Thanks to Title IX an increasing number of females have joined school athletic teams. Critics of Title IX fear that shifting funds from men’s sports places an unfair strain on the most popular athletic programs, but defenders of Title IX do not believe that men’s programs must suffer for women to gain opportunities (Nixon and Frey, 1996).

Some sociologists refer to social institutions such as sport, health, and entertainment as secondary institutions. These institutions are less pervasive than the family, education, politics, economics, or religion, but they also occur in every society. This chapter will look at how sport contributes to the functioning and nature of society in the United States.

Learning Objectives

After reading this chapter, you will be able to

❖ justify sport as an American institution.
❖ compare and contrast sport in America from a functionalist, conflict, and symbolic interactionist perspective.
❖ understand the relationship between American sport and social mobility.
❖ cite evidence of sexism and racism in American sport.

Sections

1. The Nature of Sport
2. Theoretical Perspectives and Sport
3. Social Issues in Sport

Chapter Overview
Visit the Sociology and You Web site at soc.glencoe.com and click on Chapter 15—Chapter Overviews to preview chapter information.
A Definition of Sport

For most people, sport consists of certain leisure activities, exercise, and spectator events. It is actually more complex than that. Sociologists define sport as a set of competitive activities in which winners and losers are determined by physical performance within a set of established rules. While sport is an important aspect of recreation, many forms of recreation do not involve sport. Sport sociologist Jay J. Coakley (1998) sees a spontaneous race between two skiers as more of a contest than a sport. Although a contest between skiers involves physical activity and competition, it does not involve definite rules or standardized conditions.

Sport as a Social Institution

Institutions fulfill certain basic needs and reflect the most important aspects of a society. The five most commonly recognized social institutions have been examined in preceding chapters: family, education, government, economic systems, and religion. Although these social institutions take different forms in different societies, they appear in every society because they fulfill needs common to all societies.
Because societies have additional needs, there are additional social institutions. Sport is one of these. Sport teaches some of the basic values of society. It also promotes attachment to society. For example, a society requires that its members identify with it. Members must feel that belonging to the society is an important part of who they are. Sport aids in this identification of self with society.

Chariot racing in ancient Rome is a clear illustration of this social identification. Athletes would risk their lives in this dangerous sport in part to reflect their self-identification as Romans.

The individual, even when free, did not belong to himself; he was strictly subordinated to the city. His life, his death, were only episodes in the history of the group. To confront death was not an act of exceptional heroism; it was the normal way of proving oneself a Roman (Auguet, 1972:198).

Sport, Culture and Society

Sport plays a central role in American society in part because it reflects the culture’s emphasis on achievement.

People who visit the United States from other countries are often amazed at the extent to which competition [in sport] is used to distribute rewards and evaluate the work of human beings (Coakley, 1998:82).
The prevailing American view of sport is the one reportedly expressed by the late Vince Lombardi, coach of the Green Bay Packers of the National Football League during the 1960s: “Winning is not everything. It is the only thing.” For the most part, sport continues to be dominated by achievement-oriented values.

Sport reflects society, so it is not surprising that males dominate the sports world just as they do many other aspects of American society. Females are second to men overall in power, income, and job opportunities in sports just as they are in business, education, medicine, and law (Eitzen, 1999).

Some progress toward equality is being made, however, especially on the college level. The Virginia Tech and Louisiana Tech women’s basketball teams, for example, are currently drawing more fans than the men’s teams. Women are making inroads in professional tennis and golf, and a women’s professional basketball league has been formed. The place of women in athletics was given a healthy boost when Mia Hamm and her teammates captured the 1999 World Cup in soccer. But equality of opportunity for women in sports is a distant goal, not one that is just around the corner.

As women’s place in American society changes, their place in sport also changes. Until only a few years ago, Virginia Tech basketball standout Amy Wetzel and World Cup soccer star Mia Hamm could only dream of a sport spotlight.
The chariot races of the Roman Empire, made famous in America by the movie *Ben Hur*, involved considerable skill and courage. Charioteers delivered the violence required to please the crowd.

At the end of a race, the charioteers drove abreast, wheel against wheel, for the whole length of the track, whipping their horses madly to gain the half-length which might assure their victory. At this point skill turned into pure violence; each charioteer was no longer content merely to hamper his adversary but took the risk of overturning him by driving his chariot against him in order to break its axle, or of destroying him by whipping his horses into the rear of his chariot. To ward off his maneuver the charioteer so threatened no longer leaned forward but literally “hung on the necks of his horses.” He had no need to turn round to see what was happening. He could already feel the breath of his pursuers and the rhythmic shock of their hooves shaking the back of his chariot. A few seconds later, if he had not succeeded in gaining a little ground, there would no longer be either rival, chariot or team, but only an amorphous mass littering the middle of the track.

It was the most spectacular and most popular of all the events of the circus; so much that charioteers did their utmost to involve their rivals in this maneuver in front of the imperial box. For a Roman it summed up all the poetry of the circus; with a sharp, dry crack the fragile box carrying a man was reduced to powder at full speed; the overheated axle collapsed and splinters flew in all directions; the horses crashed into the sand head over heels in a clutter of straps or, seized with panic, broke away from the harness which held them. Before the final catastrophe, the charioteer had to draw the dagger at his waist and cut the reins which, wound about him, bound him to his team; if he succeeded in doing this he had a chance of emerging from the wreck merely bruised, his body full of splinters. But sometimes he was pitched out head first by the violence of the impact. Then he had no time for this simple act and, if the horses did not fall, was dragged across the circus. As he wore nothing but a tunic held by a set of straps across the chest, his only protection was a leather helmet, insufficient to save his life in such circumstances. But the aggressor did not always emerge unscathed; at the moment of impact his horses reared up and came down again with their forefeet between the spokes of the wheel of the damaged chariot which was turning in the air; they crashed down, their bones broken, whinnying with pain, and the charioteer, halted in full career, ran the same risks as his rival.


**Thinking It Over**

Some athletes today engage in “extreme sports” such as sky surfing, street luge, and snowboarding. The criteria for an extreme sport is that it is nonmotorized; has a sanctioning body; is deemed as extreme or unusual; and requires learned skills, conditioning, and practice. Do you think chariot racing of ancient Rome is similar to the extreme sports of today? Why or why not?
The relationship between sport, society, and culture can also be seen in sport subcultures. A *sport subculture* is a group within the larger context of sport that has some of its own distinct roles, values, norms, and beliefs. These subcultures are organized around a sport activity and beliefs vary widely. Sociologist Michael Smith (1979) wanted to know if violence among hockey players is due to involvement in a “subculture of violence.” In this kind of subculture, violence is the expected response to a perceived challenge or insult—a jostle, a glance, a derogatory remark. Following this norm is essential in acquiring and maintaining honor, especially when challenges are associated with masculinity.

Smith found that hockey players favor violence more than nonplayers. Because of the expectations of coaches and teammates, many hockey players act violently during games. In fact, players criticize teammates who aren’t violent. As one National Hockey League player put it:

*I don’t think that there’s anything wrong with guys getting excited in a game and squaring off and throwing a few punches. That’s just part of the game. It always has been. And you know if you tried to eliminate it, you wouldn’t have hockey any more. You look at hockey from the time it was begun, guys gets excited and just fight, and it’s always been like that* (Eitzen, 1996:165).

Kent Pearson (1981) researched subcultures involving water-related sports in Australia and New Zealand. He found major cultural differences between surfboard riders and surf lifesavers. Surfboard riders avoid formal organizations, work with loose and flexible definitions of the territory in which their sport will occur, place a heavy emphasis on physical prowess and individualism, and generally oppose the larger society. In contrast, surf lifesaving clubs are highly organized entities that stage competitions involving swimming, boating, and lifesaving. The territory for such competitions is precisely defined, and formal rules are employed.

Even in nonteam sports, subcultures emerge. Thoroughbred jockeys have developed a subculture with a strong emphasis on displaying dignity, maintaining integrity, and remaining cool. The ideal within the subculture of jockeys is a fiery animal with a cool rider.

*The cool jockey can wait patiently with a horse in a pocket and get through on the inside, risking the possibility that there will be no opening. Coolness is waiting far back in the pack, risking the possibility that his horse will not “get up” in time. Coolness is sparing the whip on a front-running horse when another animal has pressed into the lead, risking the possibility that once his horse is passed he will not get started again. All these activities are taken by observers as instances of a jockey’s character. In short, moral character is coolness in risky situations* (Scott, 1981:146–147).
Jockeys take such chances partly because their subculture requires it. Jockeys who fail to display gallantry, integrity, and coolness—qualities expected of them by horse owners, trainers, and other jockeys—do not receive their choice of horses and therefore win few races. Failing to take risks leads to lost opportunities.

**Section 1 Assessment**

1. Do you agree that in order for an activity to be a sport, it should include a defined set of rules? Why or why not?

2. Which of the following is *not* an example of sport?
   a. a baseball game between two major league teams
   b. a baseball game between two minor league teams
   c. a spontaneous race between two cyclists
   d. a swim meet involving amateur athletes

**Critical Thinking**

3. **Analyzing Information** Think about sports in your school. How is the cultural value of achievement reflected in the behavior of athletes, peers, teachers, and parents? Give some specific examples.

— Alfred Hitchcock  
*director and producer*
Sport fulfills two functions. It teaches some of the basic values of society, and it promotes attachment to society. During televised sports events, the National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA) and the National Football League (NFL) regularly show student athletes and professional sports stars working with children and disadvantaged persons, behavior reflecting both of these functions.

How do we square this positive picture of sport with the “dark” side of sport that is continuously fed the public by the mass media? Much of the media coverage of modern sports now focuses on the bad, tough-guy image of athletes, coaches, and owners. Not only is “winning the only thing,” as Vince Lombardi, legendary coach of the Green Bay Packers, said. Now, the winners are expected to have an attitude.

Dennis Rodman, a forward in the National Basketball Association (NBA), gained fame from media coverage of his cross-dressing and physical assaults. Roberto Alomar achieved negative recognition when he spat on an umpire during the 1997 baseball season.

And these are the “respectable” sports! The newer sports on the mass-media horizon—Gladiator Sports, Roller Derby, Wrestle Mania—are going much farther. Look at the names of events that the World Wrestling Federation (WWF) has recently sponsored: “Road Rage Tour” and “War Zone.” Its biggest television draws include individuals with stage names such as “Vic Venom,” “Road Dog Jesse James,” “Bret ‘The Hit Man’ Hart,” and “The Undertaker.” Women have also achieved star status in WWF wrestling. Chyna, “the ninth wonder of the world,” and Jacqueline, two-time WWF Women’s Champion, draw as many fans as the men.

So, does mass-media sports coverage reflect basic social values and promote societal identification? Where is the coverage of teamwork, sportsmanship, and character development? Do we simply celebrate with the media the message of unrivaled competition and winning at any cost? At times, it appears the latter is the case, especially when everyday behavior seems to mirror the negative presentation of sport.

If you want to witness such behavior on a daily basis, attend most any children’s athletic contest. Be sure to watch players, parents, and coaches. In fact, when registering their children for a team, parents across America are now being required to pledge themselves to a code of good behavior.

**Analyzing the Trends**

1. Based on how they are presented in the media, would you analyze sports in America from the functionalist or the conflict theory perspective? What perspective do you believe is reflected in the media presentation of sports?

2. “Fake” wrestling is growing in popularity since it began being marketed as sports entertainment. In what ways is the role WWF wrestling plays in society similar to and different from the Roman chariot races?
Culture and Sport

Sport is a major social activity through which culture is created and reinforced. As noted earlier, sociologists recognize this important aspect of sport.

American sport embodies American values—striving for excellence, winning, individual and team competition, and materialism. Parents want their children to participate in sport because participation teaches them the basic values of American society and builds character (Eitzen, 1999:3).

Although sociologists agree that sport mirrors society, and that the relationship is complex, they disagree over the social implications of sport. Sport sociologist Stanley Eitzen has written a book on the paradoxes, or contradictions, of sport in America. (See Figure 15.1 on page 504.) Functionalists, who tend to concentrate on the benefits of sport, are represented in Eitzen’s book. So are conflict theorists, who see a social downside to sport. Symbolic interactionists focus on personal meanings derived from sport.

Functionalist see sport positively, as a means for socializing young people, promoting social integration, providing a release for tensions, and developing sound character. Conflict theorists believe that organized sports can be harmful to character development. Symbolic interactionists focus on the self-concepts and relationships developed through sport activities.
Functionalism

How do functionalists view the role of sport in society? Functionalists think sport is important primarily because it helps society work more smoothly. It does this by performing the following functions (Eitzen and Sage, 1997).

Sport teaches basic beliefs, norms, and values. Sport readies us for adult roles. Games, for instance, prepare participating athletes for work in organizations. Young people who are exposed to competitive sport become more achievement motivated than those who are not. And the earlier the exposure occurs, the higher the orientation towards achievement. This is important because achievement-motivation is essential to productivity in the modern economy.

Sport promotes a sense of social identification. A team binds people to their community and nation. Clevelanders are united in their love of the Browns, Indians, and Cavaliers. Around midcentury, the United States at times seemed to be divided into Dodger and Yankee fans. The Atlanta Braves are trying to be “America’s team.” Higher social integration results.
❖ Sport offers a safe release of aggressive feelings generated by the frustrations, anxieties, and strains of modern life. It is socially acceptable to yell and scream for an athletic team. Similar behavior directed at a teacher, principal, parent, or employer can have negative consequences.

❖ Sport encourages the development of character. Coaches, school officials, and parents often draw a parallel between sport and “life.” “When the going gets tough, the tough get going” is a sentiment expressed in most locker rooms. The hard work, discipline, and self-sacrifice demanded by team sports become part of an athlete’s value system.

What are the social dysfunctions of sport? Functionalisstes have identified some drawbacks to sport. Because sport reflects society, it draws on achievement-oriented values that can be intensified to an extreme degree (Kohn, 1992). When achievement and winning come to be seen as the primary goals of sport, any method of winning—including violence and cheating—may be encouraged.

We need not look far to see examples of violence in sport. Coaches and fans expect athletes to place their physical well-being on the line. Players in many sports are expected to resort to violence. In high school football, aggressive behavior is defended as preparation for “real-life” competition. Pressures are intensified at the professional level, where many sports have developed the informal role of enforcer—a team member whose major responsibility is to intimidate, provoke, and even injure opponents (Coakley, 1998). Boston Bruins hockey player Marty McSorley used his hockey stick to deliver a vicious blindside slash to the head of opposing player Donald Cleveland Browns fans identified so strongly with their city’s football team that the city brought suit to keep the team name and colors from leaving town.

You give 100 percent in the first half of the game, and if that isn’t enough in the second half you give what’s left.

Yogi Berra baseball coach
Brashear in February of 2000. The attack was the culmination of a game marked by injuries and was the result of the long-standing rivalry between two “top enforcers.”

Cheating may not be as easy as violent behavior to identify, but is often present, nonetheless. Cheating was no doubt involved when American Olympic skater Nancy Kerrigan was struck on the right leg with a metal rod by an assailant later linked to her competitor, Tonya Harding. In 2000, the Atlanta Braves were penalized for signing a player before his sixteenth birthday. They had followed the precedent of the Los Angeles Dodgers in 1999. Cheating can even extend beyond players, as when a Utah state committee used illegal inducements to attract the 2002 Winter Olympics.

Sport also plays an important role in today’s global society. For some time, the winning of Olympic medals has been a source of regional and global prestige. This map shows the number of medals earned by each country in the 2000 Summer Olympic Games.

Interpreting the Map

1. Why do you think there is such wide variation in the number of Olympic medals earned?
2. Do the Olympics illustrate a connection between sport and politics? Explain.

Conflict Theory

Some sociologists have raised disturbing questions about the effects of sport on society. These questions are best understood through the conflict perspective. Conflict theorists are interested in who has the power and how elites use power to satisfy their own interests. To conflict theorists, sport is a social institution in which the most powerful oppress, manipulate, coerce, and exploit others. Conflict theorists highlight the ways in which sport mirrors the unequal distribution of power and money in society. They also emphasize the role of sport in maintaining inequality (Leonard, 1998).

While functionalists see sport as contributing to the unification of society, conflict theorists do not. While people from all major segments of a community or society may join in cheering for the same team, their union is only temporary.

When the game is over, the enthusiasm dies, the solidarity runs short, and disharmony in other relations reasserts itself. Much as one hour a week cannot answer to the religious impulse, one game a week cannot answer to the solidarity needs of a racist, sexist, or elitist society (Young, 1986).

Basic social class divisions, in other words, will continue to exist and to affect social relationships in a community even if the local team has just won the World Series or the Super Bowl.

The contribution sport makes in forming good character is also questioned by conflict theorists. Among college athletes, studies have shown that the degree of sportsmanship apparently declines as athletes become more involved in the sports system. As sociologist Stanley Eitzen (1993a) notes, nonscholarship athletes display greater sportsmanship than those with athletic scholarships, and those who have not earned letters exhibit more sportsmanship than letter winners.

Conflict theorists can point to any number of past and present scandals in both the college and professional ranks. Americans are constantly reading in the sports section of the daily newspaper about athletes, from high school to the professional level, who are taking drugs, cheating in school, or accepting illegitimate cash “gifts.” One university after another is being investigated and penalized by the National Collegiate Athletic Association. Coaches as well as players are involved in misconduct.

Athletes may use performance-enhancing drugs such as steroids and amphetamines to achieve a “competitive edge.” . . . Big-time college coaches in their zeal to win have been found guilty of exploiting athletes, falsifying transcripts, providing illegal payments, hiring surrogate test takers, paying athletes for nonexistent summer jobs, and illegally using government Pell grants and work study monies for athletes. So much, I would argue, for the myth that “sport builds character” (Eitzen, 1996:189).
Symbolic Interactionism

Symbolic interactionism also contributes to our understanding of sport as a social institution. This theoretical perspective concentrates on personal meanings, social relationships, and self-identity processes. Symbolic interactionists are concerned with the symbols of sports. The meanings and interpretations of these symbols are important because they affect the self-concepts, as well as the relationships, of those involved.

The social context of Little League baseball illustrates this perspective. For three years, Gary Alan Fine (1987) studied American adolescent suburban males who played Little League baseball. He discovered and documented a variety of ways in which the boys assigned meanings to their team activities. In addition, he described how these meanings and interpretations influenced the boys’ social interactions and affected their self Definitions.

What were these meanings? Much of the activity of coaches and parents centered on teaching the rules of the game and teaching values, such as team play, hard work, fair play, competition, and winning. But these ten- to twelve-year-old boys formed their own interpretations of these messages. The boys misinterpreted the adult values of hard work, competition, and so forth as the “masculine” values of dominance, “toughness,” and risky behavior.

How were social interaction and self-concepts affected? In the first place, the boys’ behavior convinced coaches and parents that the youngsters understood and accepted their values. For example, the aggressive behavior that the boys considered as evidence of their masculinity was seen by the coaches and parents as evidence of “hustle,” dedication to competition, and the desire to win. The boys were praised for this behavior, which encouraged them to continue it. “Weaker” peers, younger children, and girls in general frequently experienced the disdain of these Little Leaguers. This disrespect often led to a loss of self-esteem for children who suffered the brunt of the Little Leaguers’ scorn.
What are some limitations of each perspective? The functionalist perspective makes important points regarding the positive and negative role of sport in society. Its critics, however, contend that many sports have become so closely tied to elite interests that they contribute more to private profit than to the general well-being of society. To investigate this point, the conflict perspective concentrates on some major concerns of sport, such as racism and sexism (discussed in the next section). On the other hand, conflict theorists tend to overlook the positive contributions of sport to society. They are accused of placing too much emphasis on the extent to which sport is manipulated and controlled by the elite. Their critics also claim that conflict theorists underestimate the character-building benefit of team sports. Symbolic interactionism contributes greatly to understanding the socialization process in sport. But, because it concentrates on social interaction, it fails to include the broader social and cultural context. For example, symbolic interactionism does not address the functions of sport in society or explore sport within the context of power and social inequality.

Section 2 Assessment

1. What is the relationship between sport and achievement-oriented values?
2. Name three roles that sport plays in society, according to functionalists.
3. Summarize in one sentence the overall attitude of the conflict perspective toward sport.

Critical Thinking

4. Finding the Main Idea Has your self-concept been affected by sports? Explain the effects from the symbolic interactionist viewpoint.

[Knute] Rockne wanted nothing but “bad losers.” Good losers get into the habit of losing.

George E. Allen
American raconteur
Case Study: Tough Guys, Wimps, and Weenies

Remember Donna Eder’s study of middle-school stratification? (See pages 66–67.) She also researched the nature of middle-school sports. Using the framework of symbolic interactionism, Eder assumes that the social world of teenagers is constructed through interaction with others. Thus, everyday exchanges—insults, greetings, gossip—give teenagers a sense of their social world.

Middle-school coaches accented the value of toughness. In the world of athletics, having a “mean” attitude is masculine, and being nice is effeminate. Wrestlers, for example, were told to make opponents “suffer.” Football coaches did not tolerate fighting off the field, but as a means to handle conflict among athletes, these same coaches encouraged physical force on the field.

I said that I had heard that Coach Paulson wasn’t pleased with the way the team played. Walter and Carl both agreed. Walter [the team manager] said that the team didn’t hit like they should have and that made the coach mad. Carl said, “Yeah, but I really socked that guy. Man, I threw him down on the concrete.


Evidence of weakness was greeted by derogatory names like “wuss,” “wimp,” and “girl.” Ritual insults promoted stereotypically masculine behavior, particularly among higher-status boys. Stories of physical force in sports were repeated with pride. Even soccer players bragged about kicking opponents in the shins or throwing a ball into an opponent’s face.

The most forcefully combative boys were the most respected. Although the coaches tried to curb physical violence outside of games and matches, many players considered fighting an appropriate way to handle all peer conflicts.

The importance of being tough extended to behavior off the playing field as well as on it. Boys were continually challenged to develop more aspects of toughness, including the ability to deny pain and suppress feelings as well as respond combatively to verbal and physical attacks. Boys who rejected these messages were
sometimes subject to ridicule by girls as well as boys, showing the difficulty boys faced when trying to escape the pressures of being masculine within this school setting (Eder, 1995:72).

Insult exchanges could be won by getting another boy to become angry. By losing his cool, the other boy lost his image of toughness. Some boys would insult another boy just to look good to others. An example is provided by one of the researcher’s notes on Hank, the highest-status boy in the seventh grade, who had a reputation for verbal assault.

Hank does seem to enjoy conflict or competition on a one-on-one basis. A couple of times today he left the table just to go down and abuse some kid at the end of the table, calling him a pud, a squirt, or a wimp. Then he would come back and tell the group how the guy had done nothing when he had said this. Hank would get a big smile on his face and was really pleased (Eder, 1995:73–74).

Insults and counter-insults delivered several messages. First, boys learned not to care about the feelings of others. Second, insulting, or even humiliating, their peers was a socially approved means of achieving or displaying higher status. Third, boys who humiliated low-status peers were rewarded with social recognition. This was true even if the target of ridicule was handicapped or overweight.

**Working with the Research**

1. Do you think this study describes sports at your school? Explain.
2. Do female athletes treat each other differently from the way boys treat each other? Explain.
Sport contributes to upward mobility among collegiate athletes, but the opportunities are too few. Minorities still face discrimination in sport. Women in sport suffer from gender-based stereotypes. Intercollegiate female athletes do not receive treatment equal to the treatment received by males, although this situation is slowly improving.

Sports have long been an important basis for stratification in high schools.

Sport and Social Mobility

The autobiographies of star athletes often point to sport as their way out of poverty. One educator once predicted that “football would enable a whole generation of young men in the coal fields of Pennsylvania to turn their backs on the mines that employed their fathers” (Rudolph, 1962:378). Many athletes do use sport as a means out of their equivalent “coal fields,” and many minority members work their way out of poverty through sport. It is also true that the average salaries of professionals are very high (Leonard, 1998). Even so, let’s examine this alleged relationship between sport and social mobility.

Does sport really promote social mobility? Participating in sport increases the likelihood of improving a person’s place in the stratification structure. Whatever sport they play, college athletes tend to be better educated, earn more money, and have higher occupational prestige than their fathers. This is the very definition of upward social mobility. And in these terms, college athletes as a whole are more successful than college students who do not participate in sports (Leonard, 1998). Although this finding is meaningful, it has not settled the debate regarding how much sport promotes upward mobility for minorities.
**Does sport promote upward mobility for minorities?** Some people argue that sport is a social class escalator for minorities. They point to Michael Jordan, Deion Sanders, and Sammy Sosa, among others. A different viewpoint argues that the emphasis on sport is harmful because it diverts attention away from learning the academic and business-related skills necessary for success in mainstream American society. Because of the lure of high salaries and prestige, many aspiring minority athletes fail to develop alternative career plans. Minority members who spend their youth sharpening their athletic skills at the expense of their general education will very likely be casualties of an unrealizable dream of wealth and glory (Lapchick and Matthews, 1999).

Some convincing evidence supports those who see sport as a barrier to upward mobility for minorities. Figure 15.3 shows that there are over one million high school football players. Just under 60,000 of these players become college football players. And 1,600 of these college players become professional players. Thus, the probability that a high school football player will make it to the pros is less than two-tenths of one percent. Similarly, a high school baseball player has a 0.2 percent chance of becoming a major leaguer. The odds are even worse for a high school basketball player, who has a 0.1 percent probability of making it to the National Basketball Association. Moreover, those who become professional athletes have short careers on the average: one to seven years for baseball players, four to six years for basketball players, and four and one-half years for football players.

Of course, this does not mean minority athletes should not enjoy the benefits of a collegiate sport. To be sure, some athletes have received good college educations who may otherwise not have had the chance. It does argue, however, that no high school athlete—minority or white, for that matter—should rely solely on sport as a ticket up the stratification structure.

**Figure 15.3 High School Athletes’ Chances of Advancing to the Pros.** This table shows the slim chance that high school athletes have to play a professional sport. Does this surprise you?

Unit 4 Social Institutions

Sport and Racism

One sign of systematic discrimination shows up in what is called stacking. In stacking, players are assigned to less central positions on the basis of race or ethnicity. “Central” positions are those that involve leadership and decision-making responsibilities and thus offer a greater likelihood of influencing the outcome of the game. Historically, minorities have more often been assigned to positions requiring relatively little interaction and coordination with other players. In football, for example, African American quarterbacks are rare, while the proportions of African Americans in many defensive and other less central positions are high. (See Figure 15.4.)
Such discrimination has important economic consequences, because the positions occupied by most African Americans have high injury rates that cut careers short. Both salaries and pension benefits are reduced as a result.

**Is there salary fairness in professional sports?** Discrimination in salary at the professional level exists. African Americans in the major professional sports are, on the average, paid as much as or more than their white counterparts. It is only when level of performance is controlled that discrimination appears—African Americans have lower average salaries than whites for the same level of performance. In other words, African Americans must perform better than whites to avoid pay discrimination (Eitzen and Sage, 1997).

**What other areas of discrimination have been found?** Minority former athletes profit much less than their white colleagues from personal appearances and commercial endorsements. They also lose out in sports-related careers when their playing days are over. While approximately 78 percent of players in the National Basketball Association (NBA) are black, only about 16 percent of radio and television NBA sports announcers are African American, and only about 3 percent of the announcers are Latino.

At the professional level, there are few minorities represented in the power structure—head coaches, general managers, owners, executives, commissioners. In 1989, Bill White became the first African American to head a major professional sports league. As of 2001, only one major sport franchise in the U.S. was owned by minorities. And no minorities in either the NFL or Major League Baseball were board chairs, presidents, or CEOs. In 2000, Michael Jordan became president of basketball operations for the NBA’s Washington Wizards. In the following year, despite the fact that the deal would give him partial ownership of the team, Jordan resigned and signed a player’s contract. There were only three African American head coaches in professional football in 2001, six African American baseball managers, and one Latino baseball manager. Only 21 percent of NBA head coaches were members of minority groups in 2001.
**Who Are the Biggest Baseball Fans?**

Baseball fans used to be young working-class white males. Today’s fans are older and more affluent but still predominantly white and male. The relative lack of African American fans might be traced to baseball’s traditional racist policies on the field and in the front office.

**Interpreting the Map**

1. Do you see any regional patterns in the rates of baseball viewership? Describe.
2. How do you explain these patterns?
3. As a baseball fan, are you similar to or different from the general pattern in your state? Why?


**Sexism in Sport**

Racial and ethnic minorities have not been the only victims of prejudice and discrimination in sport. Women have experienced sexism in athletics. The cultural roots of sexism date back at least as far as the ancient Greeks. Greek gods were depicted as athletic, strong, powerful, competitive, rational, physical, and intellectual. Many Greek goddesses were passive, beautiful, physically weak, supportive, unathletic, and sexually attractive. (The few active, strong goddesses were usually not attractive to nor attracted by men. To Greek males, women who were physically or intellectually superior to them were unfeminine.) These gender definitions have survived in large part for the past 2,500 years. Their influence is felt in sport just as it is in other aspects of social life.
What are some of the consequences of sexism? Stereotypes have traditionally discouraged females from playing sports. For centuries, the idea that playing sports makes females more masculine has been widespread. To be an athlete, females were told, is to be unfeminine. This stigma discouraged many females from participating in athletics and tyrannized many of those who did. Another barrier was the old, discredited argument that sports harm a woman’s health, particularly her ability to have children.

Sexism has denied females equal access to organized sports. At the local level, resistance to female participation in sports continues to exist. It was not until the mid-1970s that, under legal threat, the national Little League organization ended its males-only policy. Only when the 1972 Educational Amendment Act (Title IX) was passed were public high schools and colleges required to offer females equal access to sports. Originally, Title IX was interpreted as providing equal opportunity in “all” sport programs of institutions receiving federal funds. Ambiguities in Title IX have led to many legal suits. Important issues remain unresolved. Currently, the courts favor matching the ratio of males and females in a school’s athletic programs to their proportionate numbers in the student body of that school (Blum, 1993).

Why has the percentage of women coaching women’s programs declined? Women are still denied equal access to the power structure of sport (Lapchick and Matthews, 2001). What’s more, although Title IX increased equality for female athletes, it led to a decrease in the number of coaching and administrative positions held by women. In the early 1970s, women’s intercollegiate teams were headed almost entirely by women. As of 2000, more than half of the NCAA women’s teams were coached by men. (See Figure 15.5 on page 518.) Less than 25 percent of all women’s programs were headed by a female administrator, and females held

Although sexism in sports has been decreasing, women athletes continue to suffer from inequalities.
only one-third of all administrative jobs in women’s programs (Acosta and Carpenter).

Ironically, Title IX may be one reason for this decline. As the money and prestige associated with women’s programs have increased, men have found these coaching jobs much more attractive. And conflict theorists believe that men, who are overwhelmingly in charge of athletic programs and who have the power to make hiring decisions, are more likely to choose men as coaches (Nixon and Frey, 1996).

Are women represented at the national level? Currently, professional sports for women include a Women’s National Basketball Association (WNBA), a volleyball league, a golf tour, and a tennis circuit. As we have already seen, few women athletes make it to the professional ranks. Even those women who become professionals earn significantly less than their male counterparts (Levin, 1996). Golf, for example, is one of the few professional sports offering significant opportunities for women. Still, the leading money winner on the men’s tour typically earns more than twice as much as the leading money winner on the women’s tour. This disparity is reflected in the total prize money for the Professional Golfers’ Association (PGA) and the Ladies Professional Golf Association (LPGA) tours—$185 million for men in 2001; $43.5 million for women.

There are some positive, if small, signs of change. In addition to her Nike commercial, U.S. soccer star Mia Hamm has a lucrative deal with Gatorade. Chamique Holdsclaw, an extremely talented female professional basketball player for the Washington Mystics, obtained an unheard-of (for women athletes) five-year contract with Nike, plus her own signature Holdsclaw shoe (Hammel and Mulrine, 1999).

Section 3 Assessment

1. What advice would you give to a young man or woman planning to become a professional athlete? Use the information in this chapter in your response.

2. How did the Educational Amendment Act of 1972 (Title IX) affect women’s sport programs?

Critical Thinking

3. Analyzing Information “American females experience more prejudice and discrimination in sport than males.” Explain why you agree or disagree with this statement.
Sports sociologist J. Coakley supports the concerns of many Native Americans on the issue of team names. He wrote the following article about this issue.

Most of us are not very concerned about the use of Native American names by many athletic teams. But to Native Americans, war whoops and tomahawk chopping portray negative stereotypes.

Using stereotypes to characterize Native Americans in the U.S. is so common that most people don’t even realize they are doing it. . . . When these stereotypes are used as a basis for team names, mascots, and logos, sports become a way of perpetuating an ideology that exploits, trivializes, and de-means the history and cultural heritage of Native Americans.

If teachers, administrators, and students in U.S. schools had a deep knowledge of the rich and diverse cultures of Native Americans and realized the discrimination native peoples currently face, they would not use names such as Indians, Redskins, Chiefs, Braves, Savages, Tribe, and Redmen for their teams; they would not allow Anglo students to entertain fans by dressing up as caricatures of Native Americans; and they would not allow fans to mimic Native American chants or act out demeaning stereotypes of war-whooping, tomahawk-chopping Native Americans.

Schools should not use any Native American name or symbol in connection with sport teams unless they do the following:

1. Sponsor a special curriculum to inform students of the history, cultural heritage, and current living conditions of the native group after which their sport teams are named. Unless 70 percent of the students can pass annual tests on this information, schools should drop the names they say are used to “honor” native people.

2. Publish two press releases per year in which information about the heritage and current circumstances of the native peoples honored by their team names is described and analyzed; publish similar materials annually in school newspapers and yearbooks.

3. Once per year, during homecoming or a major sport event, sponsor a special ceremony designed by and for native peoples in the local area, with the purpose of informing students and parents about the people they say they honor with their team names.


Doing Sociology

Is there a sport symbol in your community or state that might be offensive to Native Americans? Has the existence of this offensive symbol hurt your community or state economically? Explain.
Reviewing Vocabulary

Complete each sentence using each term once.

a. sport
b. Title IX
c. sports subculture
d. functionalist perspective on sport
e. conflict perspective on sport
f. symbolic interactionist perspective on sport
g. social mobility in sport
h. stacking
i. salary equity
j. sexism in sport

1. The assumption that all athletes are paid based on level of performance is known as ____________.
2. The perspective that is most concerned with the relationships of those involved is called ____________.
3. Using sport to improve a position in the stratification structure is known as ____________.
4. ____________ is the assigning of less central positions to minorities.
5. ____________ is the perspective that emphasizes the positive contributions of sport to society.
6. A set of norms that surround a particular sport is called ____________.
7. ____________ is the perspective that sees sport as an institution in which the most powerful oppress, manipulate, coerce, and exploit others.
8. ____________ is a set of competitive activities in which winners and losers are determined by physical performance within a set of established rules.
9. ____________ was established with the intent of increasing opportunity for female athletes in school settings.
10. The defining of sport as a masculine activity is known as ____________.

Self-Check Quiz
Visit the Sociology and You Web site at soc.glecoe.com and click on Chapter 15—Self-Check Quizzes to prepare for the chapter test.
**Reviewing the Facts**

1. Why does sport play an important role in American society?
2. According to the functionalists, what is one purpose of sport?
3. What is the conflict theorists’ view of sport as an institution?
4. Outline and summarize sport from the three sociological perspectives. Create a diagram similar to the one below to record your answer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PERSPECTIVE</th>
<th>SUMMARY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Functionalist</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conflict Theorist</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbolic Interactionist</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Identify the relationship between sport and social mobility.

**Thinking Critically**

1. **Drawing Conclusions** High school athletes with superior skills are often given extraordinary help in meeting college entrance requirements, including coaching for achievement and aptitude tests. Many students feel this is unfair to those who have higher grades but aren’t accepted. Others justify the practice. They point out that athletics bring in lots of money for colleges. They also say that athletes have skills as rare as high intelligence and so deserve their sports scholarships every bit as much as others deserve academic scholarships. Do you think it is fair for athletes to be given help meeting college entrance requirements?

2. **Analyzing Information** The use of mascots is at the center of a current debate in sports. Some schools have made efforts to change their school nicknames and mascots so as not to offend various groups that might have been negatively portrayed by these mascots and nicknames. Do you think that schools and teams have an obligation to take such actions?

Or should teams be allowed to retain their traditional nicknames and mascots?

3. **Making Generalizations** Typically, the rewards associated with a particular skill or occupation tell us how much society values that skill or occupation. Sports superstars are rewarded very highly. Relatively few “superstars” in the field of teaching or medicine make salaries comparable to those of successful professional athletes. Do you believe this indicates that U.S. society doesn’t value education and health care as highly as sports? What other factors might influence compensation and salary?

4. **Making Inferences** Nearly 80 percent of the players in the National Basketball Association are African American, while over 90 percent of the members of the National Hockey League are white. Baseball and football are more evenly mixed. How would you explain the lack of African Americans in hockey and their apparent overrepresentation in basketball? (See also Activity 3 on the following page.)

5. **Evaluating Information** In the National Basketball Association draft, the best players go to the teams that completed the previous season with the worst records. Why do you think the NBA uses this approach instead of allowing the best players to go to the teams with the most prestige, status, and monetary resources?

6. **Applying Concepts** Here’s a thought experiment to try. Using your answer to number 5 above, see if you can apply your reasoning to the institution of the family. Imagine that NBA teams are like families in various social classes and that each generation is like a season of professional basketball. Wouldn’t it be fair to ask the winning families (those at the top of the social class ladder) not to pass on their advantages to their offspring? In other words, for the competition to be fair, wealthier families should not be allowed to go to the best schools but instead should be sent to the schools with the fewest resources. The logic used here is that the best and most talented succeed anywhere. What is the fallacy in this argument?
7. Drawing Conclusions In referring to the way a crowd of people can motivate a team of players, Emile Durkheim once said, “There are occasions when this strengthening and vivifying action of society is especially apparent. In the midst of an assembly animated by a common passion, we become susceptible of acts and sentiments of which we are incapable when reduced to our own forces.” Do you believe that a home court or home field advantage really exists? Do players rise to the occasion when cheered on by the home crowd? Are there ever times when athletes might play better when not at home?

8. Evaluating Information Pretend that you are attending a professional tennis match with an economist, a political scientist, a psychologist, and a sociologist. Link each of the questions below to the discipline most likely to give a complete answer.

a. How did the hot dogs get to be five dollars?

b. Why do some athletes fall apart after a bad call?

c. What is the socioeconomic status of the players?

d. Does tennis reflect mainstream values?

e. How did Americans lose their dominance in this sport?

f. Why does it seem that all tennis courts are located in wealthy neighborhoods?

Sociology Projects

1. Sports and Statistics The sports section is a great place to examine how statistics are used. For one week follow a team in any sport that is currently in season. Track several team and individual statistics. Do dramatic changes occur in the statistics, or are the changes insignificant? Can you offer any reason for the change or lack of change? Compare your team’s statistics with those of a classmate’s team. Analyze the validity of the statistics. Do they accurately tell the story, or can statistics deceive us?

2. The Home Court Advantage Interview athletes who participate in several of your school’s sports. Ask the following questions.

a. What are the advantages of playing at home?

b. What are the disadvantages of playing on the road?

c. What factors contribute to home court advantage?

d. What factors hinder better performances on the road?

e. Do you ever prefer to play at home?

f. Compare your notes with those of your classmates to see if there is consensus.

3. The Cost of Sports Research suggests that participation in sports reflects geographic location and economic conditions. For instance, basketball is an urban game that does not require a lot of money to play. All one needs is a ball and a place to shoot. Conduct research on other major sports—football, baseball, hockey, skiing, tennis, and golf. Try to determine where and by whom these sports tend to be played. How much does it cost an individual who is not professional to play these sports? Share your results with the class.

4. Minorities in Coaching and Management The text discusses underrepresentation of minorities in coaching and management positions. To find out whether this pattern still holds, conduct a quick survey of your own. (If your teacher allows, you may want to work in groups.) Concentrating on professional sports, what are the names of coaches and managers from all the teams in a national league? Use the Internet to find answers to these questions. (Most professional sports leagues include at least twenty-five teams.) Identify as many of the coaches and managers as possible by race and ethnicity. What is the proportion of minority coaches and managers in your sample?

5. Sports Apparel One way to see the impact of sports on U.S. society is to walk the halls of any American high school. (You may rather observe people at a mall or shopping center if your school does not allow clothes with commercial
To get an idea how many people at your school wear clothes that represent sports teams or sports activities, sit in one place for fifteen minutes and simply count the number of students and teachers wearing sports clothing. Are many students wearing clothing representing their own high school teams? Or do most favor logos from local college or professional teams? Do you think wearing team clothes fosters a sense of identification with the team?

6. **Sports in Film** Numerous movie videos deal with sports themes. Select a video, and write a report on it using concepts discussed in the chapter. For example, the film *Jerry McGuire* touches on player salaries and issues of race, among other themes. Present your report to the class.

7. **Sports as a Social Institution** Imagine that you are a visitor from a planet where the institution of sport does not exist. The objective of your visit to Earth is to observe social interactions in sport in order to determine whether sport is an institution that should be established on your planet.

As a “visitor” you attend a game of basketball, football, volleyball and baseball. What conclusions would you make regarding the social interactions of those involved in the game?

Consider and list any perceived negative or positive interactions. Analyze those interactions as either being constructive or destructive to the development of desirable social interactions on your planet.

Write a one-page essay that summarizes your findings and supports your decision to recommend or to not recommend that sport be established as an institution on your planet.

---

**Technology Activity**

1. Using your favorite search engine, do a search for “sociology of sport.”
   a. How many web page matches did your search find? What does that indicate to you about the importance of this subject?
   b. Go to the electronic journal *Sociology of Sport On-Line* (sosol) at [http://physed.otago.ac.nz/sosol/](http://physed.otago.ac.nz/sosol/). Review the table of contents of the most recent issue. What types of topics are covered by the authors?
   c. Click on Overview. Where is this journal published? Why was it started?
We Don’t Like Football, Do We?

by D. Stanley Eitzen

If you grew up female in America, you heard this: Sports are unfeminine. And this: Girls who play sports are tomboys. You got this message: Real women don’t spend their free time sliding feet-first into home plate or smacking their fists into soft leather gloves.

So you didn’t play or you did play and either way you didn’t quite fit. You didn’t fit in your body—didn’t learn to live there, breathe there, feel dynamic and capable. Or maybe you fell madly, passionately in love with sports but didn’t quite fit in society, never saw yourself—basketball player, cyclist, golfer—reflected in movies, billboards, magazines.

Or you took a middle ground, shying away at first but then later sprinting toward aerobics and weight lifting and in-line skating, relishing your increasing endurance and grace and strength. Even then, though, you sensed that something was wrong: all the ads and articles seemed to focus on weight loss and beauty. While those may have inspired you to get fit in the first place, there are more important things, you now know, than how you looked. No one seemed to be talking about pride, pleasure, power, possibility.

If you grew up male in America, you heard this: Boys who don’t play sports are sissies or . . . [homosexuals]. And this: Don’t throw like a girl. You got this message: Sports are a male initiation rite, as fundamental and natural as shaving and deep voices—a prerequisite, somehow, to becoming an American man. So you played football or soccer or baseball and felt competent, strong, and bonded with your male buddies. Or you didn’t play and risked ridicule.

Whether we were inspired by Babe Ruth or Babe Didrikson or neither, and whether we played kickball with our brothers or sisters or both, all of us, female and male, learned to associate sports prowess and sports privilege with masculinity. Even if the best athlete in the neighborhood was a girl, we learned from newspapers, television, and from our own parents’ prejudices that batting, catching, throwing, and jumping are not neutral, human activities, but somehow more naturally a male domain. Insidiously our culture’s reverence for men’s professional sports and its silence about women’s athletic accomplishments shaped, defined, and limited how we felt about ourselves as women and men.

. . . You may have noticed that boys are no longer the only ones shooting baskets in public parks. One girl often joins the boys now, her hair dark with sweat, her body alert as a squirrel’s. Maybe they don’t pass her the ball. Maybe she grabs it anyway, squeezes mightily through the barricade of bodies, leaps skyward, feet flying.

Or she teams with other girls. Gyms fill these days with the rowdy sounds of women hard at play: basketballs seized by calloused hands, sneakers squealing like shocked mice. The players’ high, urgent voices resonate, too—“Here!” “Go!”—and right then nothing exists for them except the ball, the shifting constellation of women, the chance to be fluid, smooth, alive.
What does this mean? What does it mean that everywhere, women are running, shooting baskets, getting sweaty and exhausted and euphoric? What changes when a woman becomes an athlete?

Everything.

On playing fields and in gyms across America, women are engaged in a contest with higher stakes than trophies or ribbons or even prize money. Through women’s play, and through their huddles behind the scenes, they are deciding who American women will be. Not just what games they will play, but what role they will play in this still-young nation. Not only what their bodies will look like, but what their bodies can do.


Read and React

1. State briefly the main point of this article.
2. What do you think is the author’s viewpoint on the relationship between gender and sport? Do you agree with him?
3. Do you believe that attitudes in the United States regarding female participation in sport are changing? Explain.
4. From which theoretical perspective is the author writing? Use examples to illustrate that perspective.
Suppose you read the following story in your local newspaper.

On October 12, 1999, the United Nations officially declared that the world’s population had reached six billion. United Nations Secretary-General Kofi Annan was visiting Sarajevo, Bosnia, when the historic milestone was reached. To symbolize the event, he chose a baby boy born in a local clinic at two minutes after midnight to be named “Baby Six Billion.”

How big is six billion? If you counted a hundred numbers every minute for eight hours a day, five days a week, it would take you five hundred years to reach six billion!

According to Zero Population Growth (ZPG), the world’s population is currently growing at a rate of 86 million people per year. If asked about the reason for this rapid world population growth, what would you say? Like most people, you would probably refer to the high birth rate in developing countries. You could point out that every year, 94 million infants are born—equal to the population of Mexico—or that every time you watch a half-hour TV program, 4,860 infants are born.

This explanation, however, is only half of the story. It leaves out the other side of the equation—the death rate. The population in these countries is growing rapidly because their birth rates remain high while their death rates have dropped sharply, thanks to modern medicine, improved sanitation, and better hygiene. In this chapter, we look at demography and discuss why this issue is important to sociologists.
The Changing Population

Sociologists study population because it affects social structure, especially in crowded areas. They look for patterns that will help them understand and predict how groups of people will behave. For example, they might examine the relationship between population growth and politics. We know that historically the growth of minorities in the United States has benefited Democrats more than Republicans (Tilgrove, 1999). But the situation today is different with respect to Latinos. Now the largest minority in the United States, Latinos are not firmly aligned with either political party. Regardless of political affiliation, the growth of minority populations affects how congressional districts are drawn and is one reason why census taking can be a controversial topic. Or sociologists might study trends in population shifts, such as the aging baby boomers, to help plan for hospitals and long-term nursing facilities.

**How do sociologists define population?** A population is a group of people living in a particular place at a specified time. The scientific study of population is called demography (demo is a Greek word that means “people”). To study population, demographers look at many factors, including the number of people (size); how and where they are located (distribution); what groups make up the population (composition); and the ages represented in the population (age structure). Demographers also analyze three processes: birth (fertility), death (mortality), and movement from one place to another (migration). Major changes in populations come from one or all of these three processes. In the following sections, we look at the factors and processes that affect populations.
Fertility

Fertility measures the actual number of children born to a woman or to a population of women. Fecundity is the potential number of children that could be born if every woman reproduced as often as biology allowed. Obviously, fertility rates are much lower than fecundity rates. The highest realistic fecundity rate you could expect from a society would be about fifteen births per woman. The record fertility rate for a group probably is held by the Hutterites, who migrated a century ago from Switzerland to North and South Dakota and Canada. Hutterite women in the 1930s were giving birth to an average of more than twelve children each (Westoff and Westoff, 1971). The Hutterites give us a good estimate of fecundity, because they are the best example of natural fertility—the number of children born to women in the absence of conscious birth control (Weeks, 1999).

How is fertility measured? The crude birth rate is the annual number of live births per one thousand members of a population. The crude birth rate varies considerably from one country to another. The crude birth rate for the United States is fifteen per one thousand. Niger, in West Africa, experiences a very high crude birth rate of fifty-three per one thousand; and Germany, a very low rate of nine per one thousand.

To calculate the crude birth rate, divide the annual number of live births by the total population and multiply that number by 1,000.

\[
\text{Crude Birth Rate} = \frac{\text{Number of Live Births}}{\text{Total Population}} \times 1,000
\]

The term crude in this case means rough, or approximate. The crude birth rate is approximate because it is based on the entire population rather than just women of child-bearing age. It also ignores the age structure of the population. Both sex and age affect the number of live births in any given year. Consequently, in addition to the crude birth rate, demographers use the fertility rate—the annual number of live births per one thousand women.
The rate that is easiest to use is the **total fertility rate**, or the average number of children born to a woman during her lifetime. Currently, total fertility rates in the world range from 5.2 in Africa to 1.4 in Europe.

**What other factors influence birth rate?** The birth rate of a population is influenced by both health and social factors. For example, widespread disease (especially rubella, or German measles) causes the birth rate to decline because many pregnancies end in miscarriages. Social factors affecting the birth rate include the average age at marriage, the level of economic development, the availability and use of contraceptives and abortion, the number of women in the labor force, the educational status of women, and social attitudes toward reproduction.

The U.S. birth rate in recent years has shown a steady decline. More couples today consider two children—or even one child—a desirable number. Work patterns have affected the birth rate as well. More American women today are postponing having children until their late twenties and early thirties. As a result, women are having fewer children.
Mortality

Mortality refers to death. To analyze patterns of mortality within a population, sociologists look at life span and life expectancy. Life span is the most advanced age to which humans can survive. We know for sure of a Japanese man who lived nearly 121 years, but few people even approach this age. Life expectancy is the average number of years that persons in a given population born at a particular time can expect to live. World life expectancy is sixty-seven years (World Population Data Sheet, 2001).

How is mortality measured? The crude death rate is figured by dividing the annual number of deaths by the total population and multiplying by 1,000. Like the crude birth rate, the crude death rate varies widely throughout the world. The worldwide average crude death rate is nine per one thousand persons. Looking at specific regions of the world, the death rate varies from a low of six per thousand in Latin America to a high of fourteen per thousand in Africa and Hungary. The death rate in the United States is about nine per thousand (World Population Data Sheet, 2001).

Demographers are also interested in the variations in death rates for specific groups. They have devised age-specific death rates to measure the number of deaths per thousand persons in a specific age group, such as fifteen- to nineteen-year-olds or sixty- to sixty-four-year-olds. This allows them to compare the risk of death to members of different groups. Although death eventually comes to everyone, the rate at which it occurs depends on many factors, including age, sex, race, occupation, social class, standard of living, and health care.

The infant mortality rate—the number of deaths among infants under one year of age per one thousand live births—is considered a good indicator of the health status of any group. This is because infants are the first to suffer...
from a lack of good medical care and sanitation. Infants in developing countries are almost eight times more likely to die before their first birthday than infants in the developed nations. Working together, the birth rates, fertility rates, and mortality rates determine the world population growth. (See Figure 16.1.)

**Migration**

Migration refers to the movement of people from one geographic area to another. Migration can occur within a country or between countries. An example of migration from country to country is the resettlement of Asian refugees from Vietnam and Cambodia in countries around the world. Many of the refugees who settle in the United States in one particular city or region later move to another region, thus becoming internal migrants. Anyone who moves from one part of the country to another—say, from New York to Arizona—is engaging in internal migration.

**How is migration measured?** The *gross migration rate* into or out of an area is the number of persons per year per one thousand members of a population who enter or leave a geographic area. Net migration is the difference between the number of people entering and leaving an area. Thus, the *net migration rate* is the annual increase or decrease per one thousand members of a population resulting from movement into and out of the population. In 1999, for example, the United States had a net migration rate of about 3.0 per one thousand population. That is, 3.0 more persons per one thousand population entered the country than left the country. It is also possible of course, to have a negative net migration rate showing more people overall left an area than entered it.

When the U.S. Census Bureau reports migration rates, it refers only to the number of legal immigrants. Many people violate immigration laws to enter the United States. In the 1970s, the issue of illegal immigration—primarily from Latin American and Caribbean countries—became a major concern and continues to be controversial today. There are no precise statistics on either the illegal immigration rate or the total number of illegal aliens living in the United States. Estimates of the current number of illegal aliens range from three million to six million persons.
Birth rates and death rates have important social and cultural consequences. In Japan, elders have traditionally been held in high esteem. This tradition is threatened by a combination of two factors: People are generally living longer, and there are fewer young people to support the elders’ existence. As they lose respect, many older Japanese now pray in their temples for a quick death.

The population of Japan is aging faster than any on earth, a result of declining birth and death rates. The situation of the elderly of Japan is like the proverbial glass of water that is either half full or half empty, depending on whether the positive or negative aspects of their lives are emphasized. In some ways, elderly Japanese are better off than the elderly of the other developed countries. They hold the position of “honorable elders,” a reflection of the Confucian precept of duty owed to parents. Japan even has a national holiday, “Respect for the Aged Day,” September 15th, when most offices and factories are closed. Furthermore, a relatively high proportion of elderly Japanese live with their adult children, which is often cited as evidence of the reverence this country pays to the aged.

However, it can also be argued that elderly Japanese are not really so well off and that the “ecstasy years” of old age are losing their rosy glow—if they truly ever had one. Among the more sensational evidence cited are the supposedly high rate of suicide among elderly Japanese and the existence of temples where the elderly go to pray for a quick death. Also, in recent years, the number of activities for or honoring the elderly on their special day have been few and far between. For most Japanese, September 15th is just another holiday.

The particularly rapid pace of aging in Japan and the potential consequences have captured the attention of policymakers and officials. [A major government report] listed population aging along with internationalization and maturation of the economy as the three major challenges for twenty-first-century Japan. Japanese prime ministers have regularly referred to aging as they have set the policy agenda, recognizing that population aging affects many aspects of the society and the economy.


Thinking It Over

Are the effects of the graying of Japan best explained by functionalism, conflict theory, or symbolic interactionism? Defend your choice.
Thomas Malthus (1798) predicted that population size would ultimately outstrip the food supply, resulting in mass starvation and death. The demographic transition theory looks at economic development to predict population patterns. While the rate of world population growth is slowing, the world’s population will continue to increase for many years. Population control has become a concern of many governments worried about providing for their future citizens.

No organization has actually ever counted all the people in the world. World population figures are a composite of best estimates and national census figures where available. While many countries count and categorize people living in those countries, the quality of census data varies a great deal and can be very unreliable. Nevertheless, world population growth patterns can be identified.

If the counting of the population is a problem in developed societies, imagine the difficulty with obtaining accurate counts in developing societies.
Rapid world population growth is a relatively recent phenomenon. In fact, your grandparents have seen more population growth during their lifetimes than occurred during the preceding four million years. An estimated 250 million people were on the earth in A.D. 1. (Refer back to Figure 16.1 on page 534.) It was not until 1650 that the world’s population doubled, to half a billion. The second doubling occurred in 1850, bringing the world population to one billion. By 1930, only eighty years later, another doubling had taken place. Only forty-five years after that, in 1976, a fourth doubling raised the world’s population to four billion. At the current growth rate, the world’s population is expected to double again in about fifty years and will approach eight billion persons by the year 2025. As you can see, the number of years between each doubling of the population—called, for obvious reasons, the doubling time—is getting shorter and shorter (World Population Data Sheet, 1999). Figure 16.2 breaks down world population projections by region. Figure 16.3 on the next page looks at key demographic statistics by world regions.
Unit 5  Social Change

Why is the world’s population growing so fast? The population has increased so dramatically in part because of the way population increases. We are accustomed to thinking in terms of linear growth, whereby amounts increase arithmetically (as in the progression 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 . . .). Population, however, does not grow linearly. It follows the principle of exponential growth, and increases geometrically (as in the progression 2, 4, 8, 16, 32). With exponential growth, the amount of increase is greater each time period even though the rate of increase remains the same. This is because each increase is added to the base amount and becomes part of the calculation for the next rise.

A classic example of exponential growth follows: The story tells of a clever minister who presented a beautiful chess set to his king. In return, he asked only that the king give one grain of rice for the first square on the chessboard; two grains, or double the amount, for the second square; four (doubling again) for the third; and so forth. The king, not being mathematically minded, agreed and ordered the rice brought forth. The eighth square required 128 grains, and the twelfth took more than a pound of rice. Long before reaching...
the sixty-fourth square, the king’s coffers were depleted. Even today, the world’s richest king could not produce enough rice to fill the final square. It would require more than 200 billion tons, or the equivalent of the world’s current total production of rice for the next 653 years.

If a population is growing at 1 percent per year, it takes seventy years to double. For example, suppose the population of a city was 50,000 in 1800. At a growth rate of 1 percent, that population would grow to 100,000 in 1870. By 1940 it would reach 200,000; by 2010, 400,000. Recalling the chess-board example, you can see that even a 1 percent growth rate can have serious consequences. The number of people added each year becomes part of the total population, which then increases by another 1 percent in the following year.

Malthus and Population Growth

Concern about population is not new. In 1798, Thomas Robert Malthus, an English minister and economist, published An Essay on the Principle of Population. In his essay, Malthus described relationships between population growth and economic development. Here are the key concepts in his theory.

❖ Population, if left unchecked, will exceed the food supply. This is because population increases exponentially, while the food supply does not.

❖ Checks on population can be positive or preventive. Positive factors are events or conditions that increase mortality. They include famine, disease, and war. Preventive factors decrease fertility and include sexual abstinence and marrying at a later age. (Remember that at the time Malthus wrote there was no reliable birth control. For this conservative minister, sexual abstinence was the only acceptable way to reduce the number of births.)

❖ For the poor, any improvement in income is eaten up in additional births. This leads to lower per-person food consumption, lower standards of living, and eventually death.

❖ The wealthy and well educated already exercise preventive checks.

How did Malthus apply his theory to population control? Malthus believed that positive checks on population growth could be avoided through education of the poor. With education, he wrote, the poor would raise their standard of living and choose to have smaller families. That part of Malthus’s theory is not generally known, however, because he is most remembered for his dire predictions that overpopulation would result in famine and poverty.

The Demographic Transition

Although wrong in some of his key assumptions, Malthus had a lasting impact on population study. His is not the only theory, however. Developed
nations have followed a pattern of population growth different from that predicted by Malthus's theory. The **demographic transition theory** looks at the stages of economic development in a country to make predictions about population growth. This theory takes into consideration two things Malthus did not predict—agricultural productivity and reliable methods of birth control. Demographic transition theory describes four stages of population growth. (See Figure 16.4 shown above.)

- **Stage 1.** Both the birth rate and the death rate are high. Population growth is slow. No countries are at this stage today.
- **Stage 2.** The birth rate remains high, but the death rate drops sharply because of modernizing factors such as sanitation, increased food production, and medical advances. The rate of population growth is very high. Most sub-Saharan African countries are presently at this stage.
- **Stage 3.** The birth rate declines sharply, but because the death rate continues to go down, population growth is still rapid. Many Latin American countries are currently at this stage.
- **Stage 4.** Both the birth rate and the death rate are low, and the population grows slowly if at all. Anglo America, Europe, and Japan are at this stage today.

**Future World Population Growth**

World population growth has reached a turning point. After more than two hundred years of increase, the annual population growth rate is declining. The current growth rate is 1.3 percent, compared with the peak of 2.04 percent in the late 1960s. Moreover, the rate is projected to drop to zero by the year 2100.

But as we have seen, despite the reduction in the annual growth rate and birth rate, the world’s population will continue to increase. Nearly seven billion people are expected to inhabit the globe by 2010. Throughout the first
half of the twenty-first century, the annual growth rate is expected to decline until world population stabilizes at about eleven billion people. (See Figure 16.5.) At this point, the world will have reached zero population growth—when deaths are balanced by births so that the population does not increase (World Population Data Sheet, 2001).

Contrary to popular belief, limiting the average family size to two children does not immediately produce zero population growth. There is a time lag of sixty to seventy years because of the high proportion of young women of childbearing age in the world’s population. Even if each of these women had only two children, the world population would grow.

The time lag is what demographers call population momentum. The growth of the world’s population, like a huge boulder rolling down a mountain, cannot be stopped immediately. But the sooner the momentum of current population growth is halted, the better. The sooner the world fertility rate reaches the replacement level (the rate at which people replace themselves without adding to the population) the sooner zero population growth will be reached. The ultimate size of the world’s population, when it does stop growing, depends greatly on the timing of reaching replacement level. To state it another way, for each decade it takes to reach replacement level, the world’s population will increase by 15 percent.

**Population Control**

As discussed earlier, death rates in both developing and developed nations have already dropped dramatically. Any significant progress in curbing world population growth must concentrate on lowering birth rates. Population control refers to the conscious attempt to regulate population size through national birth control programs.

*Is government-sponsored population control new?* Historically, most societies were more concerned with increasing the population than with overpopulation. Many births were needed to offset the high death rates from disease and poor hygiene. With surplus populations, aggressive nations were able to maintain larger armies. Agricultural societies needed large numbers of people to work the land. Aging parents wanted to be more secure in old age. High birth rates were also encouraged in countries with religious laws against birth control.
Since the middle of the twentieth century, however, more (but certainly not all) governments have come to view high birth rates as a threat to their national well being. By 1990, most countries had in place formal programs to reduce birth rates. Government policies for population control range from voluntary to compulsory.

What is voluntary population control? The voluntary use of population control methods is generally known as family planning. Governments that support family planning provide information and services that help couples have only the number of children they want. Voluntary government policies range from indirect means such as family planning education to direct means such as distributing birth control materials at health clinics.

Even when effective, however, family planning programs merely enable families to achieve their desired family size. Unfortunately for effective population control, the desired family size in many nations is quite high. The average preferred family size (number of children) in African nations is 7.1; in Middle-Eastern nations, 5.1; in Latin American nations, 4.3; and in Asian Pacific nations, 4.0. In European countries, the average preferred family size ranges from 2.1 to 2.8.

How successful is voluntary population control? Family planning has succeeded in Taiwan, where the birth rate had fallen below replacement level by 2000. Taiwan’s family planning efforts were launched under very favorable conditions. When the Japanese withdrew from Taiwan after World War II, they left behind a labor force trained for industrial work. Consequently, the Taiwanese were able to use this advantage to build an expanding economy. With economic development came a decline in both birth and death rates. In short, the Taiwanese went through the demographic transition fairly rapidly.

India was a different story. Family planning there got off to a very slow start, and the country has been unable to reduce the rate of population growth through voluntary means. Family planning efforts failed because government officials and family planners did not take the broader social context
into account. For one thing, India did not have Taiwan’s advantage of relatively rapid economic development. In addition, the Indian officials and planners did not make enough efforts to overcome cultural and religious opposition to birth control. Nor did they find enough ways to effectively communicate birth control information and technology. Finally, the national birth control program was left in the hands of individual state governments to implement.

Efforts to control population began to succeed in India only after the government turned to a sterilization program in 1976. Although the government did not use the force of law, a system of disincentives had the effect of compulsion. Those who could not produce official proof of a sterilization were denied such things as business permits, gun licenses, and ration cards for the purchase of basic goods (Weeks, 1999).

Have compulsory population control methods ever been used successfully? Both China and Singapore have forced population control policies that seem to achieve their goals. China has been successful in reducing its total fertility rate from 7.5 in 1963 to 1.8 in 2001 through a system of rewards and punishments that includes a “one-child” policy. One-child families receive a larger retirement pension and enjoy preference in housing, school admission for their children, and employment. Families with more than one child are subject to an escalating tax on each child, and they get no financial aid from the government for the medical and educational costs of their extra children.

The island city-state of Singapore began formally discouraging large families in 1969. The government passed laws that penalized parents with large families (Weeks, 1999). These measures included

❖ denial of a paid eight-week maternity leave.
❖ loss of an income tax allowance.
❖ diminished access to public housing.
❖ increased maternity costs for each additional child.
❖ a lower likelihood of children’s entering good schools.

China’s population control efforts have been very effective. This poster of a mother and baby was designed to promote small families.
These policies worked so well that the total fertility rate in Singapore dropped from 4.5 children per woman to 1.4 between 1966 and 1985. In fact, the government became worried about the reduction in population size and, in 1987, reversed some of its earlier policies. The government of Singapore now supports three or more children for people able to afford them (Yap, 1995). Despite this effort, Singapore’s total birth rate of 1.6 is still below replacement level.

**Does one child make a difference?** The importance of limiting family size, even by one child, can be illustrated by population projections for the United States. Even though the United States is unlikely to increase to a three-child average in the future, the hypothetical American case can help us understand the importance of population control. Figure 16.6 contrasts the projected population of the United States in the year 2070 for an average family size of two children and an average family size of three children. When small decreases in the death rate and net migration at the present level are assumed, an average two-child family size would result in a population of 300 million in 2015. Taking the hypothetical average family size of three children, the U.S. population would grow to 400 million by 2015. As time passed, the difference of only one extra child per family would assume added significance. By 2070, the two-child family would produce a population of 350 million, but the three-child family would push the population close to one billion! To say it another way, with an average family of two children, the U.S. population would not quite double itself between 1970 and 2070. But should the three-child family have been the average, the population would have doubled itself twice during this same period.

The consequences of limiting population in developing regions becomes clearer when the effect of even one child added to the average number of children in a family is recognized. Moreover, the addition of one child per family has a greater effect as the population base gets larger; not only is one extra person added, but theoretically that one person will be involved with the reproduction of yet another three, and on it goes. The largest populations are found in developing countries, which also have the largest average number of children per family.

**Figure 16.6 Projected Populations of the United States.** This graph illustrates the importance of reaching the population replacement level (two children per family). Are you surprised at the difference in U.S. population growth caused by an average of three children per family versus two children?
Chapter 16 Population and Urbanization

Population Pyramids

Population pyramids allow you to see at a glance the age and sex composition of a population. Age and sex are key indexes to fertility and mortality rates, which in turn are used to project school and housing needs, health resources, and other key social services. Population pyramids illustrate the dependency ratio that results from different rates of population growth. The dependency ratio is the ratio of persons in the dependent ages (under fifteen and over sixty-four) to those in the “economically active” ages (fifteen to sixty-four). The two aspects of the dependency ratio are youth dependency and old-age dependency. Developing nations have much higher youth dependency than developed nations. Developed nations have significantly higher old-age dependency. Figure 16.7 displays typical age-sex pyramids for developed and developing nations.

**Why is the dependency ratio important?** For developing countries such as Mexico, a high youth dependency means that national income must be diverted from economic development to provide food, housing, and education for its large young population. In developed countries such as the United States, rising old-age dependency creates a different set of problems. With a larger population pyramid a graphic representative of the age and sex composition of a population

dependency ratio the ratio of dependent persons to economically active persons

Figure 16.7 Age-Sex Pyramids in Developed and Less Developed Countries. This figure shows general population patterns by age and sex in developed and developing countries. Using the dependency ratio, explain why children in developed countries are economically better off than those in the developing nations.


America’s aging population is raising the dependency ratio. Why should that concern you?
older population, there are fewer young people in the labor force to support the growing number of older people. For example, in the United States in 1995 there were just over four times as many 25- to 64-year-olds as there were people 65 and older. By 2030, there will be only 2.3 times as many. (See Figure 16.8.) This shift will increase the burden on the young to pay for Social Security and Medicare. Other problems will include the need for increasing health care services and institutional arrangements for the long-term care of elderly people.

**Section 2 Assessment**

1. Briefly explain the difference between exponential and linear growth.
2. What are positive checks?
3. How does the demographic transition theory reflect the development of Western nations?
4. Which of the following figures is the world’s population most likely to reach before it stops growing?
   a. four billion
   b. eight billion
   c. eleven billion
   d. twenty-five billion

**Critical Thinking**

5. **Evaluating Information** Given the exponential rate at which population grows, discuss the effect of zero population growth on the size of the world’s population in 2020.
Businesses have discovered that they can grow bigger by targeting smaller groups of consumers. These groups, called generations, or cohorts, are defined by important life experiences. Events occurring when people first become economic adults (usually between ages 17 and 21) affect their lifelong attitudes and values. These attitudes and values are unlikely to change as a person ages. So the kind of music that is popular during these formative years often remains the preferred type of music for life. Similarly, early lifetime experiences influence preferences in many other product and service categories.

Studies of the U.S. population have identified seven distinct groups described in the table below. Which cohort are you? Your parents?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cohort</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Born</th>
<th>Popular Music Styles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Depression cohort</td>
<td>The G.I. generation</td>
<td>1912–1921</td>
<td>Big band</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The World War II cohort</td>
<td>The Depression generation</td>
<td>1922–1927</td>
<td>Swing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Postwar cohort</td>
<td>The silent generation</td>
<td>1928–1945</td>
<td>Frank Sinatra/Rat Pack</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Boomers I cohort</td>
<td>The Woodstock generation</td>
<td>1946–1954</td>
<td>Rock and roll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Boomers II cohort</td>
<td>The zoomer generation</td>
<td>1955–1965</td>
<td>Rock and roll, disco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The generation X cohort</td>
<td>The baby-buster generation</td>
<td>1966–1976</td>
<td>Grunge, rap, country western</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Boomlet cohort</td>
<td>The echo-boom generation</td>
<td>1977–</td>
<td>Retro-swing, Latin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Doing Sociology**

Have short interviews with members of at least two of the demographic business cohorts profiled above. Identify a number of differences in preferences for products between the members of different cohorts.


The products in this mall store have been selected by taking into account the buying preferences of teenagers.
The Urban Transition

Key Terms

- city
- urbanization
- overurbanization
- suburbanization
- central-city dilemma
- gentrification
- edge city

Defining a City

When does a village become a city? In Denmark and Sweden, an area with 200 inhabitants officially qualifies as a city. Populous Japan uses a much higher number—30,000. The cutoff point used by the U.S. Census Bureau to define a city is a population of 2,500. This number was set at a time when urbanization had just begun and population concentrations were small. It is obviously low for modern times.

A city is more than just a reasonably large number of people, however. Cities are also long-lasting. The periodic Woodstock rock festivals gather a large number of people in one place, but only for short periods of time. Clearly, large gatherings alone do not make a city. Cities also have a centralized economic focus. That is, they provide people with a chance to work in commerce, industry, or service. In summary, a city is a dense and permanent concentration of people living in a limited geographic area who earn their living primarily through nonagricultural activities.

Urbanization

The world has been greatly changed by urbanization—the process by which an increasingly larger portion of the world’s population lives in or very near to cities. Urbanization has been so common that it is now taken for granted in many parts of the world. Today, almost as many people live in urban areas as in rural areas. This is a fairly recent development in human history.
What were early cities like? The first cities appeared about five or six thousand years ago and were quite small by modern standards. One of the world’s first major cities was Ur, located at the point where the Tigris and Euphrates Rivers meet (in modern-day Iraq). At its peak, Ur held only about 24,000 people. Later, during the time of the Roman Empire, it is unlikely that many cities had populations larger than 33,000. The population of Rome itself was probably under 350,000.

In addition to their small size, the cities of ancient and medieval periods contained only a small portion of the world’s population. As recently as 1800, less than 3 percent of the world’s population lived in cities of 20,000 or more. By contrast, today, 46 percent of the world’s population live in urban areas. In North America, 75 percent of the population live in cities (World Population Data Sheet, 2001). How did cities develop so quickly and why have cities replaced rural living for most people?

Preindustrial Cities

The first urban settlements were located in Mesopotamia and were established around 3500 B.C. This was after people learned how to cultivate plants and domesticate animals, a period known as the agricultural revolution. The Mesopotamian region is among the world’s most fertile areas and the farmers in the area were able to provide enough extra, or surplus, food to feed people in the cities. A surplus food supply is necessary for urbanization to occur.

Who lived in preindustrial cities? Besides available food, people needed other reasons to gather in cities. Cities tended to attract four basic types of people: elites, functionaries, craftspeople, and the poor and destitute. For elites, the city provided a setting for consolidating political, military, or religious power. The functionaries were the political or religious officials who carried out the plans of the elites. Their lives were undoubtedly easier than those of the peasant-farmers in the countryside. Craftspeople, still lower in the stratification structure, came to the city to work and sell their products to the elites and functionaries. The poor came hoping to find work but were seldom able to improve their condition.

Do preindustrial cities still exist today? Africa, Asia, and Latin America are only partly industrialized. For this reason, many of their cities still have some preindustrial characteristics. This is particularly true in capital cities because they are a magnet to the rural poor seeking a better life. Rural migrants are attracted to these cities because there are limited opportunities for making a living in the rural areas and the city promises a better life. Unfortunately, most of those who migrate to the cities are disappointed, because the expected employment opportunities do not exist. The migrants end up living in terrible slums.

In Calcutta, India, for example, 12 million people are crowded into a city whose last major sewer line was built in 1896. Epidemics are frequent, and disease is commonplace. Calcutta’s housing supply, waterworks, electrical system, and other facilities are not sufficient to cope with the city’s rapid growth.

Calcutta, India, remains essentially a preindustrial city.
The Rise of the Modern City

Beginning in the 1700s, the Industrial Revolution created major changes in transportation, agriculture, commerce, and industry. Technological developments led to better agricultural productivity and more efficient transportation systems. Farm workers were free to leave rural areas and move into cities. More important, however, was the spread of factories.

Interpreting the Map

1. The map shows that countries such as England, Germany, and Sweden have urban populations that make up over 80 percent of their total populations. This can be explained by the effects of the Industrial Revolution, since these countries’ economies are highly developed. However, other countries, such as Venezuela, Argentina, and Libya, which are not highly developed, also have urban populations that comprise over 80 percent of their totals. Can you think of reasons why this is so? Explain.

2. What effects will increased urbanization have on countries and the world?

Adapted from The State of the World Atlas, 5th ed.
Factories were not established to encourage the growth of cities, but they had that effect. Factory owners tended to build in the same area to share raw materials and to take advantage of natural features such as water power and river transport. Machinery and equipment makers located their plants next to the factories they would be supplying. All these businesses in turn attracted retailers, innkeepers, entertainers, and a wide range of people offering services to city dwellers. The more services offered, the more people were attracted, maintaining the cycle of urban growth. The industrial world was becoming an urbanized world.

World Urbanization

Urbanization is a worldwide movement. From 1800 to the mid-1980s, the number of urban dwellers increased one hundred times, while the population increased only about fivefold. Over 2.8 billion people—nearly 46 percent of the world’s population—now live in urban areas. In developed countries, 75 percent of the population lives in urban areas compared to 40 percent in developing countries. (See Figure 16.10 on page 553.)

What are the patterns for urbanization? Developed and developing countries have distinct patterns of urbanization. Most of the urban growth in developing countries before the turn of the century occurred through colonial expansion. Western countries, which had been involved in colonial expansion since the late fifteenth century, held half the world under colonial rule by the latter part of the nineteenth century. It has been only since World War II that many of these colonial countries have become independent nations (Bardo and Hartman, 1982).
Since gaining independence, these former colonies have been experiencing rapid urbanization and industrialization. In fact, urbanization in these areas is now proceeding nine times faster than it did in the West during its urban expansion period. The rate of urbanization for major industrial nations in the West was 15 percent each decade throughout the nineteenth century. In the 1960s, the rate of urbanization in major developing countries was 20 percent per decade (Light, 1983).

What are some other differences in the pattern of world urbanization? In the first place, industrialization in developing countries, unlike the Western experience, has not kept pace with urbanization. Cities of North America and Europe had jobs for all migrants from rural areas. In the cities of developing nations, the supply of labor from the countryside is greater than the demand for labor in the cities. A high rate of urban unemployment is the obvious result. The term overurbanization has been created to describe a situation in which a city is unable to supply adequate jobs and housing for its inhabitants.

Another difference between urbanization in developed and developing countries is the number and size of cities. When grouped by size, cities in developed countries form a pyramid: a few large cities at the top, many medium-sized cities in the middle, and a large base of small cities. In the developing world, in contrast, many countries have one tremendously big city that dwarfs a large number of villages. Calcutta, India, and Mexico City are examples. Of the world’s ten largest cities, only two—Shanghai and Calcutta—were in developing countries in 1950. By 2000, as you can see in Figure 16.10 on the opposite page, seven of the top ten largest urban areas were in developing countries. By the end of the twenty-first century, it is predicted that there will be twenty-one “megacities” with populations of ten million or more. Eighteen of these will be in developing countries, including the most impoverished societies in the world.

What are “push” and “pull” factors? In explaining why people in developing countries move to large cities with inadequate jobs and housing, urban sociologists point to the operation of “push” and “pull” factors. People are pushed out of their villages because expanding rural populations cannot be supported by the existing agricultural economy. They are forced to migrate elsewhere, and cities are at least an alternative. Poor people are also attracted to cities in the belief there are opportunities for better education, employment, social welfare support, and good medical care. Unfortunately, they are likely to be disappointed.

Suburbanization in the United States

Unlike cities in the developing world, cities in the United States have recently been losing population, not gaining. Since 1950, the proportion of the population living in suburbs has more than doubled. Suburbanization occurs when central cities lose population to the surrounding areas. The United States is now predominantly suburban.

What makes suburbanization possible? Suburbanization has become an important trend partly because of technological developments. Improvements in communication (such as telephones, radios, and television and later computers, fax machines, and the Internet) have allowed people to live away
from the central city without losing touch with what is going on there. Developments in transportation (especially trains, highways, automobiles, and trucks) have made it possible both for people to commute to work and for many businesses to leave the central city for suburban locations.

Technology is not the only cause of suburbanization. Both cultural and economic pressures have encouraged the development of suburbs. Partly because of America’s frontier heritage, American culture has always had a bias against urban living. Some Americans prefer urban life, but most report that they would rather live in a rural setting. Even those who choose to live in the city believe they are giving up some advantages. Suburbs, with their low-density housing, have allowed many people to escape the problems of urban living without leaving the urban areas completely. Suburbs are attractive because of decreased crowding and traffic congestion, lower taxes, better schools, less crime, and reduced pollution.

The scarcity and high cost of land in the central city also encourages suburbanization. Developers of new housing, retail, and industrial projects often find suburban locations far less expensive than those near the central city. Finally, government policy has often increased the impact of economic forces. Federal Housing Administration regulations, for example, have favored the financing of new houses (which can be built most cheaply in suburban locations) rather than the refurbishing of older houses in central cities. Among other things, this has led to the central-city dilemma.

**What is the central-city dilemma?** When suburbanization first became noticeable in the 1930s, only the upper and middle classes could afford to leave the central city. Not until the 1950s did the white working class follow them. Despite federal legislation prohibiting housing discrimination, the suburbs remained largely white until the 1970s. Since then, central-city minorities have moved to the suburbs in greater numbers. Still, the percentage of African Americans living in central cities has declined only slightly since 1970 (Farley, 1997; Palen, 1997).

The problem is not merely that minorities remain trapped in inner cities. Businesses have followed the more affluent people to the suburbs where they can find lower tax rates, less expensive land, less congestion, and their customers who have already left the city. Accompanying the exodus of the middle class, manufacturers, and retailers is the shrinking of the central-city tax base. As a result, the central city has become increasingly populated by the poor, the unskilled, and the uneducated. This has created the **central-city dilemma**—the concentration of a large population in need of public services (schools, transportation, health care) without the tax base to provide them.

**Can the central-city dilemma be solved?** Some countertrends exist. There are city governments now requiring certain public employees to live in...
the city. Some parts of inner cities are being restored through **gentrification**—the development of low-income areas by middle-class homebuyers, landlords, and professional developers. Finally, there is a fairly significant movement of whites back to the central city. This movement is particularly evident among baby boomers who are remaining single or establishing childless or two-income families. Because these people are not as heavily involved in child rearing, they prefer central-city living more than the previous generation did (Palen, 1997). The importance of these countertrends for easing the central-city dilemma remains to be seen. They certainly have not been sufficiently important to stop the emergence of edge cities.

**What are edge cities?** As stated, increasing numbers of businesses and jobs have followed people to the suburbs. In fact, “suburban downtowns” are changing the face of urban America. An **edge city** is a smaller, more focused, version of an urban downtown. It is a suburban unit that specializes in a particular economic activity (Garreau, 1991). Employment in one edge city may focus on computer technology; employment in another, on financial services or health care. A specialized edge city, of course, will have many other types of economic activities as well, such as industrial tracts, office parks, distribution and warehousing clusters, and home offices of national corporations. Edge cities are actually little cities in themselves with a full range of services, including schools, retail sales, restaurants, malls, recreational complexes, medical facilities, and hotels and motels.

Edge cities do not have legal and physical boundaries separating them from the larger urban area in which they are located. This has not prevented names from being attached to several of them. Tyson’s Corner is located in northern Virginia near Washington, D.C., Los Colinas is close to the Dallas-Fort Worth airport, and King of Prussia is northwest of Philadelphia. Some edge cities bear the names of highways, such as Route 128 outside of Boston.

---

**Section 3 Assessment**

1. Give a brief definition of urbanization.
2. What are two conditions necessary for the development of modern cities?
3. Where are preindustrial cities located today?
4. What term do sociologists use to describe mass migration to the suburbs?

**Critical Thinking**

5. **Analyzing Information** Do you think preindustrial cities can continue to exist? Why or why not?
Some people find life in the big city so impersonal that they feel no sense of belonging to a community. Recently organizers in several locations have been trying to use the Internet to rebuild community relationships through electronic networks. These dedicated—specialized—virtual communities use communications technology to link people who live in the same area, city, or neighborhood.

Organizers of community networks share the goals of local participation, community building, and democracy. As with the New England colonies’ town meetings, the ideal of the new community networks is to include everyone. Supporters of the new technology claim that electronic communications will allow people to reestablish more personal relationships.

As with all projects involving technology, though, the problem of “electronic stratification” arises. Because of the costs involved, access to technological advances is not equally distributed throughout the community. Low-income individuals and families cannot afford computers or Internet access, and public agencies are not ready to supply sufficient funding. Furthermore, as computers become more sophisticated, people who are not already computer literate (especially lower-income people) will have an increasingly difficult time catching up. The technologically poor will become technologically poorer.

The Boulder (Colorado) Community Network (BCN), established in the mid-1990s, experienced many of these problems. The founders of BCN trained many different Boulder groups to use community networks. They found that acceptance varied widely among the groups. For example, residents at a local senior citizens’ home became avid users of the community computers placed in their facility. In contrast, a group of low-income single parents virtually ignored the existence of the computers and the Internet, even after extensive training (Virnoche, 1998).

If community networks do become firmly established, critics warn, the “human factor” will still be lacking. When people meet through the Internet, they have no social clues, such as body language and facial expressions, with which to learn about their new acquaintances. No matter how much you learn about another person on-line, critics say, you have not met someone for real until you meet in person (Herbert, 1999).

Analyzing the Trends

What do you think will be the most significant effects of virtual communities on social roles?
Urban Ecology

Key Terms

- urban ecology
- concentric zone theory
- sector theory
- multiple nuclei theory
- peripheral theory

The Nature of Urban Ecology

Although every city is unique, patterns have been found in the way humans interact with the cities they inhabit. Urban ecology is the study of the relationships between humans and their city environments.

In the 1920s and 1930s, sociologists at the University of Chicago studied the effects of the city environment on city residents. They asked such questions as why there are differences between areas of a city, how do different areas affect one another, and what processes change an area. To answer these and other questions, the University of Chicago sociologists developed theories of urban ecology, including theories of city growth (Flanagan, 1993; Kleniewski, 1997; Micklin and Poston, 1998).

Theories of City Growth

Sociologists focus on four major theories of city growth. Concentric zone theory describes urban growth in terms of circular areas that grow from the central city outward. Sector theory emphasizes the importance of transportation routes in the process of urban growth. Multiple nuclei theory focuses on specific geographic or historical influences. Peripheral theory emphasizes the growth of suburbs around the central city. The four approaches lead to quite different images of urban space. (See Figure 16.11 on the facing page.) No city exactly fits any of these images, however. Indeed, the theories tell us more when considered together than they tell us separately. To understand why this is so, we must first examine each theory.

What is concentric zone theory? Ernest Burgess (1925), like other early sociologists at the University of Chicago, was interested in the causes and consequences of Chicago’s growth. His work led to the concentric zone theory, which describes city growth in terms of distinctive zones—zones that develop from the central city outward in a circular pattern. Many northern cities that experienced a great deal of immigration and rapid growth developed this way.

As illustrated in Figure 16.11, the innermost circle is the central business district, the heart of the city. This district contains major government and private office buildings, banks, retail and wholesale stores, and entertainment and cultural facilities. Because land values in the central city are high, space is at a premium. The central business district contains a large proportion of a city’s important businesses partly because the less important
ones are unable to compete for the expensive space in the central business district.

The central business district strongly influences other parts of a city. Its influence is especially clear in the zone immediately surrounding it. Burgess called this the zone in transition because it is in the process of change. As new businesses and activities enter the central business district, the district expands by invading the next zone. This area may have been a residential area inhabited by middle- or upper-class families, who left because of the invasion of business activities. Most of the property in this zone is bought by those with little interest in the area. Rather than investing money in building maintenance, landowners simply extract rent from the property or sell it at a profit after the area has become more commercialized. Until the zone in transition is completely absorbed into the central business district (which may never occur), it is used for slum housing, warehouses, and marginal businesses that are unable to compete economically for space in the central business district itself. In short, the invasion of business activities creates deterioration for the zone in transition.

Surrounding the zone in transition are three zones devoted primarily to housing. The zone of workingmen’s homes contains modest but stable neighborhoods populated largely by blue-collar workers. In the northern United States, the zone of workingmen’s homes is often inhabited by second-generation immigrants who have had enough financial success to leave the deteriorating zone in transition. Next comes a residential zone containing mostly middle-class and upper-middle-class neighborhoods. Single-family dwellings dominate this zone, which is inhabited by managers, professionals, white-collar workers, and some well-paid factory workers. On the outskirts of
Gangs have been a constant feature of the American urban landscape during most of the twentieth century. James Hagedorn’s research (1998), however, led him to propose that postindustrial society has changed patterns of gang violence. Hagedorn’s conclusions are based on a combination of three methods: a review of the research of others, secondary analysis of data collected by other researchers, and original data gathered himself.

Gangs (mostly male) in the industrial period were tied to specific neighborhoods and new immigrant groups. Gang violence primarily centered on “turf” battles among neighborhood peer groups. Pride in violence came from defending territory. Violence provided excitement and a sense of place in a group. Nevertheless, these working- and lower-class boys would eventually move on to hold decent jobs, have families, and live in better neighborhoods.

Gangs today still tend to form around racial and ethnic groups and neighborhoods. Currently, gangs tend to be African American, Latino, or Asian, just as earlier gangs were formed mostly by European immigrants, such as those from Ireland, Italy, or Eastern Europe. According to Hagedorn, however, postindustrial gangs are different in important ways. First, gang violence has significantly increased. Second, gang-related homicides have risen dramatically. Gang violence, he notes, skyrocketed at the same time American corporations were moving well-paying jobs away from the central city. As legitimate work disappeared in inner cities, gangs turned from their earlier territorial emphasis to participation in the illegitimate drug market. The common outlook of gang members today is expressed by this gang member:

I got out of high school and I didn’t have a diploma, wasn’t no jobs, wasn’t no source of income, no nothing. That’s basically the easy way for a . . . young man to be—selling some dope—you can get yourself some money real quick, you really don’t have nothing to worry about, nothing but the feds. You know everybody in your neighborhood. Yeah, that’s pretty safe just as long as you don’t start smoking it yourself (Hagedorn, 1998:390).

Significantly, this gang member was not a teenager. While a minority of gang members remain committed to the drug economy, most seek “legit” jobs as they approach their thirties.

**Working with the Research**

1. Explain why urban gangs tend to form around minority groups.
2. Relate Hagedorn’s findings on urban gang violence, to Merton’s strain theory, discussed in Chapter 7.
the city, often outside the official city limits, is the commuter’s zone, which contains upper-class and upper-middle-class suburbs.

**What is sector theory?** Not everyone agreed with Burgess’s theory of how cities grow. The sociologist Homer Hoyt (1939) offered another model—sector theory. Hoyt’s work indicated that growth patterns do not necessarily spread out in rings from the central business district. Instead, growth is more strongly affected by major transportation routes.

As Figure 16.11 shows, sectors tend to be pie-shaped, with wedges radiating from the central business district to the city’s outskirts. Each sector is organized around a major transportation route. Once a given type of activity is organized around a transportation route, its nature tends to be set. Thus, some sectors will be predominantly industrial, others will contain stores and professional offices, others will be “neon strips” with motels and fast-food restaurants, and still others will be residential sectors, each with its own social class and ethnic composition.

As in concentric zone theory, cities are generally circular in shape. But because of the importance of transportation routes extending from the central business district, the boundaries of many cities form a starlike pattern, rather than a uniformly circular shape. The exact shape of a city, however, is not a major issue in sector theory. Emphasis here is on how patterns of growth are organized around transportation routes. Cities that follow this pattern include Seattle, Richmond, and San Francisco.

**What is multiple nuclei theory?** Many cities have areas that cannot be explained by either concentric zone or sector theory. Chauncy Harris and Edward Ullman (1945) suggested that cities do not always follow a pattern dependent on a central district. The multiple nuclei theory states that a city may have several separate centers, some devoted to manufacturing, some to retail trade, some to residential use, and so on. These specialized centers can develop because of the availability of automobiles and highways. They reflect such factors as geography, history, and tradition. The city of Boston fits this model.

**What is peripheral theory?** The three theories of urban growth just discussed were originally developed more than fifty years ago. Despite their age, the insights of each theory still help us to understand how cities have expanded from the center outward. This is especially the case for older cities such as Chicago and San Francisco. Many cities today, however, no longer have a central city core to which other parts of the metropolitan area are oriented all of the time.

Dependence on shipping, railroads, and heavy manufacturing has been replaced by more flexible means of transportation, such as cars and trucks. And large urban areas are now encircled by highways. New technologies (fax machines, cell phones, computers, the Internet) are also loosening the ties of most parts of the city to the central city core. As a result, many cities are now oriented away from the older urban core.

As noted earlier, many Americans have moved from the city to the suburbs. They have done so in part because many businesses—offices, factories, schools, retail stores, restaurants, health centers—are also in the suburbs. To describe changes in urban areas today, urban geographer Chauncy Harris (1997) has formulated the peripheral theory. The dominant feature of this model is the growth of suburbs (and edge cities) around
and away from the central cities. (See Figure 16.11.) Peripheral theory brings urban growth research up to date.

Which of these theories of city growth is correct? As suggested earlier, no single theory covers the dynamics of city growth for all cities. But each theory emphasizes the importance of certain factors that cannot be overlooked by anyone interested in city growth.

❖ Concentric zone theory emphasizes the fact that growth in any one area of a city is largely influenced by politics and economics. According to this theory, the distribution of space is heavily influenced by those with the money to buy the land they want for the purposes they have in mind.

❖ Sector theorists have also contributed to an understanding of urban growth. As they have noted, transportation routes have a strong influence on cities. Decisions about the placement of railroad lines had important effects on the growth of cities in the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. Highways and major streets have an even larger impact now.

❖ Although multiple nuclei theory is vague in its predictions, the types of geographic and historical factors it emphasizes are also important for understanding any specific city.

❖ Peripheral theory has brought urban growth research up to date by emphasizing the development of suburbs around the central city.

Section 4 Assessment

1. Provide a brief description of each of the following zones.
   a. central business district
   b. commuters’ zone
   c. residential zone
   d. zone in transition
   e. zone of workingmen’s homes

2. What is the driving force behind the sector theory?

3. Why is the multiple nuclei theory considered more flexible than the concentric zone theory or the sector theory?

Critical Thinking

4. Summarizing Information Summarize the evolution of cities, focusing on the differences between life in preindustrial cities and life in industrial and suburban cities.

5. Applying Concepts Discuss the major contributions the four theories of city growth have made to our understanding of city growth.

Men come together in cities in order to live. They remain together in order to live the good life.

Aristotle
Greek philosoper
Reviewing Vocabulary

Complete each sentence using each term once.

a. demography  
g. crude death rate  
b. fertility  
h. infant mortality rate  
c. fecundity  
i. migration  
d. crude birth rate  
j. doubling time  
e. fertility rate  
k. replacement level  
f. mortality  
l. urban ecology

1. ___________ is the number of children born to a woman or a population of women.
2. The annual number of live births per one thousand women aged fifteen to forty-four is called ___________.
3. ___________ refers to the deaths within a population.
4. The annual number of deaths per one thousand members of a population is called ___________.
5. ___________ is the annual number of deaths among infants under the age of one per one thousand live births.
6. The number of years needed to double the base population is known as the ___________.
7. ___________ is the birth rate at which a couple replaces itself without adding to the population.
8. The scientific study of population is called ___________.
9. The study of relationships between humans and their city environments is called ___________.
10. ___________ is the movement of people from one geographic area to another.
11. The annual number of live births per one thousand members of a population is called ___________.
12. ___________ is the maximum rate at which women can physically produce children.

Self-Check Quiz
Visit the Sociology and You Web site at soc.glencoe.com and click on Chapter 16—Self-Check Quizzes to prepare for the chapter test.
Chapter 16 Assessment

Reviewing the Facts

1. Identify and describe the three population processes. Use a diagram similar to the one below to record your answers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. What is suburbanization?
3. What was Thomas Malthus’ solution for overpopulation?
4. In your own words, explain population momentum.
5. What is the difference between replacement level and zero population growth?
6. List and explain the four major theories of city growth.

Thinking Critically

1. Making Generalizations The United States is actually nearing zero population growth—except for the influx of immigrants. Recall from your history or government classes as many of the benefits and disadvantages of open immigration as you can and discuss them in class. Do you think immigration should be a factor in considering methods of controlling population? Why or why not?

2. Drawing Conclusions Sometime in October 1999, the world population reached six billion. As you read in the chapter, the population is expected to reach seven billion by 2010. How are technological improvements contributing to this rapid growth?

3. Analyzing Information Technology has been credited with increasing population growth. In what ways might it be employed to slow down the rate of population growth?

4. Making Inferences One of the great debates concerning population growth is whether there is enough food to supply the world. Some argue that, each year, tons of food supplies sit in bins waiting to be used but are wasted because there is no way to get the supplies where they are needed. Others argue that we can raise agricultural productivity no higher and will soon be unable to feed the world. What factors affect the availability of food in developing nations? In industrial and postindustrial societies?

5. Drawing Conclusions Universal education, according to Thomas Malthus, could be the great equalizer in raising the quality of life for all human beings. As a budding sociologist, would you agree with Malthus that education is the only real solution to current world problems? Would universal education really level the playing field for all? Explain your views.

6. Making Inferences Emile Durkheim was concerned about the changes brought on by the Industrial Revolution. He studied suicide rates and found them to be higher in urban areas. What factors might contribute to higher suicide rates in urban areas that would not be factors in rural areas? Do you think Durkheim’s findings hold today, or is the likelihood of suicide just as great in rural and suburban areas?

7. Applying Concepts By U.S. Census Bureau definition, a population of 2,500 qualifies a community to be called a city. What are some factors that clearly distinguish communities of 2,500 from places such as Los Angeles and New York? Do you consider your community to be a city in the modern sense? Why or why not?

Sociology Projects

1. Doubling Time Choose a country and find its doubling time. Then, using the library or multimedia sources, identify reasons for that country’s doubling time. Consider some of the variables mentioned in the text, such as infant mortality rate, wars, and epidemics. Be prepared to give a brief oral report to the class on your findings.
2. **The Effects of Doubling Time** Review the analogy of the chessboard given on page 538 of the text. Now, get a calculator and draw a chessboard with sixty-four squares. Starting with one “person” on the first square, start doubling the number of people for each square. At what point do the numbers become unmanageable? How does this little demonstration illustrate the effects of doubling time?

3. **Demographic Transition** Pick another country. Of the four stages of demographic transition described on pages 539–540, which one best reflects the country you chose? What are the factors that caused you to place the country at this stage?

4. **Theories of Urban Growth** Obtain a map of a large city in your area. (If you live in a fairly large city, use a map of it.) By looking at the map, can you determine if patterns of growth in this city proceeded according to one of the theories of urban growth described in the chapter? If so, take a marker and illustrate the patterns on the map. You might also talk with people in the city who have some knowledge of how the city changed over time, such as the local historical society, city clerks, or a local sociologist. Try to find out what growth pattern the city followed.

5. **Social Institutions** By definition, all communities have the following social institutions: family, education, science/technology, politics, religion, sports, and economy. Locate a map of your community (city hall is a good source for these maps). With two or three classmates, pick a part of town for the focus of your project. In the part of town you chose, take a photograph of at least one example of each type of institution. For the family, for instance, you might take a picture of a house. Look to see how many of the institutions are in your chosen neighborhood, and then bring back some item or souvenir from each of the institutions, if possible. For example, if you select a restaurant (economic institution) you might bring back a menu. Be sure to ask permission for everything you take. Present your photos and souvenirs to the class on a poster board.

6. **World Population Growth** Talk with some older people in your family or neighborhood about how the growing world population has affected them. Ask them to identify some changes that have taken place since 1960 (when the world population was only three billion). Write down their comments in the form of a script, as if you were interviewing them for a magazine article.

7. **Urban Planning** Choose three classmates to join you as members of the Urban Planning Board of Betterville, USA. As members of the Urban Planning Board, it is your task to jointly design the city for redevelopment. Examine the four major theories of city growth. Determine which theory or combination of theories you would use to design Betterville. Create a visual representation of your city design (e.g., blueprint, chart, artist rendering, etc.). Write a one-page essay explaining the theory or combination of theories that you chose and the rationale for your choice.

---

**Technology Activity**

1. William Julius Wilson, a sociologist at Harvard University, has done extensive research on what the text calls the central-city dilemma. The Public Broadcasting System (PBS) sponsored an on-line forum with Dr. Wilson, called “A Look at the Truly Disadvantaged.” Go to this web site at [http://www.pbs.org/newshour/forum/november96/wilson_11-29.html](http://www.pbs.org/newshour/forum/november96/wilson_11-29.html) and select “Why is inner city education so poor?”

   a. What is to blame for the poor results often obtained in inner city schools, according to Dr. Wilson?

   b. Now select “How can inner cities be reconnected to the rest of American society?” What are Dr. Wilson’s recommendations for solving the central-city dilemma?

   c. Read some of the “Viewer comments.” Do you agree or disagree with any of the comments shown there? What do you think could be done to solve the problems in inner cities?
Baby boomers have ushered in most every major trend over the past 50 years. But it was their grandparents who initiated the most radical demographic change of the past half-century—a dramatic decline in death rates at older ages. In fact, about the time boomers were rambunctiously burning draft cards, their elders quietly began nullifying actuarial tables. By 1990 there were more than 1.5 million Americans age 85 and over who wouldn’t have been alive if death rates had stayed at the 1960 level.

Extrapolating this trend, demographer James Vaupel has made a bold prediction: Half of the girls and a third of the boys recently born in the developed world will live to be 100. Vaupel similarly expects millions of former flower children to defy federal population forecasts and make good on their old chant, “Hell no, we won’t go!”—he has projected there could be nearly 37 million boomers age 85 and over by 2050, more than twice the government’s best guess. That would mean a much higher proportion of senior citizens nationwide than Florida has today.

Vaupel [is] no shallow visionary. A few years ago many of his colleagues scoffed when he challenged a grim canon about aging. It holds that death rates rise exponentially with age in adult animals, including humans—the older you are, the theory goes, the more likely you are to die. Aided by other researchers, he marshaled data on everything from Swedish women to Medflies to show it ain’t so; for good measure, he threw in supporting data on the death rates of old cars. The team demonstrated that mortality can plateau and, strangely, even drop among the very old—as if the Fates were nodding off after a long wait.

Vaupel sees this “mortality deceleration” as a subplot of a grand mystery that has preoccupied demographers for over a decade: Why have the elderly been living longer than their forebears since about 1970? Some of the causes are obvious, such as the averting of millions of fatal heart attacks by blood-pressure drugs widely used since the 1960s. But many experts on aging feel that such well-known factors can’t explain the trend’s surprising speed and breadth.

Casting about for explanations, some demographers theorize that deep, little-understood changes are afoot that will help sustain the trend for decades. Vaupel has stuck his neck out farther than most by proposing that the aging process may actually slow down in very old people, an idea based on his mortality-deceleration work. That particular idea remains highly controversial. But Vaupel’s bullish view that longevity gains will continue apace is widely shared. Indeed, many demographers are now more bullish than the Social Security Administration, which projects that the decline in old-age death rates will slow to a crawl early in the next century.

The bulls’ predictions raise a burning issue: If we receive a gift of extra years, will it turn out to be a Pandora’s box filled with hobbled diseases? For most of this century death rates and the prevalence of chronic diseases among the el-
derly have dropped in tandem. But “we’re balanced on a razor’s edge,” says Eric Stallard, a demography professor at Duke University. If medical advances make mortality fall faster than disease, we’ll wind up spending costly extra years in nursing homes. Or worse: “We may face the gruesome prospect of poor, disabled, homeless older Americans living out the end of their lives on city streets and in parks,” warns Edward L. Schneider, dean of gerontology at the University of South Carolina.

Source: Adapted from David Stipp, “Hell No, We Won’t Go,” Fortune, July 19, 1999: 102, 104.

Read and React

1. What is the surprising demographic trend referred to in the title of this article?
2. What has happened to the death rates in the United States since 1960?
3. What is meant by the term mortality deceleration?
4. What are some positive and negative effects an aging population would have on the social structure of this country?
When you see photos or films showing the Plains Indians of the Old West—Sioux, Crow, and so forth—what do you think about the culture of those Native Americans? If you’re like most of us, you may assume that it had remained unchanged for many centuries—that these people dressed and acted in exactly the same way as their ancestors.

We often assume that nonindustrial societies such as these stand still over time. Actually, though, sociology teaches us that change comes to all societies. Whether by borrowing from other cultures, discovering new ways of doing things, or creating inventions that ripple through society, all peoples experience social change.

Let’s return to the example of the Plains Indians. You may picture these tribes as fierce, buffalo-hunting warriors. Perhaps images of Sitting Bull and Crazy Horse astride fast horses attacking Custer come to mind, leading you to think that their ancestors for centuries had also ridden horses. In fact, horses were a relatively recent introduction to Plains Indian culture in the 1800s. The Spanish brought modern horses to North America, and not until the late 1600s and early 1700s were horses available in large numbers to the Plains Indians. Early Native American tribes on the Plains had been nomads living more off wild food plants than buffalo. This chapter will examine different ways change affects society.

**Sections**

1. Social Change
   2. Theoretical Perspectives on Social Change
   3. Collective Behavior
   4. Social Movements

**Learning Objectives**

After reading this chapter, you will be able to

- illustrate the three social processes that contribute to social change.
- discuss how technology, population, natural environment, revolution, and war cause cultures to change.
- describe social change as viewed by the functionalist and conflict perspectives.
- discuss rumors, fads, and fashions.
- compare and contrast theories of crowd behavior.
- compare and contrast theories of social movements.

**Chapter Overview**

Visit the Sociology and You Web site at [soc.glencoe.com](http://soc.glencoe.com) and click on Chapter 17—Chapter Overviews to preview chapter information.
Defining Social Change

Change is one of the most constant features of American society. This is so true that it is almost a cliché. In fact, all societies change—some rapidly, others more slowly. For sociologists, social change occurs when many members of the society adopt new behaviors. The behaviors must have long-term and important consequences.

How fast has social change occurred?

Scientists use an analogy to help people understand the pace of social change. Imagine for a moment the entire history of Earth as a 365-day period. Midnight of January 1 is the starting point. Today's date is December 31. Each Earth “day” represents about twelve million years. The first form of life, a simple bacterium, appeared in February. More complex life, such as fish, appeared about November 20. On December 10, the dinosaurs appeared; by Christmas they were extinct. The first recognizable human beings did not appear until the afternoon of December 31. Modern humans (homo sapiens) emerged shortly before midnight that day. All of recorded history occurred in the last sixty seconds of the year (Ornstein and Ehrlich, 1991). In the scheme of history, then, human social changes occur in the “blink of an eye.” Only when we look at social change from the perspective of the human life span does it sometimes seem to be a slow process.

Can social change be predicted?

It is difficult to predict how a society will change. This is partly because the course of change in a society depends on the nature of the existing culture. For example, two societies that adopt a democratic form of government may develop in very different ways. Both Britain and the United States are democracies. But their histories prior to becoming democracies were different, since Britain had a royal tradition. As a result, democratic government took different forms in these two nations.
In addition, change does not merely “happen” to people. People in a society can consciously decide for themselves how change will occur. They can, for example, deliberately avoid a predicted state of affairs (Caplow, 1991). These facts should not discourage people from attempting to understand changes in society. Alexis de Tocqueville was a Frenchman who published a remarkably penetrating study of American society after a tour in the early 1830s. The accuracy of his predictions was based upon sound assumptions he made about American society. Figure 17.1 discusses these basic premises.

Why do some societies change faster than others?

Understanding why some societies change faster than others is another difficult task. Sociologists have identified several important social processes that influence the pace of social change. In addition, several specific factors play important roles. We turn first to the social processes and then to the specific agents, or factors, that affect rates of change.

Social Processes

A process is a series of steps that lead gradually to a result. As you get closer to graduation from high school, you may decide to continue your formal education. You will then begin a process of applying for acceptance to various colleges. If you follow all the steps in the necessary order and meet the colleges’ criteria for entrance, the end result of your application process will be an acceptance letter.

“

The past is a foreign country. They do things differently there.

L.P. Hartley
short story author

”
Cultures and societies experience social processes that result in significant changes. Three important social processes are discovery, invention, and diffusion.

How does discovery promote social change? In the discovery process, something is either learned or reinterpreted. When early ocean explorers did not fall off the end of the world, they changed what all but a few people believed about the shape of the earth. With this geographical knowledge came new patterns of migration, commerce, and colonization. Salt, another early discovery, was first used to flavor food. Because it was so highly valued, it also came to be used as money in Africa and as a religious offering among early Greeks and Romans. Fire was used at first by prehistoric peoples for warmth and cooking. Later, people discovered that fire could be used to clear fields, to create ash for fertilizer, and to melt ores to combine into new metals.

What is the role of invention in social change? Invention is the creation of something new from items or processes that already exist. Examples of physical inventions come easily to mind. Consider the airplane. It was not so much the materials Orville and Wilbur Wright used—most of the parts were available—but the way the brothers combined these materials that enabled them to make their successful flight at Kitty Hawk.

The pace of social change through invention is closely tied to how complex the society or culture already is. The greater the number of existing items, or elements, the more ways they can be combined into inventions. Thus, the more complex and varied a society, the more rapidly it will change. This helps to explain why people reached the moon less than seventy years after the Wright brothers’ first flight, even though scientists believe that several million years had passed between the appearance of the human species and the invention of the airplane. NASA was able to reach the moon relatively quickly because the United States had become advanced in such areas as physics, aerodynamics, and the manufacturing of specialized materials.

How important is diffusion in social change? When one group borrows something from another group—norms, values, foods, styles of architecture—change occurs through the process of diffusion. The extent and rate of diffusion depend on the degree of social contact. The more contact a group has with another group, the more likely it is that objects or ideas will be exchanged. In other words, social contact has the same effect on diffusion that complexity has on invention.

Borrowing may involve entire societies. The American colonists learned methods of growing cotton that were first developed in India. Potatoes from South America were transplanted across the Atlantic to become Ireland’s most important food crop. Diffusion may also take place between groups within the same society. African American musicians were the creators of a jazz subculture that spread throughout white America (and into other countries as well).
Before it is widely accepted, a borrowed element must harmonize with the group culture. In spite of the fact that unisex fashion is popular in America today, wearing a Scottish kilt on the job could get a construction worker laughed off the top of a skyscraper. Wearing kilts still clashes with the American definition of manhood. If skirts are ever to become as acceptable for American men as pants are for women, either their form will have to be modified or the cultural concept of masculinity will have to change.

Diffusion may involve using only part of a borrowed characteristic or trait. The Japanese, for example, accept capitalism but resist the American form of democratic government, style of conducting business, and family structure. Diffusion almost always involves picking and choosing.

In modern society, most aspects of culture are borrowed rather than created. The processes of discovery and invention are important, but usually far more elements enter a society through cultural diffusion.

**Technology**

Besides the three processes for social change, sociologists have identified some major forces that lead to change. **Technology** includes knowledge and hardware (tools) that are used to achieve practical goals. The appearance of new technology is generally a sign that social change will soon follow (MacKenzie and Wajcman, 1998).

**How important is technology to social change?** Technology is a prime promoter of social change. *Time* magazine’s selection of Albert Einstein as the person of the century reflected the magazine’s conclusion that the twentieth century will be remembered most for its advances in science and technology (Golden, 1999).

The creation of the silicon chip, which led to the computer revolution, has brought about technological change at an astounding rate. It took more than a century for telephones to spread to 94 percent of the homes
in the United States. In contrast, in less than five years the Internet had reached over 25 percent of Americans. (See page 29 for a comparison of the number of years it took for various technologies to be adopted in U.S. households.)

The changes that resulted from the use of computers are almost impossible to list. In 1999, social historian Francis Fukuyama described a workplace undergoing a transformation. The effects of these changes, he claims, will be as great as those of the Industrial Revolution. Telecommunications technology, for example, will allow many to work from their homes, but it will result in far less human interaction (McGinn and Raymond, 1997–98). In the field of medicine, computer technology has radically changed many surgical techniques. Microsurgeries and radio wave therapy are examples (Cowley and Underwood, 1997–98). Drivers in Germany can get real-time computer-generated information on traffic problems on the autobahn by using cell phones or electronic consoles in their cars.

Population

Changing demographics are another important factor for creating social change. A classic example is the huge increase in the birth of babies following the return of American soldiers at the end of World War II (the so-called baby boom). Americans born between 1946 and 1964 caused the expansion of child healthcare facilities and created the need for more teachers and schools in the 1950s and 1960s. On the other hand, the generation following baby boomers now in their thirties and in the labor market are experiencing increased competition for jobs and fewer opportunities to move up the career ladder. As the baby boomers retire, problems of health care and Social Security loom large. Longer working hours, retraining programs, and reeducation for older people will probably become political issues for future elections. As America’s population continues to age, more attention is being paid to our senior citizens. Already, there are more extended-care homes, an increase in geriatric emphasis in medicine, and more television advertising and programming targeting the aging elderly population.

The Natural Environment

Interaction with the natural environment has, from the earliest times, also transformed American life. The vast territory west of the thirteen colonies permitted the nation to expand, ultimately to the Pacific Ocean. This western movement helped shape our cultural identity and values. It also caused untold changes, most tragically the destruction of many Native American cultures.

The environment continued to shape historical events, especially when natural disasters occurred. The Great Depression of the 1930s was due in part to a long drought that hit the Midwestern plains states. Overplanting and plowing had upset the fragile ecosystem and turned the prairies into a giant “dust bowl.”
Diffusion is one of the social processes that creates social change. The society of the Plains Indians in the west central United States was altered drastically by the European introduction of the horse—an example of diffusion.

In the nineteenth century, horses were the primary means of transportation and as such were an integral part of Plains Indian culture. The modern horse, however, was not native to the Americas, but was first brought by the Spanish. It was not until the late 1600s and early 1700s that horses in any numbers became available to the tribes of the Great Plains.

The horse truly revolutionized life among the Plains tribes. The horse drastically altered the economic base and changed the lifestyle of these peoples. On horseback a hunter armed with bow and arrow could find and kill enough bison within a few months to feed his family for the year. Not only could he kill larger numbers of game animals, but he could pack the meat onto horses and readily transport it vast distances. Horses also allowed for the transporting of increased quantities of material goods. Teepees increased in size, and clothing and other material items became increasingly abundant and elaborate in decoration. For the first time these widely scattered groups could gather together in large camps, sometimes numbering in the thousands, for at least a portion of the year. In short, the horse quickly elevated the Plains tribes to relative prosperity.

The horse also sharply altered the relationship between these peoples and the neighboring farming tribes. The once relatively inoffensive nomads were now transformed into aggressive, predatory raiders. The Plains tribes were now capable of quickly assembling large parties of horse-mounted warriors who could raid the sedentary farming villages with impunity. The military balance of power had shifted.

In the decades immediately after the acquisition of the horse, the original Plains tribes flourished. Attacks on the neighboring farming peoples had a devastating effect, and many villages were abandoned. It was not long, however, before many cultivators saw both the economic and the military advantages derived from being horse-mounted nomadic bison hunters. The Cheyenne and some of the Dakota abandoned the life of settled farmers and moved westward to the plains to become nomadic, teepee-dwelling, bison hunters. As they moved onto the plains, they came to challenge directly the original Plains tribes for dominance over critical hunting resources, which intensified warfare. As a result, warfare and the warrior tradition became an integral part of Plains Indian values, social organization, and behavior.


**Thinking It Over**

1. List at least five major changes that resulted from the introduction of the horse to the culture of the Plains Indians.

2. Identify an item that has been introduced to your culture from another place. (This item could be food, clothing, an invention, or even an idea.) What effect has it had on your life?
In the early 1970s, OPEC (an organization of oil-producing nations) launched an embargo, refusing to sell its oil to other countries. Because of the natural short supply of oil without the contribution of the oil-rich Middle Eastern countries, oil products became scarce and expensive, contributing to economic inflation in the United States in the 1970s and early 1980s. As a result, Americans began driving smaller, more fuel-efficient automobiles.

Revolution and War

Revolution and war are related factors that lead to social change. A revolution involves the sudden and complete overthrow of an existing social or political order. A revolution is often, but not always, accompanied by vio-
lence. Most revolutionaries expect that the revolution will bring about fundamental changes. Marx, for example, expected workers’ revolutions to eliminate class-based inequality and therefore to have a profound effect on the social and economic structures of the societies in which they occurred.

**Are revolutions normally followed by radical changes?**
According to Charles Tilly, a revolution results in the replacement of one set of power holders by another (Tilly, 1978, 1997). In the view of another respected sociologist, a post-revolutionary society is eventually replaced by a society that looks much like the original one (Brinton, 1990). Radical changes are rarely permanent because people tend to revert to more familiar customs and behaviors. They do so in part because continuity with the past provides security and a blueprint for behavior.

**What sorts of changes do follow revolutions?**
In most cases, the new social order created by a successful revolution is likely to be a compromise between the new and the old. Consider the example of China, the site of a communist revolution in 1949. The revolution did not result in the wholesale changes promised by its leaders. One of the revolutionary reforms, for example, promised liberation from sexism. The situation for Chinese women has improved, but sexual equality is a far-distant dream in that country (“Closing the Gap,” 1995).

**How does war promote social change?**
War is organized, armed conflict that occurs within a society or between nations. Sociologist Robert Nisbet (1988) described how war brings about social change through diffusion, discovery, and invention. Social change is created through diffusion because wars break down barriers between societies, bringing people from different societies together. This association leads to the adoption of new ways of thinking, feeling, and behaving.

Wars also promote invention and discovery. For example, during World War II (1939–1945), the pressure of war enabled the U.S. government to promote and finance the development of such technologies as the atomic bomb, synthetic rubber, and antibiotics. Each contributed to a cultural revolution after the war. And America’s culture, both during and after World War I, was imported by societies all over the world.

**Section 1 Assessment**

1. Briefly describe three important processes for social change.
2. Provide one example each (not given in the text) of how population and interaction with the natural environment have caused social change.
3. Explain how war can be both a positive and a negative force for social change.

**Critical Thinking**

4. **Drawing Conclusions** Identify a major social change that has occurred in your lifetime. What do you think are the major sources of this change—discovery, diffusion, or invention? Be careful to relate the manner of change to the nature of the change itself.
Americans have long expected to achieve a higher standard of living than their parents. Instead, according to Katherine Newman (1994), social and economic change are placing the American Dream in jeopardy. The downscaling of jobs and pay that occurred during the 1980s and 1990s has replaced earlier optimism with anger, doubt, and fear.

Newman spent two years conducting personal interviews with 150 Americans living in “Pleasanton,” a suburban community representative of much of America. Pleasanton is a mix of skilled blue-collar workers and white-collar professionals from a variety of ethnic and religious origins. Her respondents were schoolteachers, guidance counselors, and sixty families whose children were then grown.

The residents of Pleasanton believed that the promise of America had taken an unexpected wrong turn, and they were trying to make sense of it. Newman attempted to understand the residents’ view of this downward mobility. The stresses associated with changing economic conditions, she believed, would bring cultural expectations, disappointments, and conflicts close enough to the surface for a trained social scientist to see. As the study progressed, she did, in fact, see conflict between parents and grown children, disagreements along lines of race and ethnicity, and unhappy marriages. The following statement reveals a baby boomer’s shattered confidence in the American Dream.

*I’ll never have what my parents had. I can’t even dream of that. I’m living a lifestyle that’s way lower than it was when I was growing up and it’s depressing. You know it’s a rude awakening when you’re out in the world on your own. . . . I took what was given to me and tried to use it the best way I could. Even if you are a hard worker and you never skipped a beat, you followed all the rules, did everything they told you you were supposed to do, it’s still horrendous. They lied to me. You don’t get where you were supposed to wind up. At the end of the road it isn’t there. I worked all those years and then I didn’t get to candy land. The prize wasn’t there . . . (Newman, 1994:3).

After a detailed and often personal exploration of what Newman calls the “withering American Dream,” she turns to the larger social and political implications for society. She explores the transition from a society of upward mobility based on effort and merit to a society in which social classes of birth increasingly dictate future social and economic positions.
According to Newman, the soul of America is at stake. She raises these questions: Will Americans turn to exclusive self-interest, or will they care for others as well as themselves? Will suburbanites turn a blind eye to the rapidly deteriorating inner cities? Will the generational, racial, and ethnic groups turn inward, or will they attempt to bridge the divides that threaten to separate them further?

A partial answer to these questions is reflected in public opinion about federal, state, and local tax revenues. If the residents of Pleasanton are any guide, Americans do not wish to invest in the common good. Public schools, colleges, universities, and inner cities, for example, are receiving a rapidly declining share of public economic support. In conclusion, Newman states:

\[\text{This does not augur well for the soul of the country in the twenty-first century. Every great nation draws its strength from a social contract, an unspoken agreement to provide for one another, to reach across the narrow self-interests of generations, ethnic groups, races, classes, and genders toward some vision of the common good. Taxes and budgets—the mundane preoccupations of city hall—express this commitment, or lack of it, in the bluntest fashion. Through these mechanistic devices, we are forced to confront some of the most searching philosophical questions that face any country: What do we owe one another as members of a society? Can we sustain a collective sense of purpose in the face of the declining fortunes that are tearing us apart, leaving those who are able to scramble for advantage and those who are not to suffer out of sight? (Newman, 1994:221)}\]

\[\text{A former G.E. worker stands in front of signs lamenting the move of a plant from North Carolina to Mexico. This thirty-year veteran of the closing plant would agree that the American dream is dying.}\]

**Working with the Research**

1. Think about your past experiences at home and in other social institutions (such as schools and churches). What is your conception of the American dream, based on these experiences? Critically analyze the ways in which society shaped your conception.
2. Newman’s research was done in the early 1990s. Do you believe that she is right about the fate of the American dream? Explain.
3. If the American dream is withering, many social changes are in store. Describe the major changes you foresee.
4. Suppose Katherine Newman had decided to place her study in the context of sociological theory. Write a conclusion to her book from the theoretical perspective—functionalist or conflict theorist—that you think is most appropriate.
The Functionalist Perspective

Because functionalism emphasizes social stability and continuity, it may seem contradictory to refer to a functionalist theory of social change. There are, however, two functionalist theories of social change—proposed by William Ogburn and Talcott Parsons—that are especially interesting. Both of these theories are based on the concept of equilibrium.

Close your eyes and imagine a tightrope walker inching his way across a deep chasm on a narrow rope. If you have an active imagination, you will picture him continually shifting his body and using a pole to counterbalance the effects of the wind as well as the effects of his own motions. The tightrope walker is concerned with maintaining equilibrium. When used by sociologists, equilibrium describes a society's tendency to react to changes by making small adjustments to keep itself in a state of functioning and balance. A society in change, then, moves from stability to temporary instability and back to stability. Sociologists refer to this as a dynamic, or moving, equilibrium. For example, in 1972, a broken dam led to the destruction of the community of Buffalo Creek, West Virginia. The physical destruction of the community was accompanied by death and the loss of the old way of life. Despite the ensuing chaos, residents of the community slowly pulled their lives together again. Although things were not the same as before, a new equilibrium was built out of the physical, social, and human wreckage (Erikson, 1976).

Key Terms
- equilibrium
- urbanism

Theoretical Perspectives on Social Change

Section Preview
The functionalist and conflict perspectives view social change in very different ways. The functionalist perspective depicts societies as relatively stable. Following a major change, these integrated systems seek a new equilibrium. According to the conflict perspective, societies are unstable systems that are constantly undergoing change. Symbolic interactionism identifies decreasing shared values as a source of social instability.

equilibrium
a state of functioning and balance, maintained by a society's tendency to make small adjustments to change

Social equilibrium was shaken for a while, after an earthquake caused the collapse of this Los Angeles area freeway.
The 1960s saw the norms of sexual behavior change radically. After skyrocketing, for example, teenage pregnancy is declining. Although Americans do not follow the norms of the 1950s, a retreat from extremes is occurring as new norms of sexual behavior are being established.

The Conflict Perspective

According to the conflict perspective, social change is the result of struggles among groups for scarce resources. Social change is created as these conflicts are resolved. Many of the basic assumptions of the conflict perspective emerge from the writings of Karl Marx about social class conflicts (see page 16). Marx wrote that “without conflict, no progress: this is the law which civilization has followed to the present day.”

Sociologists such as Ralf Dahrendorf have adapted many of Marx’s ideas. Dahrendorf believes that the resources at stake are more than economic. The quest for power is the source of social change in his view. Whereas Marx saw conflict between two opposing social classes, Dahrendorf sees conflict among groups at all levels of society. Social change thus comes from a multitude of competing interest groups. These groups can be political, economic, religious, racial, ethnic, or gender based. Society changes as power relationships among interest groups change.

History seems to favor Dahrendorf’s viewpoint over Marx’s. Class conflict has not occurred in any capitalist society; social classes have not been polarized.

The single greatest power in the world today is the power to change.

Karl Deutsch
Harvard professor
into major warring factions. Rather, capitalist societies are composed of countless competing groups. In America, racial groups struggle over the issue of equal economic opportunity, environmentalists and industrialists argue about environmental protection and economic development, and so on, with many other groups at odds with opposing groups over their own special issues.

**Symbolic Interactionism**

Human beings, according to symbolic interactionism, interact with others on the basis of commonly shared symbols. The nature and frequency of social interaction are affected by the extent to which people share meanings. As shared interpretations of the world decrease, social ties weaken and social interaction becomes more impersonal.

The relationship between shared meanings and the nature of social interaction can be illustrated within the context of the change from an agricultural economy to an industrial one. Accompanying this shift is the emergence of urbanization and its distinctive way of life. This distinctive way of life is known as **urbanism**.

**What is the way of life associated with urbanism?** According to German sociologist Ferdinand Tönnies (1957), social interaction prior to the Industrial Revolution was based on shared tradition. In rural settings, daily life revolved around family, common norms and values, and an interest in the welfare of all community members. Tönnies thought that urbanization creates a very different way of life. In urban society, he wrote, social interaction is impersonal and fragmented because most people with whom one interacts are strangers who share little common tradition.

Sociologists have both agreed and disagreed with Tönnies ever since he introduced this view of urbanism in 1887. According to Tönnies’s critics, the way of life in urban society is much more varied than he described it (Gans, 1968). While some urbanites may have hardly any shared meanings on which to base social interaction with others (poor people, elderly people), many others share meanings on which they interact (members of ethnic neighborhoods, members of artistic subcultures).

We need not worry about the outcome of this ongoing debate. It has been the subject of research for sociologists for a long time. What matters here is that this research is guided by ideas of symbolic interactionism.

**Assessment—Section 2**

1. Describe an area of your life that would benefit from having more equilibrium. How might you achieve this?
2. How did Dahrendorf’s interpretation differ from Marx’s theory of social change?
3. What theory of social change best explains the enactment of civil rights laws in the 1960s?

**Critical Thinking**

4. **Finding the Main Idea** Are functionalism and conflict theory compatible as explanations for social change? Clearly distinguish the two perspectives in formulating your answer.
Collective Behavior

Key Terms

- collective behavior
- collectivity
- dispersed collectivity
- rumor
- urban legend
- fad
- fashion
- mass hysteria
- panic
- crowd
- mob
- riot
- contagion theory
- emergent norm theory
- convergence theory

Defining Collective Behavior

Collective behavior refers to the spontaneous behavior of people who are responding to similar stimuli. Let’s look more closely at some of the terms in this definition. First, what is meant by collective? When sociologists use this term, they are referring to a large number of people who do not normally interact and who do not necessarily share clearly defined norms. Sociologists call such a gathering of people a collectivity. Stimuli are outside events or persons that cause a response. Putting it all together, collective behavior involves spontaneous social interaction in which loosely connected participants influence one another’s behavior.

The study of collective behavior poses a large problem. Sociologists are used to studying structured, not unplanned, behavior. How are researchers going to investigate a social phenomenon that occurs spontaneously? In spite of this difficulty, sociologists have developed fascinating theories of collective behavior. It turns out that collective behavior involves more structure and rationality than appear on the surface. Sociologists identify several types of collective behavior:

- Rumors
- Fads
- Fashions
- Mass Hysteria
- Panics
- Urban Legends

Collective behavior involves more structure and rationality than appear on the surface. Sociologists identify several types of collective behavior:

- Contagion theory
- Emergent norm theory
- Convergence theory

Some twenty people were badly injured following a 1947 panic in Nice, France. Sociologists see some structure even in such spontaneous social behavior.
of collective behavior. In the more structured forms, such as crowds and social movements, people are in physical contact. We will look at these interactions in the following sections. In a dispersed collectivity people are widely scattered. Nevertheless, they are in some way following common rules or responding to common stimuli. Behavior among members of dispersed collectivities is not highly individualized:

When people are scattered about, they can communicate with one another in small clusters of people; all of the members of a public need not hear or see what every other member is saying or doing. And they can communicate in a variety of ways—by telephone, letter, Fax machine, computer linkup, as well as through second-, or third-, or fourth-hand talk in a gossip or rumor network (Goode, 1992:255).

Rumors, Legends, Fads, and Fashions

People will typically respond to certain information in similar ways, even when physically separated. Rumors, fads, and fashions are collective behaviors characteristic of dispersed collectivities.

What is a rumor? A rumor is a widely circulating story of questionable truth. Rumors are usually spread by people about events or other people that are of great interest to themselves. The mass media exploit the public’s fascination with rumors. Entertainment magazines devote themselves exclusively to rock idols and movie stars; tabloid newspapers are loaded with suggestive guesswork, half-truths, and innuendos; even mainstream news publications offer accounts of the rich, famous, and offbeat. As these examples suggest, rumors and gossip are closely related.

You probably heard many rumors about what would happen when the clock struck midnight on the last day of 1999. According to these rumors, power grids would fail, elevators would stop working, and the stock market would crash as the year 2000 began. According to another rumor, a fast-food restaurant chain was increasing the protein content of its hamburgers by adding ground worms. Then there was the warning about combining a soft drink and a popular candy—a combination that supposedly cause the stomach to explode. None of these rumors proved true; but they were spread and believed, in part, because they touched on people's insecurities, uncertainties, and anxieties.

How are urban legends started? Related to rumors are what Jan Harold Brunvand calls urban legends (Brunvand, 1989). Urban legends are moralistic tales passed along by people who swear the stories happened to someone they know or to an acquaintance of a friend or family member. Instead of fairy tales that take place in the far-distant past, urban legends take place in shopping malls, on city subways, and in schools. The tales often focus on current concerns and fears, such as AIDS and inner-city gangs. A typical story tells about a man who wakes up in a hotel room missing a kidney. Another describes alligators roaming the sewer systems of big cities. As cautionary tales, urban legends warn us against engaging in risky behaviors.
by pointing out what has supposedly happened to others who did what we might be tempted to try. Like rumors, urban legends permit us to play out some of our hidden fears and guilt feelings by being shocked and horrified at others’ misfortune.

**Are fads long lasting?** A fad is an unusual behavior pattern that spreads rapidly, is embraced zealously, and then disappears after a short time. The widespread popularity of a fad rests largely on its novelty. Students in the early 1970s introduced the “streaking” fad—running naked across college grounds or through occupied classrooms. More recent fads include body piercing, tattoos, retro-swing dancing, and snowboarding.

**What are fashions?** Fads are adopted by a particular group; fashions are much more widespread. A fashion is a behavior pattern that is widely approved but is expected to change periodically. In the United States today, the “in” fashions for clothing are introduced seasonally and usually involve changes in such features as skirt length and lapel width. High school students wishing to be fashionable wear the labels of Tommy Hilfiger, FUBU, Abercrombie & Fitch, Gap, and Nike.

Fashion changes show up most often in items that involve personal appearance such as clothing, jewelry, and hairstyles; but automobile design, home decorating, architecture, and politics are also subject to fashion. Slang is a language-based fashion. Slang terms go in and out of favor very quickly (Lofland, 1993). Cool, the cat’s pajamas, groovy, tubular; neat, tough, fine, awesome, rad, bad, phat, and sick are all slang terms of approval that were popular among young people of various decades.

Fashion in dress is constantly changing. Can you identify each of these styles with a decade between 1960–2000?
Unit 5  Social Change

You fail a test, lose a boyfriend, have a minor auto accident, or suffer defeat by an archrival's basketball team. You might well describe each of these occasions as a “disaster.” For sociologists, however, the term disaster is limited to events with the following characteristics:

- Extensive damage to property
- Great loss of human life
- Massive disruption to everyday living
- Unpredictability and suddenness of a short-term event

Researchers typically divide disasters into “natural disasters” such as floods, earthquakes, hurricanes and “technological accidents” such as airline crashes, nuclear plant meltdowns, and ship sinkings. But how can we classify the September 11, 2001, attacks on the World Trade Center in New York City and the Pentagon in Washington, D.C.? It was neither natural nor an accident. But, it had all the characteristics of a disaster. In fact, terrorism is introducing a new type of disaster, one that involves technology and is intentionally caused by humans.

The World Trade Center and Pentagon attacks obviously met the criteria of a disaster. Less obviously, they also exposed as false many popular beliefs about human behavior in disasters. Let’s consider four such myths within the context of this national tragedy.

- **Victims of disasters panic.** Contrary to this myth, disaster victims do not generally panic. While some individuals in disasters may panic and while mass panics may follow disasters, the prevailing response is one of general composure and problem-solving behavior. Some inside the

Mass Hysteria and Panics

**Mass hysteria** exists when collective anxiety is created by acceptance of one or more false beliefs. Orson Welles’s famous “Men from Mars” radio broadcast in 1938, though based entirely on H. G. Wells’s novel *The War of the Worlds*, caused nationwide hysteria. About one million listeners became frightened or disturbed, and thousands of Americans hit the road to avoid the invading Martians. Telephone lines were jammed as people shared rumors, anxieties, fears, and escape plans (Houseman, 1948; Cantril, 1982; Barron, 1988).

A classic example of mass hysteria was the response to imagined witches in seventeenth-century Salem, Massachusetts, chronicled in Arthur Miller’s famous play, *The Crucible*. Twenty-two people labeled witches died—twenty by hanging—before the false testimony of several young girls began to be questioned. The mass hysteria dissipated only after the false beliefs were discredited. There has been some hysteria in the United States regarding AIDS. A 1987 Gallup poll showed that a substantial proportion of Americans held
false beliefs regarding the spread of AIDS—30 percent believed insect bites could spread the disease, 26 percent related the spread to food handling or preparation, 26 percent thought AIDS could be transmitted via drinking glasses, 25 percent saw a risk in being coughed or sneezed upon, and 18 percent believed that AIDS could be contracted from toilet seats (Gallup, 1988). These mistaken ideas persisted on a widespread basis despite the medical community’s conclusion that AIDS is spread through sexual contact, by sharing hypodermic needles, and by transfusion of infected blood. By the late 1990s, knowledge, tolerance, compassion, and understanding of AIDS had increased enough that the frequency of these rumors dropped off.

**What is the difference between mass hysteria and a panic?** A panic occurs when people react to a real threat in fearful, anxious, and often self-damaging ways. Panics usually occur in response to such unexpected events as fires, invasions, and ship sinkings. Over 160 people, for example, died in the Kentucky Beverly Hills Supper Club in 1977 when a panic reaction to a World Trade Center did respond with incapacitating emotion. One secretary in shock, for example, had to be carried out by a fellow worker. Some people jumped from the towers. But the disaster failed to set off a widespread panic. Many who heeded the first building-wide instructions died after calmly remaining in their offices. And many of the survivors remained as interested observers, forcing police to broadcast an urgent plea for them to hurry away for their own safety.

- **Disaster victims respond as isolated individuals.** Typically, we picture disaster victims as individuals trying to save only themselves. Actually, according to research, people immediately engage in group efforts to help others. People in the World Trade Center with cell phones offered them to other victims desperate to call family or friends. Scores of New York police and over 300 firefighters died while working together to rescue trapped victims.

- **Disaster victims leave the scene as soon as possible.** Contrary to this myth, the majority of victims remain near the disaster site. Rather than fleeing, most victims of the World Trade Center disaster remained to help others, to witness the fire and rescue efforts, or to think about returning to their offices. In addition, large numbers of volunteers and off-site emergency personnel actually rushed to the scene. So many New Yorkers offered to donate blood that many were turned away. Bellevue Hospital at one point had five doctors for each emergency ward patient. Four firefighters who were playing golf on Staten Island saw the first plane hit the north tower. Three of those four lost their lives in rescue efforts, and they were just a few of the hundreds of firefighters who died after entering the disaster site. To help rescuers searching for survivors under the rubble, ironworkers, many of whom had built the World Trade Center, labored together in 12-hour volunteer shifts clearing away twisted steel.

- **Crime is prevalent during disasters.** Rather than increasing, crime actually decreases after a disaster. While some isolated instances of criminal behavior occur, the crime rate in a disaster falls. After the World Trade Center disaster, some looting in surrounding buildings was reported, and a Picasso drawing valued at $320,000 was stolen from a Madison Avenue art gallery. More importantly, the overall crime rate in New York City declined 34 percent in the week following the disaster. According to the NYPD, arrests were down 64 percent compared to the same seven days the previous years.

**Doing Sociology**

1. Think of some event you formerly considered a disaster. Explain why it was not a disaster from a sociological viewpoint.
2. Do you think that the behavior following the terrorist attack on the World Trade Center is best explained by functionalism, conflict theory, or symbolic interactionism? Explain your choice.

**mass hysteria**

collective anxiety created by the acceptance of one or more false beliefs

**panic**

reaction to a real threat in fearful, anxious, and often self-damaging ways
fire caused a jamming of the escape routes. Interestingly enough, people often do not panic after natural disasters such as earthquakes and floods. Although panics may occur at the outset, major natural catastrophes usually lead to highly structured behavior (Erikson, 1976; Dynes and Tierney, 1994).

**Crowds**

A **crowd** is a temporary collection of people who share an immediate common interest. The temporary residents of a large campground, each occupied with his or her own activities, would not be considered a crowd. Sociologists would call this kind of gathering an **aggregate**. But if some stimulus, such as the landing of a hot-air balloon or the sudden appearance of a bear, drew the campers together, the aggregate would become a crowd.

People in a crowd often have no predefined ideas about the way they should behave. They do, however, share the urgent feeling that something either is about to happen or should be made to happen.

**Are there different types of crowds?** Sociologist Herbert Blumer (1969a) has distinguished four basic types of crowds.

1. A **casual crowd** is the least organized, least emotional, and most temporary type of crowd. Although the people in a casual crowd share some point of interest, it is minor and fades quickly. Members of a casual crowd may gather with others to observe the aftermath of an accident, to watch someone threatening to jump from a building, or to listen to a street rap group.

2. A **conventional crowd** has a specific purpose and follows accepted norms for appropriate behavior. People watching a film, taking a chartered flight to a university ball game, or observing a tennis match are in conventional crowds. As in casual crowds, there is little interaction among members of conventional crowds.

3. **Expressive crowds** have no significant or long-term purpose beyond unleashing emotion. Their members are collectively caught up in a dominating, all-encompassing mood of the moment. Free expression of emotion—yelling, crying, laughing, jumping—is the main characteristic of this type of crowd. Hysterical fans at a rock concert, the multitude gathered at Times Square on New Year’s Eve, and the some 250,000 Americans at the Woodstock music festival in 1999 are all examples of expressive crowds.

4. Finally, a crowd that takes some action toward a target is an **acting crowd**. This type of crowd concentrates intensely on some objective and engages in aggressive behavior to achieve it. Protestors at the 1999 World Trade Organization (WTO) meeting in Seattle were an acting crowd. Although the protests involved many groups with various objectives, they all shared the goal of placing “people before profits” (Klee, 1999). A conventional crowd may become an acting crowd, as when European soccer fans abandon the guidelines for spectators in order to attack the officials. Similarly, an expressive crowd may become an acting one, as in the case of celebrating Super Bowl fans who wind up overturning cars and destroying property. Mobs are acting crowds, as are crowds engaging in riots.
What are mobs and riots? A mob is an emotionally stimulated, disorderly crowd that is ready to use destructiveness and violence to achieve a purpose. A mob knows what it wants to do and considers all other things distractions. In fact, individuals who are tempted to deviate from the mob’s purpose are pressured to conform. Concentration on the main event is maintained by strong leadership.

Mobs have a long and violent history. Many students are familiar with the scenes of mob actions described by Charles Dickens in the classic story *A Tale of Two Cities*. The formation of mobs is not limited to revolutions, however. During the mid-1700s, American colonists mobbed tax collectors as well as other political officials appointed by the British. During the Civil War, hundreds of people were killed or injured as armed mobs protested against the Union Army’s draft. Mobs in the United States have acted as judges, juries, and executioners in the lynching of African Americans (as well as some whites) since the end of the nineteenth century.

Some acting crowds, although engaged in deliberate destructiveness and violence, do not have the mob’s sense of common purpose. These episodes of crowd destructiveness and violence are called riots. Riots involve a much wider range of activities than mob action. Whereas a mob surges to burn a particular building, to lynch an individual, or to throw bombs at a government official’s car, rioters often direct their violence and destructiveness at targets simply because they are convenient. People who participate in riots typically lack power and engage in destructive behavior as a way to express their frustrations. A riot, usually triggered by a single event, is best understood within the context of long-standing tensions.

Ghetto riots tore through many large American cities during the summer of 1967. The riots occurred against a background of massive unemployment, uncaring slum landlords, poverty, discrimination, and charges of police brutality. In 1989, thousands of angry citizens stormed the secret police headquarters in East Berlin. Although no one was killed or injured, the protest aroused widespread fear that the country was about to drop into anarchy (Bierman, 1990). In 1992 police officers charged in the beating of Rodney King in Los Angeles were acquitted by a jury. In the aftermath of the acquittals, Los Angeles experienced America’s deadliest riots in twenty-five years. Two days of rioting left the City of Angels with at least 53 dead, over 2,000 injured, over 16,000 arrested, and an estimated $800 million in damage from looting and burning (Duke and Escobar, 1992; Mathews, 1992).

Theories of Crowd Behavior

Theories have been developed to explain crowd behavior. The three most important are contagion theory, emergent norm theory and convergence theory.

What is contagion theory? Contagion often refers to the spread of disease from person to person. Accordingly, contagion theory focuses on the spread of emotion in a crowd. As emotional intensity in the crowd increases, people temporarily lose their individuality to the “will” of the crowd. This makes it possible for a charismatic or manipulative leader to direct crowd behavior, at least initially.

Contagion theory has its roots in the classic 1895 work of Gustave Le Bon (originally published in 1895). Le Bon was a French aristocrat who disdained
crowds made up of the masses. People in crowds, Le Bon thought, were reduced to a nearly subhuman level.

_By the mere fact that he forms part of an organized crowd, a man descends several rungs in the ladder of civilization. Isolated, he may be a cultivated individual; in a crowd, he is a barbarian—that is, a creature acting by instinct. He possesses the spontaneity, the violence, the ferocity, and also the enthusiasm and heroism of primitive beings_ (Le Bon, 1960:32).

Herbert Blumer (1969a) has offered another version of contagion theory. Blumer avoids Le Bon’s elitist bias but still implies that crowds are irrational and out of control. For Blumer, the basic process in crowds is a “circular reaction”—people mutually stimulating one another. This process includes three stages. In _milling_, the first stage, people move around in an aimless and random fashion, much like excited herds of cattle or sheep. Through milling, people become increasingly aware of and sensitive to one another; they enter something akin to a hypnotic trance. All of this prepares the crowd to act in a concerted and spontaneous way.

The second stage, _collective excitement_, is a more intense form of milling. At this stage, crowd members become impulsive, unstable, and highly responsive to the actions and suggestions of others. Individuals begin to lose their personal identities and take on the identity of the crowd.

The last stage, _social contagion_, is an extension of the other stages. Behavior in this stage involves rigid, unthinking, and nonrational transmission of mood, impulse, or behavior. We see such behavior, for example, when fans at soccer games in Europe launch attacks on referees that disrupt games and leave people injured or even killed. Taking a less extreme case, people at auctions can find themselves buying objects of little or no value to them because they have become caught up in the excitement of bidding.

What is emergent norm theory? Sociologists today realize that much crowd behavior, even in mobs, is actually very rational (McPhail, 1991). Emergent norm theory stresses the similarity between daily social behavior and crowd behavior. In both situations, norms guide behavior (Turner, 1964; Turner and Killian, 1987). So even within crowds, rules develop. These rules are emergent norms because the crowd participants are not aware of the rules until they find themselves in a particular situation. The norms develop on the spot as crowd participants pick up cues for expected behavior.

Contagion theory proposes a collective mind that motivates members of the crowd to act. According to emergent norm theory, people in a crowd are present for a variety of reasons. Hence, they do not all behave in the same way. Conformity may be active (some people in a riot may take home as many watches and rings as they can carry) or passive (others may simply not interfere with the looters, although they take nothing for themselves). In Nazi Germany, for instance, some people destroyed the stores of Jewish merchants, while others watched silently.

What is convergence theory? Both the contagion and emergent norm theories of crowd behavior assume that individuals are merely responding to those around them. It may be a more emotional response (as in contagion theory) or a more rational response (as in emergent norm theory). In other words, the independent variable in crowd behavior is the crowd itself. In contrast, in convergence theory crowds are formed by people who deliberately...
congregate with others who they know to be like-minded. According to convergence theory, the independent variable in crowd behavior is the desire of people with a common interest to come together.

There have been many instances of crowds gathering in front of clinics to discourage abortions. This behavior, say convergence theorists, does not simply occur because people happened to be at the same place and are influenced by others. Such a crowd is motivated to form because of shared values, beliefs, and attitudes (Berk, 1974).

**Section 3 Assessment**

1. How is a dispersed collectivity different from other types of collectivities?
2. Some observers at a lynching do not participate but do not attempt to stop the lynching. Which of the following theories of crowd behavior best explains this?
   a. contagion theory
   b. crowd decision theory
   c. emergent norm theory
d. convergence theory

**Critical Thinking**

3. **Making Generalizations** Rumors may or may not be true. Do you think most rumors turn out to be false? Why or why not?
4. **Applying Concepts** Identify a current rumor, fad, or fashion. Explain why it is part of a dispersed collectivity.
5. **Applying Concepts** Think of a crowd you have been part of, and identify it as one of the four types of crowds described in the text. Provide examples of behavior within the crowd (yours or someone else’s) that illustrate why it was that particular type.

**Police made mass arrests in 1992 when anti-abortion activists attempted to blockade a Milwaukee abortion clinic. How does this behavior relate to convergence theory?**

“

The Mob has many Heads, but no Brains.

Thomas Fuller
English minister

“
Even before e-mail and the Internet, rumors spread like wildfire. Now, with instantaneous and multiple communications, there is virtually no limit to how fast a rumor can travel. A recent example demonstrates how quickly rumors can spread through the Internet.

The “gangsta” rapper Tupac Shakur was shot four times while riding in a car on the Las Vegas strip. A week after his death, a rumor surfaced that he was still alive. This rumor became so widespread on the Internet that the television show *Nightline* reported it. *Nightline* gave no credence to the story, but it found the rumor itself worth reporting. The rumor is still believed by many of Shakur’s fans.

The Internet has rumors, gossip, and conspiracy theories to satisfy almost any taste. *Conspiracy Nation*, a magazine devoted to conspiracy theories, has a web site that describes dozens of rumors about plots. A recent offering, for example, explored efforts by the “new world order” to clone human beings. Other articles have examined a variety of theories on political assassinations and suicides (Rust and Danitz, 1998). E-mail chain letters spread rumors ranging from impending doom caused by various computer viruses to tales of free vacations and cash prizes (Branscum, 1999). Clearly, the Internet can accelerate and magnify the effects of such rumors.

And the effects of rumors can be serious. A recent Internet rumor erroneously charged a reputable on-line information publisher with selling its customers’ credit and medical histories. Another rumor, originated by Internet tabloid journalist Matt Drudge, charged a key Clinton White House aide with wife abuse. These types of rumors can have serious effects on a person’s reputation or the financial stability of a company.

Such damage can now occur overnight, be long lasting, and even become irreversible. “Now the Internet is taking hearsay global at light speed, shaking up the media and blurring fact and fiction like never before” (Rust and Danitz, 1998: 22).

### Analyzing the Trends

How much credibility do you give to information from an Internet source? What criteria do you think should be used to evaluate the validity of information?
The Nature of Social Movements

The social movement is the most highly structured, rational, and enduring form of collective behavior. Several defining elements characterize social movements.

❖ a large number of people
❖ a common goal to promote or prevent social change
❖ structured organization with commonly recognized leaders
❖ activity sustained over a relatively long time period

Examples of past and present social movements include the American Revolution, abolitionism, the suffragette movement, the pro-life and pro-choice movements, and the environmental movement.

Most social movements are started to stimulate change. As the definition indicates, however, a social movement may instead oppose change. Conservative political and fundamentalist religious organizations for example, are engaged in a concerted effort to oppose abortion (Tax, 1999). The National Rifle Association has focused its resources and membership on blocking certain gun control legislation (Walsh and Suro, 1999).

“A very human need to belong is a prime motivator for joining social movements. What other motivations might be responsible for causing a person to join a social movement?”
Despite commonalities, various social movements have unique characteristics. It is difficult to compare the civil rights movement with the environmental movement. This has led sociologists to study differences between social movements. David Aberle (1991) has identified four basic types of social movements.

❖ A revolutionary movement attempts to change a society totally. The American Revolution was one of the most successful revolutionary movements in history. Another example is the revolutionary movement
led by Mao Zedong in China. As a result of Mao’s revolutionary movement, a communist government was instituted.

- A **reformative movement** aims to effect more limited changes in a society. The Women’s Christian Temperance Union (an antialcohol organization founded in 1874) and the antiwar movement of the 1960s illustrate this type of social movement.

- A **redemptive movement** focuses on changing people completely. The religious cult of David Koresh (the Branch Davidians) was a redemptive movement.

- An **alternative movement** seeks only limited changes in people. Zero Population Growth, an organization that celebrated its thirtieth anniversary in 1998, illustrates such a movement. It attempts to persuade people to limit the size of their families. It does not advocate sweeping lifestyle changes, however; nor does it advocate legal penalties for large families.

### Theories of Social Movements

Because of the highly structured nature of social movements, sociologists have been able to analyze this form of collective behavior. Two major theories of social movements have evolved. One is **value-added theory**, and the other is **resource mobilization theory**.

**What is value-added theory?** Before discussing value-added theory, we need to understand the concept of adding value. In the value-added process, each step in the creation of a product contributes, or adds value, to the final entity. Neil Smelser, the sociologist who originated the value-added theory of social movements, gives an example involving automobile production.

An example of [the value-added process] is the conversion of iron ore into finished automobiles by a number of stages of processing. Relevant stages would be mining, smelting, tempering, shaping, and combining the steel with other parts, painting, delivering to retailer, and selling. Each stage “adds its value” to the final cost of the finished product. The key element in this example is that the earlier stages must combine according to a certain pattern before the next stage can contribute its particular value to the finished product, an automobile. Painting, in order to be effective as a “determinant” in shaping the product, has to “wait” for the completion of the earlier processes. Every stage in the value-added process, therefore, is a necessary condition for the appropriate and effective condition of value in the next stage (Smelser, 1971:13–14).

Smelser used this process as a model to understand social movements. The **value-added theory** identifies six conditions that must exist in order for social movements to occur.
1. **Structural conduciveness.** The environment must be social-movement friendly. The college student demonstrations in the 1960s and 1970s occurred because of the war in Vietnam, yes, but also because most college campuses had convenient sites for rallies and protest meetings.

2. **Structural strains.** A second condition promoting the emergence of a social movement is the presence of conflicts, ambiguities, and discrepancies within a society. Without some form of strain, there is no stimulus for change. A key discrepancy in the antiwar movement case was the government’s continued stance that there was no war (no legal war had been declared), despite the vast resources being devoted to battle and the obvious combat casualties. (Figure 17.4 identifies major structural strains that have mobilized college students since the 1960s.)

3. **Generalized beliefs.** Generalized beliefs include a general recognition that there is a problem and agreement that something should be done to fix it. Two shared beliefs were crucial to the antiwar movement. One was the belief that the Johnson and Nixon administrations were not telling the truth about the war. Another was that the Vietnam War was so morally wrong that it had to be stopped.

4. **Precipitating factors.** One or more significant events must occur to galvanize people into action. On April 30, 1970, President Nixon ordered the invasion of the neutral country of Cambodia. This event was a show of force to the North Vietnamese government with which the United States government was negotiating to end the war.

5. **Mobilization of participants for action.** Once the first four conditions exist, the only remaining step is to get the people moving. Massive demonstrations were part of the political furor the Cambodian invasion provoked. More than 100,000 opponents of the Vietnam War marched on Washington, D.C. Hundreds of colleges were forced to close as a result of strikes by 1.5 million students.
6. Social control. The sixth determinant of a social movement is ineffective social control. Actions of the media, police, courts, community leaders, and political officials can lead to the success or failure of a social movement. If the right kind of force is applied, a potential social movement may be prevented, even though the first five determinants are present. Efforts to control the situation may block the social movement, minimize its effects, or make matters worse. Efforts to control the antiwar movement, for example, were actually counterproductive. During the student antiwar protests following the Cambodian invasion, the Ohio National Guard, mobilized by the governor of Ohio, killed four students and wounded at least nine others on the Kent State University campus. Two African American students were killed during an antiwar protest at Jackson State University in Mississippi. Such heavy-handedness on the part of politicians and law enforcement officials only stimulated further protest that hastened the ending of the war.
What is resource mobilization theory? Resource mobilization theory focuses on the process through which members of a social movement secure and use the resources needed to advance their cause. Resources include human skills such as leadership, organizational ability, and labor power, as well as material goods such as money, property, and equipment (Cress and Snow, 1996; McCarthy and Wolfson, 1996).

The civil rights movement of the 1960s succeeded in part because of the commitment of African Americans and in part because people of other races contributed the money, energy, and skills necessary to stage repeated protests. In contrast, the gay movement in the United States has experienced difficulty partly because of a relative shortage of money, foot soldiers, and affluent supporters.

Muslim worshippers donate money to aid the relief effort for Turkey, which was devastated by a tremendous earthquake in 1999. Relate this behavior to resource mobilization theory.

Section 4 Assessment

1. How would a sociologist define the term social movement?
2. Which of the following is an example of a reformative social movement?
   a. the French Revolution
   b. Zero Population Growth
   c. the Branch Davidians
   d. Women’s Christian Temperance Union
3. How is Smelser’s theory of social movements an example of the value-added process?
4. Briefly explain the resource mobilization theory of social movements.

Critical Thinking

5. Synthesizing Information If you wished to mount a social movement to change some U.S. policy (i.e., air pollution limits), which theory of social change would most likely guide your strategy? Explain why you would select a particular theory and how it would guide your approach.
Reviewing Vocabulary

Complete each sentence using each term once.

a. social movement  

b. contagion theory  
c. rumor  
d. revolution  
e. fashions  
f. fads  
g. crowd  
h. collective behavior  
i. emergent norm theory  
j. social change  
k. technology

1. New societal behaviors with long-term and relatively important consequences are called ________.

2. _________ is the knowledge and hardware used to achieve practical goals.

3. _________ is a type of social movement that may involve the violent toppling of a political regime.

4. The spontaneous and unstructured social behavior of people who are responding to similar stimuli is known as ________.

5. _________ is a widely circulating story of questionable truth.

6. The unusual behavior patterns that spread rapidly, are embraced zealously, and then disappear in a short time are called ________.

7. _________ are behavior patterns that are widely approved but expected to change periodically.

8. A temporary collection of people who share a common interest is known as a ________.

9. _________ emphasizes the irrationality of crowds, created when members stimulate one another to higher and higher levels of emotional intensity.

10. _________ stresses the similarity between daily social behavior and crowd behavior.

11. The form of collective behavior that has the most structure is called ________.
Reviewing the Facts

1. Use a diagram similar to the one below to show the cause and effect relationship between the three major social processes and social change.

   ![Diagram](image)

   SOCIAL PROCESSES

   SOCIAL CHANGE

2. Identify and describe the three theories of crowd behavior.
3. What are the five important agents of social change?
4. In your own words explain the value-added theory of social movements.
5. List and describe the four primary types of social movements.
6. Explain the resource mobilization theory of social movements.

Thinking Critically

1. **Applying Concepts** Once upon a time, a family decided to grow orange trees. After several years of hard work and struggle, the first oranges appeared on the trees. Every year after that, when the oranges appeared, the father would say, “Everyone is entitled to choose one orange from the crop.” The business thrived and expanded. The children were puzzled that even when the orange grove had grown to include over a thousand trees, they were allowed only one orange a year. Finally, when the children were grown and had children of their own, one of the grandchildren said, “Grandpa, every year we produce hundreds of thousands of oranges, and every year you tell us that we can have only one orange. Why is that?” Grandpa replied, “Because that’s the way it’s always been.” In what way is this story a metaphor for society?

2. **Analyzing Information** Television shows often mirror changes taking place in some segments of society. Sometimes, these changes have not yet reached the mainstream culture. (One popular program centers many of its scenes in a unisex workplace bathroom.) What role do you think television has in changing society? Do you think its influence is more positive or negative?

3. **Evaluating Information** In this country, it is common to read about rumors circulated by the media, especially tabloid newspapers and television news magazine programs. How justified are newspapers and news reporters in publicizing unverified information? Should viewers be responsible for evaluating the information themselves? Should the news sources be penalized for not investigating or verifying rumors? What are the consequences for society if news sources are not reliable?

4. **Drawing Conclusions** Twenty years ago, body piercing (other than for earrings) was considered deviant behavior. Today, it is fast becoming a social norm in many classes and social categories. Do you think that body piercing is a fad or a fashion? What factors might cause a behavior that is not desirable in one generation to become accepted just one generation later?

Sociology Projects

1. **Technology** Over the next few days, look for new technologies that have initiated social changes within the last five years. For example, Web TV is a fairly new technological invention. Make a list of such items, including things that you have heard are coming but have not yet been released. For each item write down what earlier development made the new item possible. For example, high-definition TV was a result of knowledge gained from aerospace
satellite projects. Share your findings with classmates. You will probably be amazed at how extensive your list is. Post it in the classroom, and add to it as you hear about more changes.

2. **Fads** Look through old and new magazines for examples of fads that have appeared since you were born. (Examples might include retro platform shoes and Beanie Babies.) Create a collage illustrating those fads. Are some of the fads still around? Have they been replaced by similar fads? Ask your parents or grandparents what some fads were when they were teenagers. Find pictures, or ask them if they can provide you with examples. Make a poster or arrange the pictures in a booklet format that explains some of the unusual fads.

3. **Crowd Behavior** As an experiment in crowd behavior, try to start a new fad or fashion in your school. For example, get everyone in your group or class to agree to start wearing necklaces with metal washers on them or unmatched socks. If several of you do this, you might be able to convince others that a new fad has begun. If the fad does not catch on, list reasons why you think your peers were resistant to change in this case.

4. **Rumors** Search the library magazine catalog or Internet for rumors concerning a public figure. Identify the source and evaluate its credibility. Or, research a lawsuit filed by a public figure over the publication of a false story.

5. **Fads and Fashions** Working in groups, collect some old high school yearbooks from parents and relatives. Comb through them looking for examples of fads and fashions from different decades. Present your findings to the whole class.

6. **Rumors and the Media** As an extension to “Thinking Critically,” question number 3, consider and list the options that a news reporter has when he or she receives unverified stories to report. Suggest possible consequences associated with each option.

**Technology Activity**

1. Jan Harold Brunvand coined the term *urban legend* to describe a type of rumor that is long lasting and widely believed. This term is commonly used now, and if you search the Internet, you will find many sites devoted to this subject.

   a. Select a few of the web sites (two good ones are at [http://www.urbanlegends.com/](http://www.urbanlegends.com/) and [http://www.snopes2.com/](http://www.snopes2.com/)) and review them. Be prepared to share one or two of them with your class.

   b. What common elements do these urban legends have? Do your observations correspond with those of Urbanlegends.com?

   c. What role do you think the Internet plays in spreading these urban legends?
Information tools, such as the personal computer and the Internet, are increasingly critical to economic success and personal advancement. “Falling Through the Net: Defining the Digital Divide” finds that more Americans than ever have access to telephones, computers, and the Internet. At the same time, however, there is still a significant “digital divide” separating American information “haves” and “have nots.” Indeed, in many instances, the digital divide has widened.

The good news is that Americans are more connected than ever before. Access to computers and the Internet has soared for people in all demographic groups and geographic locations. At the end of 1998, over 40 percent of American households owned computers, and one-quarter of all households had Internet access. Additionally, those who were less likely to have telephones (chiefly, young and minority households in rural areas) are now more likely to have phones at home.

Accompanying this good news, however, is the persistence of the digital divide between the information rich (such as Whites, Asians/Pacific Islanders, those with higher incomes, those more educated, and dual-parent households) and the information poor (such as those who are younger, those with lower incomes and education levels, certain minorities, and those in rural areas or central cities). The 1998 data reveal significant disparities, including the following:

- Urban households with incomes of $75,000 and higher are more than twenty times more likely to have access to the Internet than those at the lowest income levels, and more than nine times as likely to have a computer at home.
- Whites are more likely to have access to the Internet from home than Blacks or Hispanics have from any location.
- Black and Hispanic households are approximately one-third as likely to have home Internet access as households of Asian/Pacific Islander descent, and roughly two-fifths as likely as White households.
- Regardless of income level, Americans living in rural areas are lagging behind in Internet access. Indeed, at the lowest income levels, those in urban areas are more than twice as likely to have Internet access than those earning the same income in rural areas.

For many groups, the digital divide has widened as the information “haves” outpace the “have nots” in gaining access to electronic resources. The following gaps with regard to home Internet access are representative:

- The gaps between White and Hispanic households, and between White and Black households, are now more than five percentage points larger than they were in 1997.
The digital divides based on education and income level have also increased in the last year alone. Between 1997 and 1998, the divide between those at the highest and lowest education levels increased 25 percent, and the divide between those at the highest and lowest income levels grew 29 percent.

Nevertheless, the news is not all bleak. For Americans with incomes of $75,000 and higher, the divide between Whites and Blacks has actually narrowed considerably in the last year. This finding suggests that the most affluent American families, irrespective of race, are connecting to the Net.
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Figure 17.5 Minorities and the Internet. This figure reveals the digital divide in the United States between whites, African Americans, and Latinos. What do you think are the most important consequences of this divide?
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